

DISCARD

BOHN'S HISTORICAL LIBRARY

THE QUEENS OF ENGLAND

VOL. I.

GEORGE BELL AND SONS

LONDON: PORTUGAL ST., LINCOLN'S INN.

CAMBRIDGE: DEIGHTON, BELL AND CO.

NEW YORK: THE MACMILLAN CO.

BOMBAY: A. H. WHEELER AND CO.



Matilda of Flanders.

LIVES OF THE QUEENS OF ENGLAND

FROM THE NORMAN CONQUEST

BY
AGNES STRICKLAND

"The treasures of antiquity laid up
In old historic rolls, I opened."
BEAUMONT.

REVISED EDITION

IN SIX VOLUMES

VOL. I.



LONDON
GEORGE BELL & SONS

1906

[Reprinted from Stereotype plates.]

TO
HER MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY
OUR SOVEREIGN LADY QUEEN VICTORIA,
THE LIVES
OF
THE QUEENS OF ENGLAND,
ARE, BY GRACIOUS PERMISSION,
INSCRIBED
WITH FEELINGS OF PROFOUND RESPECT AND LOYAL AFFECTION,
BY HER MAJESTY'S FAITHFUL SUBJECT,
AND DEVOTED SERVANT,
AGNES STRICKLAND.

7838

PREFACE.

OUR Lives of the Queens of England comprise personal biographies of our mediæval queens, commencing with the consort of William the Conqueror, and occupying that important period of our national chronology, from the death of the last monarch of the Anglo-Saxon line, in the year 1066, to the demise of the last sovereign of the royal house of Stuart, Queen Anne, in 1714. Of these queens, thirty have worn the crown-matrimonial, and four the regal diadem of this realm.

What changes—what revolutions—what scenes of civil and religious strife—what exciting tragedies are not involved in the details of those four-and-thirty lives! They extend over six hundred and fifty-two years, such as the world will never see again—the ages of feudality, of chivalry, and romance—ages of splendour and misery, that witnessed the brilliant chimera of crusades, the more fatal triumphs of our Edwards and Henries, in their reiterated attempts to annex the crown of France to that of England, and the national destitution and domestic woe that followed the lavish expenditure of English blood and treasure in a foreign land—the deadly feud of the rival Roses of York and Lancaster, which ended in the extinction of the name and male line of Plantagenet—the stupendous changes of public opinion that followed the accession of the house of Tudor to the throne, effecting first the overthrow of the feudal system, then of the Romish supremacy, leaving royalty to revel unchecked, for nearly a century, in absolute despotism. After the crisis of the Reformation and the emancipation of England from the papal yoke, came the struggle of the middle classes for the assertion of their political rights, overpowering royalty for a time, and establishing a democracy under the name of a Commonwealth; which ended, as all democracies sooner or later must, in a military dictatorship, followed by the restora-

tion of the monarchical government and a fever of loyal affection for the restored sovereign. Then came the slow but sure reaction of democracy and dissent against royalty and the established church, assisted by a no-popery panic—the Orange intrigues, encouraged by a pope, against the Roman-catholic sovereign James II.—the conflicting passions of the revolution of 1688—the expulsion of the male line of Stuart—the triumph of an oligarchy—the Dutch reign, the era of Continental wars, standing armies, national debt, and universal taxation—the contests between selfish parties and rival interests during the reign of Anne—and, finally, the happy establishment of a Protestant succession, in the peaceful accession of the illustrious House of Brunswick to the throne of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.

With this progressive chain of national events and changes have the queens in our series of royal biographies been inextricably involved. To use the words of Guizot, “Great events have acted on them, and they have acted according to the events.” Such as they were in life we have endeavoured to portray them, both in good and ill, without regard to any other consideration than the development of facts. Their sayings, their doings, their manners, their costume, will be found faithfully chronicled in this work, which also includes the most interesting of their letters: the orthography of these, as well as the extracts from ancient documents, have been modernised for the sake of perspicuity.

The Queens of England were not the shadowy queens of tragedy or romance, to whom imaginary words and deeds could be imputed to suit a purpose or create a sensation. They were the queens of real life, who exercised their own free will in the words they spoke, the parts they performed, the influence they exercised, the letters they wrote. They have left mute but irrefragable witnesses of what they were in their own deeds, for which they, and not their biographers, must stand accountable. To tamper with truth, for the sake of conventional views, ought not to be expected here. Events spring out of each other: therefore, either to suppress or give a false version of one, leads the reader into a complicated mass of errors, having the same effect as the spurious figure with which a dishonestly disposed school-boy endeavours to prove a sum that baffles his feeble powers of calculation. Aye, and it is as easily detected by those who are accustomed to verify history by the tests of dates and documents. It is, however, the doom of every writer who has had the fidelity to bring forward suppressed evidences, or the courage to confute long-established falsehoods, to be assailed, not only by the false

but by the deluded. Opinions have their date, and change with circumstances, but facts are immutable. We have endeavoured to develop those connected with the biographies of the Queens of England with uncompromising fidelity, without succumbing to the passions and prejudices of either sects or parties, the peevish ephemerides of a day, who fret and buzz out their brief term of existence, and are forgotten. It is not for such we write: we labour in a high vocation, that of truth.

The historical biographer's business, however zealously and carefully performed in the first instance, when breaking unwrought ground, must be often repeated, before all the widely-scattered and deeply-buried treasures of the Past can be collected together. Truth lies not on the surface, but, as the wisdom of ages bears testimony, in a well, which only those who will take the trouble of digging deeply can find, although it be easy enough to draw when once the sealed-up fountain has been discovered and opened. This observation is peculiarly applicable to those documents which, after slumbering forgotten for centuries in their secret depositories, are at last brought forward, like incorruptible witnesses in a perplexing trial, to confute the subtleties of some specious barrister who has exerted the persuasive powers of eloquent language to establish falsehood. "Facts, not opinions," should be the historian's motto; and every person who engages in that difficult and responsible department of literature ought to bear in mind the charge which prefaces the jurymen's oath,—*"You shall truly and justly try this cause, you shall present no one from malice, you shall excuse no one from favour."*

To such a height have some prejudices been carried, that it has been regarded as a species of heresy, to record the evil as well as the good of persons who are usually made subjects of popular panegyric; and authors have actually feared in some cases to reveal the base metal which has been hidden beneath a meretricious gilding, lest they should provoke a host of assailants. It was not thus that the historians of Holy Writ performed their office, for with the sacred annalists there is no compromise between truth and expediency. Expediency! perish the word, if guilt is to be covered and moral justice sacrificed to such consideration!

Nothing has been more fatal to the cause of truth than the school of historical essay, which, instead of communicating information, makes everything subservient to a political system, repudiates inconvenient facts as gossip, and imposes upon the defrauded reader declamations about the dignity of history, instead of laying before him a digest of its evidences. But take the proceedings in a court of justice—a trial for

murder, for example—how minutely is every circumstance investigated, what trifles tend to the conviction of guilt or the establishment of innocence. How attentive is the judge to the evidence, how indifferent to the eloquence of the advocate. He listens to the depositions of the witnesses, he jots them down, he collates them in his tablets, he compares the first statements with the cross-examinations, he detects discrepancies, he cuts short verbiage, he allows no quibbles or prevarication, but keeps every one to the point. In summing up, he proves that all depends on the evidence, nothing on the pleadings; if he condescend to notice the arguments of the rival counsel, it is only to caution the jury against being unduly biassed by mere elocution.

Brief notices of the ancient British and Saxon queens will be found in the Introduction. The personal histories of the Anglo-Norman, and several of the Plantagenet, Tudor and Stuart queen-consorts, we found involved in scarcely less obscurity than those of their British and Anglo-Saxon predecessors. Dimly, however, as their memorials floated over the surface of general history, they afforded indubitable evidence that substantial matter connected with those shadows would, on diligent search, be discovered, as, indeed, the result has proved. Documentary historians alone can appreciate the difficulties, the expense, the injury to health, to say nothing of the sacrifice of more profitable literary pursuits, that have been involved in this undertaking. We have related the parentage of every queen, described her education, traced the influence of family connexions and national habits on her conduct, both public and private, and given a concise outline of the domestic, as well as the general history of her times, and its effects on her character, and we have done so with singleness of heart. If we have borne false witness in any instance, let those who bring accusations bring also proofs of their assertions.

The materials for the lives of the Tudor and Stuart queens are of a more copious and important nature than the records of the consorts of our Anglo-Norman and Plantagenet sovereigns. We miss, indeed, the illuminated pages, and the no less picturesque details of the historians of the age of chivalry, rich in their quaint simplicity, for the last of the monastic chroniclers, John Rous, of Warwick, closed his labours with the blood-stained annals of the last of the Plantagenet kings.

It is, however, from the Acts of the Privy Council, the Parliamentary Journals, and the unpublished Regal Records and MSS. in the State Paper Office, as well as from the treasures preserved in the *Bibliothèque du Roi*, at Paris, and the private MS. collections of historical families

and gentlemen of antiquarian research, that our most important facts are gathered. State papers, autograph letters, and other important documents, which the antiquarian taste of the present age has drawn forth from the repositories where they have slumbered among the dust of centuries, have afforded their silent but incontrovertible evidence on matters illustrative of the private history of royalty, to enable writers who, unbiassed by the leaven of party spirit, deal in facts, not opinions, to unravel the tangled web of falsehood. Every person who has referred to original documents is aware that it is a work of time and patience to read the MSS. of the Tudor era. Those in the State Paper Office, and the Cottonian Library, have suffered much from accidents, and from the injuries of time. Water, and even fire, have partially passed over some; in others, the mildew has swept whole sentences from the page, leaving historical mysteries in provoking obscurity, and occasionally baffling the attempts of the most persevering antiquary to raise the shadowy curtain of the past.

The records of the Tudor queens are replete with circumstances of powerful interest, and rich in the picturesque costume of an age of pageantry and romance. Yet of some of these ladies so little beyond the general outline was known, that the lives of Jane Seymour, Anne of Cleves, and Katharine Howard, were for the first time opened to the public in this work

Our earlier queens were of course necessarily members of the church of Rome. There are the biographies of only five Protestant queens in this Series. Anne Boleyn, Jane Seymour, and Anne of Cleves died, howsoever they might live, in communion with the church of Rome. Katharine Parr is, therefore, our first Protestant queen, and the nursing mother of the Reformation. There is only another Protestant queen-consort, Anne of Denmark, the three queens-regnant, Elizabeth, Mary II., and Anne. Undoubtedly these princesses would have been better women if their actions had been more conformable to the principles inculcated by the pure and apostolic doctrines of the church of England.

The lives of our four queens-regnant form an important portion of the royal biographies. The communication of the Bedingfield State Papers by their learned editor, the Rev. C. R. Manning, M.A., honorary secretary of the Norfolk Archæological Society, now enables us to correct the erroneous statements of Foxe and Burnet regarding Elizabeth's journey from the Tower to Woodstock, and her subsequent imprisonment. Sir Henry Bedingfield's Journal and Official Reports to queen Mary and her

council, elucidate very fully that portion of her biography, and enrich it with lively personal anecdotes, which are now, for the first time, amalgamated with the eventful story of her early life. It is also satisfactory to be able to solve the previously inexplicable enigma of the bitter indignation she expressed against her ministers, when informed of the decapitation of Mary queen of Scots, by showing that as her ministers found it impossible to induce her to execute the death warrant of her royal kinswoman, Burleigh, Walsingham and Davison employed a private secretary of Walsingham to forge her signature to that instrument, and acted upon it without her knowledge—a discovery which gives a new reading to her conduct on this occasion, and acquits her of the pitiful hypocrisy imputed to her by Davison, on whose unsupported testimony she has been too hastily condemned.

We have compelled the royal Stuart queens-regnant, Mary and Anne, to bear witness of themselves by their letters—such letters as they permitted to survive them, which are indeed sufficient to elucidate their actions. The great marvel regarding the secret correspondence of royalty at such an epoch, is not that so much is destroyed, but that any should survive. Strange mysteries might have been unfolded, if biographers had been allowed to glance over the contents of those papers which queen Mary spent a lonely vigil in her closet in destroying, when she felt the dread fiat had gone forth: “Set thine house in order, for thou shalt die and not live.”

The materials for the biography of Mary Beatrice of Modena, the consort of James II., are chiefly derived from the unpublished documents of the period. Many of these, and indeed the most important, are locked up in the secret archives of France, papers that are guarded with such extreme jealousy from the curiosity of foreigners, that nothing less than the powerful introduction of M. Guizot, when premier of France, could have procured access to that collection. Through the kindness and liberality of that accomplished statesman-historian, every facility for research and transcription was granted during our residence in Paris in 1844. The result was fortunate beyond our most sanguine expectations, in the discovery of a very important mass of inedited royal letters and contemporary records connected with the personal history of the expatriated Stuarts. Not the least curious of these are the disjointed fragments of a quaint diary kept by one of the nuns of Chaillot, from 1711 to 1714, who, with minuteness and simplicity worthy of Samuel Pepys himself, has recorded the proceedings and table-talk of the exiled queen during

her occasional abode in that nunnery. This "convent log-book," as it has been pleasantly termed by one of our reviewers, admits us fully within the grate, and puts us in possession of things that were never intended to be whispered without the walls of that mysterious little world. Much additional light is thrown on the personal history of the exiled royal family, by the incidents that have been there chronicled from the queen's own lips. The fidelity of the statements is verified by their strict agreement with other documents, of the existence of which the sister of Chaillot could not have been aware. We were also permitted to take transcripts of upwards of two hundred original autograph letters of this queen; to which correspondence we are indebted for many touching pictures of the domestic life of the fallen queen and her children, during their residence in the château of St. Germain. Some of the letters have been literally steeped in the tears of the royal writer, especially those which she wrote after the battle of La Hogue, during the absence of king James, when she was in hourly expectation of the birth of her youngest child, and, finally, in her last utter desolation.

The friendly assistance rendered by M. Michelet, in the prosecution of our researches in the archives of France, demands our grateful acknowledgment. We are also indebted to M. Guizot for inedited documents and royal letters from the *Archives des Affaires Etrangères*; nor must the kindness of M. Champollion be forgotten, nor the service rendered by him in the discovery and communication of a large portfolio of inedited Stuart papers, from the archives of St. Germain.

We cannot take our leave of the gentle readers who have kindly cheered us on our toilsome track, by the unqualified approbation with which they have greeted every fresh volume, without expressing the satisfaction it has given us to be able to afford mingled pleasure and instruction to so extensive a circle of friends—friends who, though personally unknown to us, have loved us, confided in our integrity, brought our Queens into their domestic circles, associated them with the sacred joys of home, and sent them as pledges of affection to their dear ones far away, even to the remotest corners of the world. We should be undeserving of the popularity with which this work has been honoured, if we could look upon it with apathy; but we regard it as God's blessing on our labours, and their sweetest reward.

I am now enabled to gratify a long-cherished wish, by republishing

this work at a price which will, I trust, bring it within the reach of readers of all classes.

I have used the plural *we*, because I speak not only in my own name, but in that of my sister, whose share in this work I am especially desirous to notice to the world, although she refuses to allow her name to appear on the title-page with that of

AGNES STRICKLAND.

REYDON HALL, SUFFOLK.

October, 1864.

CONTENTS.



MATILDA OF FLANDERS, QUEEN OF WILLIAM THE CONQUEROR.

(With a Portrait. See Frontispiece.)

PAGE

CHAPTER I.—Title of Queen—Regina—Matilda first so called—Her descent from Alfred—Parents—Education—Learning—Beauty—Character—Skill in embroidery—Sought in marriage by William of Normandy—His passionate love—Unsuccessful courtship—Brihtric Meaw, the English envoy—Matilda's love for him—Perseverance of William of Normandy—Furious conduct of William to Matilda—Their marriage—Rich apparel—William's early life—William and Matilda excommunicated—Dispensation—Matilda's taste for architecture—Matilda's sister married to Tostig—Birth of Matilda's eldest son—Harold's visit—Betrothed to Matilda's daughter—William's invasion of England—Letter to Matilda's brother—Matilda appointed regent of Normandy—Her son Robert—Happy arrival of Matilda in the Mora—Ship presented by her—William sails in it to England—Matilda's delineations—Battle of Hastings—News of victory brought to Matilda—Our Lady of Good Tidings. . . . 15

CHAPTER II.—Matilda assumes the title of queen of England in Normandy—Her regency there—Patronage of learning—Charities—Her vengeance on Brihtric Meaw—Obtains his lands—His imprisonment—Death in prison—William's court at Birkhamstead—Triumphant return to Normandy—Matilda awaits his landing—Triumphal Norman progresses—Revolts in England—William re-appoints Matilda regent—Embarks for England in a storm—William sends for Matilda—She arrives in England with her children—Her coronation at Winchester—Champion at her coronation—Birth of her son Henry—Bayeux tapestry—The dwarf artist, Turolde—Matilda's daughter—Revolt of the English—Queen Matilda's return to Normandy—Regent there the third time—Her passionate love for her eldest son—Death of her father—Dissensions of her brothers—Ill effects of her absence—Separate governments of William and Matilda—King of France attacks Matilda—Her able government—Discontent of Norman ladies—Scandalous reports—William's supposed conjugal infidelity—Matilda's cruelty to her rival—Duke of Bretagne invades Normandy—Marriage with Matilda's second daughter—Dissensions in the royal family—Matilda's partiality to her son Robert—Her second son, prince Richard—His death—New Forest. . . . 39

CHAPTER III.—Matilda mediates between her husband and son—Robert's insolence and rebellion—Matilda supplies him with money—Conqueror seizes Matilda's agent—Conqueror's reproaches—Queen's answer—Robert's military prowess—Field of Archembraye—Robert wounds the Conqueror—His penitence—Matilda intercedes—Conqueror writes to his son—Robert pardoned—Conqueror's legislation in England—Domesday-book—Royal revenue—Queen of England's perquisites and privileges—Her dues at Queenhithe—Officers of royal household—Matilda's court the model of succeeding ones—She continues to govern Normandy—Her visit to the monastery of Oucho—Illness and death of her second daughter—Fresh cause of sorrow to the queen—Robert's dissensions with his father—Matilda's distress—Applies to a hermit—His vision, and message to the queen—Her grief and lingering illness—Dying of a broken heart—The Conqueror hastens from England—She dies—Her obsequies—Her alms—Tomb—Epitaph—Will—Articles of dress named therein—Portrait—Her children—The Conqueror's deep affliction—Disquiets after the death of the queen—Fatal accident to the Conqueror—Death—His body plundered—Accidents and interruptions at his funeral—Monument—Portrait—Destruction of his tomb—Of Matilda's tomb—Her sapphire ring—Their bodies re-interred—Matilda's tomb restored—Final destruction at French Revolution.	52
--	----

MATILDA OF SCOTLAND,

QUEEN OF HENRY I.

CHAPTER I.—Ancestry of Matilda—Direct descent from Alfred—Margaret Atheling her mother—Marries the king of Scotland—Matilda's birth—Her godfather—Education—First suitor—Her father invades England—His death—Her mother's grief—Pious death—Revolution in Scotland—Edgar Atheling carries the royal family to England—Princesses Matilda and Mary—Placed in Romsey abbey—Their aunt, abbess Christina—Matilda's brother Edgar—Restored to the throne of Scotland—The Atheling a crusader—Matilda at Wilton-abbey—Her literary education—Attachment between Matilda and Henry Beauclerc—Her other suitors—Early life of Henry—Education at Cambridge—Surname—Literary work by him—Legacy at the Conqueror's death—Poverty of Henry—Affronted by Matilda's suitor, earl Warren—Courtship of Matilda—Harsh rule of lady Christina—Henry seizes the English throne—Asks Matilda's hand—Opposition of her aunt—Council of the church—Matilda's evidence—Her scruples—Importuned by Anglo-Saxons—Consents—Address to her by Anselm—Consent of the people—Her marriage and coronation—Saxon laws restored.	71
CHAPTER II.—Popularity of Matilda's marriage—Called Matilda Atheling—Her charities—Her brother, king Alexander the Fierce—Her works of utility—Equitable laws of king Henry—Normans nickname the king and queen—Duke Robert's invasion—Birth of Matilda's son—Robert's consideration for Matilda—Henry's quarrels with Archbishop Anselm—Matilda's letters—England threatened with excommunication—Matilda writes to the pope—Duke Robert re-lands in England—Matilda reconciles him to the king—Anselm's return to England—Matilda's friendship for him—Birth of princess Matilda—Robert regrets the loss of his pension—Reviles Matilda—Battle of Tinchebray—Capture of Robert and the queen's uncle Edgar—Pardoned through the queen's influence—Court first kept at Windsor by Henry and Matilda—Princess Matilda betrothed to the emperor—Court at Winchester—Marriage of prince William—Portrait of queen Matilda—Departure of empress Matilda—Parliament held—Woodstock-palace completed—Revolt in Normandy—Illness of the queen—Her death—King Henry's grief—Burial of Matilda—Inscription to her memory—Her palace at Westminster—Present remains—Statue of Matilda—Her children.	80

ADELICIA OF LOUVAINE,

SURNAMED THE FAIR MAID OF BRABANT;

SECOND QUEEN OF HENRY I.

PAGE

Adelicia's beauty—Imperial descent from Charlemagne—Standard embroidered by Adelicia—Preserved at Liege—Adelicia sought in marriage by Henry I.—Richly dowered—Embarks for England with Henry—King and queen parishioners of archbishop of Canterbury—Violence of archbishop—He crowns Adelicia—Eulogies on her beauty—Her prudence—Encouragement of literature—Empress Matilda—Adelicia childless—Empress Matilda kept in Adelicia's chamber—Difficult position of the queen—Friendship with her stepdaughter—Second marriage of the empress—Adelicia's conjugal virtues—Matilda returns to England—Remains with the queen—Birth of prince Henry—Death of king Henry—Adelicia's respect for his memory—Her troubadour writes king Henry's life—Her second marriage—William Albini—Her dowry—Palace—Receives empress Matilda—Message to king Stephen—Conjugal happiness of Adelicia—Her charter—Her portrait—Her children—Charitable foundations at Arundel—Her younger brother abbot of Affigham—Adelicia retires to Affigham nunnery, in Flanders—Dies there—Record of her death—Buried—Her issue by Albini—Adelicia ancestor of two of our queens 112

MATILDA OF BOULOGNE,

QUEEN OF STEPHEN.

Matilda's descent from Saxon kings—Her mother a Saxon princess—Her father—Matilda espoused to Stephen of Blois—Residence at Tower-Royal—Matilda's popularity in London—Stephen seizes the throne—Birth of prince Eustace—Coronation of Matilda—Queen left regent—Disasters—Queen besieges Dover-castle—Mediates peace with her uncle—Empress Matilda lands in England—Henry of Blois—Civil war—Queen goes to France—Marriage of her young heir—Raises an army—Stephen captured—Arrogance of the empress—Queen's grief—Exertions in Stephen's cause—Queen Matilda writes to Bishop Blois—Her supplication for Stephen's liberty—Obduracy of the empress—Queen appeals to arms—Empress in Winchester—Her seal—Insult's Londoners—Driven from London—Successes of the queen—Takes Winchester—Escape of the empress—Earl of Gloucester taken—Exchanged for Stephen—Illness of king Stephen—Empress escapes from Oxford—Her son—Decline of the empress's cause—Queen Matilda founds St. Katherine by the Tower—Death of the queen—Burial—Tomb—Epitaph—Children—Eustace—Death of king Stephen—Burial by his queen—Exhumation of their bodies 134

ELEANORA OF AQUITAINE,

QUEEN OF HENRY II.

CHAPTER I.—Provençal queens—Country of Eleanor of Aquitaine—Her grandfather—Death of her father—Her great inheritance—Marriage—Becomes queen of France—Beauty—She joins the crusaders—Her guard of Amazons—Eleanor and ladies encumber the army—Occasion defeat—Refuge with queen's uncle—Eleanor's coquetries—Returns to France—Her disgusts—Taunts—Henry Plantagenet Scandals—Birth of infant princess—Eleanor falls in love with Henry—Jealousies—She applies for divorce—Her marriage dissolved—Returns to Aquitaine—Adventures on journey—Marries Henry Plantagenet—Birth of her son—Enables Henry to gain

England—Henry's love for Rosamond—Return's to Eleanora—Succeeds to the English throne—Eleanora crowned at Westminster—Costume—Birth of prince Henry—Queen presents her infants to the Barons—Death of her eldest son—Her court—Tragedy played before her—Her husband—His character—Rosamond discovered by the queen—Eleanora's children—Birth of prince Geoffrey—Eleanora regent of England—Goes to Normandy—Conclusion of empress Matilda's memoir—Matilda regent of Normandy—Mediates peace—Dies—Her tomb—Eleanora Norman regent—She goes to Aquitaine	164
--	-----

CHAPTER II.—Eleanora in Aquitaine—Controlled by Normans—Conspires with her sons—Jealousy—Escapes in man's attire—Means to visit her former husband—Seized—Carried prisoner to Bordeaux—Queen Marguerite, her daughter-in-law—The two queens in captivity—Henry defeats his sons—Eleanora imprisoned in Winchester-palace—Death of Rosamond—Turbulent sons of Henry and Eleanora—Troubadour agitators—Death of the younger king—Temporary reconciliation of king and queen—Prince Richard's wrongs—Princess Alice—Reports of divorce—Eleanora again imprisoned—Songs concerning her—Her subjects' love—Death of prince Geoffrey—Grief of Eleanora—She is brought to Poitou—Claims her dominions of prince Richard—King Henry's disquiets—Death—Burial—Queen in captivity—King Richard releases her—Appoints her queen-regent—Her justice—Treasure-vault at Winchester—Queen-mother's dower—Eleanora sets out for Navarre—Berengaria—Eleanora arrives at Messina with Richard's bride—Departs—Mediates a dispute at Rome—Eleanora's regency—Her toilsome age	182
--	-----

BERENGARIA OF NAVARRE,

QUEEN-CONSORT OF RICHARD I.

Mutual attachment of Berengaria and Richard—Berengaria's descent—Berengaria demanded in marriage—Travels with queen Eleanora—Waits with her at Brindisi—Is consigned to queen Joanna—Queen Eleanora's regency—Redeems, as her queen-gold, the cup of the monks of Bury—Embarks for Palestine—Berengaria lands in a storm at Cyprus—Nuptials at Cyprus—Costume of queen Berengaria—Crowned queen of England and Cyprus—Berengaria sails for Palestine—Received by king Philip at Acre—Her residence there—Berengaria embarks with Joanna—Richard shipwrecked—Imprisoned—Berengaria at Rome—The queen escorted by count Raymond St. Gilles—Queen Joanna married to him—Misfortunes of king Richard—Eleanora's regency—Her letter to the pope—She again redeems the gold cup of the monks of Bury—Berengaria resigns the captive Cypriot—Berengaria's brother—Queen-mother returns with Richard to England—She remits her queen-gold a third time to the monks of Bury—Berengaria forsaken—Richard's penitence—Berengaria's goodness—Follows Richard to war—Devoted love—King's death—Death of queen Joanna—Berengaria's dower—Her pecuniary troubles—Builds abbey of Espan—Resides there—Dies there—Buried—Effigy—Character.	202
--	-----

ISABELLA OF ANGOULEME,

QUEEN-CONSORT OF KING JOHN.

Isabella the betrothed of Hugh de Lusignan—Parents—Inheritance—Isabella abducted by king John—Married to king John—Challenge of count Hugh—Queen's arrival in England—Recognition—Coronation—Arrival at Rouen—Luxury—Conclusion of Eleanora of Aquitaine's biography—Besieged—Relieved by king John—He captures count Hugh—Death of Eleanora—Effigy—Character—Queen Isabella's dower—Her return to England—Her lover, count Hugh, liberated—Isabella's son born—Her page—Herd of white cows—King John's cruelty—His jealousy—Her children—Inheritance—Marriage of count Hugh to Isabella's little daughter—Royal dress—

Murder of Matilda the Fair—John's atrocities—Meets the queen at Marlborough—She retires to Gloucester with her children—John's death—Queen's proceedings—Coronation of her son—She leaves England—Marries count Hugh—Deprived of her jointure—Detains the princess Joanna—Queen's dower restored—Her pride—Embroids her husband in war—Attempts the life of St. Louis—Humiliation of Isabella—Hated by the Poitevins—Called Jezebel—Retires to Fontevraud—Takes the veil—Dies—Tomb—Effigy—Children of second marriage 225

ELEANOR OF PROVENCE,

SURNAMED LA BELLE ;

QUEEN OF HENRY III.

CHAPTER I.—Eleanor of Provence—Parentage—Birth—Talents—Poem written by her—Her beauty—Henry II. accepts Eleanor without dowry—Escorted to England—Married at Canterbury—Crowned at Westminster—Costume and jewels—Henry's attention to dress—Rapacity of the queen's relatives—Birth of Edward II.—Paintings in her chambers—Attempt on the king's life—Eleanor rules the king—Birth of her eldest daughter—Queen accompanies the king to Guienne—Birth of the princess Beatrice—Return to England—Turbulence of Eleanor's uncle—Eleanor's second son born—King and queen robbed on the highway—Eleanor's unpopularity in London—Dower—Eleanor's mother—King pawns plate and jewels—Marriage of princess Margaret—Projected crusade—Eleanor appointed queen-regent—King's departure for Guienne—Makes his will—Bequeaths royal power to Eleanor—Princess Katherine born—Her early death. 244

CHAPTER II.—Eleanor's regency—Great seal of England left in her hands—Unlawful exactions—Disputes with city of London—Assemblies of parliament—Her new-year's gift to the king—Goes to Guienne—Her son's nuptials—Feast of kings—Lands in England—Vengeance on the Londoners—Eleanor attends the king to the north—Her sickness at Wark-castle—Court at Woodstock—Death of princess Katherine—Folly of the king—Queen's unpopular conduct—Garrisons Windsor—Prince Edward robs the Templars—Queen pledges jewels—Pelted from London-bridge—Takes sanctuary—Goes to France with the king—Civil war—King and prince taken at Lewes—Queen raises forces on the continent—Battle of Evesham—Londoners fined—Her return to England—Prince Edward's crusade—Household expenses of the queen—Death of Henry III.—Eleanor's widowhood—Refounds St. Katherine's hospital—Death of Eleanor's daughters—Royal letters—Queen retires to Ambresbury—Miracle by Henry III.—Eleanor takes the veil—Visited by king Edward—Her wise advice—Her death—Petition of Jewish converts. 262

ELEANORA OF CASTILE,

SURNAMED THE FAITHFUL ;

FIRST QUEEN OF EDWARD I.

Eleanor infant of Castile—Descent—Inheritance—Marriage-treaty—Queen-mother and prince Edward visit Spain—Eleanor's marriage at Burgos—Festival—Eleanor's journey to England—Eleanor retires to France—Returns to England—Sons born—Crusade—Eleanor prepares to share it—Arrives at Acre—Edward's wound—Assassin—Grief of Eleanor—Prince Edward's illness—His will—Birth of Joanna of Acre—Death of Eleanor's sons—Of king Henry III.—Queen Eleanor visits Rome—Birth of an heir at Maine—Providential escape of king and queen—Land at Dover—Coronation—War—Marriage of Llewellyn—Eleanor assists at nuptials—War re

newed—Eleanora shares Edward's campaigns—Keeps court at Rhuddlan—Princess born in Wales—Caernarvon-castle—Queen's chamber—The Eagle tower—Birth of Edward II.—Death of prince Alphonso—Queen at Guienne—Birth of younger daughters—Queen's plate—Edward departs for the north—Eleanora follows him—Sudden death—King returns—His extreme grief—Follows her corpse—Solemn mourning—Burial—Tomb—Epitaph—Crosses to Eleanora's memory—Traits of the times—Eleanora's improvements—Her creditors—Prayers for her soul—Her children	287
--	-----

MARGUERITE OF FRANCE,

SECOND QUEEN OF EDWARD I.

The family of Marguerite—Disconsolate widowerhood of Edward I.—Demands Marguerite's sister, Blanche la Belle—Edward contracted to Marguerite—Espousals—Maids of honour—Edward leaves his bride for the Scotch war—Queen follows Edward—Lives at Brotherton—Eldest son born there—Left at Cawood—Queen goes to Scotland—Danger of journey owing to Wallace—Her court at Dunfermline—High festival at Westminster-palace—Marguerite's gold circlet—Birth of the queen's second son—Queen's kindness—Robert Bruce's crown—Queen saves a goldsmith's life—Benevolence to the mayor of Winchester—Residence at Winchester—Death of king Edward—Happy wedlock of Marguerite—Her good qualities—Her historiographer John o' London—His sketch of Edward's character—Anecdotes of Edward—Lamentations of the royal widow—Marguerite's visit to France—Friendship with her son-in-law—Widowhood—Early death—Burial—Charities—Foundations—Debts—Children—Present descendants	311
--	-----

ISABELLA OF FRANCE,

SURNAMED THE FAIR;

QUEEN OF EDWARD II.

CHAPTER I.—Isabella's parentage—Both parents reigning sovereigns—Her portion—Afflanced to the prince of Wales—Her great beauty—Her marriage—Nuptial festivities—Sails for England with Edward II.—Summons for ladies to wait on her at Dover—Her wardrobe—Her coronation—Peeresses first summoned thereto—Slight offered to Isabella—Queen's complaints—Revenues—Her popularity—Her jealousy of Gaveston—Civil war—Queen's charity—Mediates peace with barons—Birth of Edward III.—Presents to her servants—Queen goes to France with the king—Return—Obtains amnesty—Conjugal happiness—Birth of her second son—Queen's churching-robe—Birth of her eldest daughter—Gifts to queen's nurse and servants—King's grants to Isabella—Her residence at Brotherton—Roger Mortimer—Queen's pilgrimage to Canterbury—Insolence of lady Badlesmere—Indignation of the queen—She excites the civil war—Birth of princess Joanna in the Tower—Queen Isabella's first acquaintance with Mortimer—Her influence with the king—Mortimer's plots—His escape—Queen's jealousy of the De Spencers—Deprived of her revenues—Her French servants dismissed—Complaints to her mother—Estrangement of the king—Isabella mediatrix with France	326
CHAPTER II.—Isabella's intrigues—Queen and prince recalled to England—Her disobedience—King Edward's letters—Barons invite her to invade England—Familiarities with Mortimer—Scandal at the French court—Isabella dismissed from France—Her visit to Hainault—Her voyage to England—Lands—Enthusiasm of the people—Proclamation—Her triumphal progress—Capture of the king—Londoners welcome the queen—Deposition of Edward II.—Queen's hypocrisy—Seizes the government—Exorbitant dower—Her ball prevented by a popular tumult—Murder	

of the king—Isabella's peace with Scotland—League against the queen—Her vindictive disposition—Follies of Mortimer—Parliament at Nottingham—Isabella's precautions—Mortimer taken prisoner—Her passionate intercession—His execution—Her imprisonment—Manner of spending her time there—Reports of her madness—Visits of her son—References to her in the parliamentary rolls—Her household at Castle-Rising—Visited by Edward III. and Philippa—Death of Isabella—Entrance of her funeral into London—Buried by Mortimer's side	345
--	-----

PHILIPPA OF HAINAULT,

QUEEN OF EDWARD III.

CHAPTER I.—Previous attachment of Edward III. and Philippa—His sojourn at her father's court—Her blooming beauty—Demanded in marriage—Philippa arrives in London—Reception—Philippa travels to York—Married there—Her dower—Coronation—Claim on her shoes, bed, and silver basins—Birth of her eldest son—Queen nourishes him—Her portraits—Tournament—Dangerous accident—King's fury—Queen's intercession—Philippa's woollen manufacturers—Scotch war—Queen besieged in Bamborough-castle—Birth of the princess-royal—Of the princess Joanna—Of William of Hatfield—Death of this prince—Death of the queen's father—Poverty of the king—Pawns queen's crown—Philippa's residence in Flanders—Birth of prince Lionel—Queen's visit to Norwich—King's naval victory—Queen's fourth son—King Edward's challenge—Pacification by the queen's mother—Extreme poverty of Edward and Philippa—Their secret departure from Ghent—Embark with their infant—Land at the Tower—King's anger—Countess of Salisbury—Order of the Garter—Philippa assists at the first chapter—Residence at Woodstock	377
---	-----

CHAPTER II.—Queen Philippa left regent of England—Battle of Cressy—Queen's uncles—Siege of Calais—Scotch invasion—Queen defends England—Queen's exhortation to the army—Her victory of Neville's-Cross—King David captured—Queen returns to London—Sails with many ladies to Calais—Burghers of Calais doomed to death by Edward—Philippa's intercession—Birth of princess Margaret—Edward and Philippa return to England—Betrothment of the queen's second daughter—Death of the princess—King Edward's letters—Queen's younger children—Philippa's tournament at Norwich—Queen's objections to the marriage of the Black Prince—Queen receives royal prisoners—Dialogue with Du Guesclin—Queen goes to France—Marriage of the Black Prince—Queen's reception of King John at Eltham—Aliances of royal family—Philippa's fatal illness—Death-bed—Tomb—Epitaph—Benefactions—Queen's college, Oxford—Pensions to her women—Alice Perrers—Queen's supposed confession—Virtues of queen Philippa	391
---	-----

ANNE OF BOHEMIA,

SURNAMED THE GOOD;

FIRST QUEEN OF RICHARD II.

Descent of Anne of Bohemia—Letter of the empress Elizabeth—Anne of Bohemia betrothed—Sets out for England—Detained at Brabant—Dangers by land and sea—Lands in England—Her progress to London—Pageants at reception—Marriage and coronation—Queen's fashions and improvements—Queen favourable to the Reformation—King's campaign in the north—Queen's knight murdered—King's brother condemned—Death of the princess of Wales—The queen's favourite maid of honour—Persecutions of the queen's servants—Queen pleads for their lives—Grand tournament—Queen presides—Queen intercedes for the city of London—Her visit to the city—Gifts to her—Her entrance at Westminster-hall—Her prayer to the king—Richard grants her request—Queen's sudden death—King's frantic grief—His summons to the burial—Monument—Inscription—Goodness of the queen	411
--	-----

ISABELLA OF VALOIS,

SURNAMED THE LITTLE QUEEN;

SECOND QUEEN-CONSORT OF RICHARD II.

Isabella of Valois an infant queen-consort—Betrothed to Richard the Second—Married at Calais—Embarks—Enters London—Called 'the little queen'—Educated at Windsor—King's visits—Her childish love for him—Conspiracy to imprison the king and queen—Her tournament—Richard's farewell visit—The young queen's growth and beauty—Her parting with Richard—Queen's passionate grief—Invasion—Queen sent to Wallingford—King's return—His poetical address to the queen—Richard in the Tower—Dejection—Deposed—Queen joins the revolt against Henry IV.—Richard's murder—Widowhood of Isabella—She refuses the prince of Wales—Returns to France—Tender farewell to the English—Restoration to her family—Renewed offers from the prince of Wales—Her aversion—Betrothed to the heir of Orleans—Birth of Isabella's infant—Her death—Burial at Blois—Grief of her husband—Elegies written by him—Discovery of Isabella's corpse—Re-interment—Portrait . . . 428

JOANNA OF NAVARRE,

QUEEN OF HENRY IV.

CHAPTER I.—Joanna's parentage—Descent—Evil character of her father—Her early youth—Contracted to the prince of Castile—Captured by the French—Rage of her father—Her release—Her hand demanded by the duke of Bretagne—Dower—Marriage—Horrible death of her father—Her husband's jealousy—Birth and death of Joanna's daughter—Heir of Bretagne born—French ambassadors saved by Joanna—Her conjugal influence—Her son betrothed to Joanna of France—Besieged with her lord at Vannes—She mediates a peace—Her daughter contracted to the heir of Derby, (Henry V.)—Espousals of two of her children—Joanna's first acquaintance with Henry (IV.)—His floral emblem Forget-me-not—Henry assisted by Joanna's husband—The duke of Bretagne—Death of the duke—His will—Joanna regent of Bretagne—Her wise government—Inauguration of her son—Sought in marriage by Henry IV—Her subtlety outwits the pope—Married to Henry—Visit of the duke of Burgundy to Joanna—His presents—Joanna puts her sons into his hands—Deed of gift to her aunt . . . 455

CHAPTER II.—Joanna assumes the title of queen—Writes to Henry IV.—Embarks for England—Her infants—Perils at sea—Lands at Falmouth—Married at Winchester—Nuptial feast—Honours paid to her by the Londoners—Historical picture of her coronation—Tournament—King Henry's grants to Joanna—Arrival of her son Arthur—Joanna's foreign household—Her Breton servants dismissed—Marriage of her two daughters—Peril from pirates—Unpopularity of Joanna—She mediates peace with Bretagne—Additions to her dower—Her monument to her first husband—Queen's lead-mines—Sickness and death of king Henry—His will—Widowhood of Joanna—Her political influence—Capture of her son Arthur at Agincourt—She returns public thanks for the victory—Joanna a lady of the Garter—Her merchant—Her presents to her son's wife—Joanna is arrested at Havering-Bower—Accused of sorcery—Goods and dower confiscated—Imprisoned at Leeds-castle—Removed to Pevensey—Remonstrance of her son—Her doleful captivity—Henry V.'s death-bed remorse—Restoration to her rank and possessions—Her death—Her children—Obsequies—Her tomb—Mysterious reports—Exhumation of the bodies of Henry IV. and Joanna . . . 47

KATHERINE OF VALOIS,

SURNAMED THE FAIR;

CONSORT OF HENRY V.

PAGES

CHAPTER I.—Early calamities of Katherine—Abducted by her mother—Re-captured—Henry prince of Wales—Katherine demanded for him—His accession as Henry V.—His invasion of France—Agincourt—Marriage-treaty renewed—Katherine's picture—Henry's exorbitant demands—Interview of Katherine and Henry V.—Her beauty—Henry in love with her—His anger—Treaty broken—Renewed after two years—Katherine is offered with the crown of France—Receives Henry at Troyes—Betrothed—Queen's knight—Marriage of Katherine and Henry—Queen's dower—French marriage ceremonial—The queen enters Paris in state—Voyage to England—Grand coronation—Her friendship for the king of Scots—Northern progress—Disobedience—Birth of her son, (Henry VI.)—Katherine's maids—Her guest—Katherine writes to the king—Prepares to join him in France 497

CHAPTER II.—Queen Katherine joins Henry V.—Her court at Paris—Death of Henry V.—Grief of the queen—She presides over the funeral—Arrives in England—Is at the expense of Henry V.'s tomb at Westminster-abbey—Queen and her infant son, (Henry VI.)—He travels to London on her lap—Her London residence—Infancy of Henry VI.—Katherine retires from public life—Attachment to Owen Tudor—He dances before her—Introduction of his kinsmen—Queen's remarks—Her ruby ring—Birth of second family—Death of her mother—Marriage discovered—Imprisonment—Illness—Penitence—Death—Burial—Epitaph—Her husband persecuted—His death—The grandson of Katherine, (Henry VII.)—New epitaph—Katherine's body exhumed—Made a spectacle for three centuries—Pepys kisses her remains 516

MARGARET OF ANJOU,

QUEEN OF HENRY VI.

CHAPTER I.—Parentage and descent of Margaret—Her birth—Baptism—Misfortunes of her father—Conjugal heroism of her mother—Margaret betrothed in infancy—Charms and early promise—Her Italian education—First proposal of Henry VI.—Margaret courted by count de Nevers—Poverty of her parents—Fame of her beauty and talents—Henry VI. obtains her portrait—Secret negotiations—Treaty of Tours—Margaret married to king Henry at Nanci—Bridal fêtes and tournaments—The daisy her badge—Poverty of Henry VI.—Attendants—Progress of Margaret through France—Margaret's scanty equipment—Bridal wardrobe—Margaret embarks for England—Lands at Porchester—Falls sick at Southampton—Married to king Henry—Splendid pageants at London—Her coronation—Foreign followers—Friendship with cardinal Beaufort—Murder of the duke of Gloucester—Margaret endows Queen's college—Banishment and murder of Suffolk—Cade's rebellion—Revolt suppressed—Queen persecutes John Payn—She favours Somerset—Wars of the roses—Death of the queen's mother—King's aberration of mind—Birth of prince Edward—Queen exercises regal power—Loses it—King's recovery—Battle of St. Alban's 534

CHAPTER II.—Queen retires to Greenwich—News of defeat at St. Alban's—Her despair—She is censured in parliament—Queen's secret council at Greenwich—King restored—Queen in power—Goes to Coventry—Her popularity there—Brief pacification—The "dissimulated love-day"—Old enmities renewed—Her hatred to Warwick—She breaks peace—Early promises of the prince—His badge—Lancastrian muster—Queen witnesses the battle of Blore-heath—Her forces worsted—Her precipitate flight—Her successful campaign at Ludlow—Triumph of the Red rose—

Queen's Norfolk progress—Defeat at Northampton—Her retreat—Falls into the hands of plunderers—Escapes with her son—Captivity of the king—Queen embarks with her son for Scotland—Sympathy of the Scotch—Visited by the queen-mother of Scotland and the young king—His Scotch establishment—Margaret obtains succours—She returns to England—Wins the battle of Wakefield—Her victory at St. Alban's—Frees king Henry—Offends the Londoners—Earl of March enters London—Queen retreats to York—Lancastrians defeated at Ferrybridge and Towton—King and queen retire to Alnwick—Cross the Scotch border—Successful negotiations at the Scotch court—Pecuniary distress of Margaret and Henry—Margaret pawns her gold cup—Resentment of the queen-mother—Selfish policy of Louis XI.—Margaret pawns Calais—Her champion, Pierre de Brezé 572

CHAPTER III.—Margaret sails for England—Her landing opposed—Abandoned by her foreign levy—Escapes in a fishing-boat to Berwick—Obtains aid from the Scotch—Total defeat at Hexham—Queen's flight with her son—Their perils—Encounter with a robber in Hexham-forest—The robber's cave—Margaret retires to Scotland—Dangers by land and sea—Driven on the coast of Flanders—Lands at Ecluse—Her miserable plight—Message to the duke of Burgundy—Her interview with Philippe Pot—Her journey to meet the duke of Burgundy—Travels in a stage-cart—Meets count Charolois—His gift—Dangers on her journey—Arrives at St. Pol—Meeting between the queen and duke—The banquet—Duchess of Bourbon visits her—Their conversations—She returns to Bruges—Honourable reception—Margaret and the noble chronicler—Her miniatures—Punctilios of ceremony—Margaret returns to her father—Education of her son—Reconciliation with Warwick—Marriage of her son—Restoration of Henry VI.—Margaret goes to Paris—Honours paid her there—Returns to England—Earl of Warwick slain at Barnet—Queen takes sanctuary—Battle of Tewkesbury—Her son's death—Queen taken—Incarcerated in the Tower—Her widowhood—Captivity—Ransomed—Embarks for Normandy—Residence at her father's court—Her beauty destroyed by grief—Death of her father—Retires to Damprière—Her death 594

PLEASE DO NOT TURN
DOWN THE LEAVES OF
THIS BOOK.

LIVES OF THE QUEENS OF ENGLAND.



INTRODUCTION.

"THE queen of England," says that learned commentator on the laws and constitution of this country, Blackstone, "is either queen-regnant, queen-consort, or queen-dowager." The first of these is a female sovereign reigning in her own right, and exercising all the functions of regal authority in her own person,—as in the case of her present majesty queen Victoria, who ascended the throne, not only by rightful inheritance and the consent of the people, but also in full accordance with the ancient British custom, noticed by Tacitus in these remarkable words : "*Solent fœminarum ductu bellare, et sexum in imperiis non discernere.*"¹

No other princess has, however, been enthroned in this land under such auspicious circumstances as our present sovereign lady. Mary I. was not recognised without bloodshed. Elizabeth's title was disputed. Mary II. was only a sovereign in name, and as much dependent on the will of her royal husband as a queen-consort. The archbishop of Canterbury forfeited the primacy of England for declining either to assist at her coronation or to take the oaths. The same scruples of conscience withheld the nonjuring bishops and clergy, and many of the nobility and gentry of England, from performing their homage either to her, or to queen Anne. Not one of those four queens, therefore, was crowned with the unanimous consent of her people. But the rapturous acclamations that drowned the pealing of the bells and the thunders of the artillery, at the recognition of our beloved liege lady queen Victoria, in Westminster-abbey, can never be forgotten by those who then heard the voices of a united nation uplifted in assent. I was present, and felt the massy walls of the abbey thrill, from base to tower, with the mighty sound, as the burst of loyal enthusiasm, within that august sanctuary, was echoed by the thronging multitude without, hailing her queen by universal suffrage.

¹ Life of Agricola.

A queen-consort pays no toll, nor is she liable to any amercement in any court. In all cases, however, where the law has not expressly declared her exempted, she is upon the same footing with other subjects, being the king's subject, and not his equal.¹ The royal charters, in ancient times, were frequently signed by the queen as well as by the king; yet this was not in the quality of a coadjutor in the authority by which the grant was made, but evidently in the capacity of a witness only, and on account of her high rank she was doubtless a most important one. In point of security of her life and person, the queen-consort is put on the same footing with the king. It is equally treason (by the statute of the 25th Edward III.) "to compass or imagine the death of our lady the king's companion, as of the king himself."²

"The queen is entitled to some pecuniary advantages, which form her a distinct revenue," continues Blackstone, "one of which, and formerly the most important, was the *aurum reginæ*, or queen-gold, a royal revenue belonging to every queen-consort during her marriage with the king, and due from every person who hath made a voluntary offering or fine to the king amounting to ten marks or upwards; and it is due in the proportion of one-tenth part more, over and above the entire offering or fine made to the king,³ and becomes an actual debt of record to the queen's majesty by the mere recording of the fine. Thus, if an hundred marks of silver be given to the king to take in mortmain, or to have a fair, market, park, chase, or free-warren, then the queen was entitled to ten marks in silver, or rather its equivalent—one mark in gold, by the name of queen-gold, or *aurum reginæ*."

Another very ancient perquisite of the queen-consort, as mentioned by old writers and quoted by the learned roundhead Prynne,⁴ (who after the Restoration became, when keeper of the Tower records, a most zealous stickler for the privileges of the queens of England), is, that on the taking of a whale on the coasts, which is a royal fish, it shall be divided between the king and queen; the head only being the king's property, and the tail the queen's. The reason of this whimsical division, as assigned by our ancient records, was to furnish the queen's wardrobe with whalebone.⁵ Now, this shrewd conjecture of the learned civilian quoted by Blackstone may be considered as sufficient authority by barristers and judges to settle the point, but as it relates to matters on which ladies, generally speaking, possess more critical knowledge than lawyers or antiquaries, we beg to observe that the royal garments-feminine would be poorly provided with the article alluded to if her majesty depended on this contingency alone for her supply, as the peculiar kind of whalebone used in a lady's dress grows in the head of the fish, which, as we have seen, falls to the share of the king.

¹ Blackstone's Rights of Persons.

² Ibid. book 1. chap. iv.

³ Prynne's Aurum Reginæ.

⁴ Aurum Reginæ. Bracton. Britton.

It is well known that the ward of Queenhithe derives its name from the circumstance of vessels unlading at that little harbour paying tolls to the queen of Henry III., Eleanor of Provence. The covetous disposition of this princess induced her to use her influence with the king, in order to compel every vessel freighted with corn, or other valuable lading, to land at her quay, to increase the revenue she drew from this source. It is well for the interests of trade and commerce that our latter queens have been actuated by very different feelings towards the subjects of their royal husbands, than the sordid selfishness practised by this princess.

The queen-regnant, in addition to the cares of government, has to preside over all the arrangements connected with female royalty, which, in the reign of a married king, devolve on the queen-consort; she has, therefore, more to occupy her time and attention than a king, for whom the laws of England expressly provide that he is not to be troubled with his wife's affairs, like an ordinary husband. There have been but three unmarried kings of England,—William Rufus, Edward V., and Edward VI. The two last died at tender ages; but the 'Red King' was a determined bachelor, and his court, unrestrained by the presence and beneficial influence of a queen, was the focus of profaneness and profligacy.

The earliest British queen named in history is Cartismandua, who, though a married woman, appears to have been the sovereign of the Brigantes, reigning in her own right. This was about the year 50.

Boadicea, or Bodva, the warrior queen of the Iceni, succeeded her deceased lord, king Prasutagus, in the regal office. Speed gives us a curious print of one of her coins in his Chronicle. The description of her dress and appearance on the morning of the battle that ended so disastrously for the royal Amazon, and her country, quoted from a Roman historian, is remarkably picturesque:—"After she had dismounted from her chariot, in which she had been driving from rank to rank to encourage her troops, attended by her daughters and her numerous army, she proceeded to a throne of marshy turfs, apparelled, after the fashion of the Romans, in a loose gown of changeable colours, under which she wore a kirtle very thickly plaited, the tresses of her yellow hair hanging to the skirts of her dress. About her neck she wore a chain of gold, and bore a light spear in her hand, being of person tall, and of a comely, cheerful, and modest countenance; and so awhile she stood, pausing to survey her army, and being regarded with reverential silence, she addressed to them an impassioned and eloquent speech on the wrongs of her country." The overthrow and death of this heroic princess took place in the year 60.

There is every reason to suppose that the noble code of laws called the Common Law of England, usually attributed to Alfred, were by

him derived from the laws first established by a British queen. "Martia, surnamed Proba, or the Just, was the widow of Gutline king of the Britons, and was left protectress of the realm during the minority of her son. Perceiving much in the conduct of her subjects which needed reformation, she devised sundry wholesome laws, which the Britons, after her death, named the Martian statutes. Alfred caused the laws of this excellently learned princess, whom all commended for her knowledge of the Greek tongue, to be established in the realm."¹ These laws, embracing trial by jury and the just descent of property, were afterwards collated and still farther improved by Edward the Confessor, and were as pertinaciously demanded from the successors of William the Conqueror by Anglo-Norman, as by Anglo-Saxon subjects.

Rowena, the wily Saxon princess, who, in an evil hour for the unhappy people of the land, became the consort of Vortigern in the year 450, is the next queen whose name occurs in our early annals. Guiniver, the golden-haired queen of Arthur, and her faithless successor and namesake, have been so mixed up with the tales of the romance poets and troubadours, that it would be difficult to verify a single fact connected with either.

Among the queens of the Saxon Heptarchy we hail the nursing mothers of the Christian faith in this island, who firmly established the good work begun by the British lady Claudia, and the empress Helena. The first and most illustrious of these queens was Bertha, the daughter of Cherebert king of Paris, who had the glory of converting her pagan husband, Ethelbert, the king of Kent, to that faith of which she was so bright an ornament, and of planting the first Christian church at Canterbury. Her daughter, Ethelburga, was in like manner the means of inducing her valiant lord, Edwin, king of Northumbria, to embrace the Christian faith. Eanfled, the daughter of this illustrious pair, afterwards the consort of Oswy king of Mercia, was the first individual who received the sacrament of baptism in Northumbria.

In the eighth century, the consorts of the Saxon kings were excluded by a solemn law, from sharing in the honours of royalty, on account of the crimes of the queen Edburga, who had poisoned her husband, Brihtric king of Wessex;² and even when Egbert consolidated the kingdoms of the Heptarchy into an empire, of which he became the Bretwalda, or sovereign, his queen Redburga was not permitted to participate in his coronation. Osburga, the first wife of Ethelwulph, and the mother of the great Alfred, was also debarred from this distinction; but when, on her death, or, as some historians say, her

¹ Holinshed's England, vol. i. p. 298; 4to.

² Although this infamous woman escaped the vengeance of human justice by fleeing to the continent, she was reduced to such abject

destitution, that Asser declares she was seen begging her bread at Pavia, where she died.
—Note to Malmesbury, by Dr Giles.

divorce, Ethelwulph espoused the beautiful and accomplished Judith, the sister of the emperor of the Franks, he violated this law by placing her beside him on the King's-bench, and allowing her a chair of state, and all the other distinctions to which her high birth entitled her. This afforded a pretence to his ungallant subjects for a general revolt, headed by his eldest son Ethelbald, by whom he was deprived of half his dominions. Yet Ethelbald, on his father's death, was so captivated by the charms of the fair cause of his parricidal rebellion, that he outraged all Christian decency by marrying her.

The beautiful and unfortunate Elgiva, the consort of Edwy, has afforded a favourite theme for poetry and romance; but the partisans of her great enemy, Dunstan, have so mystified her history, that it would be no easy matter to give an authentic account of her life. Elfrida, the queen of Edgar, has acquired an infamous celebrity for her remorseless hardness of heart. She did not possess the talents necessary to the accomplishment of her design of seizing the reins of government after she had assassinated her unfortunate step-son at Corfe-castle, and was entirely circumvented in her ambitious views by the political genius of Dunstan, the master-spirit of the age.

Emma of Normandy, the beautiful queen of Ethelred, and afterwards of Canute, plays a conspicuous part in the Saxon annals. There is a Latin treatise, written in her praise by a contemporary historian, entitled, "*Encomium Emmæ*;" but, notwithstanding the florid eulogiums there bestowed upon her, the character of this queen must be considered a doubtful one. The manner in which she sacrificed the interests of her children by her first husband, Ethelred, to those by her second marriage with the Danish conqueror, is little to her credit, and was certainly never forgiven by her son, Edward the Confessor; though that monarch, after he had witnessed the triumphant manner in which she cleared herself of the charges brought against her by her foes, by passing through the ordeal of walking barefoot, unscathed, over nine red-hot plough-shares in Winchester cathedral, threw himself at her feet in a transport of filial penitence, implored her pardon with tears, and submitted to the discipline at the high altar, as a penance for having exposed her to such a test of her innocence.¹

Editha, the consort of Edward the Confessor, was not only an amiable, but a learned lady. The Saxon historian, Ingulphus, himself a scholar at Westminster-monastery, close by Editha's palace, affirms that the queen used frequently to intercept him and his school-fellows in her walks, and ask them questions on their progress in Latin, or, in the words of his translator, "moot points of grammar with them, in which she oftentimes posed them." Sometimes she gave them a piece or two of silver out of her own purse, and sent them to the palace-buttery to

¹ Milner's Winchester.

breakfast. She was skilful in the works of the needle, and with her own hands embroidered the garments of her royal husband, Edward the Confessor. But well as the acquirements and tastes of Editha qualified her to be the companion of that learned prince, he never treated her with the affection of a husband, or ceased to remember that her father had supported the Danish usurpation, and imbrued his hands in the blood of the royal line.

The last Anglo-Saxon queen, Edith, or Alfgith, surnamed the Fair, the faithful consort of the unfortunate Harold, was the sister of the earls Morcar and Edwin, so celebrated in the Saxon annals, and the widow of Griffin, prince of North Wales. The researches of Sir Henry Ellis, and other antiquaries of the present day, lead to the conclusion that the touching instance of woman's tender and devoted love,—the verification of Harold's mangled body among the slain at Hastings, generally attributed to his paramour, belongs rather to queen Edith, his disconsolate widow.

Such is the brief summary of our early British and Anglo-Saxon queens. A far more important position on the progressive tableau of history is occupied by the royal ladies who form the series of our mediæval queens, commencing with Matilda of Flanders, the wife of William the Conqueror, the mother of a mighty line of kings, whose august representative, our liege lady queen Victoria, at present wears the crown of this realm. The spirit of chivalry, born in the poetic South, was not understood by the matter-of-fact Saxons, who regarded woman as a very subordinate link of the social chain. The Normans, having attained to a higher grade of civilization, brought with them the refined notion, inculcated by the *troubadours* and minstrels of France and Italy, that the softer sex was entitled, not only to the protection and tenderness, but to the homage and service of all true knights. The revolution in popular opinion effected by this generous sentiment elevated the character of woman, and rendered the consort of an Anglo-Norman or Plantagenet king a personage of scarcely less importance than her lord.

"There is something," observes an eloquent contemporary, "very peculiar in the view which we obtain of history in tracing the lives of queens-consort. The great world is never entirely shut out: the chariot of state is always to be seen,—the sound of its wheels is ever in our ears. We observe that the thoughts, the feelings, the actions of her whose course we are tracing are at no time entirely disconnected with him by whose hand the reins are guided, and we not unfrequently detect the impulse of her finger by the direction in which it moves." Whether beloved or not, the influence on society of the wife and companion of the sovereign must always be considerable; and for the honour of womankind be it remembered, that it has, generally speaking, been

exerted for worthy purposes. Our queens have been instruments, in the hands of God, for the advancement of civilization, and the exercise of moral and religious influence ; many of them have been brought from foreign climes to plant the flowers and refinements of a more polished state of society in our own, and well have they, for the most part, performed their mission.

William the Conqueror brought the sword and the feudal tenure. He burned villages, and turned populous districts into his hunting-grounds. His consort, Matilda, introduced her Flemish artisans, to teach the useful and profitable manufactures of her native land to a starving population : she brought her architects, and set them to build the stately fanes, which gave employment to another class of her subjects, and encouraged the fine arts,—sculpture, painting, and needle-work. Above all, she bestowed especial regard and honours on the poets and chroniclers of her era.

The consort of Henry I., Matilda of Scotland, familiarly designated by her subjects “ Maude, the gode quene,” not only excelled in personal works of piety and charity, and in refining the morals and manners of the licentious Norman court, but exerted her influence with her royal husband to obtain the precious boon of a charter for the people, which secured to them the privilege of being governed by the righteous laws of Edward the Confessor. Her graceful successor, Adelicia of Louvaine, was, like herself, a patroness of poetry and history, and did much to improve the spirit of the age by affording a bright example of purity of conduct.

Our third Matilda, the consort of Stephen, was the founder of churches and hospitals, and the friend of the poor. It is certain that her virtues, talents and conjugal heroism, did more to preserve the crown to her husband than the swords of the warlike barons who espoused his cause. Eleanora of Aquitaine, though defective in her moral conduct, was a useful queen in her statistic and commercial regulations.

Berengaria, the crusading queen, of whom so much has been said and so little known, before the publication of her biography in the first edition of this work, was only influential through her mild virtues, her learning, and her piety ; but she never held her state in England, which, during the greater portion of her warlike husband’s reign, was suffering from the evils of absenteeism.

Isabella of Angoulême, the consort of John, was one of the few queens who have left no honourable memorials, either on the page of history or the statistics of this country. Neither can any thing be said in praise of Eleanor of Provence, the consort of Henry III., whose selfishness, avarice and reckless extravagance, offended all ranks of the people, especially the citizens of London, and precipitated the realm into the horrors of civil war.

The moral beauty of the character of Eleanor of Castile, the consort of Edward I. her wisdom, prudence and feminine virtues, did much to correct the evils which the follies of her predecessors had caused, and restored the queenly office to its proper estimation. Her amiable successor, Marguerite of France, has left no other records than those of compassion and kindness of heart.

For the honour of female royalty be it noticed, that Isabella of France is the only instance of a queen of England acting in open and shameless violation of the duties of her high vocation, allying herself with traitors and foreign agitators against her king and husband, and staining her name with the combined crimes of treason, adultery, murder and regicide.

It would, indeed, be difficult to parallel, in the history of any other country, so many beautiful examples of conjugal devotedness as are to be found in the annals of the queens of England. Much of the statistic prosperity of England during the long, glorious reign of Edward III., may with justice be attributed to the admirable qualities and popular government of queen Philippa, who had the wisdom to establish, and the good taste to encourage, home manufactures, and never failed to exert her influence in a good cause.

Under the auspices and protection of the blameless Anne of Bohemia, the first queen of Richard II., we hail the first dawn of the principles of the Reformation. The seeds sown under her gentle influence, though apparently crushed in the succeeding reigns, took deeper root than shallow observers suspected, and were destined to spring up in the sixteenth century, and to produce fruits that should extend to the ends of the earth, when, in the fulness of time, the gospel should be preached by English missionaries to nations, of whose existence neither Wickliffe nor his royal patroness, queen Anne of England, in the fourteenth century, were aware. Isabella of Valois, the virgin widow of Richard II., whose eventful history has been, for the first time, recorded in this work, had no scope for queenly influence in this country, being recalled at so tender an age to her own.

Rapin has been betrayed by his vindictive hatred of his own country to assert, that every king of England who married a French princess was unfortunate, and came to an untimely end; but how far this assertion is borne out by facts, let the triumphant career of Edward I., whose second wife and queen was Margaret of France, and of Henry V., the husband of Katherine of Valois, daughter of Charles the VI. of France, answer. The calamitous fate of Henry VI. resulted, not from his marriage with Margaret of Anjou, but was brought about by a concatenation of circumstances, which inevitably prepared the way for the miseries of his reign long before that unfortunate princess was born. The fatal deviation from the regular line of the regal

succession by the usurpation of Henry IV., ensured a civil war when the representative of the elder line found a favourable opportunity for asserting his claims. The French wars, by exhausting the resources of the crown, compelled the ministers of Henry VI. to resort to excessive taxation, and the yet more ruinous expedient of debasing the silver coinage. The military talents of the duke of York, his wealth, and family alliance with the most powerful and popular nobleman in England,—the earl of Warwick, must necessarily have turned the scale against the impoverished sovereign, even if he had been better fitted by nature and education to maintain a contest. The energies of Henry's queen, in truth, supported his cause long after any other person would have regarded it as hopeless. Her courage and firmness delayed a catastrophe which nothing could avert.

It is a curious study to trace the effect of the political changes of those unquiet times on the consorts of Henry VI., Edward IV., and Richard III. Three women more essentially opposite in their characteristics and conduct than the three contemporary, but not hostile, queens of the rival roses,—Margaret of Anjou, Elizabeth Woodville, and Anne Neville, it would be difficult to find. The first, of royal birth and foreign education, schooled in adversity from her cradle, lion-like and indomitable under every vicissitude; the second, the daughter of one English knight and the widow of another, fair, insinuating, full of self-love and world-craft, inflated by sudden elevation, yet vacillating and submitting to become the tool of her enemies in her reverse of fortune; the third, the type of the timid dove, who is transferred without a struggle from the stricken eagle to the grasp of the wily kite. How strangely were the destinies of these three unfortunate queens allied in calamity by the political changes of an era, which is thus briefly defined by the masterly pen of Guizot:—

“The history of England in the fifteenth century consists of two great epochs,—the French wars without, those of the roses within,—the wars abroad and the wars at home. Scarcely was the foreign war terminated when the civil war commenced; long and fatally was it continued while the houses of York and Lancaster contested the throne. When those sanguinary disputes were ended, the high English aristocracy found themselves ruined, decimated, and deprived of the power they had formerly exercised. The associated barons could no longer control the throne when it was ascended by the Tudors; and with Henry VII., in 1485, the era of centralization and the triumph of royalty commenced.” The sovereign and the great body of the people from that time made common cause to prevent the re-establishment of an oligarchy, which had been found equally inimical to the rights of the commons and the dignity of the crown.

Having thus briefly traced the history and influence of the queens of

England from the establishment of the feudal system to its close, commencing with the first Anglo-Norman queen, Matilda, the wife of William the Conqueror, and concluding with Anne of Warwick, the last Plantagenet queen, herself the powerless representative of the mightiest of all the aristocratic dictators of the fifteenth century—the earl of Warwick, surnamed ‘the king-maker,’ we proceed to consider those of the new epoch.

Elizabeth of York, the consort of Henry VII., is the connecting link between the royal houses of Plantagenet and Tudor. According to the legitimate order of succession, she was the rightful sovereign of the realm, and though she condescended to accept the crown-matrimonial, she might have contested the regal garland. She chose the nobler distinction of giving peace to her bleeding country by tacitly investing her victorious champion with her rights, and blending the rival roses of York and Lancaster in her bridal-wreath. It was thus that Henry VII., unimpeded by conjugal rivalry, was enabled to work out his enlightened plans, by breaking down the barriers with which the pride and power of the aristocracy had closed the avenues to preferment against the unprivileged classes. The people, tired of the evils of an oligarchy, looked to the sovereign for protection, and the first stone in the altar of civil and religious liberty was planted on the ruins of feudality. The effects of the new system were so rapid, that in the succeeding reign we behold, to use the forcible language of a popular French writer, “two of Henry the Eighth’s most powerful ministers of state, Wolsey and Cromwell emanating, the one from the butcher’s shambles, the other from the blacksmith’s forge.” Extremes, however, are dangerous, and the despotism which these and other of Henry’s *parvenu* statesmen contrived to establish was, while it lasted, more cruel and oppressive than the tyranny and exclusiveness of the feudal magnates; but it had only an ephemeral existence. The art of printing had become general, and the spirit of freedom was progressing on the wings of knowledge through the land. The emancipation of England from the papal domination followed so immediately, that it appears futile to attribute that mighty change to any other cause. The stormy passions of Henry VIII., the charms and genius of Anne Boleyn, the virtues and eloquence of Katharine Parr, all had, to a certain degree, an effect in hastening the crisis; but the Reformation was cradled in the printing-press, and established by no other instrument.

In detailing the successive historic tragedies of the queens of Henry VIII., we enter upon perilous ground. The lapse of three centuries has done so little to calm the excited feelings caused by the theological disputes with which their names are blended, that it is scarcely possible to state facts impartially without displeasing those readers, whose opinions have been biased by party writers on one side or the other.

Henry VIII. was married six times, and divorced thrice : he beheaded two of his wives, and left two surviving widows,—Anne of Cleves and Katharine Parr. As long as the virtuous influence of his first consort, Katharine of Arragon, lasted, he was a good king, and, if not a good man, the evil passions which rendered the history of the latter years of his life one continuous chronology of crime, were kept within bounds. Four of his queens claimed no higher rank than the daughters of knights : of these, Anne Boleyn and Katharine Howard were cousins-german ; both were married by Henry during the life of a previously wedded consort of royal birth, and were alike doomed by the remorseless tyrant to perish on a scaffold as soon as the ephemeral passion which led to their fatal elevation to a throne had subsided. We know of no tragedy so full of circumstances of painful interest as the lives of those unhappy ladies. It ought never to be forgotten, that it was to the wisdom and moral courage of his last queen, the learned and amiable Katharine Parr, that England is indebted for the preservation of her universities from the general plunder of ecclesiastical property.

The daughters of Henry VIII., Mary and Elizabeth, occupy more important places than any other ladies in this series of royal biographies. They were not only queens but sovereigns, girded with the sword of state and invested with the spurs of knighthood at their respective inaugurations, in token that they represented their male predecessors in the regal office, not merely as legislators, but, if necessary, as military leaders. Mary virtually abdicated her high office when she became, in an evil hour both for herself and her subjects, the consort, and finally the miserable state-tool and victim, of the despotic bigot, Philip the Second of Spain.

Purely English in her descent, both on the father and mother's side for many generations, Elizabeth, notwithstanding the regal blood of the Plantagenets, which she derived from her royal grandmother, Elizabeth of York, was, literally speaking, a daughter of the people, acquainted intimately with the manners, customs, and even the prejudices of those over whom she reigned. This nationality, which never could be acquired by the foreign consorts of the Stuart kings, endeared her to her subjects as the last of a line of native sovereigns, while her great regnal talents rendered her reign prosperous at home and glorious abroad, and caused the sway of female monarchs to be regarded as auspicious for the time to come.

The biography of every queen of England whose name has been involved with the conflicting parties and passions excited by revolutions or differences of religious opinions, has been a task of extreme difficulty. More peculiarly so with regard to the consorts of Charles I., Charles II., and James II., since, for upwards of a century after the revolution of 1688, it was considered a test of loyalty to the reigning family and

attachment to the church of England to revile the sovereigns of the house of Stuart, root and branch, and to consign them, their wives and children, their friends and loyal adherents, to the reprobation of all posterity. Every one who attempted to write history at that period was, to use the metaphor of the witty author of *Eöthen*, "subjected to the immutable law, which compels a man with a pen in his hand to be uttering now and then some sentiment not his own, as though, like a French peasant under the old *régime*, he were bound to perform a certain amount of work on the public highways." Happily the necessity, if it ever existed, of warping the web of truth to fit the exigencies of a political crisis, exists no longer. The title of the present illustrious occupant of the throne of Great Britain to the crown she wears is founded on the soundest principles, both of constitutional freedom of choice in the people, and legitimate descent from the ancient monarchs of the realm. The tombs of the last princes of the male line of the royal house of Stuart were erected at the expense of George IV. That generous prince set a noble example of liberal feeling in the sympathy which he was the first to accord to that unfortunate family. He did more; he checked the hackneyed system of popular falsehood, by authorizing the publication of a portion of the Stuart papers, and employing his librarian and historiographer to arrange the life of James II. from his journals and correspondence.

The consort of James II., Mary Beatrice of Modena, played an important rather than a conspicuous part in the historic drama of the stirring times in which her lot was cast. The tender age at which she was reluctantly torn from a convent to become the wife of a prince whose years nearly trebled her own, and the feminine tone of her mind, deterred her from interfering in affairs of state during the sixteen years of her residence in England. The ascetic habits and premature superannuation of her unfortunate consort compelled her, for the sake of her son, to emerge at length from the sanctuary of the domestic altar to enter upon the stormy arena of public life, when she became, and continued for many years after, the rallying point of the Jacobites. All the plots and secret correspondence of that party were carried on under her auspices. There are epochs in her life when she comes before us in her beauty, her misfortunes, her conjugal tenderness, and passionate maternity, like one of the distressed queens of Greek tragedy struggling against the decrees of adverse destiny. The slight mention of her that appears on the surface of English history has been penned by chroniclers of a different spirit from "Griffith,"—men whose hearts were either hardened by strong political and polemic animosities, or who, as a matter of business or expediency, did their utmost to defame her, because she was the wife of James II. and the mother of his unfortunate son. The bitterest of her unprovoked enemies, Burnet, was reduced to the paltry expedients of vituperation and calumny in the attacks he con-

stantly made on her. The first, like swearing, is only an imbecile abuse of words, and the last vanishes before the slightest examination. History is happily written on different principles in the present age. "We have now," says Guizot, "to control our assertions by the facts;" in plain English, to say nothing either in the way of praise or censure which cannot be substantiated by sound evidence.

It was the personal influence of Mary Beatrice with Louis XIV., the dauphin, and the duke of Burgundy, that led to the infraction of the peace of Ryswick by the Courts of France and Spain, through their recognition of her son's claims to an empty title: to please her, Louis XIV. allowed the dependent on his bounty to be proclaimed at the gates of one of his own royal palaces as James III., king not only of Great Britain and Ireland, but even of France, and to quarter the fleur-de-lis unmolested. The situation of the royal widow and her son, when abandoned by their protector, Louis XIV., at the peace of Utrecht, closely resembles that of Constance of Bretagne and her son Arthur after the recognition of the title of king John by their allies; but Mary Beatrice exhibits none of the fierce maternity attributed by Shakspeare to the mother of the rejected claimant of the English throne: her feelings were subdued by a long acquaintance with adversity and the fever of disappointed hope.

Our Dutch king, William III., is supposed to have intimated his contempt for the fair sex in general, and his jealousy of his illustrious consort's superior title in particular, when it was proposed to confer the sovereignty of Great Britain on her, by his coarse declaration that "he would not hold the crown by apron-strings." But the fact was, that Mary, though nearer in blood to the regal succession, had no more right to the crown than himself as the law then stood; and if the order of legitimacy were to be violated by setting aside the male heir, William saw no reason why it should be done in Mary's favour rather than his own. The conventional assembly adjusted this delicate point by deciding that the prince and princess of Orange should reign as joint sovereigns, to which William outwardly consented; yet the household-books furnish abundant proofs that, as far as he durst, he deprived his queen of the dignity which the will of the people had conferred upon her. The Lord Chamberlain's warrants were for a considerable time issued in his name singly, and dated in the first or second years "of his," instead of "their majesties' reign." It is also observable, that he never allowed her to participate with himself in the ceremonial of opening or proroguing parliament, on which occasions he occupied the throne *solus*, and arrogated exclusively to himself the regal office of sceptering or rejecting bills, which ought to have been submitted to her at the same time.

Mary, though naturally ambitious and fond of pageantry, endured

these ungallant curtailments of her royal prerogatives and personal dignity with a submission, which her foreign spouse could never have ventured to exact from her if she had succeeded to the Britannic empire by the demise of the crown. In that case, William of Orange would have been indebted to her favour for the empty title of king, and such ceremonial honours and dignity as it might have pleased her to confer on him. Circumstances were, however, widely different. William's Dutch troops had rudely expelled Mary's royal father from his palace, forced him to vacate his regal office by driving him from the seat of government, and causing him to flee for refuge to a foreign land. William remaining thus undisputed master of the metropolis and exchequer, considered that Mary was indebted to him, not he to her, for a crown ; and although the suffrages of the people invested her with the dignity of queen-regnant, she was, in all things, as subservient to his authority as if she had been merely a queen-consort. The conjugal apron-strings were, nevertheless, William's strongest hold on the crown of England. Nothing but Mary's popular and able government at home could have enabled him to overcome the difficulties of his position during the revolt of Ireland and the insurrection in Scotland.

The mild sway of Anne, her tenderness of the lives of her subjects, her munificent charities to the poor, her royal bounties to that meritorious portion of the church, the indigent working clergy, caused her to be regarded, while living, with loyal affection by the great body of her subjects, and endeared her memory to succeeding generations. Anne is the last queen of Great Britain of whom a personal history can be written, till Time, the great mother of truth, shall raise the curtain of a recent but doubtful past, and by the publication of letters and domestic state-papers now inaccessible, enable those who may undertake the biographies of the **queens of the reigning family** to perform their task with fidelity.

MATILDA OF FLANDERS,

QUEEN OF WILLIAM THE CONQUEROR.

CHAPTER I.

MATILDA, the wife of William the Conqueror, was the first consort of a king of England who was called *regina*.¹ This was an innovation in the ancient customs of the land, for the Saxons simply styled the wife of the king 'the lady his companion,'² and to them it was displeasing to hear the Normans speak of Matilda as *la Royme*, as if she were a female sovereign, reigning in her own right;—so distinct in those days was the meaning attached in this country to the lofty title of *reine*, or *regina*, from that of queen, which, though at present the highest female title of honour used in England, then only signified companion. The people of the land murmured among themselves at this unprecedented assumption of dignity in the wife of their Norman sovereign; yet the 'strange woman,' as they called Matilda, could boast of royal Saxon blood. She was, in fact, the direct descendant of the best and noblest of their monarchs, Alfred, through the marriage of his daughter Elstrith with Baldwin II. of Flanders, whose son, Arnold the Great, was the immediate ancestor of Matilda,—an interesting circumstance, which history passes over in silence. Few of the queens of England, indeed, can claim a more illustrious descent than this princess. Her father, Baldwin V., surnamed the Gentle, Earl of Flanders, was the son of Baldwin IV. by Eleanora, daughter of duke Richard II. of Normandy; and her mother was Adelais, daughter of Robert, king of France, and sister to Henry, the reigning sovereign of that country. She was nearly related to the emperor of Germany, and to most of the royal families in

¹ Asser, in his life of Alfred, whose contemporary and friend he was, and who must therefore be regarded as a very important authority, expressly states that the Anglo-Saxons did not "suffer the queen to sit near the king, nor to be called *regina*, but merely the king's wife:" that is, *quen*, or companion. It ought to be noted, that the Saxon historians writing in Latin, have used the word *regina*, to avoid introducing a barbarous word into the Latin text.

² *Hlafdige se cwene* is the Saxon phrase. *Hlafdige*, or lady, means the "giver of bread;" *cwene*, or *quen*, was anciently used as a term of equality, indiscriminately applied to both sexes. In the old Norman chronicles and poems, instead of the duke of Normandy and his peers, the phrase used is "the duke of Normandy and his *quena*." "The word 'quen,' signifying companion," says Rapin, vol. i. p. 148, "was common both to men and women."

Europe. "In any one," says William of Poitou, "inquires who was Matilda's mother, he will learn that she was the daughter of Robert, king of Gaul, the son and the nephew of kings from royal kings descended."

Matilda was born about the year 1031, and was very carefully educated. She was possessed of fine natural talents, and was no less celebrated for her learning than for her great beauty. William of Malmesbury, when speaking of this princess, says, "She was a singular mirror of prudence in our days, and the perfection of virtue." Among her other acquirements, Matilda was particularly famed for her skill in ornamental needlework, which, in that age, was considered one of the most important and desirable accomplishments which princesses and ladies of high rank could possess. "The proficiency of the four sisters of king Athelstane in spinning, weaving, and embroidery, procured those royal spinsters," we are told, "the addresses of the greatest princes in Europe." The fame of this excellent stitchery is, however, all the memorial that remains of the industry of Matilda's Saxon cousins; but her own great work, the Bayeux tapestry, is still in existence, and, beyond all competition, the most wonderful achievement, in the gentle craft of needlework, that ever was executed by fair and royal hands. But of this we shall have to speak more fully, in its proper place, as a pictorial chronicle of the conquest of England.

The Earl of Flanders, Matilda's father, was a rich, powerful, and politic prince, equally skilled in the arts of war and of peace. It was to him that the town of Lille, which he rebuilt and greatly beautified, owed its subsequent greatness; and the home manufactures of his native country, through his judicious encouragement, became a source of wealth and prosperity to Flanders. His family connexion with the king of France, his *suzerain* and ally, and his intimate relationship to most of the royal houses in Europe, rendered his alliance very desirable to several of the reigning princes, his neighbours, who became suitors for the hand of his daughter. Matilda had, however, bestowed her first affections on a young Saxon nobleman named Brihtric, and surnamed, from the fairness of his complexion, 'Meaw,' or 'Snow,' who had visited her father's court on a mission from Edward the Confessor. Brihtric Meaw was the son of Algar, lord of the honour of Gloucester, and was possessed of so fair a heritage in that fruitful part of England, that he would not have been esteemed an unsuitable consort for the Flemish princess if their love had been reciprocal, but, for some reason, he was insensible to her regard.¹ The dark sequel of this tale, which will be related in its proper place, is one of those strange facts which occasionally tinge the page of history with the colours of romance.

¹ Chronicle of Tewkesbury. Cotton. MSS. Cleopatra, c. 111, 220. Leland's Collectanea, vol. i. p. 78. Monasticon, 111, 59. Palgrave's

Rise and Progress, vol. i. p. 294. Thierry's Anglo-Normans, vol. i. p. 335.

Whilst Matilda was wasting her morning bloom of life in unrequited love for the youthful envoy, whose affection was probably already pledged to one of his fair countrywomen, the report of her charms and noble qualities attracted the attention of the most accomplished sovereign in Christendom. "Duke William of Normandy," says William of Jumièges, "having learned that Baldwin, earl of Flanders, had a daughter named Matilda, very beautiful in person and of a generous disposition, sent deputies, by the advice of his peers, to ask her of her father in marriage, who gladly consented, and gave her a large portion." Wace, also, tells us "that Matilda was very fair and graceful, and that her father gave her joyfully to duke William, with large store of wealth and very rich *appareilement*." Seven long years, however, of stormy debate intervened before the courtship of William of Normandy was brought to this happy conclusion. Contemporary chroniclers, indeed, afford us reason to suspect, that the subsequent conquest of England proved a less difficult achievement to the valiant duke than the wooing and winning of Matilda of Flanders. He had to contend against the opposition of the courts of France and Burgundy, the intrigues of his rival kinsmen of the race of Rollo, the objections of the church, and, worse than all, the reluctance and disdain of the lady. The chronicler Ingerius declares, "that William was so infuriated by the scorn with which Matilda treated him, that he waylaid her in the streets of Bruges, as she was returning with her ladies from mass, beat her, rolled her in the mud, spoiled her rich array, and then rode off at full speed." This Teutonic mode of courtship, according to the above authority, brought the matter to a favourable crisis; for Matilda, being convinced of the strength of William's passion by the violence of his behaviour, or afraid of encountering a second beating, consented to become his wife.¹

A different version of this strange episode in a royal wooing is given by Baudoin d'Avesnes, who shows that the provocation which duke William had received from his fair cousin was not merely a rejection of his matrimonial overtures, but an insulting allusion to the defect in his birth. According to this writer, the earl of Flanders received the Norman envoys who came to treat for a marriage between their duke and Matilda very courteously, and expressed great satisfaction at the proposed alliance; but when he spake of it to the damsel his daughter, she replied, with infinite disdain, that "she would not have a bastard for her husband."

The earl softened the coarse terms in which Matilda had signified her rejection of Duke William, and excused her as well as he could to the Norman deputies. Her passion for Brihtric Meaw had, probably, more to do with her rude refusal of William, than the defect in his birth on which she grounded her objection. It was not long, however, before

¹ Chronicle of Inger, likewise called Ingerius.

William was informed of what Matilda had really said. He was peculiarly sensitive on the painful subject of his illegitimacy, and no one had ever taunted him with it unpunished. Neither the high rank nor the soft sex of the fair offender availed to protect her from his vengeance. In a transport of fury he mounted his horse, and, attended by only a few of his people, rode privately to Lille, where the court of Flanders then was. He alighted at the Palace gates, entered the hall of presence alone, passed boldly through it, strode unquestioned through the state apartments of the earl of Flanders, and burst into the countess's chamber, where he found the damsel her daughter, whom he seized by her long tresses, and as she, of course, struggled to escape from his ruffian grasp, dragged her by them about the chamber, struck her repeatedly, and flung her on the ground at his feet. After the perpetration of these outrages, he made his way back to the spot where his squire held his horse in readiness, sprang to the saddle, and setting spurs to the good steed, distanced all pursuit. Although the Norman, French, and Flemish chroniclers differ as to the place where William the Conqueror perpetrated this rude personal assault on his fair cousin, and relate the manner of it with some few variations, they all agree as to the fact that he felled her to the ground by the violence of his blows. This incident is quoted by one of the most learned of modern historians, Michelet, in his *History of France*, and authenticated by the author of *L'Art de Vérifier les Dates*, from a curious contemporary MS. Vatout also records the circumstance in his *History of the Château d'Eu*: and refers the antiquary for further particulars to an ancient MS. chronicle in the Ecclesiastical library at St. Germain's-au-Près, Paris.

When earl Baldwin heard of the unprecedented affront that had been offered to his daughter, he was highly incensed, made a hostile attack on duke William's territories to avenge it, did a great deal of damage, and suffered not a little in return, for William was never slack at retaliation. After a long series of aggressive warfare in this unprofitable quarrel, they found it expedient to enter into pacific negotiation, by the advice of all their wise and prudent counsellors. A meeting took place between the belligerent parties for the ratification of the treaty, when, to the surprise of every one, duke William renewed his suit for Matilda's hand; and, to the still greater astonishment of all her friends, when the proposal was named to the said damsel, she replied, that "it pleased her well."¹ Her father, who had not anticipated so favourable an answer, was much delighted at forming a bond of strong family alliance with his formidable neighbour, lost no time in concluding the matrimonial treaty, and gave his daughter, as before said, a large portion in lands and money, with abundance of jewels and rich array.² The castle of Augi,—no other, gentle reader, than the château d'Eu, so

Baudoin d'Avesnes.

² Ibid. Vatout's *History of Eu*.

much celebrated in our own times as the family residence of Louis Philippe of Orleans, late king of the French, and his queen,—was the place appointed for the solemnization of the marriage of Matilda of Flanders and William of Normandy. This castle was conveniently situated for the purpose, being at the extreme frontier of William's territories. He had recently taken it, after a fierce siege, from a party of his rebellious nobles, headed by Busac, the half-brother of Robert, count of Eu; which Busac, being the grandson in the female line of Richard I., duke of Normandy, had set up a rival claim to the duchy in the year 1047. His claims were supported by Henry king of France, and the disaffected portion of William's baronage. Robert, count of Eu, had not taken an active part in the rebellion, but had allowed his castle to be made the stronghold of Busac and his confederates.¹

After the reduction of this fortress by the victorious duke in the year 1049, the count of Eu remained as a sort of state prisoner in his own castle, which was garrisoned by duke William's soldiers. Such was the position of affairs at Château d'Eu when the two courts of Normandy and Flanders met there, in the year 1050, for the celebration of the marriage between William and Matilda. The duke arrived first, attended by his valiant *quens*, to await the advent of the haughty bride, whom he had wooed after so strange a fashion. Matilda came, accompanied by both her parents and a splendid train of nobles and ladies; and there, in the cathedral church of Nôtre Dame d'Eu, the spousal rites were solemnized, in the presence of both courts.

In the midst of the rejoicings at the nuptial feast, the earl of Flanders, waxing merry, asked his daughter, laughingly, how it happened that she had so easily been brought to consent at last to a marriage, which she had so scornfully refused in the first instance. "Because," replied Matilda, pleasantly, "I did not know the duke so well then as I do now; for," continued she, "he must be a man of great courage and high daring who could venture to come and beat me in my own father's palace."² How the valiant duke ever ventured into her presence again, after such a manifestation of his bold spirit, we are at a loss to imagine; and that she should like him the better for his ruffianly behaviour appears more unaccountable still, affording a curious instance of the rude manners of the period and of the inconsistencies of the human heart.

The lively answer of the young duchess was of course much applauded by her new lord and his vassal peers. The disgraced count of Eu, seeing his victorious suzerain in such high good humour, took the opportunity of the general rejoicings to sue for pardon; and that so successfully, that William restored her lands and castle, and, becoming thoroughly

¹ Benoit's Normandy. Vatout's History of Eu.

² Baudoin d'Avesnes.

reconciled to him, from that day took him into favour, of which he never had the slightest cause to repent ; for, bound to him by gratitude, Robert of Eu became thenceforth one of his most attached adherents, and greatly assisted by his valour and good counsel in the conquest of England.¹ The presence of so many illustrious personages, the splendour of the nuptial fêtes, and the quantity of money which the influx of the numerous strangers who flocked to Eu to witness this remarkable marriage caused to be circulated in that town, made the inhabitants forget their late sufferings during the siege.

The royal mantle, garnished with jewels, in which Matilda was arrayed on the day of her espousals, and also that worn by her mighty lord on the same occasion, together with his helmet, were long preserved in the treasury of the cathedral of Bayeux. Lancelot mentions an inventory of precious effects belonging to the church, dated 1476, in which these costly bridal garments are enumerated.

From Eu, William conducted his newly-wedded duchess to Rouen, where she made her public entry as his bride, still accompanied by her parents, who were invited by William to participate in the rejoicings and festivities with which his marriage was commemorated in the capital of his dominions. The earl and countess of Flanders remained with the duke and duchess several days, to witness the pageantry and all the popular indications of satisfaction with which Matilda was received.² When all the fêtes were ended, the earl and countess of Flanders took leave of their daughter, and returned to their own country. William consoled Matilda for the loss of their society by taking her on a royal progress through Normandy, to show her the principal towns, and to make her acquainted with the manners and customs of the mighty people over whose court she was to preside. He was, of course, proud of displaying a consort of such surpassing beauty and majestic grace to his subjects. Everywhere she came she was received with demonstrations of delight and admiration. It was more than half a century since there had been a duchess of Normandy ; and as bachelor sovereigns seldom conduce to the domestic happiness or prosperity of a nation, all ranks of people were prepared to welcome Matilda with joy.

Nothing could be more perilous than the position of William's affairs at the period of his marriage with Matilda of Flanders. He was menaced on every side by powerful neighbours, who were eager to appropriate and parcel out the fertile fields of Normandy to the enlargement of their respective borders ; and at the same time a formidable party was arraying itself against him within his own dominions in favour of Guy of Burgundy, the eldest son of his aunt Alice. This prince was the nearest legitimate male descendant of duke Richard the Second of

¹ Vatout's History of Eu.

² William of Jumièges. Benoit's Normandy.

Normandy; and as the direct line had failed with duke Robert, the late sovereign, he was, notwithstanding the operation of the Salic law, considered by many to possess a better right to the dukedom than the son of duke Robert by Arlotta, the skinner's daughter of Falaise. The particulars of William's birth are too well known to require recapitulation; but it is proper to notice that there are historians who maintain that Arlotta was the wife of duke Robert, though not of rank or breeding fit to be acknowledged as his duchess.¹ This we are disposed to regard as a mere paradox, since William, who would have been only too happy to avail himself of the plea of even a contract or promise of marriage between his parents, in order to strengthen his defective title by a pretence of legitimacy, never made any such assertion. On the contrary, not only before his victorious sword had purchased for him a more honourable surname, but even afterwards, he submitted to the use of that derived from his mother's shame; and in the charter of the lands which he bestowed on his son-in-law, Alan, duke of Bretagne, in Yorkshire, he subscribed himself "William, surnamed Bastardus."² It is a general opinion that Arlotta was married to Herlewin of Conteville during the lifetime of duke Robert, and that this circumstance prevented any possibility of William attempting to assert that he was the legitimate offspring of his royal sire.³

William was, from the very moment of his birth, regarded as a child of the most singular promise. The manful grasp with which his baby hand detained the rushes of which he had "*taken seizin*"⁴ the moment after his entrance into life, when, in consequence of the danger of his mother, he was permitted to lie unheeded on the floor of his chamber where he first saw the light,⁵ gave occasion to the oracular gossips in attendance on Arlotta to predict "that the child would become a mighty man, ready to acquire everything within his reach; and that which he acquired, he would with a strong hand steadfastly maintain against all challengers."—"When William was a year old, he was introduced into the presence of his father, duke Robert, who seeing what a goodly and fair child he was, and how closely he resembled the royal line of Normandy, embraced him, acknowledged him to be his son,⁶ and caused him to receive princely nurture in his own palace. When William was five years old,

¹ Wm. of Malmesbury. Ing. ² Leland.

³ After the accession of Henry II. to the throne, a Saxon pedigree was ingeniously invented for Arlotta, which is too great a curiosity to be omitted. "Edmund Ironside," says the Saxon genealogist, "had two sons, Edwin and Edward, and an only daughter, whose name does not appear in history because of her bad conduct, seeing that she formed a most imprudent alliance with the king's skinner. The king, in his anger, banished the skinner from England, together with his daughter. They both went to Normandy where they lived on

public charity, and had successively three daughters. Having one day come to Falaise to beg at duke Richard's door, the duke, struck with the beauty of the woman and her children, asked who she was? 'I am an Englishwoman,' she said, 'and of the royal blood.' The duke, on this answer, treated her with honour, took the skinner into his service, and had one of his daughters brought up in the palace. She was Arlotta or *Charlotte*, the mother of the Conqueror."—Thierry.

⁴ The feudal term for taking possession.

⁵ Wm. of Malmes.

a battalion of boys, of his own age, was placed under his command, with whom he practised the military exercise according to the custom of those days. Over these infant followers William assumed the authority of a sovereign in miniature: and if dissensions arose among them, they always referred to his decision, and his judgments are said to have been remarkable for their acuteness and equity."¹ Thus early in life did the mighty Norman learn to enact the character of a leader and legislator. Nature had, indeed, eminently fitted him for the lofty station which he was afterwards destined to fill; and his powerful talents were strengthened and improved by an education such as few princes in that rude, unlettered age were so fortunate as to receive. At the age of eight years he was able to read and explain Cæsar's Commentaries.²

The beauty and early promise of this boy caused him to be regarded with peculiar interest by the Normans; but as a child of illegitimate birth, William possessed no legal claim to the succession. His title was simply founded on the appointment of the duke, his father. That prince, having no other issue, before he set out on his mysterious pilgrimage for the Holy Land, called the peers of Normandy together, in the Hôtel de Ville, and required them to swear fealty to the young William as his successor. When the princely boy, then a child of seven years old, was brought in to receive the homage of the assembled nobles, duke Robert took him in his arms, and, after kissing and passionately embracing him, he presented him to his valiant "*quens*," as their future sovereign, with this remark, "He is little, but he will grow."³ The peers of Normandy having consented to recognise William,⁴ the duke appointed his vassal kinsman and friend, Alan duke of Bretagne, seneschal of his dominions in his absence. Then he carried his son to Paris, and delivered him into the hands of the king of France, his *suzerain*, or paramount lord; and having received his promise of protecting and cherishing the boy with a loving care, he made William perform the same homage to that monarch as if he were already the reigning duke of Normandy, by which he secured his sovereign's recognition of his son's title to the ducal crown. After these arrangements, duke Robert departed on that expedition, from which he never again returned to his own dominions.⁵

¹ Henderson's Life of the Conqueror.

² According to William of Malmesbury, the importance which the Conqueror placed on mental culture was great. Throughout life he was used to say, "that an illiterate king was a crowned ass."

³ "Il est petit, mais il croîtera."—Wace.

⁴ Benoit's Normandy.

⁵ It was whispered by some, that duke Robert undertook his pilgrimage to Jerusalem as an expiatory penance for the death of his elder brother and sovereign duke Richard I., which he was suspected of having hastened; while others believed he was impelled from motives of piety alone to pay his

vows at the holy grave, according to a new but prevailing spirit of misdirected devotion, which manifested itself among the princes and nobles of that age of superstition and romance. Whether duke Robert ever reached the place of his destination is uncertain. The last authentic tidings respecting him that reached his capital were brought by Piron, a returned pilgrim from the Holy Land, who reported that he met his lord, the Duke of Normandy, on his way to the holy city, borne in a litter on the shoulders of four stout Saracens, being then too ill to proceed on his journey on foot. When the royal pilgrim recognized his vassal, he exclaimed, with

At the court of his sovereign, Henry I. of France, the uncle of his future spouse, Matilda of Flanders, William completed his education, and learned the science of diplomacy, secure from all the factions and intrigues with which Normandy was convulsed. The states, true to the fealty they had sworn to the son of their deceased lord, sent ambassadors to Paris to claim their young duke.¹ The king of France resigned him to the deputies, but soon after invaded his dominions. Raoul de Grace and Roger de Beaumont stoutly maintained the cause of their young duke, both in the court and in the camp. They were his tutors in the art of war, and through their assistance and advice he was enabled to maintain the dignity of a sovereign and military chief, at a period of life when princes are generally occupied in childish amusements or the pleasures of the chase.²

One by one, almost every Norman noble who could boast any portion of the blood of Rollo, the founder of the ducal line of Normandy, was incited by king Henry of France to stir up an insurrection as a rival claimant of the crown. On one occasion, William would in all probability have fallen a victim to the plot which his cousin Guy of Burgundy had laid to surprise him, when he was on a hunting excursion, and was to pass the night without any of his military retinue at the castle of Valognes; but from this peril he was preserved by the fidelity of his fool, who, happening to overhear the conspirators arranging their plan, travelled all night at full speed to give the duke notice of his danger; and finding means to make an entrance into the castle at four o'clock in the morning, he struck violently with the handle of his whip at the chamber-door of his sleeping sovereign, and shouted, "Levez, levez, seigneur!" till he succeeded in rousing him. So close at hand, however, were Guy of Burgundy and his confederates, that it was only by mounting his swiftest steed, half-dressed, and riding with fiery speed for many hours, that William could effect his escape from his pursuers; and even then he must have fallen into their hands, if he had not encountered a gentleman on the road with whom he changed horses, his own being thoroughly spent. Guy of Burgundy was afterwards taken prisoner by the young duke; but having been on affectionate terms with him in his childhood, he generously forgave him all the trouble he had occasioned him, and his many attempts against his life.³

The king of France was preparing to invade Normandy again, but great animation, "Tell my vallant peers that you have seen your sovereign carried towards heaven on the backs of fiends."—William of Malmesbury. Whether this uncourteous allusion to the spiritual darkness of his pagan bearers was sufficiently intelligible to them to have the effect of provoking them into shortening his journey thither, we know not. Some chroniclers, indeed, assert that he died at Nicea, in Bithynia, on his return; but there is a

strange uncertainty connected with his fate, and it appears that the Norman nobles long expected his return,—an expectation that was probably most favourable to the cause of his youthful successor, whose title might otherwise have been more effectually disputed by the heirs of the sisters and uncles of duke Robert.

¹ Benoit's Normandy. Wm. of Malmesbury. Wace.

² Benoit's Normandy. Wm. of Malmesbury. Wace.

³ Ibid. Mezerau. Wace.

William's fortunate marriage with Matilda, a legitimate descendant of the royal line, strengthened his defective title to the throne of Normandy, and gained for him a powerful ally in the person of his father-in-law, the earl of Flanders. The death of Henry averted the storm that still loomed over Normandy; and the young Philip of France, his son and successor, having been left during his minority under the guardianship of his aunt's husband, Baldwin of Flanders, Matilda's father, William found himself entirely relieved from all present fears of hostility on the part of France.¹ Scarcely, however, was he preparing himself to enjoy the happiness of wedded life, when a fresh cause of annoyance arose.

Mauger, the archbishop of Rouen, an illegitimate uncle of the young duke, who had taken great pains to prevent his marriage with Matilda of Flanders, finding all the obstacles which he had raised against it were unavailing, proceeded to pronounce sentence of excommunication against the newly wedded pair, under the plea of its being a marriage within the forbidden degrees of consanguinity.² William indignantly appealed to the pope against this sentence, who, on the parties submitting to the usual fines, nullified the archbishop's ecclesiastical censures, and granted the dispensation for the marriage, on condition of the young duke and duchess each building and endowing an abbey at Caen, and an hospital for the blind. Lanfranc, afterwards the celebrated archbishop of Canterbury, but at that time an obscure individual, to whom William had extended his protection and patronage, was entrusted with this negotiation, which he conducted with such ability as to secure to himself the favour and confidence both of William and Matilda, by whom he was in after years advanced to the office of tutor to their royal offspring, and finally to the highest ecclesiastical rank and power.

William and Matilda submitted to the conditions on which the dispensation for their marriage had been granted, by founding the sister abbeys of St. Stephen and the Holy Trinity. That of St. Stephen was built and endowed by William for a fraternity of monks, of which he made Lanfranc abbot. Matilda founded and endowed that of the Holy Trinity, for nuns.³ William, highly exasperated at the archbishop's attempt to separate him from his bride, retaliated upon him by calling a convocation of all the bishops of Normandy, at Lisieux, before whom he caused Mauger to be accused of several crimes and misdemeanours, especially of selling consecrated chalices, and other articles of church plate, to supply his luxury.⁴ Mauger, being convicted of these malpractices, was deposed from his office. The disgrace of the archbishop has been attributed to the resentment Matilda conceived against him on account of his impertinent attempt to invalidate her marriage.

Tranquillity being established, William proceeded to build a royal

¹ St. Marthe. Wace. ² Benoit's Normandy. Matilda was the grand-daughter of Eleanor of Normandy. William's aunt.

³ Mauntfaucou.

⁴ Wm. of Malmesbury.

palace within the precincts of St. Stephen's abbey, for his own residence and that of his young duchess. The great hall, or council-chamber, of this palace was one of the most magnificent apartments at that time in Europe.

Matilda, inheriting from her father, Baldwin of Lille, a taste for architecture, took great delight in the progress of these stately buildings; and her foundations are among the most splendid relics of Norman grandeur. She was a munificent patroness of the arts, and afforded great encouragement to men of learning, co-operating with her husband most actively in all his paternal plans for the advancement of trade, the extension of commerce, and the general happiness of the people committed to their charge. Normandy, so long torn with contending factions, and impoverished with foreign warfare, began to taste the blessings of repose; and, under the wise government of her energetic sovereign, soon experienced the good effects of his enlightened policy. At his own expense, William built the first pier that ever was constructed, at Cherbourg. He superintended the building and organization of fleets, traced out commodious harbours for his ships, rendered Normandy a very considerable maritime power, and finally the mistress of the Channel.

The domestic happiness which William enjoyed with his beautiful duchess appears to have been very great. All historians have agreed that they were a most attached pair, and that, whatever might have been the previous state of Matilda's affections, they were unalterably and faithfully fixed upon him from the hour she became his wife; and with reason, for William was the most devoted of husbands, and always allowed her to take the ascendant in the matrimonial scale. The confidence he reposed in her was unbounded, and very shortly after their marriage he intrusted the reins of government to her care, when he crossed over to England to pay a visit to his friend and kinsman, Edward the Confessor.

By his marriage with Matilda, William had added a nearer tie of relationship to the English sovereign; he was, perhaps, willing to remind the childless monarch of that circumstance, and to recall to his memory the hospitality he had received, both at the Flemish and the Norman courts, during the period of his adversity. Edward "received him very honourably, and presented him with hawks and hounds, and many other fair and goodly gifts," says Wace, "as tokens of his love."

In pursuing the broad stream of history, how few writers take the trouble of tracing the under-currents by which the tide of events is influenced! The marriage of Tostig, the son of Godwin, with Judith of Flanders, the sister of Matilda, wife of William of Normandy, was one great cause of the treacherous and unnatural conduct, on his part, which decided the fate of Harold, and transferred the crown of England to the

Norman line. During the period of their exile from England, Godwin and his family sought refuge at the court of the earl of Flanders, Tostig's father-in-law, from whom they received friendly and hospitable entertainment, and were treated by the duke and duchess of Normandy with all the marks of friendship that might reasonably be expected, in consideration of the family connexion to which we have alluded.¹

Nine months after her marriage, Matilda gave birth to a son, whom William named Robert, after his father, thinking that the name of a prince whose memory was dear to Normandy, would insure the popularity of his heir.² The happiness of the royal pair was greatly increased by this event. They were at that period reckoned the handsomest and most tenderly united couple in Europe. The fine natural talents of both had been improved by a degree of mental cultivation very unusual in that age; there was a similarity in their tastes and pursuits which rendered their companionship delightful to each other in private hours, and gave to all their public acts that graceful unanimity which could not fail of producing the happiest effects on the minds of their subjects. The birth of Robert was followed in quick succession by that of Richard, William-Rufus, Cecilia, Agatha, Constance, Adela, and Gundred. During several years of peace and national prosperity, Matilda and her husband employed themselves in superintending the education of their lovely and numerous family.

No very remarkable event occurs in the records of Matilda's court, till the arrival of Harold in the year 1065. Harold, having undertaken a voyage to Normandy in an open fishing boat, was driven by stress of weather into the river Maye, in the territories of the earl of Ponthieu, by whom, with the intention of extorting a large ransom, he was seized, and immured in the dungeons of Beaurain. The duke of Normandy demanded the illustrious captive, and the earl of Ponthieu, understanding that Harold's brother was husband to the duchess of Normandy's sister, thought it most prudent to resign his prey to the family connexion by whom it was claimed. Harold was treated with apparent friendship by William and Matilda. They even offered to bestow one of their daughters upon him in marriage,—a young lady whose age did not exceed seven years; and to her Harold permitted himself to be affianced, though without any intention of keeping his plight.

William then confided to his reluctant guest the tale of his own adoption by Edward the Confessor, for his successor, and proceeded to extort from him a solemn oath to render him all the assistance in his power, in furtherance of his designs on the crown of England.¹ Harold, on his return to England, came to an open rupture with his brother Tostig. Probably he had, during his late visit to Normandy, discovered how entirely the latter was in the interest of his Flemish wife's con-

¹ Wace. Ing. Eadmer.² Wm. of Malms. Wace.³ Wace. Wm. of Malms. Thierry.

exions. Tostig fled, with his wife and children, to the court of his father-in-law, the earl of Flanders, and devoted himself entirely to the cause of William of Normandy.

At this perilous crisis, when so dark a storm was slowly but surely gathering over England, a woful deterioration had taken place in the national character of the people, especially among the higher classes, who had given way to every species of luxury and licentious folly. William of Malmesbury draws the following quaint picture of their manners and proceedings at this period. "Englishmen," says he, "had then transformed themselves into the strange manners of the French, not only in their speech and behaviour, but in their deeds and characters. Their fashion in dress was to go fantastically appointed, with garments shortened to the knee. Their heads shorn, and their beards shaven all but the upper lip, on which they wore long moustaches. Their arms they loaded with massive bracelets of gold, carrying withal pictured marks upon their skins, pounced in with divers colours;" by which it is evident that the Anglo-Saxons had adopted the barbarous practice of tattooing their persons, like the rude aborigines of the island eleven centuries previous. "They were," continues our author, "accustomed to eat to repletion, and to drink to excess; while the clergy wholly addicted themselves to light and trivial literature, and could scarcely read their own breviaries." In a word, they had, according to the witness of their own chronicles, arrived at that pass of sensuality and folly, which is generally supposed to provoke a national visitation in the shape of pestilence or the sword.

"The Normans of that period," says Malmesbury, "were proudly apparelled, delicate in their food, but not gluttonous; a race inured to war, which they could scarcely live without; fierce in rushing upon the foe, and, when unequal in force, ready to use stratagem or bribery to gain their ends. They live in large houses with economy; they wish to rival their superiors; they envy their equals, and plunder their inferiors, but not unfrequently intermarry with their vassals." Such were the general characteristics of the men whom William had rendered veterans in the art of war, and, both by precept and example, stimulated to habits of frugality, temperance, and self-control. A mighty sovereign and a mighty people, possessing within themselves the elements of every requisite that might insure the success of an undertaking, which, by every other nation in Europe, must have been considered as little short of madness.

When the intelligence of king Edward's death, coupled with the news of Harold's assumption of the regal dignity, reached the court of Normandy, William was struck speechless with indignation and surprise, and is said to have unconsciously tied and untied the rich cordon that

fastened his cloak several times, in the first tumults of his agitation and anger.¹ He then gave vent to his wrath, in fierce animadversions on Harold's broken faith in causing himself to be crowned king of England, in defiance of the solemn oath he had sworn to him to support his claims. William also complained of the affront that had been offered to his daughter by the faithless Saxon, who, regardless of his contract to the little Norman princess, just before king Edward's death, strengthened his interest with the English nobles by marrying Edith or Algetha, sister to the powerful earls Morcar and Edwin, and widow to Griffith, prince of Wales. This circumstance is mentioned with great bitterness in all William's proclamations and reproachful messages to Harold.

When William first made known to his Norman peers his positive intention of asserting, by force of arms, his claims to the crown of England, on the plea of Edward the Confessor's verbal adoption of himself as successor to that realm, there were stormy debates among them on the subject. They were then assembled in the hall of Lillebon, where they remained long in council, but chiefly employed in complaining to one another of the warlike temper of their lord. There were, however, great differences of opinion among them, and they separated themselves into several distinct groups, because many chose to speak at once, and no one could obtain the attention of the whole assembly, but harangued as many hearers as could be prevailed on to listen to him. At last the contention among them became so fierce, that Fitz-Osborn, of Breteuil, surnamed the Proud Spirit, stood forth and harangued the malcontent portion of the assembly in these words:—"Why should you go on wrangling with your natural lord, who seeks to gain honour? You owe him service for your fiefs, and you ought to render it with all readiness."—"Sir," replied they, "we fear the sea, and we are not bound to serve beyond it. But do you speak to the duke for us, for we do not seem to know our own minds, and we think you will decide better for us than we can do for ourselves."²

Fitz-Osborn, thus empowered to act as their deputy, went to the duke at their head, and in their names made him the most unconditional proffers of their assistance and co-operation. "Behold," said Fitz-Osborn, "the loving loyalty of your lieges, my lord, and their zeal for your service. They will pass with you over sea, and double their accustomed service. He who is bound to furnish twenty knights, will bring forty; he who should serve you with thirty, will now serve you with sixty; and he who owes one hundred, will cheerfully pay two hundred."³ Here the dissentient barons interrupted him with a clamour of disapprobation, exclaiming, "That he might give as much as he pleased himself, but they had never empowered him to promise such

¹ Wace.² Ibid.³ Ibid.

unheard-of aids for them ;"¹ and they would submit to no such exactions from their sovereign, since if they once performed double service, it would henceforth be demanded of them as a right.

"In short," continues the lively chronicler, "they raised such an uproar, that no one could hear another speak,—no one could either listen to reason, or render it for himself. Then the duke, being greatly perplexed with the noise, withdrew, and sending for the barons one by one, exerted all his powers of persuasion to induce them to accede to his wishes, promising 'to reward them richly with Saxon spoils for the assistance he now required at their hands; and if they felt disposed to make good Fitz-Osborn's offer of double service at that time, he should receive it as a proof of their loyal affection, and never think of demanding it as a right on any future occasion.'" The nobles, on this conciliatory address, were pacified; and feeling that it was a much easier thing to maintain their opposition to their sovereign's wishes in the council than in the presence-chamber, began to assume a different tone, and even expressed their willingness to oblige him as far as it lay in their power.

William next invited his neighbours, the Bretons, the Angevins, and men of Boulogne, to join his banners, bribing them with promises of good pay, and a share in the spoils of *merrie* England. He even proposed to take the king of France into the alliance. Philip treated the idea of William's annexing England to Normandy as an extravagant chimera,² and asked him, "Who would take care of his duchy while he was running after a kingdom?" To this sarcastic query, William replied, "That is a care that shall not need to trouble our neighbours; by the grace of God we are blessed with a prudent wife and loving subjects, who will keep our border securely during our absence."³

William entreated Matilda's brother, the young count Baldwin of Flanders, to accompany him as a friendly ally. The wily Fleming asked William, in reply, "What share of England he intended to bestow on him by way of recompense?"⁴ The duke, surprised at this demand, told his brother-in-law, "That he could not satisfy him on that point till he had consulted with his barons;" but instead of naming the matter to them, he took a piece of fair parchment, and having folded it in the form of a letter, superscribed it to count Baldwin of Flanders, sealed it with the ducal seal, and wrote the following distich on the label that surrounded the scroll :—

" Beau frère, en Angleterre vous sçavez
Ce qui dedans escript vous trouverez ;"⁵

which is to say, "Brother-in-law, I give you such a share of England as you shall find within this letter."

He sent the letter to the young count by a shrewd-witted page, who

¹ Wace² Ibid.³ Ibid.⁴ Ibid.⁵ Henderson. Wace.

was much in his confidence. When Baldwin had read this promising endorsement, he broke the seal, full of expectation; but finding the parchment blank, he showed it to the bearer, and asked what was the duke's meaning? "Nought is written here," replied the messenger, "and nought shalt thou receive; therefore look for nothing. The honour the duke seeks will be for the advantage of your sister and her children, and their greatness will be the advancement of yourself, and the benefit will be felt by your country; but if you refuse your aid, then, with the blessing of God, my lord will conquer England without your help."¹

William was, in the sequel, fain to subscribe to the only terms on which the aid of Matilda's father could be obtained; by securing to him and his successors a perpetual pension of 300 marks of silver annually, in the event of his succeeding in establishing himself as king of England.² It is certain that Matilda's family connexions rendered the most important assistance to William in the conquest of England, and her countrymen were among his bravest auxiliaries. The earl of Flanders was, in fact, the first person to commence hostilities against Harold, by furnishing the traitor, Tostig, with ships and a military force to make a descent on England. Tostig executed his mission more like a pirate-brigand than an accredited leader. The brave earls Morcar and Edwin drove him into Scotland, whence he passed into Norway, where he succeeded in persuading king Harfager to invade England at one point, simultaneously with William of Normandy's attack in another quarter of the island.

The minds of the people of England in general were, at this momentous crisis, labouring under a superstitious depression, occasioned by the appearance of the splendid three-tailed comet, which became visible in their horizon at the commencement of the memorable year 1066, a few days before the death of king Edward. The astrologers who foretold the approach of this comet had thought proper to announce that it portended a great national calamity in an oracular Latin distich, of which the following rude couplet is a literal translation:—

"In the year one thousand and sixty-six,
Comets to England's sons an end shall fix."

"About this time," says Malmesbury, "a comet or star, denoting, as they say, a change in kingdoms, appeared trailing its extended and fiery train along the sky; wherefore a certain monk of our monastery named Elmer, bowing down with terror when the bright star first became visible to his eye, prophetically exclaimed, 'Thou art come! a matter of great lamentation to many a mother art thou come! I have seen thee long before; but now I behold thee in thy terrors, threatening

¹ Wace.

² Brompton. *Saxon Annals*

destruction to this country.'” Wace, in still quainter language describes the appearance of this comet, and the impression it made on the unphilosophical star-gazers of the eleventh century. “This year a great star appeared in the heavens, shining for fourteen days, with three long rays, streaming towards the south. Such a star as is wont to be seen when a kingdom is about to change its ruler. I have seen men who saw it—men who were of full age at the time of its appearance, and lived many years afterwards.”

The descriptions which I have just quoted from the pen of the Norman poet and the monastic chronicler fall far short of the marvellousness of Matilda's delineation of this comet in the Bayeux tapestry where the royal needle has represented it of dimensions that might well have justified the alarm of the terror-stricken group of Saxon princes, priests, and ladies, who appear to be rushing out of their pigmy dwellings, and pointing to it with unequivocal signs of horror; for, independently of the fact that it looks near enough to singe their noses, it would inevitably have whisked the world and all its sister planets out of their orbits, if it had been of a hundredth part proportionable to the magnitude there portrayed.¹ Some allowance, however, ought to be made for exaggeration of feminine reminiscences of an object, which we can scarcely suppose to have been transferred to the embroidered chronicle of the conquest of England till after the triumphant termination of William of Normandy's enterprise afforded his queen-duchess so magnificent a subject for the employment of the ingenuity of herself and the ladies of her court, in recording his achievements on canvas by dint of needlework. But, on the eve of this adventurous expedition, we may naturally conclude that Matilda's time and thoughts were more importantly occupied than in the labours of the loom, or the fabrication of worsted pictures; when, in addition to all her fears and anxieties in parting with her lord, she had, at least, as much trouble in reconciling the Norman ladies to the absence of their husbands and lovers,² as the duke had to prevail on these his valiant *quens* to accompany him on an expedition so full of peril to all parties concerned in it.

Previous to his departure to join his ships and forces assembled at the port of St. Vallery, William solemnly invested Matilda with the regency of Normandy, and entreated, “that he and his companions in arms might have the benefit of her prayers, and the prayers of her ladies, for the success of their expedition.” He appointed for her council some of the wisest and most experienced men among the prelates and elder nobles of Normandy.³ The most celebrated of these, for courage, ability, and wisdom, was Roger de Beaumont, and by him William recommended the duchess to be advised in all matters of domestic policy. He also associated with the duchess in the regency their eldest son, Robert; and

¹ Bayeux tapestry.² Wace.³ Wm. of Poitou. Wace. Wm. of Malm.

this youth, who had just completed his thirteenth year, was nominally the military chief of Normandy during the absence of his sire.

The invasion of England was by no means a popular measure with any class of William's subjects : and during the time that his armament remained wind-bound at St. Vallery, the common soldiers began to murmur in their tents. "The man must be mad," they said, "to persist in going to subjugate a foreign country, since God, who withheld the wind, opposed him ; that his father, who was surnamed Robert le Diable, purposed something of the kind, and was in like manner frustrated ; and that it was the fate of that family to aspire to things beyond them, and to find God their adversary."¹ When the duke heard of these disheartening reports, he called a council of his chiefs, at which it was agreed that the body of St. Vallery should be brought forth, to receive the offerings and vows of those who should feel disposed to implore his intercession for a favourable wind.² Thus artfully did he, instead of interposing the authority of a sovereign and a military leader to punish the language of sedition and mutiny among his troops, oppose superstition to superstition, to amuse the short-sighted instruments of his ambition. The bones of the patron saint of the port were accordingly brought forth, with great solemnity, and exposed in their shrine on the green turf beneath the canopy of heaven, for the double purpose of receiving the prayers of the pious and the contributions of the charitable.³ The Norman chroniclers affirm that the shrine was half buried in the heaps of gold, silver, and precious things which were showered upon it by the crowds of votaries who came to pay their respects to the saint.

In the meantime, William was agreeably surprised by the arrival of his duchess at the port in a splendid vessel of war, called the *Mora*,⁴ which she had caused to be built unknown to him, and adorned in the most royal style of magnificence, for his acceptance. The effigy of their youngest son (William), formed of gilded bronze, some writers say of gold, was placed at the prow of this vessel, with his face turned towards England, holding a trumpet to his lips with one hand, and bearing in the other a bow, with the arrow aimed at England. It seemed as if the wind had only delayed in order to enable Matilda to offer this gratifying and auspicious gift to her departing lord ; for scarcely had the acclamations with which it was greeted by the admiring host died away, when the long-desired breeze sprang up, "and a joyful clamour," says Malmesbury, "then arising, summoned every one to the ships." The duke himself led the way in the *Mora*, which by day was distinguished by a blood-red flag,⁵ and, as soon as it was dark, carried a light at the mast-head, as a beacon to guide the other ships. The first night, the royal leader so far outsailed his followers, that when morning dawned the *Mora* was in the mid-seas alone, without a single sail of her

¹ Wm. of Malm. Wace.

² Ibid.

³ Ibid.

Wace

⁵ Thierry's Anglo-Normans.

convoy in sight, though these were a thousand in number. Somewhat disturbed at this circumstance, William ordered the Master of the Mora to go to the topmast and look out, and bring him word what he had seen.

The reply was, "Nothing but sea and sky."—"Go up again," said the duke, "and look out." The man cried out, "That he saw four specks in the distance, like the sails of ships."—"Look once again," cried William: then the master exclaimed, "I see a forest of tall masts and a press of sails bearing gallantly towards us."¹

Rough weather occurred during the voyage, but it is remarkable that, out of so numerous a fleet, only two vessels were lost. In one of these was a noted astrologer, who had taken upon himself to predict that the expedition would be entirely successful, for that Harold would resign England to the duke without a battle. William neither believed in omens nor encouraged fortune-telling, and when he heard the catastrophe of the unfortunate soothsayer who had thought proper to join himself to the armament, shrewdly observed, "Little could he have known of the fate of others, who could not foresee his own."²

On the 28th of September, 1066, the Norman fleet made the port of Pevensey, on the coast of Sussex. Wace's chronicle of the Norman conquest affords a graphic picture of the disembarkation of the duke and his armament. The knights and archers landed first.³ After the soldiers, came the carpenters, armourers, and masons, with their tools in their hands, planes, saws, axes, and other implements slung to their sides. Last of all came the duke, who, stumbling as he leaped to shore, measured his majestic height upon the beach. Forthwith all raised a cry of distress. "An evil sign is here!" exclaimed the superstitious Normans; but the duke, who in recovering himself had filled his hands with sand, cried out in a loud and cheerful voice, "See! *seigneurs*; by the splendour of God I have seized England with my two hands.⁴ Without challenge no prize can be made, and that which I have grasped I will, by your good help, maintain."

On this, one of his followers ran forward, and snatching a handful of thatch from the roof of a hut, brought it to the duke, exclaiming merrily, "Sire, come forward, and receive *seizin*.⁵ I give you *seizin*, in

¹ Thierry's Anglo-Normans.

² Wace. Henderson.

³ There is a tradition in the north of England, that the foremost man of this company to touch the land of promise was the ancestor of the Stricklands of Sizergh-castle in Westmoreland, who derive their name and arms from this circumstance. They show the sword in the ancient banqueting-room in the D'Eyncourt tower of Sizergh-castle, with which it is asserted by that venerable gossip, tradition, the redoubted chief first struck the land at Pevensey. The weapon

is not, however, of earlier date than the days of Edward III., and greatly resembles the sword of state belonging to that monarch which is shown in Westminster-abbey. It is more probable that it pertained to Sir Thomas Strickland, who attended the victorious Edward in his French campaigns, than to the Norman founder of the family, who was indebted, not to his foreign comrades, but to the English spectators of the disembarkation for his Saxon surname.

⁴ Wace. Ordericus Vitalis.

⁵ Wace. S. Dunelm. M. of West. This

token that this realm is yours." "I accept it," replied the duke, "and may God be with us!"¹ They then sat down and dined together on the beach; afterwards they sought for a spot whereon to rear a wooden fort, which they had brought in disjointed pieces in their ships from Normandy.

Matilda has, in a curious section of the Bayeux tapestry, shown us the manner in which the trusty followers of her lord carried the disjointed framework of this timber fortress to the shore. The soldiers assisted the carpenters and other craftsmen in this undertaking, and before even-fall they had set up their building, fortified it, and supped merrily therein. William had, through the agency of Matilda's brother-in-law, Tostig, arranged measures with Harfager, king of Norway, that their attacks upon England should be simultaneous; but the contrary winds which had detained his fleets so long at St. Vallery, had speeded the sails of his northern ally, so that Harfager and Tostig entered the Tyne with three hundred ships, and commenced their work of rapine and devastation a full fortnight before the arrival of the Norman armament. The intelligence that both Tostig and Harfager were defeated and slain at Stanford-bridge reached William four days after his landing at Pevensey,² while he lay entrenched in his wooden citadel, waiting for a communication from his confederates before he ventured to advance farther up the country. On receiving this unfavourable news, William manifested no consternation or surprise, but turning to his nobles, said, "You see the astrologer's prediction was false. We cannot win the land without a battle; and here I vow, that if it shall please God to give me the victory, that, on whatever spot it shall befall, I will there build a church to be consecrated to the blessed Trinity, and to St. Martin, where perpetual prayers shall be offered for the sins of Edward the Confessor, for my own sins, the sins of Matilda my spouse, and the sins of such as have attended me in this expedition, but more particularly for the sins of such as may fall in the battle."³ This vow greatly reassured his followers, and appears to have been considered by the valiant Normans as a very comfortable arrangement. Hard work, however, it must have prepared for the priests, who had to sing and pray away the sins of all the parties specified.

Harold, meantime, was far beyond the Humber, in high spirits at the signal victory he had obtained at Stanford-bridge. But the intelligence of the landing of the Normans was too soon conveyed to him by a knight from the neighbourhood of Pevensey, who had heard the outcry of the peasants on the coast of Sussex when they saw the great fleet arrive, and had posted himself behind a hill, where, unseen himself, he

ceremony is still observed in the transfer of some copyhold estates. Formerly a turf from a field, and a piece of thatch from the roof of a tenement, were all the conveyance

required to give the purchaser a legal title of possession.

¹ Wace.

² Saxon Annals. Wm. of Malm. 8 Dunelm. H. of Hunt. Wace.

³ Wace.

had watched the disembarkation of this mighty host and their proceedings on the shore. Sorely troubled at what he saw, the knight girded on his sword, and taking lance in hand, mounted his fleetest steed, and tarried not by the way, either for rest or refreshment, till he had found Harold, to whom he communicated his alarming tidings in these words: "The Normans have come. They have landed at Hastings, and built up a fort, which they have enclosed with a foss and palisades; and they will rend the land from thee and thine, unless thou defend it well."¹

In the forlorn hope of ridding himself of his formidable invader, Harold offered to purchase the departure of the Norman duke, telling him "that if silver or gold were his object, he, who had enriched himself with the spoils of the defeated king of Norway, would give him enough to satisfy both himself and his followers."—"Thanks for Harold's fair words," replied William; "but I did not bring so many *écus* into this country to change them for his *esterlins*."² My purpose in coming is to claim this realm, which is mine according to the gift of king Edward, which was confirmed by Harold's oath."—"Nay, but you ask too much of us, sire," returned the messenger, by whom the pacific offer had been made; "my lord is not so pressed that he should resign his kingdom at your desire. Harold will give you nothing but what you can take from him, unless in a friendly way, as a condition for your departure, which he is willing to purchase with large store of silver and gold and fine garments; but if you accept not his offer, know that he is ready to give you battle on Saturday next, if you be in the field on that day."³

The duke accepted this challenge; and on the Friday evening preceding that fatal day for the Saxon cause, Harold planted his gonfanon on the very spot where Battle-abbey now stands. The Normans and English being equally apprehensive of attack during the season of darkness, kept watch and ward that night, but employed their vigils in a very different manner. The English, according to the report of contemporary chroniclers, kept up their spirits with a riotous carouse, crying "Wassail!" and "Drink heal!"⁴ dancing, laughing, and gambling all night. The Normans, on the contrary, being in a devout frame of mind, made confessions of their sins, and employed the precious moments in recommending themselves to the care of God.

The battle joined on the 14th of October, Harold's birthday, on a spot about seven miles from Hastings, called Heathfield, where the town of Battle now stands. When William was arming for the encounter, in his haste and agitation he unwittingly put on his hauberk the hind part before.⁵ He quickly changed it; but perceiving, from the looks

¹ Wace. ² Ibid. A play on words, meaning *crowns* and *shillings*; *écu*, meaning a shield, as well as the coin called a crown.

³ Wm. of Malms. M. of West. Wace.

⁴ Meaning, "Wish health," and "Drink health."

⁵ Wm. of Malms. Wace. Wm. of Poitou.

of consternation among the bystanders, that his mistake had been noticed, and construed into an omen of ill, he smilingly observed, "I have seen many a man who, if such a thing had happened to him, would not have entered the battle-field; but I never believed in omens, nor have I ever put my faith in fortune-tellers or divinations of any kind, for my trust is in God. Let not this mischance discourage you, for if this change import aught, it is that the power of my dukedom shall be turned into a kingdom,—yea, a king shall I be, who have hitherto been but a duke."¹ Then the duke called for the good steed which had been presented to him as a token of friendship by the king of Spain.

Matilda has done justice to this noble charger in her Bayeux tapestry. It is represented as caparisoned for the battle, and led by Gualtier Giffart, the duke's squire. There is in the same group the figure of a knight armed cap-à-pié, in the close fitting ring-armour and nasal conical helmet worn by the Norman chivalry of that era, with a gonfanon attached to his lance something after the fashion of the streamer which forms part of the paraphernalia of the modern lancer, with this difference only, that the gonfanon of the ancient knight was adorned with his device or armorial bearing, and served the purpose of a banner or general rallying point for his followers. The knightly figure in the Bayeux tapestry which I have just described, is generally believed to have been designed for the veritable effigies of the redoubtable conqueror of this realm, or at any rate as correct a resemblance of him as his loving spouse Matilda could produce in cross-stitch. He is delineated in the act of extending his hand to greet his favourite steed.

"The duke," says Wace, "took the reins, put foot in stirrup, and mounted; and the good horse pawed, pranced, reared himself up, and curvetted." The viscount of Toazay, who stood by, thus expressed to those around him his admiration of the duke's fine appearance and noble horsemanship: "Never," said he, "have I seen a man so fairly armed, nor one who rode so gallantly, and became his hauberk so well, or bore his lance so gracefully. There is no other such knight under heaven! A fair count he is, and a fair king he will be. Let him fight, and he will overcome; and shame be to him who shall fail him!"²

The Normans were drawn up in three bodies. Montgomery and Fitz-Osborn led the first, Geoffrey Martel the second, the duke himself headed the third, which was composed of the flower of Normandy, and kept in reserve till the proper moment for its effective advance should be ascertained by its skilful and puissant leader. Taillefer, the warrior minstrel of Normandy, rode gallantly at the head of the chivalry of his native land, singing the war-song of Rollo.³ William had that day three horses killed under him, without losing a drop of his own blood; finding, however, that Harold had succeeded in rallying

¹ Wace. ² Ibid.

³ Wm. of Malm. M. of West. H. of Hunt. Speed. Raptin. Chron. de Belle, Wil. Gemet.

a strong body of men around him on one of the heights, with the evident intention of keeping possession of that vantage ground till the approaching night should favour the Saxons' retreat, he made his last desperate charge upon the people of the land. In this attack it is supposed that Harold was slain by a random arrow, which was shot through the left eye into his brain.

The victorious duke pitched his tent that night in the field of the dead, which, in memory of the dreadful slaughter that had dyed the earth to crimson, was ever after called by him the vale of *Sanguelac*.¹ This fiercely contested battle cost William the lives of six thousand of his bravest followers; but Malmesbury, and other accredited historians of that time, rate the loss of the Saxons at threescore thousand men.² When the duchess-regent of Normandy, Matilda, received the joyful tidings of the victory which her lord had obtained at Hastings, she was engaged in her devotions in the chapel of the Benedictine priory of *Nôtre Dame*, in the fields near the suburbs of St. Sever; and after returning her thanksgivings to the God of battles for the success of her consort's arms, she ordered that the priory should henceforth be called, in memory of that circumstance, *Nôtre Dame de Bonnes Nouvelles*. And by that name it is distinguished to this day.³

The coronation of the mighty forefather of our present line of sovereigns took place at Westminster, on Monday the 25th of December, being Christmas-day, called by our Saxon ancestors, Mid-winter-day.

In consequence of the dispute between Stigand, archbishop of Canterbury, and the pope, William chose to be crowned and consecrated by the hand of Aldred, archbishop of York.⁴ The archbishop, before he placed the royal circlet on his head, paused, and turning to the English nobles, asked them "if they were willing to have the duke of Normandy for their king?" to which they replied with such continuous acclamations of assent, that the vehemence of their loyalty, more noisy

¹ Saxon Annals. Speed. Ordericus says it was called so long before this battle.

² The following day was devoted by the Norman conquerors to the interment of their dead; and William gave leave and licence to the Saxon peasants to perform the like charitable office to the remains of their unfortunate countrymen. Search was made for the body of Harold, but at first in vain. The spoilers had stripped and gashed the victims of the fight, so that it was difficult to distinguish between the mortal remains of the leader and the serf. Githa, the mother of Harold, had been herself unable to identify the body of her beloved son; but there was one whose fond eye no change in the object of her affection could deceive; this was a Saxon lady of great beauty, Edith, surnamed *Swane-Hals*, or the Swan-necked, by whom his corpse was recognised. Githa, it is said, offered to purchase it of William at the

price of its weight in gold; but he yielded it without a ransom to the afflicted mother, either through a generous impulse of compassion, or with a view of conciliating the kindred of the deceased. He also cashiered a Norman soldier, who boasted of having gashed the leg of the royal Saxon after he had fallen. The mother of Harold buried her son in Waltham-abbey, placing over his tomb the simple but expressive sentence, *HAROLD INFELIX*.—Thierry. Chronicle of Waltham. Wm. of Malmesbury.

³ Ducarel's Norman Antiquities.

⁴ "Then, on Midwinter-day, archbishop Aldred hallowed him to king at Westminster, and gave him possession with the books of Christ; and also swore him, ere that he would set the crown upon his head, that he would so well govern this nation as any king before him best did, if they would be faithful to him."—Sax. Chron.

than sincere, had nearly been productive of the most fatal consequences. William had surrounded the abbey and guarded its approaches with a large body of Norman soldiers, as a prudential measure, in case any attempt on his life should be made by his new vassals; and those trusty guards without the abbey, mistaking the clamorous applause within for a seditious rising amongst the Saxons, with intent to massacre their lord and his Norman followers, in the first emotions of surprise and rage, set fire to the adjoining houses by way of reprisals. The flames rapidly communicating to the wooden buildings round about, produced great consternation, and occasioned the loss of many lives. William and the pale and trembling assistant prelates and priests within the church were dismayed, and faltered in the midst of the ceremonial, and with good cause; for if great exertions had not been used by the more sober-minded portion of the Norman guards to extinguish the conflagration, which presently extended to the abbey, that magnificent edifice, with all the illustrious company within its walls, must have been consumed together. Some persons have considered this fire as the work of the Saxon populace, with intent to destroy at one blow the Norman conqueror and his followers, with such of their own countrymen as had forgotten their honour so far as to become, not only witnesses, but assistants at the coronation of their foe. And this, indeed, is not improbable, if the Anglo-Saxons of that period had evinced a spirit capable of conceiving and carrying into execution a design of such terrific grandeur for the deliverance of their country. The Norman soldiery could by no means be appeased till their beloved chief came out of the abbey, and showed himself to them in his coronation robes and diadem.¹

CHAPTER II.

“OUR mistress Matilda,” says William of Poitou,² the chaplain of the Conqueror, “had already assumed the name of queen, though she was not yet crowned. She had governed Normandy during the absence of her lord with great prudence and skill.” So firmly, indeed, had that authority been sustained, that though the flower and puissance of Normandy had followed the fortunes of their warlike duke to the shores of England, not one of the neighbouring princes had ventured to molest the duchess-regent. Her kinsman, the emperor Henry, had engaged, in event of any aggression on the part of France or Bretagne, to defend Normandy with the whole strength of Germany. She also

¹ Wm. of Poitou. Lingard.

² This elegant author, who is also called Pictaviensis, was archdeacon of Lisieux. His chronicle of the conquest of England is written in very flowing language, greatly resembling in style an heroic poem. It

abounds with eulogiums on his royal patron; but is extremely valuable on account of the personal history which it contains. It is sometimes called the *Domestic Chronicle of William of Normanly*.

had a powerful neighbour and protector in the earl of Flanders, her father; but great credit was certainly due to her own political conduct, in keeping the duchy free both from external embroilments and internal strife at that momentous period. Her government was very popular as well as prosperous in Normandy, where, surrounded by the most learned men of the age, she advanced in no slight degree the progress of civilization and refinement. The encouragement she afforded to arts and letters has won for this princess golden reports in the chronicle lore of the age.¹

Well aware was Matilda of the importance it is to princes to enlist in their service the pens of those who possess the power of defending or undermining thrones, and whose influence continues to bias the minds of men after the lapse of ages. "This princess," says Ordericus Vitalis, "who derived her descent from the kings of France and emperors of Germany, was even more distinguished for the purity of her mind and manners than for her illustrious lineage. As a queen she was munificent, and liberal of her gifts. She united beauty with gentle breeding and all the graces of Christian holiness. While the victorious arms of her illustrious spouse subdued all things before him, she was indefatigable in alleviating distress in every shape, and redoubled her alms. In a word, she exceeded all commendations, and won the love of all hearts."

Such is the character which one of the most eloquent and circumstantial historians of the eleventh century has given of Matilda. Yet Ordericus Vitalis, as a contemporary witness, could scarcely have been ignorant of the dark stain which the first exercise of her newly-acquired power in England has left upon her memory. The Chronicle of Tewkesbury, which states that Brihtric Meaw, the lord of the honour of Gloucester, when he resided at her father's court as ambassador from Edward the Confessor, had refused to marry Matilda, adds, that in the first year of the reign of William the Conqueror, Matilda obtained from her lord the grant of all Brihtric's lands and honours, and that she then caused the unfortunate Saxon to be seized at his manor of Hanelye, and conveyed to Winchester, where he died in prison, and was privately buried.²

Thus, then, does it appear that Matilda, after having enjoyed for

¹ Ord. Vit. Wm. of Poitou.

² Chron. Tewkesbury, Bib. Cottonian MSS. Cleopatra, c. 111. Monasticon, vol. iit. p. 59. Leland's Coll., vol. 1, p. 78. The

author of the continuation of Brut., born in the same age, and written in the reign of Henry I., son of this queen, thus alludes to this circumstance:—

"Ja quele jadis, quant fu pucelle,
Ama un conte d'Angleterre,
Brihtric Mau, le oi nomer,
Après le roi ki fu riche vir.
A lui la pucell envoeia messenger,
Par sa amour a lui procurer:
Mais Brihtric Maude reusa."

"Who, when she was maiden,
Loved a count of England,
Brihtric Mau he was named,
Except the king was no richer man.
To him the virgin sent a messenger,
His love for her to obtain:
But Brihtric refused Maude."

fourteen years the greatest happiness as a wife and mother, had secretly brooded over the bitter memory of the slight that had been offered to her in early youth, for the purpose of inflicting the deadliest vengeance in return on the man who had rejected her love. This circumstance is briefly related, not only in a general, but a topographical history, without comment, and it is in no slight degree confirmed by the records of the Domesday-book, where it appears that Avening, Tewkesbury, Fairford, Thornbury, Whithurst, and various other possessions in Gloucestershire, belonging to Brihtric, the son of Algar, were granted to Matilda by the Conqueror.¹

Matilda, moreover, deprived Gloucester of its charter and civic liberties, merely because it was the city of the unfortunate Brihtric,—perhaps for showing some sign of resentment for his fate. We fear that the first of our Norman queens must, on this evidence, stand convicted of the crime of wrong and robbery, if not of absolute murder; and if it had been possible to make a *post-mortem* examination on the body of the unfortunate son of Algar, sufficient reason might have been seen, perhaps, for the private nature of his interment. All this wrong was done by agency; for, if dates be correct, Matilda had not yet entered England.²

A few days after his coronation, William, feeling some reason to distrust the Londoners, withdrew to his old quarters at Berkhamstead, where he kept his court, and succeeded in drawing round him many of the most influential of the Saxon princes and thanes, to whom, in return for their oaths of allegiance, he restored their estates and honours. His next step, for the mutual satisfaction of his Norman followers and Saxon subjects, was to lay the foundation of the church and abbey of St. Martin, now called Battle-abbey, where perpetual prayers were directed to be offered up for the repose of the souls of all who had fallen in that sanguinary conflict.

William having been now six months separated from his wife and family, desired to embrace them once more, and resolved to spend the Easter festival in Normandy with Matilda. Previous to his departure, he placed strong Norman garrisons in all his castles, and carried with him to Normandy all the leading men among the Anglo-Saxons. Among these were Edgar Atheling, Morcar, Edwin, and Waltheof.³ He re-

¹ "Infra scriptas terras tenuit Brihtric, et post Regina Matilda." — Domesday-book, tom. ii., p. 100. History of Gloucester.

² In addition to our numerous ancient authorities regarding Brihtric Meaw, we subjoin this important extract from a work by one of the most learned antiquarian historians of the age: "Brihtric, the son of Algar, a Saxon thane, is stated in Domesday to have held this manor in the reign of Edward the Confessor; but having given offence to

Maud, the daughter of Baldwin, count of Flanders, previous to her marriage with William, duke of Normandy, by refusing to marry her himself, his property was seized by that monarch on the conquest, and bestowed, seemingly in revenge, upon the queen." — Ellis's History of Thornbury Castle. Bristol, 1839.

³ Wm. of Polton. Wm. of Malma. S. Dunelm. Walsingham.

embarked in the *Mora*, in the month of March, 1067, and, with the most splendid company that ever sailed from England, crossed the seas, and landed on his native shore, a little below the abbey of Fescamp. Matilda was there, with her children,¹ in readiness to receive and welcome her illustrious lord, who was greeted with the most enthusiastic rapture by all classes of his subjects. For joy of William's return the solemn fast of Lent was this year kept as a festival; all labour was suspended, and nothing but mirth and pleasure prevailed in his native Normandy.²

William appears to have had infinite pleasure in displaying not only to his wife and family, but to the foreign ambassadors, the costly spoils which he had brought over from England.³ The quantity and exquisite workmanship of the gold and silver plate, and the richness of the embroidered garments wrought by the skilful hands of the Anglo-Saxon ladies (then esteemed so inestimably precious in all parts of Europe), excited the admiration and astonishment of all beholders; but more particularly did the splendid dress of his guards, and the magnificence and beauty of the long-haired and moustached Anglo-Saxon nobles by whom he was attended, attract the wonder of the foreign princes and peers.

On the 18th of June, Matilda's newly erected abbey-church of the Holy Trinity was consecrated with great pomp, in the presence of the royal foundress and her victorious lord. On the same day, duke William presented at the altar their infant daughter Cecilia, and devoted her to the service of God.⁴ A grand, yet painfully exciting pageant that scene must have been, for who could then answer how far the heart of the unconscious babe, who was thus devoted to a life of religious celibacy, obedience, humility, poverty, and seclusion from the world, might hereafter acquiesce in the sacrifice to which her parents were devoting her! But what a subject for the pencil of the historic painter, that church in its fresh glorious beauty!—thronged with a venerating congregation of nobles, ladies, burghers, soldiers, peasants, mariners, and craftsmen, clad in the picturesque costumes of their various ranks and callings, interspersed with the victorious Norman and vanquished Saxon chiefs, whose descendants are now blended into one mighty people,—the beautiful duchess Matilda, invested with the regal insignia of the queenly rank to which her warlike consort's late achievements had elevated her, surrounded with all her blooming progeny, yet looking with fonder maternal interest on the chosen lamb which had just been separated from that fair flock, to be presented by the conqueror of England as a thank-offering to the God of battles, who had prospered him in his late enterprise, and given him a name greater than that of his far-famed predecessor, Rollo.⁵

¹ Wm. of Poitou. Henderson. ² Wm. of Poitou. ³ Ibid. ⁴ Hardy's Notes on Wm. of Malms. ⁵ Matilda's foundation possesses a strong historical in-

terest, even as connected with recent events in France. M. de Lamartine, in his beautiful work on the Girondists, when relating the occurrences of the youth of Charlotte Cor-

The whole summer was spent by William in a series of triumphant progresses, through the towns and cities of Normandy, with his queen-duchess.¹ Meanwhile, the spirit of freedom was crushed, but not extinguished, among the people of England. A secret plot was organized for a simultaneous rising throughout England, and a general massacre of the Normans.² But though the terror of William's actual presence was withdrawn for a season, he kept up a strict espionage on the proceedings of the English. The first rumour of what was going on among them, roused him from the career of pleasure which he had been pursuing. Relinquishing the idea of keeping a splendid Christmas with his beloved family, he reappointed Matilda and his son Robert regents of Normandy, and embarking on a stormy sea, he sailed from Dieppe on the 6th of December.³ On the 7th he arrived at Winchelsea, and proceeded immediately to London, to the consternation of the malcontents, who thought they were sure of him for the winter season.

After the suppression of the revolt, William, perceiving the disadvantages attendant on a queenless court, and feeling withal the greatest desire to enjoy the society of his beautiful consort, despatched a noble company into Normandy, to conduct Matilda and her children to England.⁴ She joyfully obeyed the welcome mandate of her lord, and crossed the sea with a stately train of nobles, knights, and ladies.⁵ Among the learned clerks by whom she was attended was the celebrated Gui, bishop of Amiens, who had distinguished himself by an heroic poem on the defeat and fall of Harold.

Matilda arrived in England soon after Easter, in the month of April, 1068, and proceeding immediately to Winchester, was received with great joy by her lord: preparations were instantly commenced for her coronation, which was appointed to take place in that city on Whit-Sunday.⁶ The great festivals of the church appear in the middle ages to have been considered by the English as peculiarly auspicious days for the solemnization of coronations and marriages, if we may judge by the frequency of their occurrence at those seasons. Sunday was generally chosen for a coronation-day. William, who had been exceedingly anxious to share his newly acquired honours with Matilda, chose to be re-crowned at the same time, to render the pageant of her consecration more imposing; and farther to conciliate the affections of his English subjects, he repeated for the second time the oath by which he engaged to govern with justice and moderation, and to preserve inviolate that great palladium of English liberty, trial by jury.⁷

day, who was brought up in that abbey, gives us this information on its modern destination: "The vast cloisters and chapel of Norman architecture, built in 1066 by Matilda, wife of William the Conqueror, after having been deserted, degraded, and in ruins until 1730, were then magnificently restored: * this day it forms one of the finest hospitals

in France, and one of the most splendid public buildings in the city of Caen."—Vol. III. p. 57. 1848.

¹ Ord. Vit. Sax. Chron. ² Wm. of Poitou. ³ Ord. Vit. ⁴ Ibid. ⁵ Ibid. ⁶ Flor. of Worc. S. Dunelm. M. West. ⁷ S. Dunelm. Sax. Chron.

This coronation was far more splendid than that which had preceded it in Westminster-abbey, at William's first inauguration, where the absence of the queen and her ladies deprived the ceremony of much of its brilliancy. It was in the smiling season of the year, when the days were long and bright. The company was exceedingly numerous and noble; and the Conqueror, who appears to have been in a wonderfully gracious mood that day, was very sprightly and facetious on the occasion, and conferred favours on all who solicited. The graceful and majestic person of queen Matilda, and the number and beauty of her fine children, charmed the populace, and every one present was delighted with the order and regularity with which this attractive pageant was conducted.¹ The nobles of Normandy attended their duchess to the church; but after the crown was placed on her head by Aldred, archbishop of York, she was served by her new subjects, the English.

The first occasion on which the office of champion was instituted, is said to have been at this splendid coronation at Winchester, where William caused his consort to be associated with himself in all the honours of royalty.² The ceremonial of Matilda's inauguration-banquet afforded precedents for most of the grand feudal offices at subsequent coronations.³ Among these, the office of "grand panetier" has been for some time extinct. His service was to bear the salt and the carving-knives from the pantry to the king's dining-table, and his fees were the salt-cellars, spoons, and knives laid on the royal table. "Forks were not among the royal luxuries at the board of the mighty William and his fair Matilda, who both, in feeding themselves, verified the proverb which says 'that fingers were made before forks.'"—"The grand panetier likewise served the bread to the sovereign, and received, in addition to the rest of his fees, the bread-cover, called the coverpane. For this service the Beauchamps held the manor of Beauchamp Kibworth. The manor of Addington was likewise granted by the Conqueror to Tezelin, his cook, for composing a dish of white soup called *dillegrout*, which especially pleased the royal palate."

"When the noble company had retired from the church, and were seated at dinner in the banqueting hall, a bold cavalier called Marmion,⁴ rode into the hall, and did at three several times repeat this challenge:—"If any person denies that our most gracious sovereign, lord William, and his spouse Matilda, are king and queen of England, he is a false-hearted traitor and a liar; and here I, as champion, do challenge him to single combat." No person accepted the challenge, and Matilda was called *la reine* ever after.

¹ Henderson. ² Ibid. ³ Glories of Royalty. ⁴ Henderson inaccurately says "Dymock;" it was Marmion. This ceremony, unknown among the Saxon monarchs, was of Norman origin. The lands of Fontenaye, in Normandy, were held by Marmion,

one of the followers of William the Conqueror, on the tenure of championship. The office was hereditary in the family of Marmion, and from them, by heirship, descended to the Dymocks of Scrivelshye.—Dugdale.

The same year, Matilda brought into the world her fourth son, Henry, surnamed Beauclerc. This event took place at Selby in Yorkshire, and was productive of some degree of satisfaction to the people, who considered the English-born prince with far more complacency than his three Norman brethren, Robert, Richard, and William-Rufus. Matilda settled upon her new-born son all the lands she possessed in England and Normandy; in reversion after her death. Tranquillity now appeared to be completely restored; and Matilda, enjoying every happiness as a wife, a mother, and a queen, was placed at the very summit of earthly prosperity.

Whether it be by accident, or owing to a close attention to the reality he saw before him, it is certain that the antique limner who drew Matilda's portrait has represented the organ of constructiveness in her head as very decidedly developed. She afforded remarkable instances of this propensity in the noble ecclesiastical buildings of which she was the foundress, also in her ingenious and curious example of industry in the Bayeux tapestry, wherein she has wrought the epic of her husband's exploits, from Harold's first landing in Normandy to his fall at Hastings. It is, in fact, a most important historical document, in which the events and costume of that momentous period are faithfully presented to us, by the indefatigable fingers of the first of our Norman queens and her ladies, and certainly deserves a particular description.

This curious monument of antiquity is still preserved in the cathedral of Bayeux, where it is distinguished by the name of "*la Tapissière de la reine Matilde*:" it is also called "*the duke of Normandy's toilette*," which simply means the duke's great cloth. It is a piece of canvas, about nineteen inches in breadth, but upwards of sixty-seven yards in length, on which, as we have said, is embroidered the history of the conquest of England by William of Normandy, commencing with the visit of Harold to the Norman court, and ending with his death at the battle of Hastings, 1066.

The leading transactions of those eventful years, the death of Edward the Confessor, and the coronation of Harold in the chamber of the royal dead, are represented in the clearest and most regular order in this piece of needlework, which contains many hundred figures of men, horses, birds, beasts, trees, houses, castles, churches, and ships, all executed in their proper colours, with names and inscriptions in Latin, explanatory of the subject of every section.¹ This pictorial chronicle of her mighty

¹ The Bayeux tapestry has lately been much the subject of controversy among some learned individuals, who are determined to deprive Matilda of her traditional fame as the person from whom this specimen of female skill and industry emanated. Montfaucon, Thierry, Planché, Ducarel, Taylor, and many other important authorities, may be quoted in support of the historical tra-

dition that it was the work of Matilda and her ladies. The brief limits to which we are confined in these biographies, will not admit of our entering into the arguments of those who dispute the fact, though we have carefully examined them; and, with due deference to the judgment of the lords of the creation on all subjects connected with policy and science, we venture to think that our

consort's achievements appears to have been, in part at least, designed for Matilda by Turol, a dwarf artist, who, moved by a natural desire of claiming his share in the celebrity which he foresaw would attach to the work, has cunningly introduced his own effigies and name,—thus authenticating the Norman tradition, that he was the person who illuminated the canvas with the proper outlines and colours.¹ It is probable that the wife of the Conqueror and her Norman ladies were materially assisted in this stupendous work of feminine skill and patience by some of the hapless daughters of the land, who, like the Grecian captives described by Homer, were employed in recording the story of their own reverses, and the triumphs of their haughty foes.²

About this period, William laid the foundation of that mighty fortress and royal residence, the Tower of London. He also built the castle of Hurstmonceaux, on the spot which had, in the first instance, been occupied by the wooden fort he brought over from Normandy; and, for the better security of his government, built and strongly garrisoned many other strong fortresses, forming a regular chain of military stations from one end of England to the other.³ These proceedings excited the jealous displeasure of such of the Anglo-Saxon nobles as had hitherto maintained a sort of passive amity with their Norman sovereign, and they began gradually to desert his court. Among the first to withdraw from the royal circle were the darlings of the people, Edwin and Morcar. William had, in the first instance, by the most insidious caresses, and the promise of giving him one of his daughters in marriage, endeavoured to conciliate Edwin, who was the youngest of the two chieftains, and remarkable for the beauty of his person. The promised bride of Edwin was, however, withheld from him, which exasperated him so much, that he retired with his brother into the north, where they organized a plan with the kings of Scotland and Denmark, and the Welsh princes, for separate but simultaneous attacks upon William, in which the disaffected Saxons were to join.

learned friends, the archæologists and antiquaries, would do well to direct their intellectual powers to more masculine objects of inquiry, and leave the question of the Bayeux tapestry (with all other matters allied to needle-craft) to the decision of the ladies, to whose province it peculiarly belongs. It is matter of doubt to us whether one out of the many gentlemen who have disputed Matilda's claims to that work, if called upon to execute a copy of either of the figures on canvas, would know how to put in the first stitch. The whole of the Bayeux tapestry has been engraved, and coloured like the original, by the Society of Antiquaries, who, if they had done nothing else to merit the approbation of the historical world, would have deserved it for this alone.

¹ Thierry's History of the Anglo-Normans. The figures were, in fact, always prepared

for tapestry work by some skilful artist, who designed and traced them out in the same colours that were to be used in silk or woollen by the embroideress; and we are told in the life of St. Dunstan, that "a certain religious lady, being moved with a desire of embroidering a sacerdotal vestment, earnestly entreated the future chancellor of England, who was then a young man in an obscure station of life, but creeping into notice through his excellent taste in such delineations, to draw the flowers and figures, which she afterwards formed with threads of gold."

² When Napoleon was preparing to invade England, he brought the Bayeux tapestry forward in a very pompous manner, to revive the recollection of the conquest of this island by William of Normandy. ³ At Norwich, Warwick, Lincoln, York, Nottingham, &c. &c.

The repeated and formidable revolts of the English, in 1069, compelled William to provide for the safety of Matilda and her children in Normandy.¹ The presence of the queen-duchess was, indeed, no less required there, than that of her warlike lord in England. She was greatly beloved in the duchy, where her government was considered exceedingly able, and the people were beginning to murmur at the absence of the court and the nobility, which after the estates of Normandy had been so severely taxed to support the expense of the English wars, was regarded as a national calamity. It was, therefore, a measure of great political expediency on the part of William to re-appoint Matilda, for the third time, to the regency of Normandy. The name of his eldest son, Robert, was, as before, associated with that of Matilda in the regency; and at parting, the Conqueror entreated his spouse "to pray for the speedy termination of the English troubles, to encourage the arts of peace in Normandy, and to take care of the interests of their youthful heir."² The latter injunction was somewhat superfluous; Matilda's fondness for her first-born betrayed her into the most injudicious acts of partiality in his favour, and in all probability was the primary cause of the subsequent rupture between that wrong-headed prince and his royal father. The death of the earl of Flanders, Matilda's father, and the unsettled state of her native country, owing to the strife between her brothers and nephews, greatly troubled her, and added in no slight degree to the anxious cares with which her return to Normandy was clouded, after the brief splendour of her residence in England as queen.³

The breaking up of the court at Winchester, and the departure of queen Matilda with her children for Normandy, cast a deep gloom on the aspect of William's affairs. This was felt as a serious evil by the industrious classes, whose prosperity depended on the encouragement extended to their handiworks by the demands of the rich and powerful for those articles of adornment and luxury, in the fabrication of which many hands are profitably employed,—employment being equivalent to wealth with those whose time, ingenuity, or strength can be brought into the market in any tangible form. But where there is no custom, it is useless to tax the powers of the craftsman or artisan to produce articles which are not required. Such was the case in England from the year 1069, when, the queen and ladies of the court having quitted the country, trade languished, employment ceased, and the horrors of civil war were aggravated by the distress of a starving population.

William, to prevent the people of the land from confederating together in nocturnal assemblies, for the purpose of discussing their grievances and stimulating each other to revolt, compelled them to *couvre feu*, that is, to extinguish the lights and fires in their dwellings at eight

¹ Ord. Vit. H. of Hunt.² Ord. Vit. Wm. of Malms.³ Ord. Vit.

o'clock every evening, at the tolling of a bell, called from that circumstance the curfew, or *couvre feu*.¹ Such, at any rate, has been the popular tradition of ages, and traces of the custom in many places still remain. William had adopted the same measure, in his early career as duke of Normandy, to secure the better observance of his famous edict for the suppression of brawls and murders in his dominions, called emphatically "God's peace."²

When William took the field after Matilda's departure, and commenced one of his rapid marches towards York, where Waltheof had encouraged the Danish army to winter, he swore "by the splendour of God," his usual oath, that he would not leave one living soul in Northumberland. As soon as he entered Yorkshire, he began to execute his terrible threats of vengeance, laying the whole country waste with fire and sword. After the long-defended city of York was surrendered at discretion by Waltheof, he won that powerful Saxon leader to his cause by bestowing upon him in marriage his beautiful niece, Judith. These fatal nuptials were solemnized among the ruins of the vanquished city of York, where the Conqueror kept his Christmas amidst the desolation he had wrought.³

The melancholy details of William's work of devastation in the north of England are pathetically recorded by the Saxon chronicle, and we will close the brief annals of the direful years 1070 and 1071 with the death of earl Edwin, the affianced husband of one of the daughters of the Conqueror and Matilda. The stern nature of the Conqueror was melted into compassion; and he is said to have shed tears when the bleeding head of the young Saxon, with its long flowing hair, was presented to him by the traitors who had beguiled him into the Norman ambush, and instead of conferring the expected reward on the murderers, he condemned them to perpetual exile.⁴

The Saxon bishops had stood forth as champions for the rights and ancient laws of the people, and William, finding it impossible to awe or silence these true patriots, proceeded to deprive them of their benefices. It was in vain for the English clergy to appeal to the Roman pontiff for protection, for William was supported by the authority of the new system of church government adopted by the Norman bishops, which was to deprive the people of the use of the Scriptures in the Saxon tongue; thereby rendering one of the best and noblest legacies bequeathed to them by that royal reformer, king Alfred—the translation commenced by him of the Word of God—a dead letter. It was the earnest desire of our Norman sovereigns to silence the Saxon tongue for ever, by substituting in its place the Norman dialect, which was a mixture of French and Danish. It was, however, found to be a more easy

¹ Speed. It was first established at Winchester.—Cassan's Bishops of Winchester.

² Ord. Vit. The curfew is still tolled in some

districts of Normandy, where it is called *La Retraite*.—Ducarel

³ M Paris.

⁴ Ord. Vit. p. 521. J. Bromptou.

thing to subjugate the land, than to suppress the natural language of the people. A change was all that could be effected, by the amalgamation of the two languages, the Normans gradually acquiring as many of the Saxon words and idioms as the Anglo-Saxons were compelled to use of theirs. Latin was used by the learned, as a general medium of communication, and thus became, in a slight degree, mingled with the parlance of the more refined portion of society. From these mingled elements our own copious and expressive language was in process of time formed.

Matilda returned to England in the year 1072: she kept her Easter festival that spring at Winchester with her lord, and her Whitsuntide at Windsor. A fierce controversy between the primates of Canterbury and York, on the nice point of ecclesiastical precedence, which first commenced in the chapel-royal within Winchester-castle, was then terminated in the presence of the king and queen; and, an amicable instrument, acknowledging the supremacy of the archbishop of Canterbury was drawn up and witnessed by the signature of William the king, the signature of Matilda the queen,¹ that of the pope's legate, and all the hierarchy and mitred abbots present, who had assembled in convocation on this important matter.

The unsettled state of England had the effect of again dividing William from his beloved queen, and forced them for a considerable time to reign separately,—he in England, and she in Normandy. Matilda conducted the regency of Normandy during all the troubles in which her lord was involved, with great prudence and address. She was placed in a position of peculiar difficulty, in consequence of the revolt of the province of Maine, and the combined simultaneous invasion of the king of France and the duke of Bretagne. Matilda was compelled to apply to her absent lord for succour. William immediately despatched the son of Fitz-Osborn to assist his fair regent in her military arrangements for the defence of Normandy, and expedited a peace with the king of Scotland, that he might the sooner come to her aid in person with his veteran troops.

The Norman ladies were at that period extremely malcontent at the long-protracted absence of their husbands.² The wife of Hugh Grantmesnil, the governor of Winchester, had caused them great uneasiness by the reports she had circulated of the infidelities of their husbands. These representations had induced the indignant dames to send peremptory messages for the immediate return of their lords. In some instances the warlike Normans had yielded obedience to these conjugal mandates, and returned home, greatly to the prejudice of William's affairs in England. This was the aim of the lady of Grantmesnil, who had for some reason conceived a particular ill-will against

¹ Wm. of Malms. See also Lanfranc's Letters, ed. Giles.

² Ord. Vit. Wm. of Malms.

her sovereign; and not content with doing everything in her power to incite his Norman subjects to revolt, she had thought proper to cast the most injurious aspersions on his character as a husband, and insinuated that he had made an attempt on her virtue.¹

Githa, the mother of Harold, eagerly caught at these reports, which she took great pleasure in circulating. She communicated them to Sweno, king of Denmark, and added, that the reason why Merleswen, a Kentish noble of some importance, had joined the late revolt in England was, because the Norman tyrant had dishonoured his fair niece, the daughter of one of the canons of Canterbury. This tale, whether false or true, came in due course to Matilda's ears, and caused the first conjugal difference that had ever arisen between her and her lord. She was by no means of a temper to take any affront of the kind patiently, and it is said that she caused the unfortunate tamsel to be put to death, with circumstances of great cruelty.² Hearne, in his notes to Robert of Gloucester, furnishes us with a curious sequel to this tale extracted from a very ancient chronicle among the Cottonian MSS., which, after relating "that the priest's daughter was privily slain by a confidential servant of Matilda, the queen," adds, "that the Conqueror was so enraged at the barbarous revenge taken by his consort, that, on his return to Normandy, he beat her with his bridle so severely, that she soon after died." Now, it is certain Matilda lived full ten years after the period at which this matrimonial discipline is said to have been inflicted upon her by the strong arm of the Conqueror; and the worthy chronicler himself, merely relates it as one of the current rumours of the day. We are willing to hope that the story altogether has originated from the scandalous reports of that malign busybody of the eleventh century, the lady Grantmesnil; though, at the same time, it is to be feared, that the woman who was capable of inflicting such deadly vengeance on the unfortunate Saxon nobleman who had been the object of her earliest affections, would not have been very scrupulous in her dealings with a female whom she suspected of having rivalled her in her husband's regard. William of Malmesbury bears testimony to the conjugal affection which subsisted between the Conqueror and Matilda, "whose obedience to her husband, and fruitfulness in bringing him so many children," he says, "excited in his mind the tenderest regard towards her." If any cause of anger or mistrust had occurred, during their long separation, to interrupt the conjugal happiness of Matilda and her husband, it was but a passing cloud, for historians all agree that they were living together in a state of the most affectionate union during the year 1074, great part of which was spent by the Conqueror with his family in Normandy.³

¹ Henderson. Ord. Vit. ² She caused her to be ~~slain~~ *strangled*.—Rapin. Henderson says, Matilda ordered her jaws to be slit."

³ Ord. Vit. Wm. of Malms. Saxon Annals.

It was at this period that Edgar Atheling came to the court at Caen, to make a voluntary submission to the Norman sovereign, and to entreat his forgiveness for the several insurrections in which he had been engaged. The Conqueror freely accorded an amnesty, treated him with great kindness, and pensioned him with a daily allowance of a pound of silver,¹ in the hope that this amicable arrangement would secure his government in England from all future disturbances. He was mistaken: fresh troubles had already broken out in that quarter, but this time they proceeded from his own turbulent Norman chiefs; one of them, withal, was the son of his great favourite and trusty kinsman, Fitz-Osborn, who was defeated and taken prisoner by the nobles and prelates of Worcester.² The Danish fleet, which had vainly hovered on the coast, waiting for a signal to land troops to assist the conspirators, was fain to retreat without effecting its object. As for the great Saxon earl, Waltheof, who had been drawn into the plot and betrayed by his Norman wife, Judith, to her uncle the Conqueror, he was, after a long suspense, beheaded on a rising ground just without the gates of Winchester; being the first English nobleman who had died by the hand of a public executioner.³

William next pursued his Norman traitor, Ralph de Guader, to the continent, and besieged him in the city of Dol, where he had taken refuge. The young duke of Bretagne, Alan Fergeant, assisted by the king of France, came with a powerful army to the succour of the besieged earl; and William was not only compelled to raise the siege, but to abandon his tents and baggage, to the value of fifteen thousand pounds. His diplomatic talents, however, enabled him to extricate himself from the embarrassing strait in which he had been placed, by a marriage between Alan and his daughter Constance. This alliance was no less advantageous to the princely bridegroom, than agreeable to William and Matilda. The nuptials were celebrated with great pomp, and the bride was dowered with all the lands of Chester, once the possessions of the unfortunate earl Edwin, who had formerly been contracted to one of her sisters.⁴

At the close of this year died Edith, the widow of Edward the Confessor. She had retired to a convent, but was treated with the respect and honour of a queen-dowager, and was buried in Westminster Abbey. She was long survived by her unfortunate sister-in-law, Edith

¹ Saxon Annals. Wm. of Malms. Brompton.

² Fitz-Osborn was a relation of his sovereign, and, before this act of contumacy, stood high in his favour. He was only punished with imprisonment for his share in the conspiracy. After a time his royal master, as a token that he was disposed to pardon him, sent him a costly suit of clothes; but Fitz-Osborn, instead of tendering his grateful acknowledgments for this present, ordered a

large fire to be made, and, in the presence of the messenger, burned the rich garments one by one, with the most insolent expressions of contempt. William was very angry at the manner in which his unwonted graciousness was received by his vassal kinsman, but inflicted no severer punishment than a lengthened term of imprisonment.—Henderson.

³ Ord. Vit.
⁴ Saxon Annals. S. Dunelm. Wm. of Malms.

or Alghitha, the widow of Harold, the other Saxon queen-dowager, who, having had woful experience of the calamities of greatness and the vanity of earthly distinctions, voluntarily resigned her royal title, and passed the residue of her days in obscurity.

In the year 1075, William and Matilda, with their family, kept the festival of Easter with great pomp at Fescamp, and attended in person the profession of their eldest daughter Cecilia, who was there veiled a nun by the archbishop John. "This royal maid had been educated with great care in the convent of Caen, where she was instructed in all the learning of the age, and several sciences. She was consecrated to the holy and indivisible Trinity, took the veil under the venerable abbess Matilda, and faithfully conformed to all the rules of conventual discipline. Cecilia succeeded this abbess in her office, having, for fourteen years, maintained the highest reputation for sanctity and wisdom. From the moment that she was dedicated to God by her father, she became a true servant of the Most High, and continued a pure and holy virgin, attending to the pious rules of her order for a period of fifty-two years."¹

Soon after the profession of the lady Cecilia, those fatal divisions began to appear in the royal family, of which Matilda had sown the seeds by the injurious partiality she had shown for Robert, her first-born. This prince, having been associated with his royal mother in the regency of Normandy from the age of fourteen, had been brought more into public than was perhaps desirable at a period of life when presumptuous ideas of self-importance are only too apt to inflate the mind. Robert, during his father's long absence, was not only emancipated from all control, but had accustomed himself to exercise the functions of a sovereign in Normandy by anticipation, and to receive the homage and flattery of all ranks of people in the dominions to which he was the heir. The Conqueror, it seems, had promised that he would one day bestow the duchy of Normandy on him; and Robert having represented the ducal majesty for nearly eight years, considered himself an injured person when his royal father took the power into his own hands once more, and exacted from him the obedience of a subject, and the duty of a son.² There was also a jealous rivalry between Robert and his two younger brothers, William-Rufus and Henry. William-Rufus, notwithstanding his rude, boisterous manners, and the apparent recklessness of his disposition, had an abundant share of world-craft, and well knew how to adapt himself to his father's humour, so that he was no less a favourite with the Conqueror than Robert was with Matilda. Robert had been in his infancy espoused to Margaret, the niece of Herbert, the last earl of Maine. Margaret died while they were yet children, and William of Normandy, who had taken her lands under his wardship, annexed them

¹ Ord. Vit. Wm. of Malms² Ord. Vit.

to his own dominions after her death. When the juvenile widower became of age, he considered himself entitled to the earldom and lands of Maine in right of his deceased wife, and claimed them of his father, who put him off with fair words, but withheld the territory; though the people of Maine demanded Robert for their lord, and, at the surrender of the revolted city of Mans, it was among the articles of capitulation that he should receive the investiture of the earldom. This condition was violated by the Conqueror, who had no mind to part with any portion of his acquisitions during his life; verifying in this, as in every other action, the predictions of the gossips at his birth, "that he would grasp everything within his reach, and that which he had once grasped he would keep."¹

While Matilda and William were with their family at the castle of l'Aigle, their two younger sons, William and Henry, in wanton play, threw dirty water from the balcony of an upper apartment on Robert and some of his partisans, who were walking in the court below. The fiery heir of Normandy construed this act of boyish folly into an act of studied contempt; and being just then in an irritable and excited frame of mind, he drew his sword and rushed up stairs, with a threat of taking deadly vengeance on the youthful transgressors who had offered this insult to him before the whole court. This occasioned a prodigious tumult and uproar in the castle, and nothing but the presence and stern authority of the king, who, hearing the alarm, burst into the room with his drawn sword in his hand, could have prevented fatal consequences.² Robert, not obtaining the satisfaction he expected for the affront he had received, privately retired from the court that very evening, followed by a party of the young nobility whom he had attached to his cause.³

Richard, the second son of William and Matilda, does not appear to have taken any part in these quarrels. He was the pupil of the learned Lanfranc, and was probably occupied with studious pursuits, as he is said to have been a prince of great promise, and of an amiable disposition.⁴ He died in England, in the flower of his youth. According to popular tradition, he was gored by a stag, while hunting in the New Forest, which caused his death; but some historians record that he died of a fever, occasioned by the malaria in the depopulated district of Hampshire, at the time when so many thousands of the unfortunate Saxons perished by famine, in consequence of having been driven from their homes when the Conqueror converted that once fertile part of England into a chase, for the enjoyment of his favourite amusement of hunting. Prince Richard was buried in Winchester Cathedral: a slab of stone, marked with his name, is still seen there.

The Saxon chronicle comments on the oppressive statutes enacted by

¹ Ord. Vit.² Ibid.³ Wm. of Malms.⁴ Camden. Sax. Chron.

the Norman conqueror for the preservation of game in an eloquent strain of indignant irony, and says, "he loved the tall deer as if he had been their father." That game-laws were in existence at a much earlier period, is most certain; but it was during this reign that they were rendered a grievance to the people, and assumed the character of a moral wrong in the legislature of the country. The more enlightened policy of modern jurisprudence has in some degree ameliorated the rigorous penalties enacted by our Norman line of sovereigns against poaching in its various departments, but the bitterness engendered by the spirit of those laws remains in full force in the hearts of those classes against whom the statutes are supposed to point, and is constantly acted upon by persons assuming the office of political agitators, for the purpose of creating divisions between the people and their rulers.

CHAPTER III.

THE feud between her royal husband and their first-born was very painful to Matilda, whose anxious attempts to effect a reconciliation were unavailing. When Robert's passion was somewhat cooled, he consented to see his father, but the interview was anything but friendly.

Robert assumed a very high tone, and repeated his demand of being invested with the duchies of Normandy and Maine. The Conqueror sternly bade his ambitious heir "remember the fate of Absalom, and not to listen to the evil counsellors who wished to seduce him from the paths of duty." On which Robert insolently replied, "That he did not come there to listen to sermons, with which he had been nauseated by his tutors when he was learning grammar, but to claim the investiture which had been promised to him. Answer me positively," continued he; "are not these things my right? Have you not promised to bestow them on me?"¹—"It is not my custom to strip till I go to bed," replied the Conqueror; "and as long as I live, I will not deprive myself of my native realm, Normandy; neither will I divide it with another, for it is written in the holy evangelists, 'Every kingdom that is divided against itself shall become desolate.'² I won England by mine own good sword; the vicar of Christ placed the diadem of its ancient kings on my brow and the sceptre in mine hand, and I swear that all the world combined shall not compel me to delegate my power to another. It is not to be borne, that he who owes his existence to me should aspire to be my rival in mine own dominions." Robert scornfully rejoined, with equal pride and disrespect, "If it be inconvenient for you to keep your word, I will withdraw from Normandy

¹ Ord. Vit. Hemmingford. Walsingham.

² Ord. Vit. S. Dunelm. P. Daniel.

and seek justice from strangers, for here I will not remain as a subject."¹

With these words he quitted the royal presence, and with a party of disaffected nobles, took refuge with Matilda's brother, Robert, earl of Flanders, surnamed "le Frison," from his having married the Countess of Friesland. From this uncle, Robert received very bad advice, and the king of France endeavoured by all the means in his power, to widen the breach between the undutiful heir of Normandy and his father. Encouraged by these evil counsellors, Robert busied himself in fomenting discontents and organizing a formidable faction in his father's dominions, whence he drew large sums in the shape of presents and loans, from many of the vassals of the ducal crown, who were willing to ingratiate themselves with the heir apparent, and to conciliate the favour of the queen-duchess, whose partial fondness for her eldest son was well known.

The supplies thus obtained Robert improvidently lavished among his dissolute companions, both male and female. In consequence of this extravagance, he was occasionally reduced to the greatest inconvenience. When under the pressure of those pecuniary embarrassments, which could not fail to expose him to the contempt of the foreign princes who espoused his quarrel against his father, he was wont to apply to his too indulgent mother, Matilda, by whom he was so passionately beloved that she could refuse him nothing; from her private coffers she secretly supplied him with large sums of silver and gold, and when these resources were exhausted, she had the weakness to strip herself of her jewels and rich garments for the same purpose.² This system continued even when Robert had taken up arms against his father and sovereign. Roger de Beaumont,—that faithful minister whom William had, previous to his first embarkation on the memorable expedition from St. Vallery, appointed as the premier of Normandy, and who had ever since assisted his royal mistress, not only with his counsels in the administration of affairs of state, but even in the education of her children,—felt it his duty to inform his sovereign of the underhand proceedings of Matilda in favour of her rebel son.³

William was in England when the startling intelligence reached him of the unnatural rebellion of his first-born, and the treachery of his beloved consort, in whom he had ever reposed the most unbounded confidence. He appears scarcely to have given credence to the representations of Roger de Beaumont relating to the conduct of his queen, till, on his return to Normandy, he intercepted one of Matilda's private agents, named Sampson, who was charged with communications from the queen to Robert, which left no doubt on William's mind by whom his undutiful son had been supplied with the means of carrying on his

¹ Ord. Vit.² Wm. of Malms. Ord. Vit.³ Wm. of Malms.

plots and hostile measures against his government.¹ There was a stern grandeur, not unmingled with tenderness, in the reproof which he addressed to his offending consort on this occasion. "The observation of a certain philosopher is true," said he, "and I have only too much cause to admit the force of his words,—

' Naufragium rerum est mulier malefida marito :'

" 'The woman who deceives her husband is the destruction of her own house.' Where in all the world could you have found a companion so faithful and devoted in his affection?" continued he, passionately. "Behold my wife, she whom I have loved as my own soul, to whom I have confided the government of my realms, my treasure, and all that I possessed in the world of power and greatness,—she hath supported mine adversary against me,—she hath strengthened and enriched him from the wealth which I confided to her keeping,—she hath secretly employed her zeal and subtlety in his cause, and done everything she could to encourage him against me!"²

Matilda's reply to this indignant but touching appeal, which her royal husband, more it should appear in sorrow than in anger, addressed to her is no less remarkable for its impassioned eloquence than the subtlety with which she evades the principal point on which she is pressed, and entrenches herself on the strong ground of maternal love. "My lord," said she, "I pray you not to be surprised if I feel a mother's tenderness for my first-born son. By the virtue of the Most High, I protest, that if my son Robert were dead, and hidden far from the sight of the living, seven feet deep in the earth, and the price of my blood could restore him to life, I would cheerfully bid it flow. For his sake I would endure any suffering, yea, things from which, on any other occasion, the feebleness of my sex would shrink with terror. How, then, could you suppose that I could enjoy the pomp and luxuries with which I was surrounded, when I knew that he was pining in want and misery? Far from my heart be such hardness, nor ought your authority to impose such insensibility on a mother."³

William is reported to have turned pale with anger at this rejoinder. It was not, however, on Matilda, the object of his adoring and constant affection, that he prepared to inflict the measure of vengeance which her transgression against him had provoked. Sampson, the comparatively innocent agent whom she had employed in this transaction, was doomed to pay the dreadful penalty of the offence with the loss of sight, by the order of his enraged sovereign.⁴ In such cases it is usual for the instrument to be the sacrifice, and persons of the kind are generally yielded up as a sort of scape-goat, or expiatory victim. But Matilda did not abandon her terrified agent in his distress; she contrived to

¹ Ord. Vit.

² Ibid.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.

convey a hasty intimation of his peril, and her desire of preserving him, to some of the persons who were devoted to her service; and Sampson, more fortunate than his illustrious namesake of yore, was enabled to escape the cruel sentence of his lord by taking sanctuary in the monastery of Ouche, of which Matilda was a munificent patroness. Nevertheless, as it was a serious thing to oppose the wrath of such a prince as William, the abbot Manier found no other way of securing the trembling fugitive from his vengeance, than that of causing him to be shorn, shaven, and professed a monk of Ouche the same day he entered the convent, "in happy hour both for his body and soul," observes the contemporary chronicler who relates this circumstance.¹

It does not appear that William's affection for Matilda suffered any material diminution in consequence of these transactions, neither would he permit any one to censure her conduct in his presence.² She was the love of his youth, the solace of his meridian hours of life, and she preserved her empire over his mighty heart to the last hour of her life. But though the attachment of the Conqueror to his consort remained unaltered, the happiness of the royal pair was materially impaired. Robert, their first-born, was in arms against his father and sovereign, and at the head of a numerous army,—supported by the hostile power of France on the one hand, and the disaffected portion of William's subjects on the other. He had made a formidable attack on Rouen, and in several instances obtained successes which at first astonished his indignant parent, who had certainly greatly underrated the military talents of his heir. When, however, the Conqueror perceived that the filial foe who had thus audaciously displayed his rebel banner against him inherited the martial genius of his race, and was by no means unlikely to prove a match for himself in the art of war, he advanced with a mighty army to give him battle. The royal chiefs of Normandy met in hostile encounter on the plain of Gerberoi, near the castle of Gerburg. William Rufus, the Conqueror's favourite son, was in close attendance on his father's person that day. This prince had already received the honour of knighthood from Lanfranc, archbishop of Canterbury, his tutor, and he was eager to assist in humbling the pride of his elder brother, over whom the Conqueror anticipated a signal triumph.³

The battle was fought with no common fury on both sides; but Robert, who headed a choice body of cavalry, decided the fortune of the day by his impetuous charge upon the rearward of his foes, where his royal father commanded. It was in this charge that Robert, unconscious who the doughty champion was against whom he tilted, ran his father through the arm with his lance, and unhorsed him.⁴ This

¹ *Ord. Vit.*

² *Ibid.*

³ *Rog. Hov. S. Dunelm. M. Paris. Polydore Vergil.*

⁴ *S. Dunelm. Wm. of Malms. Rog. Hov. M. Paris.*

was the first time that William had ever been overcome in single combat, for he was one of the strongest men and most approved knights of the age in which he lived; and it is a singular fact that in all the battles in which he had been engaged, he had never lost a drop of blood, till it was in this field drawn by the lance of his first-born. Transported with rage at the disgrace of the overthrow, he called so loudly and angrily for rescue, that Robert recognised him, either by his voice or some of his favourite expletives, and hastily alighting, raised him from the ground in his arms with much tenderness and respect, expressed the deepest concern at the unintentional crime of which he had been guilty, most humbly entreated his forgiveness, and then placing him on his own horse, he brought him safely out of the press.¹ According to some of the historians of that period, William, instead of meeting this generous burst of feeling on the part of his penitent son with answering emotions of paternal tenderness, was so infuriated at the humiliation he had received, that he uttered a malediction against him, which all the after submissions of Robert could not induce him to retract; while others equally deserving of credit, assert that he was so moved with the proof of Robert's dutiful reverence for his person, and the anxiety he had manifested for his safety, that he presently forgave him, and ever after held him in better respect. Both accounts may be true in part; for it is very possible, that when the conqueror of England found himself defeated by his rebel subjects on his native soil, and his hitherto invincible arm overcome by the prowess of his son (whose person he had been accustomed to mention with a contemptuous allusion to his inferiority in stature), he might, while the smart of his wound lasted, have indulged in a strong ebullition of wrathful reproach, not unmixed with execrations, of which it appears that he, in common with all Normans of that era, had an evil habit. But after his passion was abated, it is certain that he did, in compliance with the entreaties of his queen, consent to receive the submission of his victorious but penitent son.²

In this battle William Rufus was severely wounded, as well as his father, and there was a considerable slaughter of the English troops, of which the Conqueror's army was chiefly composed; for Robert had stolen the hearts of the Normans while associated in the regency with his mother Matilda, and his father considered it unsafe to oppose him with his native troops. As it was, Robert remained the master of the field, having that day given indubitable proofs of able generalship and great personal valour; but the perilous chance that had nearly rendered him the murderer of his father made so deep an impression on his mind, that he remained for a time conscience-stricken, which caused

¹ S. Dunelm. M. Paris.² Ord. Vit.

him to endeavour, by employing the intercession of his mother, to obtain a reconciliation with his offended sire.¹

Matilda had suffered greatly in mind during the unnatural warfare between her husband and her first-born, especially after the frightful circumstance of their personal encounter in the field of Gerberoi. Some feelings of self-reproach might possibly mingle with her uneasiness on this occasion. Her health began to decline, and William was at length moved by her incessant pleading, and the sight of her tears, to write a letter with his own hand to Robert, inviting him "to repair to Rouen, and receive a full pardon for his late rebellion, promising at the same time to grant him everything that he could expect from the affection of a father, consistently with the duty of a king." On the receipt of this welcome letter, Robert delayed not a moment to obey the summons. He came to Rouen, attended only by three servants; he was received by his parents in the most affectionate manner, and a temporary reconciliation was effected between him and his brethren.²

Matilda did not long enjoy the society of this beloved son; for the Conqueror's affairs in England demanding his presence, he thought proper to carry Robert with him, under the pretence that he required his services in a military capacity, to defend the northern counties against the aggression of Malcolm, king of Scotland, who had once more violated the treaty of peace. William considered Matilda was too much devoted to the interest of her first-born to render it expedient for him to remain with her in Normandy.

Meantime commissioners were appointed to make the great national survey,³ which was instituted by the Conqueror for the purpose of ascertaining the precise nature of the lands and tangible property throughout England; so that, says Ingulphus, "there was not a hide of land, water, or waste, but he knew the valuation, the owners and possessors, together with the rents and profits thereof; as also of all cities, towns, villages, hamlets, monasteries, and religious houses; causing, also, all the people in England to be numbered, their names to be taken, with notice what any one might *dispend* by the year; their substance, money, and bondmen recorded, with their cattle, and what service they owed to him who held of him in fee: all which was certified upon the oaths of commissioners."⁴

The proceedings of the commissioners were inquisitorial enough, no doubt, since they extended to ascertaining how much money every man had in his house, and what was owing to him. That in some instances, too, they were partial in their returns is evident, by the acknowledgment of Ingulphus, when, speaking of his own monastery of Croyland,

¹ Ord. Vit.

² Ibid. Henderson.

³ According to some historians the survey was not generally begun till 1080. It was

not fully completed till 1086.—Tindal's Notes on Rapin.

⁴ Ingulph.

he says, "The commissioners were so kind and civil, that they did not give in the true value of it : " we may therefore conclude that, whenever the proprietors made it worth their while, they were equally obliging elsewhere. Yet it was at the risk of severe punishment that any fraud, favour, connivance, or concealment was practised, by either the owners of the property or the commissioners. Robert of Gloucester, in his rhyming chronicle, gives the following quaint description of the Domesday-book :

" Then king William, to learn the worth of his land,
Let enquiry stretch throughout all England,
How many plough land, and hiden also,
Were in every shire, and what they were worth thereto ;
And the rents of each town, and the waters each one,
The worth, and woods eke, and wastes where lived none :
By that he wist what he were worth of all England,
And set it clearly forth that all might understand,
And had it clearly written, and that *script* he put, I wis,
In the treasury of Westminster, where it still is." ¹

The description or survey of England was written in two books, the Great and Little Domesday-book ;² and when finished, they were carefully laid up in the king's treasury or exchequer, to be consulted on occasion, or, as Polydore Vergil shrewdly observes, "when it was required to know of how much more wool the English flocks might be fleeced."

Matilda, though residing chiefly in Normandy, had her distinct revenues, perquisites, and privileges as queen of England. She was allowed to claim her *aurum reginæ*, or queen-gold ; that is, the tenth part of every fine voluntary that was paid to the crown.³ She received from the city of London sums to furnish oil for her lamp, wood for her hearth, and tolls or imposts on goods landed at Queenhithe ; with many other immunities, which the queen-consorts in latter days have not ventured to claim. The table at which the queen herself sat was furnished with viands at the daily expenditure of forty shillings. Twelve pence each was allowed for the sustenance of her hundred attendants.⁴

The royal revenues were never richer than in this reign, and they were not charged with any of the expenses attending on the maintenance of the military force of the country, for the king had taken care to impose that burden on such persons among his followers as had been enriched with the forfeited lands of the Anglo-Saxons. Almost every landed proprietor then held his estates on the tenure of performing crown-service, and furnishing a quota of men-at-arms at the king's need or pleasure. The principal or supreme court of judicature in ordinary was called *curia regis*, or 'king's court,' which was always at the royal

¹ In the Chapter-house, Westminster.

² The little book contains only Norfolk, Suffolk, and Essex.

³ Prynn's *Aurum Reginae*.

⁴ The household book of Edward IV., called the 'Black Book,' which cites precedents from extreme antiquity.

residence. There councils were held, and all affairs of state transacted; there the throne was placed, and there justice was administered to the subjects by the king, as chief magistrate.¹

The latter years of queen Matilda were spent in Normandy, where she continued to exercise the functions of government for her royal husband.² Ordericus Vitalis relates the particulars of a visit which she paid to the monastery of Ouche, to entreat the prayers of the abbot Manier, and his monks, in behalf of her second daughter, the lady Constance, the wife of Alan Fergeant, duke of Bretagne. This princess, who was passionately desirous of bringing an heir to Bretagne, was childless, and, to the grief of her mother, had fallen into a declining state of health. Matilda, in the hope of averting the apprehended death of the youthful duchess, sought the shrine of St. Eurole, the patron of the monks of Ouche, with prayers and offerings. She was most honourably received by the learned abbot Manier and his monks, who conducted her into the church. She offered a mark of gold on the altar there, and presented to the shrine of St. Eurole a costly ornament, adorned with precious stones, and vowed many other goodly gifts in case the saint was propitious. After this the queen-duchess dined in the common refectory, behaving at the same time with the most edifying humility, so as to leave an agreeable remembrance of her visit on the minds of the brethren of whom the worthy chronicler (who relates this circumstance to the honour and glory of his convent) was one.³

The visit and offerings of Matilda to the shrine of St. Eurole were unavailing to prolong the life of her daughter, for the duchess Constance died in the flower of her age, after an unfruitful marriage of seven years. Her remains were conveyed to England, and interred in the abbey of St. Edmund's Bury. Like all the children of William and Matilda, she had been carefully educated, and is said to have been a princess possessed of great mental acquirements.

The grief which the early death of her daughter caused Matilda, was succeeded by feelings of a more painful nature, in consequence of a fresh difference between her royal husband and her beloved son, Robert.

About this time, Matilda, hearing that a German hermit, of great sanctity, was possessed of the gift of prophecy, sent to entreat his prayers for the reconciliation of her jarring son and husband, and requested his opinion as to what would be the result of their feud.⁴ The hermit gave a

¹ Madox's History of the Exchequer.

² Ord. Vit.

³ Ordericus Vitalis, the most eloquent of all the historians of that period, and the most minute and faithful in his personal records of the Conqueror, his queen, and family, was nevertheless born in England, and of Anglo-Saxon parentage. He was ten years old at the epoch of the Norman invasion, when for better security he was, to use his own lan-

guage, "conveyed with weeping eyes from his native country, to be educated in Normandy at the convent of Ouche," which finally became so dear to him, that all the affections of his heart appear to have been centred within its bounds. In his Chronicle of the Norman sovereigns, he sometimes makes digressions of a hundred pages to descant on St. Eurole, and the merits of the brethren of Ouche

⁴ Ord. Vit.

very affectionate reception to the envoys of the queen, but demanded three days before he delivered his reply to her questions. On the third day he sent for the messengers, and gave his answer in the following strain of oracular allegory. "Return to your mistress," said he, "and tell her I have prayed to God in her behalf, and the Most High has made known to me in a dream the things she desires to learn. I saw in my vision a beautiful pasture, covered with grass and flowers, and a noble charger feeding therein. A numerous herd gathered round about, eager to enter and share the feast, but the fiery charger would not permit them to approach near enough to crop the flowers and herbage. But, alas! the majestic steed, in the midst of his pride and courage, died, his terror departed with him, and a poor silly steer appeared in his place, as the guardian of the pasture. Then the throng of meaner animals, who had hitherto feared to approach, rushed in, and trampled the flowers and grass beneath their feet, and that which they could not devour they defiled and destroyed. I will explain the mystery couched in this parable. The steed is William of Normandy, the conqueror of England, who, by his wisdom, courage, and power, keeps the surrounding foes of Normandy in awe. Robert is the dull, inactive beast who will succeed him; and then those baser sort of animals, the envious princes, who have long watched for the opportunity of attacking this fair, fruitful pasture, Normandy, will overrun the land, and destroy all the prosperity which its present sovereign has established. Illustrious lady, if, after hearing the words of the vision in which the Lord has vouchsafed to reply to my prayers, you do not labour to restore the peace of Normandy, you will henceforth behold nothing but misery, the death of your royal spouse, the ruin of all your race, and the desolation of your beloved country."¹ This clever apologue, in which some sagacious advice was implied, Matilda took for a prediction; and this idea, together with the increasing dissensions in her family, pressed heavily on her mind, and is supposed to have occasioned the lingering illness which slowly, but surely, conducted her to the tomb.

The evidence of a charter signed by William, king of England, Matildis, the queen, earl Robert, son of the king, earl William, son of the king, and earl Henry, son of the king, proves that a meeting had taken place between these illustrious personages in the year 1082. The charter recites that "William, king of England and Normandy, and his wife Matildis, daughter of Baldwin, duke of Flanders, and niece of Henry, king of France, conceded to the church of the Holy Trinity at Caen, for the good of their souls, the manors of Nailsworth, Felstede, Pinbury, and other lands in England."² The restitution of the said lands to their lawful owners or their heirs, would certainly have been a more acceptable work in the sight of the God of mercy and justice, than the obla-

¹ Ord. Vit.² A copy of this charter is in the *Bibliothèque, Paris*.

tion of wrong and robbery which was thus dedicated to his service by the mighty Norman conqueror and his dying consort. Nailsworth being part of the manor of Minchinghampton, in Gloucestershire, was a portion of the spoils of the unfortunate Brihtric Meaw, which Matilda, in the last year of her life, thus transferred to the church, in the delusive idea of atoning for the crime by which she obtained the temporal goods of him who had rejected her youthful love.

Matilda's last illness was attended with great depression of spirits. She endeavoured to obtain comfort by redoubling her devotional exercises and alms. She confessed her sins frequently, and with bitter tears. It is to be hoped that a feeling of true penitence was mingled with the affliction of the queen, who, at the highest pinnacle of earthly grandeur, afforded a melancholy exemplification of the vanity and insufficiency of the envied distinctions with which she was surrounded, and was dying of a broken heart.¹ As soon as William, who was in England, was informed of the danger of his beloved consort, he hastily embarked for Normandy, and arrived at Caen in time to receive her last farewell.²

Matilda expired on the 2nd of November, 1083, in the fifty-second year of her age, having borne the title of queen of England seventeen years, and duchess of Normandy upwards of thirty-one. Her body was carried to the convent of the Holy Trinity at Caen, which she had built and munificently endowed. The corpse of the queen-duchess was reverentially received, at the portal of the church, by a numerous procession of bishops and abbots, conducted within the choir, and deposited before the high altar. Her obsequies were celebrated with great pomp and solemnity by the monks and clerks, and attended by a vast concourse of the poor, to whom she had been throughout life a generous benefactress, "and frequently," says Ordericus Vitalis, "relieved with bounteous alms, in the name of her Redeemer."

A magnificent tomb was raised to her memory by her sorrowing lord, adorned with precious stones and elaborate sculpture; and her epitaph, in Latin verse, was emblazoned thereon in letters of gold, setting forth in pompous language the lofty birth and noble qualities of the illustrious dead. The following is a translation of the quaint monkish rhymes, which defy the imitative powers of modern poetry:—

" Here rests within this fair and stately tomb,
Matilda, scion of a regal line ;
The Flemish duke her sire, and Adalais
Her mother, to great Robert king of France
Daughter, and sister to his royal heir.
In wedlock to our mighty William joined,
She built this holy temple, and endowed
With lands and goodly gifts. She, the true friend

¹ Ord. Vit.

² Wm. of Malm. Rog. Hov. Ing. Ord. Vit.

Of piety and soother of distress,
 Enriching others, indigent herself,
 Reserving all her treasures for the poor;
 And, by such deeds as these, she merited
 To be partaker of eternal life :
 To which she passed November 2, 1083."

Matilda's will, which is in the register of the abbey of the Holy Trinity of Caen,¹ fully bears out the assertion of her epitaph, touching her poverty; since, from the items in this curious and interesting record, it is plain that the first of our Anglo-Norman queens had little to leave in the way of personal property: the bulk of her landed possessions was already settled on her son Henry. "I give," says the royal testatrix, "to the abbey of the Holy Trinity my tunic, worked at Winchester by Alderet's wife; and the mantle embroidered with gold, which is in my chamber, to make a cope. Of my two golden girdles, I give that which is ornamented with emblems, for the purpose of suspending the lamp before the great altar. I give my large candelabra, made at St. Lo, my crown, my sceptre, my cups in their cases, another cup made in England, with all my horse-trappings, and all my vessels; and, lastly, I give the lands of Quetchou and Cotentin, except those which I may already have disposed of in my lifetime, with two dwellings in England; and I have made all these bequests with the consent of my husband."

It is amusing to trace the feminine feeling with regard to dress and *bijouterie* which has led the dying queen to enumerate, in her last will and testament, her embroidered tunic, girdle, and mantle, with sundry other personal decorations, before she mentions the lands of Quetchou and Cotentin, and her two dwellings in England,—objects evidently of far less importance, in her opinion, than her rich array. Ducarel tells us, that among the records preserved in the archives of the Holy Trinity at Caen, there is a curious MS. containing an account of Matilda the royal foundress's wardrobe, jewels, and toilette; but he was unable to obtain a sight of this precious document, because of the jealous care with which it was guarded by those holy ladies, the abbess and nuns of that convent.²

Matilda did not live long enough to complete her embroidered chronicle of the conquest of England. The outline of the pattern traced on the bare canvas in several places, in readiness for her patient needle, affords, after the lapse of nearly eight centuries, a moral comment on the uncertainty of human life,—the vanity of human undertakings, which, in the aggregate, are arrested in full career by the hand of death, and remain, like the Bayeux tapestry, unfinished fragments.

Till the middle of the seventeenth century, the portraits of Matilda and William were carefully preserved on the walls of St. Stephen's

¹ Ducarel's Norman Antiquities.

² Ibid.

chapel at Caen. The queen had caused these portraits to be painted when this magnificent endowment was founded.¹ Matilda took great delight in pictorial memorials; and if we may judge by the engraving from her portrait, preserved in Montfauçon, it were a pity that so much grace and beauty should fade from the earth without remembrance. Her costume is singularly dignified and becoming. The robe simply gathered round the throat, a flowing veil falling from the back of the head on the shoulders, is confined by an elegant circlet of gems. The face is beautiful and delicate; the hair falls in waving tresses round her throat; with one hand she confines her drapery, and holds a book; she extends her sceptre with the other, in an attitude full of grace and dignity. Montfauçon declares that this painting was actually copied from the wall, before the room in which it was preserved was pulled down. The elegance of the design and costume ought not to raise doubts of its authenticity, for it is well known that all works of art were much better executed before the destruction of Constantinople than after that period. Matilda's costume was extremely graceful; the noble circlet, the flowing transparent veil, the natural curls parted on each side the brow, the vestal stole, drawn round the neck in regular folds, the falling sleeves, the gemmed zone, confining the plaits of a garment that swept the ground in rich fulness, altogether formed a costume which would not have disgraced a Grecian statue.

Matilda bore ten children to her royal spouse; namely, four sons and six daughters. Robert, surnamed Courthose, her eldest son, succeeded his father as duke of Normandy. This darling son of Matilda's heart is thus described in the old chronicler's lines:—

“ He was y-wox [grown] ere his fader to England came,
 Thick man he was enow, but not well long;
 Square was he, and well made for to be strong.
 Before his fader, once on a time he did sturdy deed,
 When he was young, who beheld him, and these words said:
 ‘ By the uprising of God, Robelyn me sall see,
 The Courthose, my young son, a stalwart knight sall be;’—
 For he was somewhat short, so he named him Courthose,
 And he might never after this name lose.
 He was quiet of counsel and speech, and of body strong,
 Never yet man of might in Christendom, ne in Paynim,
 In battail from his steed could bring him down.”²

After the death of Matilda, Robert broke out in open revolt against his royal father once more: and the Conqueror, in his famous death-bed speech and confession, alluded to this conduct with great bitterness. “The dukedom of Normandy,” said the dying monarch, “before I fought in the vale Sanguelac, with Harold, I granted unto my son Robert, for that he is my first begotten; and having received the

¹ Montfauçon's ‘*Monumens de la Monarchie Française*.’

² Robert of Gloucester.

homage of his baronage, that honour given cannot be revoked. Yet I know that it will be a miserable reign which is subject to the rule of his government, for he is a foolish, proud knave, and is to be punished with cruel fortune."¹ Robert acquired the additional cognomen of the Unready, from the circumstance of being always out of the way when the golden opportunity of improving his fortunes occurred.

Robert, though an indifferent politician, was a gallant knight and a skilful general. He joined the crusade under Godfrey of Boulogne, and so greatly distinguished himself at the taking of the holy city, that of all the Christian princes, his fellow-crusaders, he was judged most deserving of the crown of Jerusalem. This election was made on the Easter-eve as they all stood at the high altar in the temple, each holding an unlighted wax-taper in his hand, and beseeching God to direct their choice; when the taper which duke Robert held becoming ignited without any visible agency, it was regarded by the rest of the Croises as a miraculous intimation in his favour, and he was entreated to accept the kingdom,² but he declined it, under the idea that he should obtain the crown of England.

Richard, the second son of William the Conqueror and Matilda, died in England in the lifetime of his parents. William, their third son, surnamed Rufus, or Rous,³ from the colour of his hair, and called by the Saxon historians "the Red King," succeeded to the crown of England after his father's death. Henry, the fourth and youngest son of William and Matilda, won the surname of Beauclerc by his scholastic attainments, and succeeded to the throne of England after the death of William Rufus.

There is great confusion among historians and genealogists respecting the names of the daughters of Matilda and the Conqueror, and the order of their birth. William of Malmesbury, who wrote in the reign of Henry I., when enumerating the daughters of the Conqueror, says, "Cecilia, the abbess of Caen, still survives." The generality of historians mention Constance, the wife of Alan, duke of Bretagne, as the second daughter of this illustrious pair. Ordericus Vitalis, a contemporary, calls her the third,⁴ and Agatha the second daughter. Of Agatha he relates the following interesting particulars: "This princess, who had been formerly affianced to Harold, was demanded of her father in marriage by Alphonso, king of Galicia, but manifested the greatest repugnance to this alliance." She told her father "that her heart was devoted to her first spouse, and that she should consider it an abomination if she gave her hand to another. She had seen and loved her Saxon betrothed, and she revolted from a union with the foreign monarch whom she had never seen;" and bursting into tears, she added with passionate emo-

¹ See death-bed speech of the Conqueror, in Speed's Chronicle.

² Ord. Vit.

³ "Après William Bastardus regna Willelmus Rous."—Fitz-Stephen's Chronicle.

⁴ Ord. Vit. Wm. of Malma.

tion, "that she prayed that the Most High would rather take her to himself, than allow her ever to be transported into Spain." Her prayer was granted, the reluctant bride died on her journey to her unknown lord. Her remains were conveyed to her native land, and interred at Bayeux, in the church of St. Mary the perpetual Virgin.¹

Sandford calls this princess the sixth daughter. If so, she could not have been the betrothed of Harold, but of earl Edwin; and, indeed, if we reflect on the great disparity in age between Harold and the younger daughters of William of Normandy, and take into consideration the circumstances of his breach of contract with the little Norman lady by wedding Alghitha, it is scarcely probable that his memory could have been cherished with the passionate fondness Ordericus Vitalis attributes to the lady Agatha; whereas Edwin was young, and remarkable for his beauty. The breach of William's promise too, was the cause of Edwin's revolt, which implies that the youthful thane was deeply wounded at the refusal; and it is at least probable, that to the princess who had innocently been made a snare to him by her guileful sire, he might have become an object of the tenderest affection. Malmesbury, speaking of this princess, says, "Agatha, to whom God granted a virgin death, was so devoted to the exercises of religion, that after her decease it was discovered that her knees had become hard, like horn, with constant kneeling."

Adela, or Adelia, generally **classed** as the fourth daughter of William and Matilda, Ordericus Vitalis places as the fifth, and says, "She was sought in marriage by Stephen, earl of Blois, who was desirous of allying himself with the aspiring family of the Conqueror, and by the advice of William's counsellors she was united to him. This princess was a learned woman, and possessed of considerable diplomatic talents. She had four sons: William, an idiot; Thibaut, surnamed the great earl of Champagne; Stephen de Blois, who succeeded to the English throne after the death of Henry I.; and Henry bishop of Winchester. After the death of the count de Blois, her husband, the countess Adela took the veil at Marigny."²

Gundred, or Gundreda, the sixth and youngest daughter of the Conqueror and Matilda, was married to William de Warren, a powerful Norman noble, and the first earl of Surrey in England. By him the lady Gundred had two sons. Gundred only survived her royal mother two years. She died, anno 1085, in child-bed at Castleacre in Norfolk, and is buried in the chapter-house of St. Pancras church, within the priory, at Lewes in Sussex.³

¹ Ord. Vit.

² Ord. Vit.

³ Sandford. St. Pancras church and monastery had been founded and munificently endowed by her lord, for the health (as his charter recites) of his soul, and the soul of

Gundred his wife, and for the soul of king William, who brought him into England for the health also of queen Maud, mother of his wife, and for the health of king William her son, who made him earl of Surrey.—Horsfield's Hist. of the Antiquities

The death of his beloved queen Matilda afflicted the Conqueror very deeply. He wept excessively for many days after her decease; and to testify how keenly he felt her loss, he renounced his favourite amusement of hunting, and all the boisterous sports in which he formerly delighted.¹ To the honour of Matilda, it has been asserted by some of the historians of the period, that she used her influence over the mind of her mighty lord for the mitigation of the sufferings of the people whom he had subjugated to his yoke. Thomas Rudborne, the author of the *Annals of Winton*, says, "King William, by the advice of Matilda, treated the English kindly as long as she lived, but after her death he became a thorough tyrant." It is certainly true, that after Matilda left England, in 1070, the condition of the people became infinitely worse, and it is possible that it might have been aggravated by her death. Not only the happiness, but the worldly prosperity of William appeared sensibly diminished during his widowed state. In the course of the four years that he survived his consort, he experienced nothing but trouble and disquiet.²

William met with the accident which caused his death, at the storming of the city of Mantes. He had roused himself from a sick bed to execute a terrible vengeance on the French border, for the ribald joke which his old antagonist, the king of France, had passed on his malady; and in pursuance of his declaration "that he would set all France in a blaze at his uprising," he had ordered the city to be fired. While he was, with savage fury, encouraging his soldiers to pursue the work of destruction to which he had incited them, his horse, chancing to set his foot on a piece of burning timber, started, and occasioned his lord so severe an injury from the pummel of the saddle, as to bring on a violent access of fever.³ Being unable to remount his horse, after an accident which must have appeared to him like a retributive chastisement for the barbarous deed in which he was engaged, he was conveyed in a litter to Rouen, where, perceiving he drew near his end, he began to experience

of Sussex, p. 232. Warren, though one of the most ferocious and rapacious of William's followers, was tenderly attached to his wife, whom he scarcely survived three years. The remains of both were discovered, October 28th, 1845, by the workmen in forming a cutting for the Lewes and Brighton railroad through the grounds of St. Pancras priory, in two leaden coffins, with the simple inscrip-

tion of GUNDRADA on the one, and WILHELMUS on the other. They are now deposited in Southover church, together with a tablet, previously discovered, which preserves part of the mutilated monastic verses that commemorated her virtues. They have been thus beautifully translated into modern English rhymes by the learned historian of Lewes:—

"Gundred, illustrious branch of princely race,
Brought into England's church balsamic grace;
Pious as Mary, and as Martha kind,
To generous deeds she gave her virtuous mind.
Though the cold tomb her Martha's part receives,
Her Mary's better part for ever lives.
O holy Pancras! keep, with gracious care,
A mother who has made thy sons her heir."

¹ Ord. Vit.² Wm. of Malms. Ord. Vit.³ Wm. of Malms. Higdon.

some compunctious visitings of conscience for the crimes and oppressions of which he had been guilty, and endeavoured to make some self-deceiving reparation for his wrongs.

In the first place, he ordered large sums to be distributed to the poor, and likewise for the building of churches, especially those which he had recently burnt at Mantes; next he set all the Saxon prisoners at liberty whom he had detained in his Norman prisons; among them were Morcar, and Ulnoth, the brother of Harold, who had remained in captivity from his childhood, when he was given in hostage by earl Godwin to Edward the Confessor. The heart of the dying monarch being deeply touched with remorse, he confessed that he had done Morcar much wrong: he bitterly bewailed the blood he had shed in England, and the desolation and woe he had caused in Hampshire for the sake of planting the New Forest, protesting "that having so misused that fair and beautiful land, he dared not appoint a successor to it, but left the disposal of that matter in the hands of God."¹ He had, however, taken some pains, by writing a letter to Lanfranc expressive of his earnest wish that William Rufus should succeed him in his regal dignity to secure the crown of England to this his favourite son,—for whom he called as soon as he had concluded his death-bed confessions,—and sealing the letter with his own seal, he put it into the hands of the prince, bidding him hasten to England with all speed, and deliver it to the archbishop, blessed him with a farewell kiss, and dismissed him.

When the Conqueror had settled his temporal affairs, he caused himself to be removed to Hermentrude, a pleasant village near Rouen,² that he might be more at liberty to prepare himself for death. On the 9th of September the awful change which he awaited took place. Hearing the sound of the great bell in the metropolitan church of St. Gervase near Rouen, William, raising his exhausted frame from the supporting pillows, asked "What it meant?"³ One of his attendants replying "that it then rang prime to Our Lady," the dying monarch lifting his eyes to heaven, and spreading abroad his hands, exclaimed, "I commend myself to that blessed lady, Mary the mother of God, that she by her holy intercession may reconcile me to her most dear Son, our Lord Jesus Christ;" and with these words he expired, in the sixty-fourth year of his age, 1087, after a reign of fifty-two years in Normandy, and twenty-one in England.

His eldest son, Robert, was absent in Germany at the time of his death;⁴ William was on his voyage to England; Henry, who had taken charge of his obsequies, suddenly departed on some self-interested business; and all the great officers of the court having dispersed themselves, some to offer their homage to Robert, and others to William, the

¹ See William's death-bed confession in Speed. ² Eadmer. ³ Ord. Vit. Wm. of Malm.

⁴ Ord. Vit. Brumpton.

inferior servants of the household, with their rapacious confederates, took the opportunity of plundering the house where their sovereign had just breathed his last of all the money, plate, wearing apparel, hangings, and precious furniture; they even stripped the person of the royal dead, and left his body naked upon the floor.¹

Every one appeared struck with consternation and dismay, and neither the proper officers of state nor the sons of the deceased king issuing the necessary orders respecting the funeral, the remains of the Conqueror were left wholly neglected, till Herlewin, a poor country knight,—but in all probability the same Herlewin who married his mother Arlotta,—undertook to convey the royal corpse to Caen, at his own cost, for interment in the abbey of St. Stephen, where it was met by prince Henry and a procession of monks.² Scarcely, however, had the burial rites commenced, when there was a terrible alarm of fire in that quarter of the town; and as there was great danger of the devouring element communicating to the cloisters of St. Stephen, the monks, who were far more concerned for the preservation of their stately abbey than for the lifeless remains of the munificent founder, scampered out of the church, without the slightest regard to decency or the remonstrances of prince Henry and the faithful Herlewin. The example of the ecclesiastics was followed by the secular attendants, so that the hearse of the mighty William was in a manner wholly deserted till the conflagration was suppressed.³ The monks then re-entered the holy fane and proceeded with the solemnity, if so it might be called; but the interruptions and accidents with which it had been marked were not yet ended, for when the funeral sermon was finished, the stone coffin set in the grave which had been dug in the chancel between the choir and the altar, and the body ready to be lain therein, Anselm Fitz-Arthur, a Norman gentleman, stood forth and forbade the interment: “This spot,” said he, “was the site of my father’s house, which this dead duke took violently from him, and here, upon part of mine inheritance, founded this church. This ground I therefore challenge, and I charge ye all, as ye shall answer it at the great and dreadful day of judgment, that ye lay not the bones of the despoiler on the hearth of my fathers.”⁴

The effect of this bold appeal of a solitary individual, was an instant pause in the burial rite of the deceased sovereign. The claims of Anselm Fitz-Arthur were examined, and his rights recognised by prince Henry, who prevailed upon him to accept sixty shillings as the price of the grave, and to suffer the interment of his royal father to proceed, on the condition of his pledging himself to pay the full value of the rest of the land.⁵ The compensation was stipulated between Anselm Fitz-Arthur and prince Henry, standing on either side the grave, on the

¹ Ord. Vit. Brompton. Wm. of Malms. Speed

² Ibid ³ Ibid.

⁴ Eadmer. Wm. of Malms. Ord. Vit.

⁵ Ord. Vit. M. Paris.

verge of which, the unburied remains of the Conqueror rested, while the agreement was ratified in the presence of the mourners and assistant priests and monks, whereby Henry promised to pay, and Fitz-Arthur to receive, one hundred pounds of silver, as the purchase of the ground on which William had, thirty-five years previously, wrongfully founded the abbey of St. Stephen's, to purchase a dispensation from the pope for his marriage with his cousin Matilda of Flanders. The bargain having been struck, and the payment of the sixty shillings earnest money (for the occupation of the seven feet of earth required as the last abode of the conqueror of England) being tendered by the prince and received by Fitz-Arthur,—strange interlude in a royal funeral,—the obsequies were suffered to proceed. The Saxon chroniclers have taken evident pleasure in enlarging on all the mischances and humiliations which befell the unconscious clay of their great national adversary in its passage to the tomb; and, surely, so singular a chapter of accidents was never yet recorded as occurred to the corpse of this mighty sovereign, who died in the plenitude of his power.

William of Normandy was remarkable for his personal strength, and for the majestic beauty of his countenance. It has been said of him, that no one but himself could bend his bow, and that he could, when riding at full speed, discharge either arblast or long-bow with unnering aim.¹ His forehead was high and bald, his aspect stern and commanding; yet he could, when it pleased him to do so, assume such winning sweetness in his looks and manner as could scarcely be resisted; but when in anger, no man could meet the terror of his eye. Like Saul, he was, from the shoulders upwards, taller than the rest of his subjects; before he became too corpulent, his figure was finely proportioned.

The loftiness of stature which contemporary chroniclers have ascribed to William the Conqueror was fully confirmed by the *post-mortem* examination of his body, which was made by the bishop of Bayeux in the year 1542, when, prompted by a strong desire to behold the remains of this great sovereign, he obtained leave to open his tomb. On removing the stone cover, the body, which was corpulent, and exceeding in stature the tallest man then known, appeared as entire as when it was first buried. Within the tomb lay a plate of copper gilt, on which was engraved an inscription in Latin verse.²

The bishop, who was greatly surprised at finding the body in such

¹ Rob. of Gloucester. Wm. of Malms.

² Ducarel's Norman Antiquities

³ Thomas, archbishop of York, was the

author of the Latin verse, of which the following lines present a close translation, not unpoetical in its antique simplicity:—

“He who the sturdy Normans ruled, and over England reigned,
And stoutly won and strongly kept what he had so obtained;
And did the swords of those of Maine by force bring under awe,
And made them under his command live subject to his law;
This great king William lieth here entombed in little grave,—
So great a lord so small a house sufficeth him to have.”

perfect preservation, caused a painting to be executed of the royal remains, in the state in which they then appeared, by the best artist in Caen, and caused it to be hung up on the abbey wall, opposite to the monument. The tomb was then carefully closed, but in 1562, when the Calvinists under Chastillon took Caen, a party of the rapacious soldiers forced it open, in hope of meeting with a treasure; but finding nothing more than the bones of the Conqueror wrapped in red taffeta, they threw them about the church in great derision. Viscount Falaise, having obtained from the rioters one of the thigh-bones, it was by him deposited in the royal grave. Monsieur le Bras, who saw this bone, testified that it was longer by the breadth of his four fingers than that of the tallest man he had ever seen. The fanatic spoilers also entered the church of the Holy Trinity, threatening the same violence to the remains of Matilda. The entreaties and tears of the abbess and her nuns had no effect on men, who considered the destruction of church ornaments and monumental sculpture a service to God quite sufficient to atone for the sacrilegious violence of defacing a temple consecrated to his worship, and rifling the sepulchres of the dead. They threw down the monument, and broke the effigies of the queen which lay thereon. On opening the grave in which the royal corpse was deposited, one of the party observing that there was a gold ring set with a fine sapphire on one of the queen's fingers, took it off, and, with more gallantry than might have been expected from such a person, presented it to the abbess, madame Anna de Montmorenci, who afterwards gave it to her father, the constable of France, when he attended Charles IX. to Caen, in the year 1563.¹

In 1642, the monks of St. Stephen collected the bones of their royal patron, William of Normandy, and built a plain altar-shaped tomb over them, on the spot where the original monument stood in the chancel. The nuns of the Holy Trinity, with equal zeal, caused the broken fragments of Matilda's statue and monument to be restored, and placed over her grave, near the middle of the choir, on a tomb of black and white marble, three feet high and six long, in the shape of a coffin, surrounded with iron spikes, and hung with ancient tapestry.²

The restored monument of Matilda remained undisturbed till nearly the close of the last century, when the French republicans paid one of their destructive visits to the church of the Holy Trinity at Caen, and, among other outrages against taste and feeling, swept away this memorial of its royal foundress;³ but while a single arch of that majestic and time-honoured fane, the church of the Holy Trinity, survives, the first of our Anglo-Norman queens, Matilda of Flanders will require no other monument.

¹ Ducarel.² *Ibid.*³ *Ibid.*

MATILDA OF SCOTLAND,

QUEEN OF HENRY I.

CHAPTER I.

WHEN we consider the perils to which the representatives of our ancient line of sovereigns, Edgar Atheling and his sisters, were exposed during the usurpation of Harold and the Norman reigns of terror, it almost appears as if an overruling Providence had guarded these descendants of the great Alfred, for the purpose of continuing the lineage of that patriot king on the throne of these realms, through the marriage of Henry I. with the daughter of Margaret Atheling, Matilda of Scotland. This princess, the subject of our present biography, is distinguished among the many illustrious females that have worn the crown-matrimonial of England by the title of "the good queen;" a title which, eloquent in its simplicity, briefly implies that she possessed not only the great and shining qualities calculated to add lustre to a throne, but that she employed them in promoting the happiness of all classes of her subjects, affording at the same time a bright example of the lovely and endearing attributes which should adorn the female character.

Some historians call this princess Matilda Atheling, and almost invest her with the dignity of a queen-regnant, as the heiress of the Anglo-Saxon Monarchs. In the same spirit, her grandson and representative, Henry II., is designated "the restorer of the English royal line." This, as Blackstone justly observes, is "a great error, for the rights of Margaret Atheling to the English succession were vested in her sons, and not in her daughter."¹ James I., on his accession to the throne of England, failed not to set forth that important leaf in his pedigree, and laid due stress on the circumstance of his descent from the ancient line of English sovereigns by the elder blood. Alexander, the archdeacon of Salisbury (who wrote the Tracts of the Exchequer, quoted by Gervase of Tilbury in his celebrated Dialogues of the Exchequer), has gravely set forth in his red-book, a pedigree of Matilda of Scotland, tracing her

¹ Blackstone, vol. i

descent in an unbroken line up to Adam. There is a strange medley of Christian kings and pagan sinners, such as Woden and Balder, with the Jewish patriarchs of holy writ, in this royal genealogy.¹

Matilda is the only princess of Scotland who ever shared the throne of a king of England. It is, however, from her maternal ancestry that she derives her great interest as connected with the annals of this country. Her mother, Margaret, sister of Edgar Atheling, was the grand-daughter of Edmund Ironside, and the daughter of Edward Atheling, surnamed the Outlaw, by a German princess, erroneously stated by English historians to have been Agatha, daughter of the emperor Henry II. of Germany.² Edgar Atheling, feeling some reason to mistrust the apparent friendship of William the Conqueror, privately withdrew from his court, and in the year 1068 took shipping with Margaret, their younger sister Christina, and their mother, intending to seek a refuge in Hungary with their royal kindred; but, by stress of weather, the vessel in which they, with many other English exiles, were embarked, was driven into the Frith of Forth. Malcolm Canmore, the young unmarried king of Scotland, who had just regained his dominions from the usurper Macbeth, happened to be present when the royal fugitives landed, and was so struck with the beauty of the lady Margaret Atheling, that in a few days he asked her in marriage of her brother. Edgar joyfully gave the hand of the dowerless princess to the young and handsome sovereign, who had received the exiled English in the most generous and honourable manner, and whose disinterested affection was sufficient testimony of the nobleness of his disposition.

After her marriage, the Saxon princess became the happy instrument of diffusing the blessings of Christianity throughout her husband's dominions, commencing the work of conversion in the proper place,—her own household and court. The influence which her personal charms had, in the first instance, won over the heart of her royal husband, her virtues and mental powers increased and retained to the last hour of Malcolm's existence. He reposed the most unbounded confidence, not only in the principles, but the judgment of his English consort, who became the domestic legislator of the realm.

Many deeply interesting, as well as amusing particulars, connected with the parents of Matilda of Scotland, the subject of our present memoir, have been preserved by the learned Turgot,³ the historian of this royal family, who, in his capacity of confessor to queen Margaret, and preceptor to her children, enjoyed opportunities of becoming acquainted

¹ Lib. Rud. fol. notata 4.

² The most authentic account of the maternal pedigree of Margaret Atheling will be found in Drummond's *Noble Families*,—article, *Bruce*.

³ Turgot was a Saxon of good family, born in Lincolnshire. He was delivered as

a hostage to William the Conqueror, and shut up by him in Lincoln-castle. From thence he escaped to Norway. Returning from that country, he was shipwrecked on the English coast, and having lost everything he possessed in the world, he became a priest, and distinguished himself so much by

not only with all personal particulars respecting these illustrious individuals, but of learning their most private thoughts and feelings.

Matilda, the subject of this memoir, was her eldest daughter, and was probably born in the year 1079. This we infer from the remarkable circumstance of Robert Courthose, the elder brother of her future husband, being her godfather. Malcolm Canmore, her father, invaded England in that year, and Robert of Normandy was, on his reconciliation with his father, William the Conqueror, sent with a military force to repel this northern attack. Robert, finding his forces inadequate to maintain successfully a war of aggression, entered into a negotiation with the Scottish monarch, which ended in a friendly treaty. Malcolm renewed his homage for Cumberland; and Robert, who, whatever his faults might be as a private character, was one of the most courteous knights and polished gentlemen of the age in which he lived, cemented the auspicious amity he had established between his royal sire and the warlike husband of the heiress presumptive of the Saxon line of kings, by becoming the sponsor of the infant princess Matilda. Some historians assert that the name of the little princess was originally Editha, and that it was, out of compliment to the Norman prince her godfather, changed to Matilda, the name of his beloved mother; the contemporary chronicler, Ordericus Vitalis, says, *Matildem, quæ prius dicta est Editha*. "Matilda, whose first name was Edith."

Matilda the Good received her earliest lessons of virtue and piety from her illustrious mother, and of learning from the worthy Turgot, the preceptor of the royal children of Scotland. While Matilda was very young, there appears to have been an attempt on the part, either of the queen her mother, or her aunt Christina Atheling, the celebrated abbess of Romsey, to consecrate her to the church, or at least to give her tender mind a conventual bias, greatly to the displeasure of the king her father; who once, as Matilda herself testified, when she was brought into his presence dressed in a nun's veil, snatched it from her head in a great passion, and indignantly tore it in pieces, observing at the same time to Alan, duke of Bretagne, who stood by, "that he intended to bestow her in marriage, and not to devote her to a cloister."¹ This circumstance, young as she was, made a deep impression on the mind of the little princess, and probably assisted in strengthening her determination, in after years, never to complete the profession of which she was, at one period of her life, compelled to assume the dress. Alan, duke of Bretagne, to whom king Malcolm addressed this observation, was the widower of William the Conqueror's daughter Constance; and though there was a great disparity of years between him and Matilda,

his learning and piety, that he was promoted to be prior of Durham. When Margaret Atheling became queen of Scotland, she preferred him to the office of her confessor. He

followed the fortunes of his royal pupil Matilda, the daughter of his illustrious patroness, after her marriage with Henry I.

¹ Eadmer.

it appears certain that the object of his visit to the Scottish court was to obtain her for his second wife.¹

Matilda's uncle, Edgar Atheling, became resident at the court of her father and mother for some time, in the year 1091; and it is a remarkable fact, that William Rufus and Malcolm joined in appointing him as arbiter of peace between England and Scotland, which were then engaged in a fierce devastating war.² Thus placed in the most singular and romantic position that ever was sustained by a disinherited heir, Edgar conducted himself with such zeal and impartiality as to give satisfaction to both parties, and a pacification was concluded, which afforded a breathing time of two years to the harassed people of this island. After a reconciliation with William Rufus, which was never afterwards broken, Edgar returned to the court of his favourite friend and companion, Robert of Normandy. The dangerous illness of William Rufus, at Gloucester, tempted king Malcolm Canmore to invade his dominions, in the year 1093, for the purpose, as he said, of revenging the insults he had received from the Anglo-Norman sovereign; his real object was, probably, to take advantage of Rufus's unpopularity with all classes, and to assert the rival title of the descendants of the great Alfred, with whom he was now so closely united. According to Hector Boethius and Buchanan, Malcolm was killed at the siege of Alnwick-castle, by the treachery of the besieged, who, being reduced to the last extremity, offered to surrender, if the Scottish king would receive the keys in person. Malcolm acceded to this condition,³ and coming to the gates, was there met by a knight bearing the keys on the point of a lance, which he offered to the king on his knee; but when Malcolm stooped to receive them, he treacherously thrust the point of the lance through the bars of his vizor into his eye, and gave him a mortal wound.

This was heavy news to pour into the anxious ear of the widowed queen, who then lay on her death-bed, attended by her daughters Matilda and Mary. Cold, and in the agonies of death, she ceased not to put up her supplications to Heaven in the touching words of the *Miserere*: "Have mercy upon me, O God, according to the multitude of thy tender mercies; blot out mine iniquities; make me to hear joy and gladness, that the bones which thou hast broken may rejoice. Cast me not away from thy presence, and take not thy holy Spirit from me; restore unto me the joy of thy salvation. The sacrifices of God are a broken spirit; a broken and a contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not despise."⁴

At that moment her young son, prince Edgar, returned from the disastrous English expedition, and approached her couch. "How fares it with the king and my Edward?" asked the dying queen. The youthful prince stood mournfully silent. "I know all—I know all," cried his mother; "yet, by this holy cross I adjure you speak out the worst."

¹ Eadmer. Gem.² R. of Hov.³ Wm. of Malma.⁴ Targot.

As she spoke she presented to the view of her son that celebrated "black cross" which she had brought with her from England, as the most precious possession she derived from her royal Saxon ancestors.

"Both are slain," replied the prince. Lifting her eyes and hands towards heaven, she said, "Praise and blessing be to thee, Almighty God, that thou hast been pleased to make me endure so bitter anguish in the hour of my departure, thereby, as I trust, to purify me in some measure from the corruption of my sins. And thou, O Lord Jesus Christ! who, through the will of the Father, hast given life to the world by thy death, oh, deliver me!" While pronouncing these words she expired.

The reputation of her virtues, and the report that miracles had been wrought at her tomb, caused her name to be enrolled in the catalogue of saints by the church of Rome. It is a pleasure to find the following enlightened passage, from the pen of an ecclesiastic of the eleventh century:—"Others," says Turgot, "may admire the indications of sanctity which miracles afford. I much more admire in Margaret the works of mercy. Such *signs* (namely, miracles) are common to the evil and the good; but the works of true piety and charity are peculiar to the good. With better reason, therefore, ought we to admire the deeds of Margaret, which made her saintly, than her miracles, *had she performed any.*"

To this great and good man did the dying Margaret consign the spiritual guardianship of her two young daughters, the princesses Matilda and Mary, and her younger sons. Turgot has preserved the words with which she gave him this important charge; they will strike an answering chord on the heart of every mother. "Farewell!" she said; "my life draws to a close, but you may survive me long. To you I commit the charge of my children. Teach them, above all things, to love and fear God; and if any of them should be permitted to attain to the height of earthly grandeur, oh! then, in an especial manner, be to them a father and a guide. Admonish, and if need be, reprove them, lest they should be swelled with the pride of momentary glory, and through covetousness, or by reason of the prosperity of this world, offend their Creator, and forfeit eternal life. This, in the presence of Him who is now our only witness, I beseech you to promise and perform."

Adversity was soon to try these youthful scions of royalty with her touchstone; and of the princess Matilda, as well as her saintly mother, it may justly be said,—

"Stern, rugged nurse, thy rigid lore
With patience many a year she bore."

Donald Bane (the brother of Malcolm Canmore), soon after the disastrous defeat and death of Matilda's father and eldest brother, seized

the throne of Scotland, and commanded all the English exiles, of whatsoever degree, to quit the kingdom, under pain of death. Edgar Atheling, Matilda's uncle, then conveyed to England the orphan family of his sister, the queen of Scotland, consisting of five young princes, and two princesses.¹

He supported Matilda, her sister and brothers, who were all minors, privately, from his own means. They were in considerable personal danger, from the accusation of one of the knights at the English court, who told William Rufus that the Saxon prince had brought into England, and was raising up, a family of competitors for the English crown. A friend of Edgar, named Godwin, challenged and slew the calumniator; and William Rufus, supposing Providence had decided in favour of the innocent, treated Edgar and his adopted family with kindness and friendship. The princesses Matilda and Mary were placed by their uncle in the nunnery of Romsey, of which his surviving sister, Christina, was abbess; for the princes he obtained an honourable reception at the court of William Rufus, who eventually sent him at the head of an army to Scotland, with which he succeeded in re-establishing the young king Edgar, eldest brother of Matilda, on the throne of his ancestors.

Ordericus Vitalis confirms, in a great measure, the statements of Turgot; and, after relating the death of queen Margaret, adds, "She had sent her two daughters, Edith (Matilda) and Mary, to Christina her sister, who was a religieuse of the abbey of Romsey, to be instructed by her in holy writ. These princesses were a long time pupils among the nuns. They were instructed by them, not only in the art of reading, but in the observance of good manners; and these devoted maidens, as they approached the age of womanhood, waited for the consolation of God. As we have said, they were orphans, deprived of both their parents, separated from their brothers, and far from the protecting care of kindred or friends. They had no home or hope but the cloister, and yet, by the mercy of God, they were not professed as nuns. They were destined by the Disposer of all earthly events for better things."

The abbey of Wilton, ever since the profession of the royal saint Editha,² had been the place of nurture and education for the princesses

¹ Hardyng, in his rhyming Chronicle, thus quaintly enumerates the posterity of Margaret Atheling (see Sir Henry Ellis's edition):—

"Edward, Duncan, Edgar, Alixander the gay,
And David also (that kings were all they say),
Whose mother is now St. Margrete without doubt.
At Dunfermlyn shrined and canonized,
By whom Malcolyn a daughter had also,
King Henry's wile the first, full well avised
Queen Maude, that's right well loved England through.
Those crosses fair and royal, as men go
Through all England she made at her expense,
And divers good orders through her providence."

² Daughter of Edward the Peaceable.

of the Anglo-Saxon reigning family. This abbey of black Benedictine nuns was founded by king Alfred, and since his days it had been usual to elect a superior of his lineage. Wilton-abbey had been refounded by the queen Editha, consort to Edward the Confessor.¹ While that monarch was building Westminster-abbey, his queen employed her revenues in changing the nunnery of Wilton from a wooden edifice into one of stone.

The abbey of Romsey was likewise a royal foundation, generally governed by an abbess of the blood-royal. Christina is first mentioned as abbess of Romsey in Hampshire, and afterwards as superior of the Wilton convent. As both belonged to the order of black Benedictines, this transfer was not difficult; but chroniclers do not mention when it was effected, simply stating the fact that the Scottish princess first dwelt at Romsey, yet when she grew up she was resident at Wilton-abbey, under the superintendence of the abbess Christina her aunt. Matilda thus became an inhabitant of the same abode where the royal virgins of her race had always received their education.² It was the express desire of the queen, her mother, who survived that request but a few hours, that she should be placed under the care of the lady Christina at Romsey.

While in these English convents, the royal maid was compelled to assume the thick black veil of a votaress,³ as a protection from the insults of the lawless Norman nobles. The abbess Christina, her aunt, who was exceedingly desirous of seeing her beautiful niece become a nun professed, treated her very harshly if she removed this cumbrous and inconvenient envelope, which was composed of coarse black cloth or serge; some say it was a tissue of horse-hair. The imposition of this veil was considered by Matilda as an intolerable grievance. She wore it,⁴ as she herself acknowledged, with sighs and tears in the presence of her stern aunt; and the moment she found herself alone, she flung it on the ground, and stamped it under her feet. During the seven years that Matilda resided in this dreary asylum, she was carefully instructed in all the learning of the age. Ordericus Vitalis says she was taught the "*literatoriam artem*," of which she afterwards became, like her predecessor, Matilda of Flanders, a most munificent patroness. She was also greatly skilled in music, for which her love amounted almost to a passion. When queen, we shall find her sometimes censured for the too great liberality she showed in rewarding, with costly presents, the monks who sang skilfully in the church service.⁵

The superior education which this illustrious princess received during these years of conventual seclusion, eminently fitted her to become the consort of so accomplished a prince as Henry le Beauclerc. Robert of Gloucester, and Piers of Langtoft, and, above all, Eadmer, a contemporary, assert that the royal pair had been lovers before circumstances ad-

¹ Camden.² Ord. Vit.³ Eadmer.⁴ Ibid.⁵ Tyrrell.

mitted of their union. These are the words of old quaint Robin on the subject:—

“Special love there had *ere* been, as I understand,
Between him and the king's fair daughter, Maud of Scotland.
So that he willed her to wife, and the bishops also,
And the high men of the land *radde*¹ him thereto.”

Matilda received two proposals of marriage while she was in the nunnery at Romsey; one from Alan, duke of Bretagne, the mature suitor before mentioned, who demanded her in marriage of his brother-in-law, William Rufus, and obtained his consent; but he was prevented by death from fulfilling his engagement. Had it been otherwise, Matilda's only refuge from this ill-assorted union would have been the irrevocable assumption of the black veil, of which she had testified such unqualified abhorrence. The other candidate for the hand of the exiled princess, was the young and handsome William Warren, earl of Surrey, the son of the Conqueror's youngest daughter Gundred, the favourite nephew of William Rufus, and one of the richest and most powerful of the baronage of England and Normandy. The profession of Matilda was delayed for a time by the addresses of these princes.² “But,” continues the chronicler, “she was, by the grace of God, reserved for a higher destiny, and through his permission contracted a more illustrious marriage.”³ It is remarkable, that of the three lovers by whom Matilda was sought in marriage, one should have been the son-in-law, another the grandson, and the third the son, of that Norman conqueror who had established a rival dynasty on the throne of her ancestors.

Matilda pleaded devotion to a religious life, as an excuse for declining the addresses of Warren. It seems strange that she should have preferred a lengthened sojourn in a gloomy cloister, to marrying a young, handsome, and wealthy prince of the reigning family of England, unless her refusal of Warren may be regarded as a confirmation of the statements of Eadmer, Robert of Gloucester, William of Malmesbury, and others of the ancient chroniclers, as to “the special love” that existed between Henry Beauclerc and Matilda, during the season of their mutual adversity. The nunnery of Wilton was not far from Winchester, the principal seat of the Norman sovereign; and when we reflect on the great intimacy which subsisted between Matilda's uncle, Edgar Atheling, and the sons of the Conqueror, it appears by no means improbable that prince Henry might have accompanied him in some of his visits to his royal kinswomen, and been admitted, under the sanction of his presence, to converse with the princesses, and enjoyed the opportunity of seeing Matilda without her veil; which, we learn from her own confession, she took every opportunity of throwing aside. Nor was this to be wondered at, since, if we may credit the testimony of contemporary writers,

¹ *Radde*, advised.

² Ord. Vit.

³ *Ibid*

her face was well worth the looking upon. The learned Hildebert,¹ her friend and correspondent, has celebrated her personal charms in the eloquent Latin poems which he addressed to her, both before and after her marriage. Matthew Paris says she was "very fair, and elegant in person, as well as learned, holy, and wise." These qualities, combined with her high lineage, rendered her, doubtless, an object of attraction to the Norman princes.

Henry Beauclerc was ten years the senior of his nephew Warren; but his high mental acquirements and accomplishments were, to a mind like that of Matilda of Scotland, far beyond the meretricious advantages which his more youthful rival could boast. Robert of Gloucester, in his rhyming Chronicle, gives this quaint summary of the birth, education, and characteristics of Henry:—

"In England was he born, Henri, this nobleman,
In the third year that his father England wan;
He was, of all his sons, best fitted king to be,
Of fairest form and manners, and most gentle and free;
For that he was the youngest, to book his father him drew,
And he became it as it befel a good clerk enow.
One time when he was young, his brother smote him, I wis,
And he wept while his father stood by and beheld all this;
'Ne weep now,' he said, 'loving son, for it shall come to be,
That thou shalt yet be king, and that thou shalt see.'
His father made him, at Westminster, knight of his own hand,
In the nineteenth year of his age, &c. &c.
Taller he was some deal than his brethren were,
Fair man and stout enow, with brown hair."

Henry was regarded by the people of the land with a greater degree of complacency than the elder sons of the Conqueror, from the circumstance of his being an English-born prince. While yet a tender infant, his mighty sire named him as a witness (the only male witness) of the following curious charter to one of his followers, the founder of the family of Hunter of Hopton:—

"I, William the king, the third year of my reign,
Give to thee, Norman Hunter, to me that art both lief² and dear,
The Hop and the Hopton, and all the bounds up and down,
Under the earth to hell, above the earth to heaven,
From me and ruine to thee and thine,
As good and as fair as ever they mine were.
To witnesse that this is sooth,
I bite the white waxe with my tooth,
Before Jugge³ Maude, and Margery,
And my young sonne Henry,
For a bowe and a broad arrowe,
When I sall come to hunt on Yarrowe."⁴

The rhymes of this quaint feudal grant are undoubtedly far more agreeable to the ear than the halting heroics of honest Robert of Gloucester,

¹ Afterwards archbishop of Mans. — See Hildeberti Opera.

² Lief, loving.

³ Pronounced *Juey*, which rhymes to Margery; the rhymes, it will be observed, recur in the middle of the line.

⁴ Stowe

previously quoted, though compounded more than a century before his jingling chronicle was written. Several of the charters of William the Conqueror are in this form, and with the names of the same members of his family. It is probable that they were executed in the presence of his queen "Maude;" "Jugge" (sometimes used as an abbreviation for Judith) must have been his niece Judith, afterwards the wife of Walthef; the name of that notable witness, the baby Henry, was doubtless added as a joke by the royal sire. Biting the white wax was supposed to give particular authenticity to conveyances from the crown, which formerly were each duly furnished with a proof impression of that primitive substitute for the great seal of England the royal eye-tooth, sometimes familiarly specified by the monarch as his "fang-tooth." This custom, which took its rise from very remote antiquity, was needlessly adopted by the Anglo-Norman line of sovereigns, whose broad seals are of peculiarly fine workmanship, bearing their veritable effigies, crowned, sceptered, and in royal robes, seated on the king's stone bench; and on the reverse of the seal the same monarch is figured, armed cap-à-pié, and mounted on a war-charger, gallantly appointed.

It is among the boasts of Cambridge that Henry, so celebrated for his learning, received his education there. The ancient annals of St. Austin's Canterbury, however, affirm "that he was instructed in philosophy beyond seas, where for his knowledge in the liberal sciences, he was by the French surnamed Beauclerc."¹

The following dialogue took place between Henry and his royal sire, when the latter lay on his death-bed at Hermentrude, and was concluding his elaborate confession of his past deeds of oppression and cruelty with the verbal bequest of his dominions to his two eldest sons. "And what do you give to me, father?" interrupted Henry, who stood weeping at the bedside, less touched, we fear, at the awful list of sins and wickednesses of which his dying sire had just disburthened his conscience, than at the tenour of a last will and testament in which he appeared to have no share. "Five thousand pounds in silver, out of my treasury, do I give thee," replied the Conqueror. "But what shall I do with treasure, if I have neither castle nor domain?" demanded the disappointed prince. "Be patient, my son, and comfort thyself in God," rejoined the expiring monarch; "thy elder brothers do but go before thee. Robert shall have Normandy, and William England; but thou shalt be the inheritor of all my honours, and shall excel both thy brethren in riches and power." This oracular speech, though far enough from proving satisfactory at the time to the landless Henry, was afterwards magnified into a prophetic an-

¹ St. Austin's Lib. MSS. A learned writer in the *Archæologia* supposes that this appellation was won by Henry's "English Fables" in the *Æsopian* style, adding that the cele-

brated troubadour poetess, Marie of France, who flourished in the reign of our Henry III., has translated the English monarch's words into Norman French.

nunciation of his accession to the united dominions of England and Normandy.

Robert, whose extravagance had exhausted all his resources before he succeeded to the dukedom of Normandy, besought his youngest brother to assist him with a loan of at least part of the money. Henry complied, on condition of being put in possession of his mother's bequest of the Cotentin. Robert agreed; but, after he had been foiled in his attempt to dethrone Rufus, he returned to Normandy with exhausted coffers, and wrongfully repossessed himself of the Cotentin. Henry, greatly enraged at this treatment, was preparing to take up arms against Robert, when the latter, finding himself attacked by William, and abandoned by his ally, Philip of France, thought proper to make the most earnest solicitations to Henry for forgiveness and assistance. Henry, being mollified by the submission of his elder brother, and understanding that a plot was in agitation to deliver Rouen to William, suddenly entered the city, and seizing Conon, the head of the conspirators, charged him with his treason to the duke, and caused him to be flung headlong from one of the highest towers. By this decisive step Henry preserved the capital for Robert.

Robert and William soon after came to an amicable agreement, and terminated their quarrel by making their wills in each other's favour, without any mention of Henry. Henry regarded this as a great affront, especially on the part of Robert, to whom he had rendered such signal services, and demanded of him either a restitution of his silver, or to be put in possession of the Cotentin. On Robert's refusal, he seized on Mount St. Michael, where he strongly entrenched himself.

The youthful adventurer maintained his rocky fortress with obstinate valour against the united efforts of his august brothers of England and Normandy, till he was reduced to the greatest straits for want of water. He represented his distress to Robert in a moving message, and obtained leave to supply his garrison with water, and a present of wine for his own use. Rufus upbraided Robert with his compliance, which he called "an act of folly."—"What!" replied Robert, with a sudden burst of that generous warmth of feeling which formed the redeeming trait of his character, "is the quarrel between us and our brother of that importance, that we should make him die of thirst? We may have occasion for a brother hereafter, but where shall we find another if we destroy this?" After Robert had besieged St. Michael's-mount during the whole of Lent, he brought Henry to terms; who, weary, perhaps, of keeping a stricter fast than even the church of Rome enjoined at that season, surrendered the fortress; and having permission to go whither he pleased, wandered about Germany and France for some time, forsaken of every one save four faithful domestics.

Prince Henry's poverty subjected him occasionally to the sneers of the wealthy Norman barons, but more especially of his rival kinsman, Warren,¹ who took occasion from his swiftness in pursuit of the forest game, "which oft-times," says the chronicle of Normandy, "he, for lack of horse or dog, followed on foot, to bestow the name of 'Deer's-foot' on the landless prince. This greatly troubled Henry, who hated Warren to the death, but had no power to avenge himself, because the 'Red King' loved Warren greatly."² It is possible that Warren's courtship of Matilda of Scotland was one cause of Henry's bitter animosity.³ This courtship was sanctioned by Rufus, and some of the ancient chroniclers assert that Matilda was contracted to him, but this appears without foundation.

Henry was in his thirty-second year when the glancing aside of Wat Tynrel's arrow made him king of England. A most remarkable adventure befell him on that eventful day, August 2nd, 1100. Being separated from the royal party while pursuing his game in an adjoining glen of the forest, he chanced to snap the string of his cross-bow, or arblast, and repairing to the hut of a forester to get it mended or replaced, he was, the moment he entered this sylvan abode, saluted as king by an old woman whom he found there, whose description is somewhat similar to that of one of the witches in Macbeth. The following is a literal version of her address, from the Norman French rhymes of Wace:—

"Hasty news to thee I bring,
Henry, thou art now a king;
Mark the words and heed them well,
Which to thee in sooth I tell,
And recall them in the hour
Of thy regal state and power."

Before Henry had recovered from the surprise with which the weird woman's prediction had startled him, the cries of the "Red King's" attendants proclaimed the fatal accident that had befallen their royal master. Henry acted as Rufus doubtless would have done in his case; he sprang to his saddle, and made the best of his way to Winchester, without bestowing a moment's care or attention on the body of his deceased brother, which was irreverently thrown into the cart of one Purkiss, a Saxon charcoal-burner, that was passing through the forest, and, on no gentler bier, ignobly borne back to the city which he had quitted that morning with such proud parade.

William Breteuil,⁴ the royal treasurer, was also at this memorable hunting party, and with him Henry actually rode a race to Winchester, —ay, and won it too; for when Breteuil arrived at the door of the treasury, he found Henry standing before it, who greeted him with a

¹ Wace.

² Ibid.

³ Ibid.

Conqueror's great friend and counsellor

⁴ William Breteuil was the son of the Fitz-Osborn, surnamed the "Proud Spirit."

demand of the keys. Breteuil boldly declared, "That both treasure and crown belonged to the prince's eldest brother, duke Robert of Normandy, who was then absent in the Holy Land, and for that prince he would keep the treasures of the late king his master." Henry drew his sword, and backed by his powerful friend, Henry Bellomonte, afterwards earl of Leicester, and other nobles of his party, forced the keys from his kinsman Breteuil, and took possession of the treasure and regalia.

Some of the nobles who possessed large estates in Normandy sided with Breteuil, in advocating the rights of the royal crusader; and the debate growing very stormy, it was considered more expedient to argue the momentous question in the council-chamber. Thither the nobles and prelates adjourned; but while they were engaged in advocating, according as interest or passion swayed, the rival claims of Robert and Henry to the vacant throne, Henry had successfully pleaded his own cause to the populace in the streets of Winchester; and they, strong in numbers, and animated with sudden affection for the English-born prince, who had promised to bestow upon them English laws and an English queen, gathered round the palace, and quickened the decision of the divided peers in council by making the name of Henry resound in their ears: and Henry, thus elected by the voice of the people, was immediately proclaimed king at Winchester. The remains of the luckless Rufus were hurried into the grave, with a sort of hunter's mass, the following morning at an early hour, in Winchester cathedral; and Henry hastened to London, where, on Sunday, the 5th of August, the third day after his brother's death, he was crowned in Westminster-abbey, by Maurice, bishop of London. Before the regal circlet was placed on his brow, "Henry, at the high altar at Westminster, promised to God and the people," says the Saxon Chronicle, "to annul the unrighteous acts that took place in his brother's reign, and he was crowned on that condition."¹

Henry promised everything that could reasonably be demanded of him, and set about reforming the abuses and corruptions that had prevailed during the licentious reign of the bachelor king, and completely secured his popularity with the English people by declaring his resolution of wedding a princess of the blood of Alfred, who had been brought up and educated among them. Accordingly, he demanded Matilda, the daughter of Malcolm, king of Scotland, and Margaret Atheling, of her brother, Edgar, king of Scotland. The proposal was exceedingly agreeable to the Scottish monarch, but great difficulties were opposed to the completion of this marriage by those who were of opinion that she had embraced a religious life.² The abbess Christina, Matilda's aunt, in particular, whose Saxon prejudices could not brook the idea that the throne of the Norman line of sovereigns should be strengthened by an

¹ Sax. Chron.

² Eadmer.

alliance with the royal blood of Alfred, protested, "that her niece was a veiled nun, and that it would be an act of sacrilege to remove her from her convent."

Henry's heart was set upon the marriage, but he would not venture to outrage popular opinion by wedding a consecrated nun. In this dilemma, he wrote a pressing letter to the learned Anselm, archbishop of Canterbury, who had been unjustly despoiled of his revenues by William Rufus, and was then in exile at Lyons, entreating him to return, and render him his advice and assistance in this affair. Anselm declared the case was too weighty for his single decision, and summoned a council of the church at Lambeth, for the purpose of entering more fully into this important question.¹

Matilda made her appearance before the synod, and was closely interrogated by the primate Anselm, in the presence of the whole hierarchy of England, as to the reality of her alleged devotion to a religious life.² The particulars of her examination have been preserved by Eadmer, who, as the secretary of the archbishop Anselm, was doubtless an eye-witness of this interesting scene, and recorded the very words uttered by the princess.

The archbishop commenced by stating the prevailing report that she had embraced a religious life, and declared, "that no motive whatever would induce him to dispense with her vow, if it had already been given to Almighty God." Matilda denied that there had been any such engagement on her part. She was asked, "If she had embraced a religious life, either by her own choice or the vow of her parents;" and she replied "Neither." Then she was examined as to the fact of her having worn the black veil of a votaress in her father's court, and subsequently in the nunneries of Romsey and Wilton. "I do not deny," said Matilda, "having worn the veil in my father's court, for when I was a child, my aunt Christina put a piece of black cloth over my head; but when my father saw me with it, he snatched it off in a great rage, and execrated the person who had put it on me. I afterwards made a pretence of wearing it, to excuse myself from unsuitable marriages; and on one of these occasions, my father tore the veil and threw it on the ground, observing to Alan, earl of Bretagne, who stood by, that it was his intention to give me in marriage, not to devote me to the church."³ She also admitted that she had assumed the veil in the nunnery of Romsey as a protection from the lawless violence of the Norman nobles, and had continued to wear that badge of conventual devotion, against her own inclination, through the harsh compulsion of her aunt,

¹ Not long after the return of archbishop Anselm to England, the king, by the advice of his friends, resolved to leave off his mistresses, and marry; and he, *having a very great affection for Matilda*, daughter to Mal-

colm, late king of Scotland, resolved, if it might be lawful, to marry her.—Tyrrell

² Eadmer. Wm. of Malm.

³ Eadmer

the abbess Christina. "If I attempted to remove it," continued Matilda, "she would torment me with harsh blows and sharp reproaches. Sighing and trembling, I wore it in her presence; but as soon as I withdrew from her sight, I always threw it off, and trampled upon it."¹

This explanation was considered perfectly satisfactory by the council at Lambeth, and they pronounced that "Matilda, daughter of Malcolm, king of Scotland, had proved that she had not embraced a religious life, either by her own choice or the vow of her parents, and was free to contract marriage with the king." The council, in addition to this declaration, thought proper to make public the cogent reason the Scottish princess had given for her assumption of the black veil on her coming to England; which was done in the following remarkable words: "When the great king William conquered this land, many of his followers, elated by so great a victory, and thinking that everything ought to be subservient to their will and pleasure, not only seized the provisions of the conquered, but invaded the honour of their matrons and virgins whenever they had an opportunity. This obliged many young ladies, who dreaded their violence, to put on the veil to preserve their honour."²

Matilda, notwithstanding her repugnance to the consecrated veil, exhibited a very maidenly reluctance to enter the holy pale of matrimony with a royal husband. It is possible that the report of the immoral tenour of Henry's life before he ascended the throne, which was evidenced by his acknowledging the claims of twenty illegitimate children, might be regarded by a princess of her purity of mind and manners as a very serious objection; and if, as many of the early chroniclers intimate, there had been a previous engagement between Henry and herself, she of course felt both displeasure and disgust at his amours with the beautiful Nesta, daughter of the prince of Wales, and other ladies too numerous to particularize. It is certain that after the council at Lambeth had pronounced her free to marry, Matilda resisted for a time the entreaties of the king, and the commands of her royal brother and sovereign, to accept the brilliant destiny she was offered.

All who were connected with the Saxon royal line importuned Matilda, meantime, with such words as these: "O most noble and most gracious of women! if thou wouldst, thou couldst raise up the ancient honour of England; as a sign of alliance, a pledge of reconciliation. But if thou persistest in thy refusal, the enmity between the Saxon and Norman races will be eternal; and human blood will never cease to flow."³ Thus urged, the royal recluse ceased to object to a marriage, whereby she was to become the bond of peace to a divided nation, and the dove of the newly-sealed covenant between the Norman sovereign

¹ Eadmer² Ibid.³ Sax. Chron.

and her own people. Henry promised to confirm to the English nation their ancient laws and privileges, as established by Alfred, and ratified by Edward the Confessor,—in short, to become a constitutional monarch; and on those conditions the daughter of the royal line of Alfred consented to share his throne.

Matilda's demurs, after all, occasioned little delay, for the archbishop Anselm did not return to England till October; the council at Lambeth was held in the latter end of that month, and her marriage and coronation took place on Sunday, November 11th, being St. Martin's-day, just three months and six days after the inauguration of her royal lord at Westminster, August 5th, 1100,—which we may consider quick work, for the despatch of such important business and solemn ceremonies of state. William of Malmesbury tells us that "Henry's friends having counselled him to reform his life and contract lawful wedlock, he married, on St. Martin's-day, Matilda, daughter of Malcolm, king of Scotland, to whom he had long been greatly attached, not regarding the marriage-portion, provided he could possess her whom he had so ardently desired; for though she was of royal descent, being great niece of king Edward by his brother Edmund, yet she possessed little fortune, being doubly an orphan."

The scene of their marriage is thus described by a contemporary, who was most probably an eye-witness: "At the wedding of Matilda and Henry the First, there was a most prodigious concourse of nobility and people assembled in and about the church at Westminster, when, to prevent all calumny and ill report that the king was about to marry a nun, the archbishop Anselm ascended a pulpit, and gave the multitude a history of the events proved before the synod, and its judgment, —'that the lady Matilda of Scotland was free from any religious vow, and might dispose of herself in marriage as she thought fit.' The archbishop finished by asking the people in a loud voice, whether any one there objected to this decision: upon which they answered unanimously, with a loud shout, 'that the matter was rightly settled.' Accordingly the lady was immediately married to the king, and crowned before that vast assembly."¹ A more simple yet majestic appeal to the sense of the people, in regard to a royal marriage, history records not.

A beautiful epithalamium, in honour of these auspicious nuptials, was written by Matilda's friend Hildebert, in elegant Latin verse, wherein he congratulates both England and Henry on the possession of the doubly royal bride Matilda. He eulogizes her virtues, and describes "her modest and maidenly deportment as enhancing her youthful charms when, with blushes that outvied the crimson of her royal robe, she stood at the altar, invested with her regal insignia, a virgin queen and bride, in whom the hopes of England hailed the future mother of a mighty line of kings."²

¹ Kadmer.

² Opera Hildeberti, p. 136.

At the coronation banquet, or "*maungerie*," as it was called (literally the eating), all the lords and knights being seated, according to their degree, an ancient knight, who had been high in the favour and confidence of Edward the Confessor, exclaimed,—

"Now by the Tree is set the Root,
And like to bear both flower and fruit."

All present eagerly required an explanation of this mystic declaration. Then the aged knight rejoined,—

"The 'TREE,' ye well may understand,
To be the kingship of England;
The 'Root,' ye trow, is king's seed,
Whereof our kings should come indeed.

* * * * *

Now gotten hath the Tree the Root,
All like to bear both flower and fruit
Dame Mald our queen and ladye
That wedded is with king Henrie."¹

To this auspicious union of the Anglo-Norman sovereign, Henry I., with Matilda of Scotland, a princess of English lineage, English education, and an English heart, we may trace all the constitutional blessings which this free country at present enjoys. It was through the influence of this virtuous queen that Henry granted the important charter which formed the model and precedent of that great palladium of English liberty, *Magna Charta*; and we call upon our readers to observe, that it was the direct ancestress of our present sovereign-lady who refused to quit her gloomy conventual prison, and to give her hand to the handsomest and most accomplished sovereign of his time, till she had obtained just and merciful laws for her suffering country, the repeal of the tyrannical imposition of the curfew, and, in some slight degree, a recognition of the rights of the commons.

When the marriage of Matilda of Scotland with Henry I. took place, a hundred copies of a digest of the righteous laws of Alfred and Edward the Confessor were made, and committed to the keeping of the principal bishoprics and monasteries in England; but when these were sought for, in the reign of John, to form a legal authority for the demands of the people, Rapin says, "only one could be found, which was exhibited to the barons by cardinal Langton." This was, in fact, the simple model on which Magna Charta was framed.

Hardyng, after recording the death of the 'Red King,' relates the accession of Henry I., and his marriage with Matilda of Scotland, in the following rude stanzas:—

"Henry, his brother, the first king of that name,
Was crowned with all the honour that might be;
He reconciled St. Anselm, who came home,
And crowned Maude his wife full fair and free,
That daughter was (full of benigne)
To king Malcolyne and St. Margrete the queen
Of Scotland, which afore that time had been;

¹ Wyntown's Chron. of Scotland.

Of whom he gat William, Richard, and Molde
 Whose goodness is yet spoken of full wide
 If she were fair, her virtues many-fold
 Exceeded far—all vice she set aside ;
 Debates that were engendered of pride
 She set at rest with all benevolence,
 And visited the sick and poor with diligence.
 Clothes, meat, and bedding new and undefiled,
 And wine and ale she gave withouten doubt ;
 When she saw need in countries all throughout.”¹

CHAPTER II.

MATILDA'S English ancestry and English education rendered Henry's marriage with her a most popular measure with the Anglo-Saxon people, of whom the great bulk of his subjects was composed. By them the royal bride was fondly styled Matilda Atheling, and regarded as the representative of their own regretted sovereigns. The allegiance which the mighty Norman conqueror, and his despotic son the “Red King,” had never been able to obtain, except through the sternest measures of compulsion, and which, in defiance of the dreadful penalties of loss of eyes, limbs, and life, had been frequently withdrawn from these powerful monarchs, was freely and faithfully accorded to the husband of Matilda, Henry I., by the Saxon population. All the reforms effected by his enlightened government, all the good laws which his enlarged views of political economy taught that wise monarch to adopt, were attributed, by his Anglo-Saxon subjects, to the beneficial influence of his young queen. Robert of Gloucester, in his rhyming Chronicle, thus speaks of Henry's marriage to Matilda,—

“ So that as soon as he was king, on St. Martyn's-day I ween,
 He spoused her that was called Maude the good queen,
 That was *kind heir of England*, as I have told before.

* * * * *

Many were the good laws that were made in England
 Through Maude the good queen, as I understand.”

The Londoners, whose prosperity had sensibly diminished in consequence of the entire absence of female royalty, beheld with unfeigned satisfaction the palace of Edward the Confessor, at Westminster, once more graced by the presence of a queen—a queen of the blood of Alfred, whose virtues, piety, and learning rendered her a worthy successor of Editha,

“ That gracious rose of Godwin's thorny stem.”

Those to whom the memory of queen Editha was justly dear were probably not unmindful of the fact, that the youthful queen, on whom the hopes of England were so fondly fixed, had received that genuine Saxon name at the baptismal font ; and though, in compliment to her Norman godfather, she was called Matilda, she was also Editha.

¹ Ellis's version.

Matilda fully verified the primitive title bestowed by the Saxons on their queens, *Hlafdige*, or "the giver of bread." Her charities were of a most extensive character, and her tender compassion for the sufferings of the sick poor carried her almost beyond the bounds of reason, to say nothing of the restraints imposed on royalty. She imitated the example of her mother St. Margaret, queen of Scotland, both in the strictness of her devotional exercises, and in her personal attentions to those who were labouring under bodily afflictions. She went every day in Lent to Westminster-abbey, barefoot, and clothed in a garment of haircloth; and she would wash and kiss the feet of the poorest people, for which, according to Robert of Gloucester, she was once reproved by a courtier. He had his answer, however, as our readers will perceive from the following curious dialogue:—

"Madam, for Godde's love is this well ado,
To handle such unclean limbs and to kiss so?
Foul would the king think, if this thing he wist,
And right well avilo him ere he your lips kist.'
'Sir, sir!' quoth the queen, 'be still. Why say you so
Our Lord himself example gave for to do so.'"¹

On another occasion, her brother, Alexander the Fierce, king of Scotland, when on a visit to the court of her royal husband, entering Matilda's apartments, found her on her knees, engaged in washing the feet of some aged mendicants; on which she entreated him to avail himself of the opportunity of performing a good and acceptable work of charity and humiliation, by assisting her in this labour of love, for the benefit of his soul. The warlike majesty of Scotland smiled, and left the room without making any reply to this invitation.² Perhaps he was conscious of his want of skill as an assistant at a pediluvium party; or it might be, that he had seen too much of such scenes during the life of his pious mother queen Margaret, and feared that his sister would carry her works of benevolence to extremes that might prove displeasing to the taste of so refined a prince as Henry Beauclerc.

But to do Matilda justice, her good works in general bore a character of more extended usefulness. Once being with her train on horseback, several of her attendants were drowned while fording the river Lea at Oldford, during a high flood, and the queen herself, "being well washed," did not escape without great difficulty. In gratitude for her preservation, she built the first arched bridge ever known in England, a little higher up the stream, called by the Saxons Bow-bridge,¹ at the head of the

¹ Robt. of Gloucester.

² Rog. of Wend., vol. I., p. 459. The chronicler attributes the anecdote to prince David, giving date 1105. David was certainly conveniently at hand, as he lived in Scotland-yard, close to Westminster-palace, having married the countess St. Lys, heiress of earl Waltheof; but David, who was after-

wards canonized, would have given his aid right willingly. It is Robert of Gloucester who says the brother to whom Matilda gave the charitable lesson was Alexander.

³ Bow, from *bogen*, an arch, a word in the German language, pronounced with the *g* sounded like *y*, which brings it close to the Anglo-Saxon.

own of Stratford ; likewise Channel's-bridge, over a tributary stream of the Lea, the way between them being well paved with gravel. She gave certain manors, and a mill called Wiggin-mill, for ever, towards keeping in repair the said bridges and way.

Matilda founded the hospital at St. Giles-in-the-Fields, and also Christ-Church, which stood on the very spot now called Duke's-place, noted as the resort of a low class of Jews. This excellent queen also directed her attention to the important object of making new roads, and repairing the ancient highways that had fallen into decay during the stormy years which had succeeded the peaceful and prosperous reign of her great uncle, Edward the Confessor. By this means, travellers and itinerant merchants were greatly facilitated in their journeys through the then wild and perilous country, which, with the exception of the four great Roman ways, was only intersected by a few scattered cart-tracks, through desolate moors, heaths, and uncultivated wastes and woodlands. These public benefits, which Matilda the Good conferred upon the people from whose patriotic monarchs she derived her descent, were in all probability the fruits of her regency during the absence of her royal husband in Normandy ; for it is scarcely to be supposed that such stupendous undertakings could have been effected by the limited power and revenues of a mere queen-consort.

Henry the First, be it remembered, was placed on the throne by the Saxon division of his subjects, who were the Commons of England ; and by them he was supported in his regal authority against the Norman aristocracy, who formed a powerful party in favour of his elder brother's pretensions to the crown of England. The moral and political reforms with which Henry commenced his reign, and, above all, the even-handed measure of justice which he caused to be observed towards all who presumed to infringe the laws, gave great offence to many of those haughty nobles, who had been accustomed to commit the most flagrant crimes with impunity, and to oppress their humbler neighbours without fear of being arraigned for their misdeeds. The establishment of the equitable laws which protected the wives and daughters of Englishmen from insult, the honest trader from wrong and robbery, and the poor from violence, were attributed to the influence of Matilda, whom they insultingly styled " the Saxon woman," and murmured at the virtuous restraints her presence and authority imposed upon the court. The conjugal affection which subsisted between the royal pair excited, withal, the ridicule of those who had been the profligate associates of the bachelor-king, William Rufus ; and it was universally displeasing to the haughty Norman peers to see the king's gracious demeanour towards the hitherto oppressed and dispirited English portion of his subjects. " The malice of certain evil-minded men," says Eadmer, " busied itself in inventing the most cutting raileries on king Henry, and his wife of English blood.

They nicknamed them Leofric and Godiva, and always called them so when not in the royal presence." According to William of Malmesbury, however, duke Robert's partisans were not always so polite as to restrain their malapert language till the king and queen had withdrawn. "They openly branded their lord with sarcasms," says that quaint chronicler, "calling him Godric," (which means 'godly governor,') "and his consort Godiva. Henry heard these taunts: with a terrific grin, indicative of his inward wrath, he repressed the contemptuous expressions aimed at him by the madness of fools by a studied silence; for he was a calm dissembler of his enmities, but in due season avenged himself with interest." The above epithets were, it seems, applied to the royal pair in consequence of the king, when disposed to violate the great charter, interrupting the earnest remonstrances of Matilda "against breaking his promises to rule according to the righteous laws of Edward the Confessor," by facetiously asking her, "whether she would do the same for his London villeins as Godiva did for the people of Coventry?" to which Matilda briefly but emphatically replied, "I would." The accomplished Beauclerc was too gentlemanly to imitate the coarse, savage Leofric, by putting her to the proof, but granted such concessions to the English as provoked his kinsman Warren, Matilda's rejected suitor, and other Norman detractors, who could not endure to witness the wedded happiness of their sovereign, and the virtuous influence of his youthful queen, to bestow offensive sobriquets upon them.

The invasion of duke Robert, Henry's eldest brother, on his return from the Holy Land, took place in the second year of Matilda's marriage. King Henry's fleet being manned with Norman seamen, and, of course, under the influence of Norman chiefs, revolted; and instead of guarding the coasts of England from the threatened invasion of the duke, swept across the narrow seas, and brought him and his armament in triumph to Portsmouth, where he was joined by the majority of the Anglo-Norman baronage.¹ Robert had also his partisans among the English; for Edgar Atheling so far forgot the interests of his royal niece, queen Matilda, as to espouse the cause of his friend Robert against the king her husband.

Robert landed at Portsmouth, and marched direct to Winchester, where queen Matilda then lay-in with her first-born child, William the Atheling. When this circumstance was related to the duke, he relinquished his purpose of storming the city, with the observation, "that it never should be said he commenced the war by an assault on a woman in childbed, for that would be a base action."² Matilda duly appreciated this generous consideration on the part of her royal brother-in-law and godfather, and exerted all her influence to negotiate a peace between him and her lord, in which she was assisted by the good offices of the

¹ *Saxon Annals*, A.D. 1101.

² *Chronique de Normandie*.

archbishop Anselm; and this formidable crisis passed over without the effusion of a drop of blood.¹ These are Hardyng's words on the subject:—

" But Anselm archbishop of Canterbury,
And queen Matilda made them well accord;
The king to pay three thousand marks yearly
To duke Robert, withouten more discord."

After this happy pacification, Henry invited Robert to become his guest at the court, where the easy-tempered duke was feasted and entertained, greatly to his satisfaction, by his royal god-daughter Matilda,² who, in her love of music, and the encouragement she bestowed on minstrels, or *trouvères*, quite coincided with the tastes of her sponsor and brother-in-law.

A quarrel broke out between the king and Anselm, originating in an attempt made by the archbishop to deprive the king of a privilege which had been claimed by the Saxon monarchs, of appointing his own bishops. Anselm wished to restore the nomination to the chapters, which Henry resolutely opposed. Both appealed to the pope; Anselm went to Rome to plead his own cause against the king's three advocates, and remained in exile. The queen was much afflicted at the dissension between her royal husband and the primate. She had loved and revered Anselm from her childhood, and he had been mainly instrumental in rescuing her from the joyless thralldom of the cloister, and securing to her the elevated position she enjoyed. She had been accustomed to correspond with Anselm, and she still continued to do so, in the hope of composing the unhappy differences which had driven him into exile. Six of her letters have been printed in the folio edition of Anselm's works; curious rather than entertaining, affording evidence of the classical attainments of this accomplished princess, her knowledge of Scripture, and her familiarity with the writings of the ancient philosophers.³

The first letter in the series was apparently written before king Henry's quarrel with Anselm, for the purpose of persuading him to relax from his ascetic habits, and to follow St. Paul's comfortable advice to Timothy on the score of water drinking, with many quotations from Greek and Roman philosophers, mingled with exhortations from holy writ; from which we gather, that queen Matilda did not approve of her sickly archbishop going beyond a moderate temperance rule, and that she would not have patronised teetotalism if she had lived in these days. Her other letters to Anselm are full of lamentations for his absence, which she regarded as highly injurious to the interests of the church, and mourns over it as if it were a severe personal misfortune to herself.

The pope addressed several letters to the king on the subject of the

¹ Chronique de Normandie. ² M. Paris. ³ Anselmi Opera.

dispute. The first of these, which is in the tone of a paternal remonstrance, alludes to the birth of the infant Atheling in words which imply great respect for queen Matilda. "We have heard, too, that you have had the male issue you so much desired, by your noble and religious consort." Paschal II., in the course of this letter, endeavours to prevail on Henry to recall the primate, both by reasoning and persuasion. He even offers to bribe him by promises of indulgencies and absolution for his sins, and those of his consort; and also to cherish the son the said noble and exemplary lady had borne to him.

Henry was insensible to all these sugared words, and remained contumacious. He had fixed his affections, not on the spiritual consolations, but the rich temporalities of the church, and was determined to try how far he might go in appropriating the revenues of Canterbury to himself, without exciting an insurrectionary movement among his people. He proceeded to such lengths, that pope Paschal threatened to excommunicate him, and place the kingdom under an interdict. At a period when all the kingdoms of Christendom were supposed to be at the disposal of the Roman pontiff, and the realm of England was not only challenged, but threatened with an invasion by so formidable a competitor as Robert of Normandy, this was no light threat to Henry. It was well for him that his prudent consort Matilda enjoyed the esteem of the pope, and was on such terms with Anselm, that she could, without any sacrifice of his dignity, mediate a reconciliation with both. No one who considers the correspondence of Matilda with these personages can doubt that her politic lord availed himself of her powerful influence with both to effect a pacification, when he had found he had gone too far. Matilda's second letter to Anselm, whilst containing an urgent entreaty for him to return, is accompanied by one from Henry himself, promising to live with him on the same amicable terms that his father the Conqueror did with archbishop Lanfranc. Henry likewise permitted his queen to compromise, in some degree, the perpetually disputed point of *congé d'élire*, in regard to preferments. Matilda declares that "as far as in her lay," she had bestowed the appointment of Malmesbury-abbey on Ulf, a monk of Winchester; but she had left the election open to his approbation or reversal.¹ Ulf, was by his name, a Saxon compatriot, who had found favour with his gracious queen; but between the royal power and the will of the archbishop, the monks of Malmesbury were allowed to exercise small portion of that liberty of choice with which the church had endowed them. Independently of the perfect conjugal unity of purpose which marks the wedded life of Matilda and her lord, she neither could nor dared have intermeddled in such weighty matters without his sanction, and those who cannot perceive the diplomatic finesse with which she carries on the

¹ Sancti Anselmi Epistolæ.

treaty for her husband, understand little of the characteristics of the royal pair.

In addressing the exiled primate, Matilda offers abundant incense to his spiritual pride. She styles herself "Matilda, by the grace of God queen of England, the lowliest of the handmaidens of his holiness;"¹ and thanks him for having condescended by his letters presented to show her his mind, although he was absent. "I greet the little piece of parchment sent by you, as I would one from my father himself. I place it in my bosom near my heart: I read over and over again the words flowing from your kindness; my mind ponders them; my heart considers them. Matilda speaks with full confidence on the possibility of her lord and master viewing ultimately the affairs of the church in the same light as she did; and she foretells, as the result of some secret deliberations of which she was cognizant, "that the return of the pastor to his flock, of the father to his daughter, would soon take place from the good will which," says she, "by carefully examining, I find really to exist in the heart of my lord. In truth, his mind has more friendship towards you than men think. I cultivate it, promoting whatsoever good feeling I can, in order that he may be reconciled to you. Whatsoever he may grant now in regard to your return, will be followed by further concessions when, in the future, you may see occasion to desire them. . . . But if he should still persist in overstepping the bounds of justice, I implore from the plenitude of your charity, as the venom of rancour is not accustomed to be in you, that you turn not from him the benignity of your regard; but piously intercede with God for him, for me, and for the children that spring from us both; likewise for the people of our realm."

In the hope of averting from England and her king the threatened interdict, Matilda next addressed herself to the angry pontiff. Her letter has too much of the prolix formality of a state-paper for insertion, but is very ably written; and though submissive on the whole, contains certain proof that she was not a believer in his infallibility. Her salutation contains an admonition that the attainment of the everlasting felicity she wishes him must depend on the manner in which he discharges the duties of his high vocation, for she says, "To the highest pontiff and universal pope Paschal: Matilda, by God's grace queen of the English, trusts that he will so dispense in this life the justice of the apostolic see, that he may deserve to be numbered among the apostolical conclave in the joys of perpetual peace with the companies of the just." Saintly, yet no slave of Rome, Matilda displays the high spirit of an English princess under all the elaborate terms of ceremonial lowliness in which her masterly letter is couched. She asks the pope to suspend his threatened fulmination, to give the king her lord time to

effect a reconciliation with the archbishop; but follows up this prayer with an intimation, that if matters are driven to an extremity, it may cause a separation between England and the Roman see.

Duke Robert took advantage of the crisis to enter England, attended by only twelve gentlemen. Henry, having speedy information of his landing, declared, if he fell into his hands, he would keep him so closely imprisoned, that he should never give him any more trouble. "Not so, sire," replied the count de Mellent; "he is your brother, and God forbid¹ that you should do so great a villany. Let me meet and talk with him, and I will take care that he shall return quietly into Normandy, and give you acquittance of his pension withal."—"By my faith," replied the king, "I will make you do what you say." The count then mounted his horse, and encountering duke Robert on the road to Southampton, greeted him with these words: "St. Mary! what brings you into this country? Who has given you such fatal counsel? You know you have hitherto compelled the king to pay you four thousand marks a-year; and for this cause you will be taken and put to death, or detained in prison for life. He is determined to be avenged on you, I promise you."

When the duke heard this he was greatly disturbed, and asked, "if he could not return to Southampton?"—"No," replied Mellent, "the king will cause you to be intercepted; but even if you could reach that place, the wind is contrary for your escape by sea."—"Counsel me," cried the duke, "what I ought to do."—"Sire," replied the count, "the queen is apprised of the news, and you know that you showed her great kindness when you gave up the assault on Winchester, because she lay in childbed there. Hasten to her, and commit yourself and your people to her care, and I am sure she will guard you from all harm." Then duke Robert went to the queen, and she received and reassured him very amiably; and by the sweet words she said to him, and the fear he was in of being taken, he was induced to sacrifice those pecuniary claims on the king his brother, for which he had resigned the realm of England.

When Henry heard that his brotner had granted an acquittance for this money to the queen, he requested her to come to him with duke Robert. Matilda, always happy to act the blessed part of a peace-maker, having introduced her brother-in-law into the presence of the king, duke Robert thus addressed him: "Fair sire, I am come to see you out of affection, and not to injure either you or yours. We are brothers, born of one father and one mother. If I am the eldest, you have the honour of a crown, which is a much better thing. I love you well, and thus it ought to be. Money and rents I seek not of you, nor ever will. I have quitted to the queen all you owe me for this kingdom. Enter we now

¹ *Chronique de Normandie.*

together into perfect amity. We will exchange gifts of jewels, dogs, and birds, with such things as ought to be between brothers and friends."—"We will do as you say," replied the king, "and thanks for what you have said."¹

The Saxon chronicler and some other historians affirm, indeed, that he invaded England; "but it is plain," says Sir John Hayward, "that he only came for disport and play;" that is, to recreate himself at the court of Henry Beauclerc, and to enjoy the agreeable society of the queen his god-daughter, with the music and minstrelsy in which they both so greatly delighted.

According to William of Malmesbury, Matilda obtained the resignation of Robert's pension by merely hinting how acceptable such an addition to her queenly revenues would be, and he bestowed it upon her without a word. Our shrewd old monk, however, has very little appreciation of such chivalric courtesy to a royal lady, for he drily observes, "And he, too, as if contending with Fortune whether she should give or he squander most, discovering the mere wish of the queen who silently desired it, kindly forgave the payment of this immense sum for ever, thinking it a very great matter that female pride should condescend to ask a favour, although he was her godfather." Eadmer states that Robert resigned his pension to Matilda at a carouse; and when he became aware of the folly of which he had been guilty, he was greatly exasperated, and bitterly reproached his brother Henry "with having cheated and despoiled him, by employing the queen to beguile him with fair words out of his pension, when he was under the influence of wine." It is certain that there was nothing but animosity between the royal brothers after this affair.

Henry left the government of England in the prudent hands of Matilda, and embarked for Normandy (A.D. 1104). While there, he consented to meet Anselm, the archbishop, at the castle of l'Aigle, where, through the mediation of his sister Adela, countess of Blois, a reconciliation was happily effected. Anselm then returned to England, where he was met at Dover by the queen Matilda, who received and welcomed him with the greatest demonstrations of satisfaction.² As the venerable primate was in feeble health, the queen took the precaution of preceding him on the road from Dover to the metropolis, providing, as she went, for his comforts and accommodation.³

The return of Anselm was attended with circumstances which gave great pain to Matilda, as an English queen. Both the king and archbishop, after their reconciliation, united in enforcing inexorably the

¹ Chronique de Normandie, 248-9.

² Paschal II. admitted Anselm, the favourite priest and prelate of Matilda, to a seat near his right foot; saying, "We admit

this prelate into our circle, he being, as it were, the pope of the farther hemisphere."—Godwin de Praes.

³ Eadmer

celibacy of the Anglo-Saxon clergy, whose lower orders had previously been able to obtain licenses to marry. Anselm now excommunicated all the married clergy. Two hundred of these unfortunate Saxons, bare-foot, but clad in their clerical robes, encountered the king and queen in the streets of London. They implored the king's compassion : he turned from them with words of insult. They then supplicated the queen to intercede for them, but Matilda, with tears in her eyes, assured them " that she dared not interfere."¹

This year was marked by the birth of a princess, who was first named Alice, or Adelais, but whose name the king afterwards changed to that of his beloved and popular queen, Matilda. " Satisfied with a child of either sex," says William of Malmesbury, " she ceased having issue ; and enduring with complacency the absence of the court when the king was elsewhere employed, she continued many years at Westminster. Yet was no part of royal magnificence wanting to her, but at all times crowds of visitants and *raconteurs* came, and were entertained in her superb dwelling ; for this the king's liberality commanded, this her own kindness and affability enacted. She was singularly holy, by no means despicable in point of beauty, a rival of her royal mother's piety, blameless as regarded feminine propriety, and unsullied even by suspicion. She had a singular pleasure in hearing the service of God, and on this account was thoughtlessly prodigal towards clerks of melodious voice, both in gifts and promises. Her generosity becoming universally known, crowds of scholars, equally famed for poetry and music, came over, and happy did he account himself who could soothe the ear of the queen by the novelty of his song."

Matilda's preference to foreigners in dispensing her patronage is censured by our worthy chronicler as one of her few faults. This he imputes to vanity or love of ostentation in the queen ; " for," says he, " the love of fame is so rooted in the human mind, that scarcely any one is contented with the precious fruits of a good conscience, but is desirous of having their laudable actions blazed abroad. Hence it was observed, that the inclination crept upon the queen to encourage all the foreigners she could, while others were kept in suspense, and though sometimes rewarded, oftener tantalized with empty promises." Nor was this all ; for, like a faithful annalist, Malmesbury chronicles the evil as well as the good of this illustrious lady, " who fell into an error incidental to prodigal queens by rack-renting her tenants, and thus extorting from them unjustly the means of supporting her liberality to others, who had less claims to her bounty. But whoso," pursues he, " shall judge rightly, will impute this to her servants, who, harpy-like, conveyed everything they could gripe into their own purses,

¹ Liugard.

or wasted it in riotous living. Her ears being infected with the base insinuations of these people, she induced this stain on her noble mind, holy and meritorious in every other respect."¹ The profound tranquillity that subsisted in her husband's dominions during his frequent absences in Normandy, is a proof that Matilda understood the art of domestic government, and practised it with a happier effect than the two first Anglo-Norman sovereigns, whose reigns were so greatly disturbed by insurrections.

Henry, after his successful campaign in Normandy, returned to England, in his personal appearance, at least, an altered man. The Anglo-Normans had adopted the picturesque Saxon fashion—which, however, was confined to persons of high rank—of wearing their hair long, and flowing in ringlets on their shoulders; and the king was remarkable for the luxuriance and beauty of his love-locks, which he cherished with peculiar care, no doubt out of a laudable desire to conform to the tastes of his queen, the daughter of a Saxon princess. His courtiers imitated the royal example, which gave great scandal to the Norman clergy. One day, while the king was in Normandy, he and his train entered a church, where an ecclesiastic of the name of Serlo, bishop of Seez, took up his parable on the sinfulness of this new fashion, "which," he protested, "was a device of the Evil One to bring souls into everlasting perdition; compared the moustached, bearded, and long-haired men of that age to filthy goats;"² and, in short, made so moving a discourse on the unloveliness of their present appearance, that the king of England and his courtiers melted into tears: on which Serlo, perceiving the impression which his eloquence had made, drew a pair of scissors out of his sleeve, and, instead of permitting their penitence to evaporate in a few unmeaning drops, persuaded his royal and noble auditors to prove the sincerity of their repentance by submitting their ringlets to his discretion, and brought his triumph to a climax by polling the king and congregation with his own hands. After Henry had thus submitted his flowing ringlets to the reforming shears of Serlo, he published an edict, commanding his subjects to follow his example.

Henry was then courting popularity in the duchy of Normandy, and well knew that the readiest way to effect his object, was to win the good report of the monks. He had previously scandalized all piously disposed persons, by choosing for his private chaplain a priest whose only merit consisted in being able to hurry over matins and mass in half an hour. This was Roger le Poer,³ afterwards the rich and potent bishop of Salisbury, whose hasty despatch of the morning service so charmed Henry, that he swore aloud in the church "that he had at length met with a priest fit for a soldier." Roger, when he received this flattering commendation from the lips of royalty, was only a poor curate at Caen,

¹ Wm. of Malms.² Ord. Vit.³ Godwin de Praes.

but was advanced by Henry to the highest preferment in the church and state.

Queen Matilda did not long enjoy the society of her royal husband in England, and during the brief period he spent with her at Northampton, in the winter season, his whole time and thoughts were employed in raising the means for pursuing the war in Normandy. His unfortunate brother, Robert, finding himself sorely pressed on every side, and left, by his own improvident folly, without resources for continuing the contest, came over to England unattended, and, repairing to the court at Northampton, forced an interview with Henry¹ (who was reluctant to admit him into his presence), and earnestly besought his compassion; telling him, at the same time, "he was ready to submit everything to his brotherly love, if he would only permit him to retain the appearance of a sovereign." As it by no means suited Henry's policy to yield to the dictates of natural affection, he coldly turned away, muttering something to himself that was unintelligible to the bystanders, and which he could not be induced to explain. Robert's quick temper could not brook this contemptuous usage, and, in a paroxysm of rage, he indignantly assailed his brother with a storm of reproaches, mingled with abuse and menaces; and without waiting to employ the good offices of queen Matilda, through whose kindly influence it is possible he might have obtained reasonable conditions of peace, he departed from Northampton the same hour.²

In the spring, Henry once more committed the domestic affairs of his kingdom to the care of Matilda, and having levied an enormous tax on his subjects, to support the expenses of the war, embarked for Normandy. Matilda was principally employed, during the king's absence, in superintending the magnificent buildings at New Windsor, which were founded by Henry, and in the completion of the royal apartments in the Tower of London. She, as well as Henry, patronised Gundulph, the episcopal architect, to whom England is indebted for the most magnificent and lasting of her public buildings. Many useful public works, to which we have before alluded, furnished, under her auspices, employment for the working classes, and improved the general condition of the people.

While civilization and the arts of peace were rapidly progressing, through the beneficial influence of Matilda, at home, the arms of her royal consort were universally triumphant in Normandy. The unfortunate Robert Courthose, with his young son William (who was called Clito, or royal heir), with Stephen, earl of Mortagne, and all the nobles of their party, were taken prisoners at the decisive battle of Tinchebray, which was fought on the vigil of St. Michael, exactly forty years after the famous battle of Hastings. The English were much elated at this circumstance, whereby they flattered their national pride with the idea

¹ M. Paris.

² Saxon Annals

that the husband of their beloved queen, of Saxon lineage, had wiped away the dishonour of the Norman conquest, by subjugating Normandy to the yoke of England. Edgar Atheling, Matilda's uncle, was taken fighting for his friend Robert of Normandy. Henry instantly released the aged prince, for love of the queen his niece, say some of the chroniclers of that period, and at her intercession settled a pension upon him for life.

Henry, now at the summit of his ambition, having verified the death-bed prediction of his father the Conqueror, that he should unite in his own person the inheritance of both his brothers, returned triumphantly to England with his unfortunate captives. Robert he sent to Cardiff-castle, where for a time his confinement was only a sort of honourable restraint.

Henry and Matilda kept their Easter this year at Bath, and, during the summer, introduced the popular custom of making a royal progress through different parts of England.¹ They held their court the following year, for the first time, at New Windsor, then called, from the picturesque winding of the river Thames, Windlesore. This beautiful retreat was originally used as a hunting-seat by William the Conqueror, who, for better security of his person, converted it into a fortress or castle; but the extensive alterations and improvements which the elegant tastes of the Beauclerc sovereign and his accomplished consort Matilda of Scotland effected, first gave to Windsor-castle the magnificent and august character, as a royal residence, which has rendered it ever since a favourite abode with succeeding sovereigns.

In the year 1108, the affairs of Normandy requiring the presence of the king, another temporary separation took place between Matilda and her royal lord. Indeed, from the time that the duchy of Normandy was subjected to his sway, it became a matter of necessity, in order to preserve his popularity with his continental subjects, to pass a considerable portion of his time among them: meanwhile, the peace and integral prosperity of England were best promoted by the presence of Matilda, who formed the bond of union between Henry of Normandy and the Saxon race. Therefore, it appears to have been a measure of political expediency for her to remain with her splendid court at Westminster or London, endearing herself daily more and more to the people by her works of princely charity and the public benefits she was constantly labouring to promote. Thus we see, on accurate examination, that, contrary to the assertions of one or two paradoxical writers, who have assumed that Matilda was not treated with the affection and respect that were her due in wedded life, she enjoyed a degree of power and influence in the state perfectly unknown to the Saxon queens. She was so nobly dowered, withal, that in after-reigns the highest demand ever

¹ Sax. Chron.

made on the part of a queen-consort was, that she should be endowed with a dower equal to that of Matilda of Scotland.¹

By close examination of the earliest authorities, we find, that the first parliaments held by the Anglo-Norman dynasty were the fruits of the virtuous influence of this excellent queen over the mind of her husband. But as the fact, whether parliaments were ever held before the reigns of Henry III. and Edward I. has been a point of great contest among modern historians, we take leave to quote the following lines from Robert of Gloucester in support of the assertion,—first, that parliaments were held; and next, that they were held through the influence of Matilda:²

“ When his daughter was ten years old, to council there he drew
On a Whit-Sunday, a great Parliament he *name* [held]
At Westminster, noble enow, that much folk came.”

Piers of Langtoft distinctly points out the classes of whom Matilda advised Henry to take counsel; viz., barons, lords of towns, and burgesses. Here are the lines:—

“ Mald the good queen gave him in council
To love all his folk and leave all his *turpeile* [disputing,]
To bear him with his barons that held of him their fees [feofs,]
And to lords of towns and burgesses of cities.”

Robert of Gloucester, from first to last, speaks of queen Matilda as an active agent in the government of England, and the restorer and upholder of the Saxon form of legislature, whose system was that of a representative constitution. He says,—

“ The goodness that king Henry and the good queen Mold
Did to this land ne may never be told.”

The year 1109 must have been an era of eventful interest to Matilda. Her royal husband having spent the winter and spring in Normandy,³ returned to England in the summer, to visit her and their infant family, and kept court with uncommon splendour in his new palace at Windsor, which had been completed in his absence. It was there that he received the ambassadors who came to solicit the hand of the princess Matilda for the emperor Henry V.⁴ The proposal was eagerly accepted by Henry Beauclerc; and his daughter, then just turned of five years old, was solemnly espoused by proxy to her royal suitor, who was forty years her senior; but, on account of her tender age, the infant bride was allowed for the present to remain under the care of the queen her mother.⁵

In the year 1109, the mighty Norman chief, Fitz-Haymon, lord of Glamorgan, dying without sons, left the lady Aimabel, his young heiress, to the guardianship of the king. Henry, wishing to secure so

Tyrell.

² Ibid. vol. ii. p. 430. ryl. 8vo. edit.

³ Saxon Annals.

⁴ M. Paris. H. of Hunt. ⁵ M. Paris.

rich a prize for his eldest natural son Robert, proposed him to his fair ward, as a suitable husband for her. But the haughty Norman damsel, though only sixteen, intrepidly replied, "That the ladies of her house were not accustomed to wed nameless persons." Then the king answered, "Neither shalt thou, damsel; for I will give my son a fair name, by which he and his sons shall be called. Robert Fitzroy shall be his name henceforth."—"But," objected the prudent heiress of Glamorgan, "a name so given is nothing. Where are the lands, and what the lordship, of the man you will me to wed, sire?"—"Truly," responded the king, with a smile, "thy question is a shrewd one, damsel: I will endow my son Robert with the lands and honours of Gloucester, and by that title shall he henceforth be called."

The lady Aimabel made no further demur, we are told, but wedded the king's son without delay. The fact was, the king was generously bestowing upon his son Robert the lands and honours which had been granted or sold to Fitz-Haymon, her deceased father, by William Rufus, and the young lady, who seems to have been gifted with no ordinary share of worldly wisdom, thought, no doubt, that she had better hold the lands and honours of Gloucester on the tenure of wife-service to the king's son, than lose them altogether. Such were the dealings of the Anglo-Norman sovereigns with their wards. The high-spirited heiress of Fitz-Haymon was, however, fortunate in the marriage that was thus arranged for her by her royal guardian. Robert Fitzroy was the princely earl of Gloucester who so valiantly upheld the title of his half-sister, the empress Matilda, to the English crown in the succeeding reign.

A tax of three shillings on every hide of land was levied to pay the portion of the princess Matilda, by which the sum of 824,000*l.* was raised; and the princess was sent over to her imperial husband with a magnificent retinue. Queen Matilda was in the next year left to keep court alone, in consequence of a formidable insurrection in Normandy in favour of William Clito, son of the unfortunate Robert Courthose, which was privately fomented by the earl of Flanders. King Henry, perceiving that all classes of his continental subjects were averse to the yoke of an absent sovereign, considered it expedient to forego the society of his queen and children for a period of nearly two years, while he held his separate state in Normandy.

In the year 1112, we find the king and queen¹ were together at Winchester, with their court, where they personally assisted at the removal of the bodies of Alfred the Great and his queen Alswitha from the ruinous chapel of Newminster, close to Winchester cathedral, to the magnificent abbey of Hyde,² founded and endowed by Henry and Matilda,

¹ Archæologia.

² Henry VIII. brutally desecrated the place where were deposited the remains of these patriotic sovereigns. Englishmen of the

eighteenth century, more barbarous still, converted the holy fane into a bridewell, and the bones of Alfred were by felon hands exhumed and dispersed.

as a more suitable shrine for the relics of their illustrious progenitor,—from whom, be it remembered, Henry, as well as his Saxon queen, was descended, through the marriage of Elstrith, the daughter of Alfred, with an earl of Flanders, his maternal ancestor. Here, too, the bones of Edward the Elder and his queen, the immediate ancestors of Matilda, were at the same time translated. The following year Henry was again in Normandy, where he entered into an amicable treaty with one of his most troublesome enemies, Fulk, earl of Anjou, by a matrimonial alliance between his heir, prince William, and Alice, the daughter of that earl.

The education of Matilda's eldest daughter being considered as completed in 1114, the marriage was fully solemnized between her and the emperor Henry V. The young empress was then only in her twelfth year. Notwithstanding this great disparity in age, it appears that the youthful bride enjoyed a reasonable share of happiness with her mature consort, by whom she was treated with the greatest indulgence, while her great beauty and majestic carriage won the hearts of the German princes, and obtained for her unbounded popularity.

Matilda's eldest son, prince William (or the Atheling, as he was styled by the English), was, in the year 1115, conducted by the king his father with great pomp into Normandy, where he was presented to the states as the heir of the duchy, and fealty was sworn to him by the barons and freemen. This prince was then only twelve years old. He returned with his royal father to England in July, and the following year Henry summoned that memorable parliament, mentioned by Holinshed as "the first held since the Norman conquest," to meet at Salisbury, and there appointed the young prince as his successor. William of Malmesbury says, "Every freeman of England and Normandy, of whatsoever degree, or to whatsoever lord his vassal service was due, was made to perform homage, and swear fealty to William, son of king Henry and queen Matilda." The Easter festival was kept this year by the royal family at Odiham-castle, in Hampshire.

Matilda passed the Christmas festival of the same year, in the company of her royal husband, at the Abbey of St. Alban's.¹ They were the guests of abbot Richard, who had then brought to a happy conclusion the building of that magnificent fabric. He invited the queen, who was one of its benefactresses, the king, and the archbishop of Rouen, and many prelates and nobles, to assist at the consecration of the abbey, which took place Christmas-day, 1115. The royal pair, with their suite of nobles and ladies, were lodged in the abbey, and entertained from December 25th to January 6th. The queen, sanctioned by Henry, gave, by charter, two manors to St. Alban's. The existence of a portrait of queen Matilda is certainly owing to this visit; for in a rich illuminated volume, called the Golden Book of St. Alban's (now in

¹ Newcome's St. Alban's, pp 52, 93.

the British Museum), may be seen a miniature of the royal benefactress.¹ The queen is attired in the royal mantle of scarlet, lined with white fur; it covers the knees, and is very long. The mantle is square to the bust. A cordon of scarlet and gold, with a large tassel, passes through two gold knobs: she holds the cordon in her left hand. She wears a tight kirtle of dark blue, buttoned down the front with gold. Her sleeves fit close to the arms, and are scarlet like the mantle. A white veil is arranged in a square form on the brow, and is surmounted by a gold crown, formed of three large trefoils, and gold *oreillettes* appear beneath the veil on each side of the cheeks. The veil flows behind her shoulders with lappets. Matilda is very fair in complexion: she has a long throat, and elegant form of tall proportions. She displays with her right hand the charter she gave the abbey, from which hangs a very large red seal, whereon, without doubt, was impressed her effigy in grand relief. She sits on a carved stone bench, on which is a scarlet cushion figured with gold leaves. This cushion is in the form of a wool-pack, but has four tassels of gold and scarlet. A piece of figured cloth is hung at the back of her seat. There are no armorial bearings, —one proof of the authenticity of the portrait. "Queen Matildis gave us Bellwick and Lilleburn," is the notation appended by the monks of St. Alban's to this portrait.

About this period, the stately new palace at Woodstock being completed, and the noble park, reckoned the finest at that time in England, having been walled round, Henry stocked it with a curious menagerie of wild beasts, the first zoological collection ever seen in this country. It is described in very quaint terms by Stowe, who says, "The king craved from other kings lions, leopards, lynxes, and camels, and other curious beasts, of which England hath none. Among others, there was a strange animal called a stryx, or porcupine, sent him by William of Montpelier; which beast," says the worthy chronicler, "is, among the Africans, counted as a kind of hedgehog, covered with pricking bristles, which they shoot out naturally on the dogs that pursue them."

Unbounded hospitality was one of the social virtues of his peaceful reign,² especially at this peculiar era, when the benignant example of the

¹ The Golden Book of St. Alban's is a sort of conventual album, in which were entered the portraits of all the benefactors of the abbey, together with an abstract of their donations. Five different artists, of various degrees of merit, may be traced in this collection. Some of the miniatures are exquisitely designed and coloured, others are barbarous and puerile in their execution; some of the portraits are represented

holding well-filled purses, others displaying the charters, with large pendant seals, which secured broad lands to church and poor.

² The following verses, from an ancient MS., quoted by Collins, were inscribed by Sir William Fitz-William, the lord of Sprotborough, on an ancient cross, which was demolished at the Reformation:—

"Whoso is hungry, and lists well to eat,
Let him come to Sprotborough to his meat;
And for a night and a day
His horse shall have both corn and hay
And no one shall ask him, 'when he goeth away?'"

good queen had, for a period of nearly seventeen years, produced the happiest effect in softening the manners of the haughty and powerful chieftains who were at that time the magnates of the land. The Norman families, at this period, were beginning to practise some of the peaceful pursuits of the Anglo-Saxons, and ladies of high rank considered it no infringement on the dignity of their station to attend to the profitable concerns of the poultry-yard and the dairy. The countess Constance of Chester, though the wife of Hugh Lupus, the king's first cousin, kept a herd of kine, and made good Cheshire cheeses, three of which she presented to the archbishop of Canterbury. Giraldus Cambriensis bears honourable testimony to the excellence of the produce of the "cheese-shire" in that day.

A fresh revolt in Normandy¹ deprived Matilda of the society of her husband and son in 1117. The king, according to Eadmer, returned and spent Christmas with her, as she was at that time in a declining state of health;² leaving Prince William with his Norman baronage, as a pledge for his return.³ His sojourn was, of necessity, very brief. He was compelled by the distracted state of affairs in Normandy to rejoin his army there,—Matilda never saw either her husband or her son again.

Resigned and perfect in all the duties of her high calling, the dying queen remained, during this trying season, in her palace at Westminster, lonely though surrounded with all the splendour of royalty; enduring with patience the separation from her beloved consort and children, and affording, to the last hour of her life, a beautiful example of piety and self-denial. She expired on the 1st of May, 1118,⁵ passionately lamented by every class of the people, to whom her virtues and wisdom had rendered her inexpressibly dear.

King Henry was much afflicted when the intelligence of Matilda's death reached him, amidst the turmoil of battle and siege in Normandy.⁶ Piers of Langtoft alludes to the grief felt by the royal widower at the loss of his amiable consort, in terms of the most homely simplicity:—

"Now is the king sorry, her death doth him *gram*," [grieve.]

Hardyng's rhyming Chronicle produces the following quaint stanzas on the death of Matilda, and the sorrow of king Henry for her loss:—

"The year of Christ a thousand was full clear,
One hundred eke, and therewithal eighteen,
When good queen Maud was dead and laid on bier,
At Westminster buried, as well was seen;
For heaviness of which the king, I ween,
To Normandy then went with his son."

The same chronicler gives us another stanza on the death of Henry,

¹ Ord Vit.

² Saxon Annals.

³ Eadmer, p. 118; see Rapin, vol. 1. 129.

⁴ Wm. of Malm.

⁵ Saxon Annals.

⁶ R. of Gloucester

in which he, in yet more positive terms, speaks of the conjugal affection which united the Norman sovereign to his Saxon queen:—

"Of Christe's date was there a thousand year,
One hundred also, and nine and thirty mo,
Buried at Redynge, as well it doth appear,
In the abbye, which there he founded so,
Of monkes black, whenever they ride or go,
They pray for him and queen Maude his wife
Who either other loved withouten strife."

Another chronicler says, "Nothing happened to trouble the king, save the death of his queen Matilda, the very mirror of piety, humility, and princely bounty."¹

Matilda was buried on St. Philip's-day in Westminster-abbey, on the right side of her royal uncle, Edward the Confessor.² Great disputes, however, have existed as to the place of her interment,³ which has been contested with almost as much zeal as was displayed by the seven cities of Greece, in claiming the honour of having given birth to Homer. The monks of Reading averred that their royal patroness was buried in her own stately abbey there, where her illustrious consort was afterwards interred. Piers of Langtoft insists that she was buried in St. Paul's cathedral, and that her epitaph was placed in Westminster-abbey.

"At London, in St. Paul's, in tomb she is laid,
Christ, then, of her soul have mercie;
If any one will witten [know] of her storie,
At Westminster it is written *readily*."

Tyrrell declares that she was buried at Winchester, but that tablets to her memory were set up in many churches,—an honour which she shares with queen Elizabeth. The following passage from Weever testifies that the mortal remains of Matilda, "the good queen," repose near the relics of her royal uncle, Edward the Confessor, in the solemn temple which had been completed under her careful superintendence. "Here lieth in Westminster-abbey, without any tomb, Matilda or Maud, daughter of Malcolm Canmore, king of Scots, and wife of Henry I. of England, who brought to him children, William, Richard, and Mary, who perished by shipwreck, and likewise Maude, who was wife to Henry, the fifth emperor. She died the first day of May, 1118."⁴ She had an excellent epitaph made to her commendation, whereof four lines only remain. Henry of Huntingdon, the chronicler, no mean poet, was the author of those Latin lines, of which the following is a faithful version:—

"Prosperity could not inflate her mind,
Lowly in greatness, as in ills resigned:
Beauty deceived not, nor did crowns efface
Her best adornment, women's modest grace."

William of Malmesbury, speaking of the death of Matilda of Scotland,

¹ Fl. of Worc. ² Pennant's London. R. of Gloucester. ³ According to Stowe, her grave was in the vestry of the abbey. ⁴ Weever's Funeral Monuments.

says, "She was snatched away from her country, to the great loss of the people, but to her own advantage; for her funeral being splendidly solemnized at Westminster, she entered into her rest, and her spirit manifested, by no trifling indications, that she was a resident in heaven." Some attempts, we suppose, therefore, must have been made by the monks of Westminster to establish for this great and good queen a deceptive posthumous fame, by the testimony of miracles performed at her tomb, or pretended revelations from her spirit to her contemporaries in the flesh. Our marvellous chronicler, however, confines himself to the above significant hints, and takes his leave of Matilda in these words: "She died willingly, leaving the throne after a reign of seventeen years and six months, experiencing the fate of her family, who all died in the flower of their age."

Many curious remains still exist of the old palace in Westminster, where Matilda kept state as queen, and ended her life. This venerable abode of our early sovereigns was originally built by Canute, and, being devastated by fire, was rebuilt by Edward the Confessor with such enduring solidity, that antiquaries still point out different portions which were indubitably the work of the royal Saxon, and must have formed part of the residence of his niece. Part of the old palace of Westminster is still to be seen in the buildings near Cotton-garden, and the lancet-shaped windows about Old Palace-yard.¹ Cotton-garden was the private garden of the ancient palace, and therefore belonged especially to queen Matilda.

The house of lords was an antique oblong room; originally the hall of state of Matilda's palace, called *the white-hall*, but without any reference to the vast palace of Whitehall, to which the seat of English royalty was transferred in the reign of Henry VIII. As the Painted-chamber is well known to have been the bedchamber of Edward the Confessor, and the apartment in which he expired,² there can be no doubt but that it was the state bedchamber of his niece. A curious room in Cotton-house was the private oratory of the Confessor, and was assuredly used by Matilda for the same purpose; while at the south end of the court of Requests are to be seen two mighty arches, the zig-zag work of which ranks its architecture among the most ancient existing in our country. This was once a deserted state-chamber of the royal Saxon palace.

There is a statue of Matilda in Rochester cathedral, which forms pilaster to the west door; that of king Henry, her husband, forms another. The hair of the queen depends over either shoulder, in two long plaits, below the knees. Her garments are long and flowing, and she holds an open scroll of parchment in her hand. Her features are defaced, and indeed so completely broken away, that no idea of what manner of countenance she had can be gathered from the remains.

¹ Pennant.² Howell.

King Henry proved the sincerity of his regard for Matilda, by confirming all her charters after her death. Madox, in his History of the Exchequer, quotes one of that monarch's charters, reciting "that he had confirmed to the priory of the Holy Trinity, in the Minories, in London, the grant of his queen Matilda, for the good of her soul, of 25*l.* on the farm of the city of Exeter, and commands his chief justiciar and the barons of his exchequer to constrain the sheriff of Devonshire to pay the same to the said canons."¹

Matilda's household was chiefly composed of Saxon ladies, if we may trust the evidence of Christian names. The maids of honour were Emma, Gunilda, and Christina, pious ladies and full of alms-deeds, like their royal mistress. After the death of the queen, these ladies retired to the hermitage of Kilburn, near London, where there was a holy well or medicinal spring. This was changed into a priory² in 1128, as the deed says, "for the reception of these three virgins of God, sacred damsels who had belonged to the chamber of Matilda, the good queen-consort to Henry I."

History only particularizes two surviving children of Matilda of Scotland and Henry I.; but Gervase, the monk of Canterbury, says she had, besides William and the empress Matilda, a son named Richard. Hector Boethius mentions a daughter of hers, named Euphemia. The Saxon Chronicle and Robert of Gloucester both speak of her second son Richard, and Piers of Langtoft says, "The two princes, her sons, were both in Normandy when Matilda died." Prince William the Atheling was destined to see England no more. During the remainder of the year 1118 he was fighting by his father's side, against the invading force of the king of France and the partisans of his cousin, William Clito. On one occasion when the noble war-horse and its rich caparisons belonging to that gallant but unfortunate prince, having been abandoned during a hasty retreat, were captured, and Henry presented this prize to his darling heir, the noble youth generously sent them back, with a courteous message, to his rival kinsman and namesake.³ His royal father, king Henry, did not disdain to imitate the magnanimous conduct of his youthful son after the memorable battle in which the standard of France was taken: when the favourite charger of Louis le Gros fell into his hands, he returned it to the French monarch the next day.

The king of France, as *suzerain* of Normandy, at the general pacification, required of Henry the customary homage for his feof. This the victorious monarch considered derogatory to the dignity of a king of England to perform, and therefore deputed the office to prince William, who was then invested with the duchy, and received the oath of fealty

¹ Charter Antiq. No. 16.
Kilburn-Wells.

² On its site are a public-house and tea-gardens, now called Hotinsh.

from the states.¹ The prince solemnly espoused his betrothed bride Alice, the daughter of Fulk, earl of Anjou, June, 1119. King Henry changed her name to Matilda, out of respect, it is said, for the memory of his mother; but more probably from a tender regard for his deceased consort, Matilda of Scotland, the love of his youth, and the mother of his children. The marriage was celebrated at Lisieux,² in the county of Burgundy; and the prince remained in Normandy with his young bride, attended by all the youthful nobility of England and the duchy, passing the time gaily with feasts and pageants till the 25th of November, in the year 1120; when king Henry (who had been nearly two years absent from his kingdom) proceeded with him and an illustrious retinue to Barfleur,³ where the king and his heir embarked for England the same night in separate ships.

Fitz-Stephen, the captain of the '*Blanche Nef*' (the finest vessel in the Norman navy), demanded the honour of conveying the heir of England home, because his father had commanded the '*Mora*,' the ship which brought William the Conqueror to the shores of England. His petition was granted: and the prince, with his gay and splendid company, entered the fatal bark with light hearts, and commenced their voyage with mirth and minstrelsy. The prince incautiously ordered three casks of wine to be given to the ship's crew; and the mariners were, in consequence, for the most part intoxicated when they sailed, about the close of the day. Prince William, who was desirous of overtaking the rest of the fleet, pressed Fitz-Stephen to crowd his sails, and put out his sweeps. Fitz-Stephen having called the "*white ship*" the swiftest galley in the world, to make good his boast and oblige his royal passenger, caused his men to stretch with all their might to the oars, and did everything to accelerate the speed of his light bark. While the '*Blanche Nef*' was rushing through the water with the most dangerous velocity, she suddenly struck on a rock, called the '*Catte-raze*," with such impetuosity, that she started several planks and began to sink. All was instant horror and confusion. The boat was, however, let down, and the young heir of England, with several of his youthful companions, got into it, and having cleared the ship, might have reached the Norman shore in safety; but the cries of his illegitimate sister, Matilda, countess of Perche, who distinctly called on him by name for succour, moving him with a tender impulse of compassion, he commanded the boat back to take her in. Unfortunately the moment it neared the ship, such numbers sprang into it, that it instantly sank with its precious freight; all on board perished, and of the three hundred persons who embarked in the '*White Ship*,' but one soul escaped to tell the dismal tale. This person was a poor butcher of Rouen, named Berthould, who climbed to the top of the mast, and was

¹ Saxon Annals.² Ord Vit. Tyrrell.³ Ord Vit.

the next morning rescued by some fishermen. Fitz-Stephen, the master of the luckless 'White Ship,' was a strong mariner, and stoutly supported himself for some hours in the water, till he saw Berthould on the mast, and calling to him, asked if the boat with the heir of England had escaped; but when the butcher, who had witnessed the whole catastrophe, replied "that all were drowned and dead," the strong man's force failed him; he ceased to battle with the waves, and sank to rise no more.¹ The report of this disaster reached England the next day. Theobald of Blois, the king's nephew, was the first who heard it; but he dared not inform his uncle of the calamity which had rendered his house desolate.

King Henry had reached England with his fleet in safety, and for three days was permitted to remain in a state of the most agonising suspense and uncertainty respecting the fate of his children. No one choosing to become the bearer of such evil tidings, at length Theobald de Blois, finding it could no longer be concealed, instructed a favourite little page to communicate the mournful news to the bereaved father; and the child entering the royal presence with a sorrowful step, knelt down at Henry's feet, and told him that the prince and all on board the 'White Ship' were lost. The great Henry was so thunderstruck with this dreadful news, that he staggered and sank upon the floor in a deep swoon, in which state he remained for many hours. When he recovered, he broke into the bitterest lamentations for the loss he had sustained; the chroniclers record that he was never again seen to smile. The body of prince William was never found, though diligent search was made for it along the shores.²

Henry of Huntingdon exults uncharitably over the catastrophe of the 'White Ship,' in the following burst of poetic eloquence:—"The proud youth! he thought of his future reign, when he said 'he would yoke the Saxons like oxen.' But God said, 'It shall not be, thou impious one; it shall not be.' And so it has come to pass: that brow has worn no crown of gold, but has been dashed against the rocks of the ocean. It was God himself who would not that the son of the Norman should again see England."³

In the last act of his life, William Atheling manifested a spirit so noble, so tenderly compassionate, and forgetful of selfish considerations, that we can only say it was worthy of the son of Matilda, the good queen.

¹ Thierry's Anglo-Normans.

² Wm. of Malms.

³ Brompton also speaks unfavourably of this unfortunate young prince; but it should be remembered that England was a divided

nation at that period, and that the Saxon chroniclers wrote in the very gail of bitterness against those whom the Norman historians commended.

ADELICIA OF LOUVAINE,
SURNAMED THE FAIR MAID OF BRABANT;
SECOND QUEEN OF HENRY I.

THIS princess, to whom contemporary chroniclers have given the name of "the fair Maid of Brabant," is one of the most obscure characters in the catalogue of English queens. Tradition, and her handmaid Poetry, have, however, spoken bright things of her; and the surviving historical records of her life, though brief, are all of a nature tending to confirm the good report which the verses of the Provençals have preserved of her virtues and accomplishments.

Descended, through both her parents, from the imperial Carlovngian line,¹ Adelia boasted the most illustrious blood in Christendom. She was the eldest daughter of Godfrey of Louvaine, duke of Brabant and Lotheir (or Lower Lorraine), and Ida, countess of Namur. Her father, as the great-grandson of Charles, brother to Lothaire of France, was the lawful representative of Charlemagne. The male posterity of the unfortunate Charles having been cut off by Hugh Capet, the rights of his house became vested in the descendants of his eldest daughter, Gerberga. Lambert, the son of Gerberga, by her marriage with Robert of Louvaine, was the father of Godfrey. Ermengarde, the second daughter of Charles, married Albert, the third count of Namur; and their sole daughter and heiress, Ida (the mother of Adelia), became the wife of her cousin, Godfrey of Louvaine, surnamed *Barbatus*, or "the bearded," because he had made a vow never to shave his beard till he had recovered Lower Lorraine, the patrimony of his ancestors. In this he succeeded in the year 1107, after which he triumphantly displayed a smooth chin, in token that he had fulfilled his obligation. He finally obtained from his subjects and contemporaries the honourable appellation of Godfrey the Great.² The dominions of this prince were somewhat more extensive than the modern kingdom of Belgium, and were governed by him with the greatest wisdom and ability.

¹ Howard Memorials,

² Buknet's Trophies. Howard Memorials.

From this illustrious lineage, Adelicia inherited the distinguished beauty and fine talents for which the Lorraine branch of the house of Charlemagne has ever been celebrated. She was also remarkable for her proficiency in feminine acquirements. The standard she embroidered in silk and gold for her father, during the arduous contest in which he was engaged for the recovery of his patrimony, was celebrated throughout Europe for the exquisite taste and skill displayed by the royal Adelicia in the design and execution of her patriotic achievement.¹ This standard was unfortunately captured at a battle near the castle of Duras, in the year 1129, by the bishop of Liege and the earl of Limbourg, the old competitor of Godfrey for Lower Lorraine: it was placed by them, as a memorial of their triumph, in the great church of St. Lambert, at Liege, and was for centuries carried in procession, on Rogation-days, through the streets of that city. The church of St. Lambert was destroyed during the French revolution; yet the learned editor of the Howard Memorials fondly indulges in the hope that this interesting relic of his royal ancestress's industry and patriotic feelings may yet exist, hereafter to be brought to light, like the long-forgotten Bayeux tapestry. The plain, where this memorable trophy was taken, is still called "the field of the Standard."²

The fame of the fair maid of Brabant's charms and accomplishments, it is said, induced the confidential advisers of Henry I. of England to recommend their sorrow-stricken lord to wed her, in hopes of dissipating that corroding melancholy which, since the loss of his children in the fatal 'White Ship,' had become constitutional to him. The temper of this monarch had, in fact, grown so irascible, that his greatest nobles feared to enter his presence, and it is said that, in his causeless transports of rage, he indulged himself in the use of the most unkingly terms of vituperation to all who approached him; which made his peers the more earnest in their counsels for him to take a second wife.³ Adelicia of Louvaine was the object of his choice. Henry's ostensible motive in contracting this marriage was the hope of male posterity, to inherit the united realms of England and Normandy. He had been a widower two years when he entered into a treaty with Godfrey of Louvaine for the hand of his beautiful daughter. Robert of Gloucester, when recording the fact in his rhyming Chronicle, says,—

"He knew no woman so fair as she
Was seen on middle earth."

The name of this princess has been variously written by the chroniclers of England, Normandy, Germany, and Brabant, as Adeliza, Alicia,

¹ Buknet's Trophies. Howard's Memorials. ² Brutsholme.

³ "It was the death of this youth," says William of Malmesbury, speaking of Wil-

liam the Atheling, "which induced king Henry to renounce the celibacy he had cherished since Matilda's death, in the hope of future heirs by a new consort."

Adelaide, Aleyda or Adelheite, which means "most noble." In the Saxon Chronicle she is called Æthelice, or Alice. Mr. Howard of Corby-castle, the immediate descendant of this queen, in his *Memorials of the Howard Family*,¹ calls her Adelicia, for the best of reasons,—her name is so written in an original charter of the 31st of Henry I., confirming her grant of lands for the foundation of an hospital of lepers at Fugglestone, near Wilton, dedicated to St. Giles; which deed, with part of the seal appendant, is still preserved in the corporation chest at Wilton.

The Provençal and Walloon poets, of whom this queen was a munificent patroness, style her Alix la Belle, Adelais, and Alise, varying the syllables according to the structure of the verses which they composed in her honour,—a licence always allowed to poetical writers; therefore, the rhymes of the troubadours ought not be regarded as the slightest authority in settling the point. Modern historians generally speak of this princess by her Latinized name of Adeliza, but her learned descendant's version of her name is that which ought to be adopted by her biographer. There is no authentic record of the date of Adelicia's birth. Mr. Howard supposes she was about eighteen years old at the period of her marriage with Henry I., and it is certain that she was in the bloom of her beauty at the time he sought her hand.

In proportion to the estimation in which the charms of Adelicia were held did Henry fix her dower, which was so munificent, that the duke of Louvaine, her father, consigned her to her affianced lord as soon as the contract of marriage was signed. This ceremony took place on the 16th of April, 1120, but the nuptials were not celebrated till some months after this period. King Henry, in person, conducted his betrothed bride to England in the autumn of this year.² They landed about Michaelmas. Some historians affirm that the royal pair were married at Ely, soon after their arrival; but if so, it must have been a private arrangement, for the nuptials were publicly solemnized at Windsor on the 24th of January, 1121;³ having been delayed in consequence of a singular dispute between the archbishop of Canterbury and the bishop of Salisbury, which established a point too important to be omitted in a history embracing, in a peculiar manner, the habits and customs of royalty. Roger le Poer, the bishop of Salisbury, that notable preacher of short sermons, claimed the right to marry the royal pair because the fortress of Windsor was within his diocese. This right was disputed by the aged Ralph, archbishop of Canterbury, who was a great stickler for the prerogatives of his office; and an ecclesiastical council was called, in which it was decided, that wherever the king and queen

¹ Through the courtesy of the late Bernard duke of Norfolk, I have been favoured with a copy of this inestimable volume, which, as it is printed for private use, is inaccessible

to the public; but most important as a book of reference to the writers of royal and noble biographies.

² *II. of Hunt. White Kennet.* ³ *Eadmer*

might be within the realm of England, they were the parishioners of the archbishop of Canterbury. Accordingly, the ceremony was triumphantly performed by the venerable primate, though bowed down by so many infirmities, that he appeared like one tottering on the verge of the grave.

This afforded Henry an excuse for deputing the honour of crowning him and his bride on the following day, at Westminster, to his favourite prelate, Roger le Poer, the bishop of Salisbury above named, to console him for his disappointment with regard to the hymeneal office. But the archbishop was not to be thus put off. The right of crowning the king and queen he considered a still more important branch of his archiepiscopal prerogatives than that of marrying them, and, *malgré* his age and paralysis, he hastened to the abbey, where the ceremonial had commenced at an unusually early hour. Roger le Poer, his rival, having, according to his old custom, made unprecedented expedition in the performance of his office, had already placed the royal diadem on the monarch's brow, when archbishop Ralph sternly approached the royal chair, and asked Henry, "Who had put the crown on his head?"¹ The king evasively replied, "If the ceremony had not been properly performed, it could be done again." On which, as some chroniclers assert, the choleric old primate gave the king such a smart blow with his crosier, that he smote the crown from his head; but Eadmer says, he only raised it up by the strap which passed under the chin, and so turned it off his head. He then proceeded to replace it with all due form, and afterwards crowned the fair young queen. This most extraordinary coronation took place on Sunday, January 30th, 1121.

The beauty of the royal bride, whom Piers of Langtoft calls

"The May withouten vice,"

made a great impression on the minds of the people, which the sweetness of her manners, her prudence, and mild virtues, strengthened in no slight degree. It was on the occasion of her bridal coronation that Henry of Huntingdon, the chronicler, addressed to Adelicia those celebrated Latin verses, of which Camden has given us the following translation:

"When Adeliza's name should grace my song,²
A sudden wonder stops the Muse's tongue;
Your crown and jewels, when compared to you,
How poor your crown, how pale your jewels show!
Take off your robes, your rich attire remove,
Such pomps may load you, but can ne'er improve;
In vain your costly ornaments are worn,
You they obscure, while others they adorn.
Ah! what new lustre can these trifles give,
Which all their beauty from your charms receive?
Thus I your lofty praise, your vast renown,
In lowly verse am not ashamed to have shown,
Oh, be you not ashamed my services to own!"

¹ Eadmer. Speed.

² "Angli cum regina tuos Adelida, decoras."

The wisdom of this lovely girl-queen early manifested itself in the graceful manner by which she endeavoured to conform herself to the tastes of her royal lord, in the encouragement of the polished arts, and the patronage of literature. Henry's love for animals had induced him to create an extensive menagerie at Woodstock, as we have seen, during the life of his first queen, Matilda of Scotland, who was probably well acquainted with natural history. The youthful Adelicia evidently knew nothing of zoology previous to her marriage with Henry Beauclerc; but, like a good wife, in order to adapt herself to his pursuits, she turned her attention to that study, for we find Philippe de Thuan wrote a work on the nature of animals for her especial instruction. The poetical naturalist did not forget to allude to the personal charms of his royal patroness in his courtier-like dedication:—

“ Philippe de Thuan, in plain French,
Has written an elementary book of animals,
For the praise and instruction of a good and beauteous woman,
Who is the crowned queen of England, and named Alix.”

One of the most approved historians of her day, the author of the *Waltham-abbey MSS.*,¹ states that he was appointed a canon of that abbey through the patronage of Queen Adelicia.

Adelicia was deprived of the society of her royal husband a few weeks after their marriage, in consequence of a formidable inbreak of the Welsh, who had entered Cheshire, and committed great ravages. Henry went in person to the defence of his border counties, and having defeated the invaders, pursued them far into the country. During this campaign his life was in some peril: while separated from the main body of his troops, in a narrow defile among the mountains, he fell into an ambush, and at the same time an arrow, which was aimed at him from the heights above, struck him on the breast, but rebounded from his armour of proof. Henry, who probably did not give his Cambrian foes credit for that skill in archery for which his Norman followers were famed, intimated his suspicions of treachery among his own people by exclaiming, “By our Lord's death! it was no Welsh hand that shot that arrow.”² This narrow escape, or perhaps a wish of rejoining Adelicia at Westminster, induced the king to conclude a peace with the Welsh. A very brief season of domestic intercourse was, however, permitted to the royal pair. Fulk, earl of Anjou, having espoused his younger daughter Sybil to William Clito, the earls of Mellent and Montfort, with a considerable party of the baronage of Normandy, openly declared themselves in favour of that prince, the heir of their lawful duke, Robert Courthose.

Henry I. was keeping the Easter festival with his beautiful young queen, at Winchester, when the news that Fulk, of Anjou, had joined this formidable confederacy reached him. He sailed for Normandy

¹ See *Cottonian MS. A. 9. 41.*

² *Chron. Walli.*

in April; and Adelicia was left, as his former queen, Matilda of Scotland, had often been before her, to hold her lonely courts during the protracted absence of her royal consort, and to exert herself for the preservation of the internal peace of England, while war or state policy detained the king in Normandy. Adelicia, following the example of her popular predecessor, Matilda, "the good queen," in all that was deserving of imitation, conducted herself in a manner calculated to win the esteem and love of the nation,—using her queenly influence for the establishment of good order, religion, and refinement, and the encouragement of learning and the arts.

When Henry had defeated his enemies at the battle of Terroude, near Rouen, he sent for his young queen to come to him. Adelicia obeyed the summons, and sailed for Normandy. She arrived in the midst of scenes of horror, for Henry took a merciless vengeance on the revolted vassals of Normandy who were so unfortunate as to fall into his hands. His treatment of the luckless troubadour knight, Luke de Barré,¹ though the circumstances are almost too dreadful for repetition, bears too strongly on the manners and customs of the twelfth century to be omitted. Luke de Barré had been on terms of the greatest familiarity with Henry Beauclerc in the days of their youth, but, from some cause, had joined the revolt of the earl of Mellent in the late insurrection; and the said earl, and all the confederate peers allied against Henry's government in Normandy, had been wonderfully comforted and encouraged by the *sirventes*, or war-songs, of Luke. These songs were provokingly satirical; and, being personally levelled against Henry, contained, we should suppose, some passages which involved a betrayal of confidence; for Henry was so bitterly incensed, that, forgetful of their former intimacy, he barbarously condemned the luckless poet to lose his eyes on a scaffold, by the hands of the public executioner. This sentence was greatly lamented by the court, for Luke de Barré was not only a pleasant and jocose companion, but a gentleman of courage and honour.

The earl of Flanders interceded with his royal kinsman for the wretched victim.² "No, sir, no," replied Henry; "for this man, being a wit, a bard, and a minstrel, forsooth! hath composed many ribald songs against me, and sung them to raise the horse-laughs of mine enemies. Now it hath pleased God to deliver him into mine hands, punished he shall be, to deter others from the like petulance." The sentence, therefore, took place, and the hapless poet died of the wounds he received in struggling with the executioner. The Provençal annalists, however, declare that the gallant troubadour avoided the execution of Henry's sentence by dashing his head against the wall, which caused his death.³ So much for the punishment of libels in the twelfth century!

Queen Adelicia returned to England September. 1126, accompanied

by king Henry and his daughter, the empress Matilda, the heiress-presumptive of England, then a widow in her twenty-fourth year. Matilda, after the funeral of her august spouse, took possession of his imperial diadem, which she brought to England, together with a treasure which, in those days, was by some considered of even greater importance,—the hand of St. James. Matilda was reluctant to leave Germany, where she was splendidly dowered, and enjoyed a remarkable share of popularity. The princes of the empire were so much charmed with her prudent conduct and stately demeanour, that they entreated the king, her father, to permit her to choose a second consort from among their august body, promising to elect for their emperor the person on whom her choice might fall.¹

King Henry, however, despairing of a male heir, as he had been married to Adelicia six years, reclaimed his widowed daughter from the admiring subjects of her late consort, and carried her with him to England. Soon after their arrival, Henry summoned a parliament for the purpose of causing the empress Matilda to be acknowledged as the heiress presumptive to the crown. This was the first instance that had occurred, since the consolidation of the Heptarchy under one supreme head, of a female standing in that important position with regard to the succession of the English crown. There was, however, neither law nor precept to forbid a female from holding the regal office, and Henry failed not to set forth to the representatives of the great body of the people, who had been summoned on this important business, his daughter's descent from their ancient line of sovereigns; telling them, "That through her, who was now his only heir, they should come to be governed again by the royal English blood, if they would make oath to secure to her, after his death, the succession as queen of England, in case of his decease without a male heir."² It is, doubtless, on the authority of this remarkable passage in Henry's speech, that historians have called his first wife, Matilda of Scotland, the heiress of the Saxon line.

The people of England joyfully acceded to Henry's proposition, and the nobles and prelates of the Norman aristocracy, assembled in council on this occasion, swore fealty to the high and mighty lady Matilda as their future sovereign. Stephen, earl of Mortagne, the king's favourite nephew (being the third son of the Conqueror's fourth daughter, Adela countess of Blois), was the first who bent his knee in homage to the daughter of his liege lord as the heiress of England, and swore to maintain her righteous title to the throne of her royal father. Stephen was the handsomest man in Europe, and remarkable for his fine carriage and knightly prowess. He bore great sway in the councils of his royal uncle, and was a general favourite of the nobles of England and Nor-

¹ Gem. Wm. of Malms. Sir John Hayward. Speed.

² H. of Hunt. Wm. of Malms. Gem.

mandy. It has been said, withal, that his fine person and graceful manners made a deep impresion on the heart of the widowed heiress of England.

The royal family kept their Christmas this year at Windsor,¹ at which time king Henry, in token of his esteem for queen Adelicia, gave her the whole county of Salop. The empress Matilda did not grace the festivities by her presence, but remained in the deepest seclusion, "abiding continually," says Matthew Paris, "in the chamber of Adelicia;" by which it appears that, notwithstanding her high rank and matronly dignity as the widow of an emperor, the heiress of England had no establishment of her own. This retirement, lasting for several months, gave rise to mysterious rumours as to the cause of her being hidden from the people, who had so recently been required to swear fealty to her as their future sovereign. By some it was said "that the king, her father, suspected her of having accelerated the death of her late husband, the emperor, or of causing him to be spirited away from his palace."² But that was evidently a groundless surmise: for W. Gemeticensis, a contemporary chronicler, bears testimony to "her prudent and gracious behaviour to her imperial spouse, which," he observes, "was one of the causes which won the esteem of the German princes, who were urgent in their entreaties to her royal father for her restoration." This, Henry pertinaciously refused, repeating, "that she was his only heir, and must dwell among her own people." Yet, early in the following year, he again bestowed her in marriage, without the consent of his subjects in England, and decidedly against her own inclination, on a foreign prince, whom she regarded with the most ineffable scorn as her inferior in every point of view.

We have seen that, in her tender infancy, Matilda was used as a political puppet by her parent to advance his own interest, without the slightest consideration for her happiness. *Then* the victim was led a smiling sacrifice to the altar, unconscious of the joyless destiny to which parental ambition had doomed her. *Now* the case was different; she was no meek infant, but a royal matron, who had shared the imperial throne of a Kaiser and received for years the homage of vassal princes. Moreover, she whom Henry endeavoured to compel to an abhorrent marriage of state, possessed a mind as inflexible as his own. The dis-

¹ Saxon Annals.

² Ever since the miserable death of his unhappy father, Henry IV., the emperor Henry V. had been subject to great mental disquiet, from the remorse which perpetually deprived him of rest. "One night he rose up from the side of the empress, and taking his staff in hand, with naked feet, wandered forth into the darkness, clad only in a woollen garment, and was never again seen in his own palace." This wild tale is related by

Hoveden, Giraldus, and Higden, and various ancient manuscript chronicles, to say nothing of Trevisa, who adds, by way of sequel to the legend, that "the conscience-stricken emperor fled to England, where at West Chester he became a hermit, changing his name to 'God's-call,' or the called of God. He lived in daily penance for the space of ten years, and was buried in the cathedral church of St. Werburga the Virgin."

putes between the king and his daughter must have arisen to a very serious height before he took the unpopular step of subjecting her to personal restraint, by confining her to the apartments of his queen. Matthew Paris, indeed, labours to convince us that there was nothing unreasonable in this circumstance. "Where," says he, "should an empress live rather than with a queen, a daughter than with a mother, a fair lady, a widow and the heir of a great nation, than where her person might be safest from danger, and her conduct from suspicion?" The historian, however, forgets that Matilda was the step-daughter of the queen; that Adelicia was not older than herself, and, from the acknowledged gentleness of her disposition, unlikely to assume the slightest maternal control over the haughty heiress of England. Adelicia must have felt herself very delicately situated in this business; and it appears probable that she acted as a mediator between the contending parties, conducting herself rather as a loving sister than an ambitious step-dame. The accomplished editor of the Howard Memorials infers that a very tender friendship existed between the empress Matilda and Adelicia through life, which probably had commenced before "the fair maid of Brabant" was selected from among the princesses of Europe to share the crown of England with Henry I.; for Matilda's imperial spouse, the emperor Henry V., had been actively instrumental in assisting Godfrey Barbatus, the father of Adelicia, in the recovery of Lower Lorraine,—an obligation which the Louvaine princess certainly endeavoured to repay to his widow.¹ Adelicia's uncle, Wido of Louvaine, afterwards pope Calixtus II., was at one period archbishop of Vienne, and it is even possible that Henry's attention was first attracted to the "fair maid of Brabant" at the court of his daughter; and the previous intimacy between the ladies may account for the fact that the haughty Matilda lived on such good terms with her step-mother, for Adelicia appears to have been the only person with whom she did not quarrel.

The prince to whom Henry I. had pledged the hand of his perverse heiress, was Geoffrey Plantagenet, the eldest son of his old antagonist, Fulk, earl of Anjou, and brother to the widowed princess who had been espoused to Matilda's William the Atheling; Geoffrey had been the favourite companion of king Henry I. when on the continent. His fine person, his elegant manners, great bravery, and, above all, his learning, made his society very agreeable to a monarch who still possessed these excellencies in great perfection.² Some of the French chroniclers declare this Geoffrey to be the first person that bore the name of Plantagenet, from putting in his helmet a plume of the flowering broom when he went to hunt in the woods.

¹ Howard Memorials. Chron. of Brabant.

² Wm. of Malms. H. of Hunt.

Motives of policy inclined Henry to this alliance. Fulk of Anjou, who had hitherto supported the claims of his gallant young son-in-law, William Clito, to the dukedom, was willing to abandon his cause, provided Henry would marry Matilda to his heir. This Henry had engaged to do, without the slightest attention to his daughter's feelings. His favourite nephew, Stephen of Blois, is said to have rendered himself only too dear to the imperial widow, although at that time a married man. The ceremony of betrothment between Geoffrey of Anjou and the reluctant Matilda took place on Whit-Sunday, 1127, and she was, after the festivities of Whitsuntide were over, conducted into Normandy by her half-brother, Robert, earl of Gloucester, and Brian, son of Alan Fergeant, earl of Richmond, with great pomp.

The feasts and pageants that attended her arrival in Normandy were prolonged during three weeks. On the first day, heralds in grand costume went through the streets and squares of Rouen, shouting at every crossway this singular proclamation :

“THUS SAITH KING HENRY!

“Let no man here present, whether native or foreigner, rich or poor, high or low, warrior or rustic, be so bold as to stay away from the royal rejoicings, for whosoever shall not take a part in the games and diversions, shall be considered guilty of an offence to our lord the king.”²

King Henry had given positive commands to Matilda and her illustrious escort, that the nuptials should be solemnized by the archbishop of Rouen immediately on her arrival ;² but he was himself compelled to undertake a voyage to Normandy, in August, to see the marriage concluded, which did not take place till the 26th of that month ;³ from which we may reasonably infer that the reluctant bride paid very little attention to his directions. The affair was at length, however, accomplished to Henry's satisfaction, more especially as Fulk of Anjou, being called to the throne of Jerusalem by the death of Baldwin II., his father-in-law, resigned his patrimonial territories to his heir. Yet there were many circumstances that rendered this alliance a fruitful source of annoyance to Henry. The Anglo-Norman barons and prelates were highly offended, in the first place, that the king should have presumed to marry the heiress of the realm without consulting them on the subject ; and the English were no less displeased at the open violence that had been put on the inclinations of the descendant of their ancient sovereigns in this foreign marriage. As for Matilda, it should seem that she did not consider herself by any means bound to practise the duty of obedience, or even of common courtesy, to a

¹ Brompton. Wm. of Malms. Script. Rer. Franc.

² Saxon Annals. S. Dunelm. Wm. of Malms. H. of Hunt.

³ Saxon Annals

husband who had thus been forced upon her against her own will; and while she exacted the most unqualified submissions from her luckless helpmate, she perpetually wearied her father with complaints of his conduct.

Queen Adelicia was rejoined by king Henry, in the autumn, and they kept their Christmas together in London. Early in the following spring, 1128, he was again compelled to embark for Normandy, to defeat the enterprising designs of his nephew, William Clito, who, having succeeded to the earldom of Flanders, in right of his grandmother Matilda, the wife of William the Conqueror, was enabled to assume a more formidable attitude than he had yet done. But this gallant and unfortunate prince met with his death in consequence of a slight wound in the thumb, which he took in disarming a mutinous soldier of his lance. He died six days after,¹ in the monastery of St. Bertin, July 27, 1128. This formidable rival being now removed, Henry appeared at the summit of his ambition, and was considered the mightiest monarch of the West. He was the husband, withal, of one of the most beautiful and amiable princesses in Europe.

Whether the fair Adelicia loved her royal spouse, history has not recorded; but her conduct as a wife, a queen, and even as a step-mother, was irreproachable. When all circumstances are considered, it can scarcely be imagined, however, that her splendid marriage was productive of happiness to the youthful wife of Henry I. To say nothing of the disparity in years between this illustrious pair, the morbid sorrow of which Henry was the perpetual prey after the loss of his children in the 'White Ship,' the irascibility of temper to which he gave way in his old age, and his bitter disappointment at the want of offspring from his second marriage, must have been most distressing to the feelings of his gentle consort. Then the stormy disputes between Henry and his only daughter Matilda could not have been otherwise than very painful to her. Whatever, however, were the trials with which Adelicia had to contend, she evidently supported them with silent magnanimity, and at the same time endeavoured to soothe and cheer the gloom of her wayward lord by attracting to the court the most distinguished poets and minstrels of the age, who repaid her liberal patronage by celebrating her virtues and her charms.

Adelicia frequently attended her royal husband on his progresses. Her presence was, doubtless, of medicinal influence in those fearful hours when the pangs of troubled conscience brought the visitations of an evil spirit upon Henry, and sleep either forsook his pillow or brought visionary horrors in its train. "In the year 1130, the king complained to

¹ His captive father, Robert Courthose, it is said, one morning surprised his attendants by weeping piteously, and exclaiming, "My son is dead! my son is dead!" and related,

"that he had in his dreams, that night, seen him mortally wounded with a lance."—Ord. Vit.

Grimbald, his Saxon physician, that he was sore disquieted of nights, and that he seemed to see a great number of husbandmen with their rustical tools stand about him, threatening him for wrongs done against them. Sometimes he appeared to see his knights and soldiers threatening him; which sight so feared him in his sleep, that oftentimes he rose undressed out of his bed, took weapon in hand, and sought to kill them he could not find. Grimbald, his physician, being a notably wise man, expounded his dreams by true conjecture, and willed him to reform himself by alms and prayer, as Nebuchadnezzar did by the counsel of Daniel.¹ It is probable that the unfortunate troubadour knight, Luke de Barré, was not forgotten by the conscience-stricken monarch, though historians have not recorded that his mangled form was among the ghastly *dramatis personæ* that, in his latter years, made king Henry's nights horrible. Malmesbury tells us, moreover, that Henry had an inveterate habit of snoring: "his sleep was heavy, but interrupted with loud and perpetual snoring." He was so haunted with the fear of assassination, that he frequently changed his bed, increased his guards, and caused a sword and shield to be constantly placed near him at night,—no enviable state of companionship, we should imagine, for the young and innocent being whose fate was indissolubly linked with his. It must have been a relief at all times to Adelicia when her royal husband's presence was required in Normandy.

On the death of Adelicia's uncle, pope Calixtus II., a dispute occurring in the election of two rival pontiffs as successors to the papal chair, Henry proceeded to the continent in the year 1130, in the hope of reaping some political advantage from the candidate whose cause he espoused. His arrangements were perfectly satisfactory as to that matter, but he was to the last degree harassed by the quarrels between his daughter and her unbeloved spouse, Geoffrey of Anjou. After he had thrice adjusted their differences, Matilda, on some fresh offence which she either gave or took, abjured her husband's company, departed from his court, and claimed the protection of the king her father, with whom she once more returned to England,² having, by the eloquence of tears and complaints, succeeded in exciting his indignation against her husband, and persuading him that she was an injured person.—The oath of fealty to Matilda, as the heiress of England, was again renewed by the general estates of the nation at Northampton, September, 1131.³ The count of Anjou then sent an humble entreaty to his haughty consort to return to him; the king, her father, seconded his request, and all due submissions having been made by Geoffrey, Matilda was at length induced to obey him.⁴

¹ Stowe. H. of Hunt.² R. of Hov.³ Wm. of Malms. H. of Hunt.⁴ A passage from Mezerai casts some light

on the separation that took place between

The following year was remarkable for a destructive fire, which consumed the greatest part of London;¹ but soon after this national calamity, the joyful news that the empress Matilda had given birth to a prince² diverted the attention of the royal family from the contemplation of this misfortune, and cast the last gleam of brightness on the declining years of the king. The young prince was named Henry, after his royal grandfather, the king of England. The Normans called him Fitz-Empress, but king Henry proudly styled the boy Fitz-Conqueror, in token of his illustrious descent from the mightiest monarch of the line.³

King Henry summoned his nobles in 1133, for the purpose of causing this precious child to be included in the oath of fealty, by which the succession to the throne was for the third time secured to his daughter, the empress Matilda. If queen Adelicia had brought him a son, after these repeated acts in favour of his daughter (by a princess whom the majority of the people regarded as the heiress of the royal English line), a civil war respecting the succession must have occurred. The childless state of the beautiful young queen, though so deeply lamented by her royal husband, was one of the causes of the amity and confidence that subsisted between her and her haughty step-daughter.

Towards the latter end of this summer, king Henry embarked on his last voyage for Normandy. The day was remarkable for a total eclipse of the sun, accompanied with storms and violent commotions of the deep.⁴ It was so dark, say the annalists of that era, "that on board the royal ship no man might see another's face for some hours." The eclipse was followed by an earthquake; and these two phenomena were, according to the spirit of the age, regarded as portents of horror and woe, and it was predicted that the king would never return from Normandy.⁵ On a former occasion, when Henry had embarked for England, in June, 1131, he was so dismayed by the bursting of a water-spout over the vessel, and the fury of the wind and waves, that, believing his last hour was at hand, he made a penitent acknowledgment of his sins, promising to lead a new life if it should please God to preserve him from the peril of death, and, above all, he vowed to repeal the oppressive impost of "danegelt" for seven years, if he were permitted to reach the English shore in safety.⁶ From this incident we may infer that Henry I. was by no means impressed with his brother Rufus's bold

the widowed empress and her new spouse. After the nuptials of this pair, a monk came to Matilda, and declared that her late lord, the emperor Henry, had not died at Utrecht, as she and all the world supposed, but that he finished his days as a servant in an hospital, which severe penance he had sworn to inflict on himself for his heavy sins. When dying at Augers, the disguised emperor dis-

covered himself to this monk, his confessor, who came to Matilda with the news. In conclusion, it is said, the empress attended the death-bed of Henry V., and recognised and acknowledged him as the emperor her first husband.

¹ H. of Hunt.

² R. Diceto. M. Paris.

³ M. West.

⁴ Saxon Annals.

⁵ Wm. of Malm.

⁶ Saxon Annals.

idea, of the security of a king of England from a watery grave; but the catastrophe of his children in the fatal 'White Ship' had no doubt some effect on his mind during these perils on the deep.

The summer of 1133 he spent in Normandy, in feasts and rejoicings for the birth of his infant grandson. That event was, however, only the precursor of fresh dissensions between that ill-assorted pair, the empress Matilda and her husband Geoffrey Plantagenet. Her late visit to England had renewed the scandalous reports respecting her partiality for her cousin, Stephen of Blois; while the birth of a son in the sixth year of her marriage, proved anything but a bond of union between her and her consort.¹

There is no reason to suppose that Adelicia was with the king her husband, at the time of his death, which took place in Normandy, in the year 1135. It is said, that having over-fatigued himself in hunting, he returned much heated, and, contrary to the advice of his courtiers and physicians, made too full a meal on a dish of stewed lampreys, his favourite food, which brought on a violent fit of indigestion (called by the chroniclers a surfeit), ending in a fever, of which he died, after an illness of seven days, at midnight, December 1st, in the sixty-seventh year of his age.

Robert of Gloucester gives the following serio-comic account of the royal wilfulness, in partaking of the interdicted food which caused his death:—

"When he came home, he willed him a lamprey to eat,
Though his leeches him forbade, for it was a feeble meat;
But he would not them believe, for he loved it well enow,
And ate in evil case, for the lamprey it him slew;
For right soon after it into anguish him drew,
And he died for his lamprey unto his own woe."

Henry appears to have been perfectly conscious of his approaching dissolution, for he gave particular directions respecting his obsequies to his natural son, Robert, earl of Gloucester, whom he charged to take 60,000 marks out of his treasure-chest at Falaise, for the expenses of his funeral and the payment of his mercenary troops.² He solemnly bequeathed his dominions to his daughter the empress, not without some indignant mention of her luckless spouse, Geoffrey of Anjou. He absolutely excluded him from any share in his bequests, and with much earnestness constituted his beloved son Robert, earl of Gloucester, the protector of his daughter's rights.

The noble earls who surrounded the death-bed of king Henry, and listened to his last instructions respecting his funeral, attended his remains from the town of St. Denis le Froment (where he breathed his last) to Rouen; and when they entered that city, they reverently bore the bier, on which the royal corpse was laid, on their shoulders by turns.

¹ Sax. Chron.

Ord. Vit. Wm. of Malms.

² H. of Hunt.

Two illuminated portraits of Henry I. are in existence: both represent him as advanced in life, and in a melancholy attitude,—supposed to be after the loss of his children. His face is handsome, with high and regular features, his hair curling, but not long. He is clad in a very close dress, with his regal mantle folded about him; his shoe and stocking all of a piece, and the toe pointed: his crown is ornamented with three trefoils; his sceptre is a staff with an ornamented head: he is seated on a carved stone bench.¹

Henry received from his subjects the title of “the Lion of Justice.” This appellation was drawn from the prophecies of Merlin, then very popular in England. On the accession of every sovereign to the English throne, all his subjects consulted these rignaroles, as naturally as we consult an almanac to know when there is a new moon. “After two dragons,” says Merlin, “the lion of Justice shall come, at whose roaring the Gallic towers and island serpents shall tremble.”

The “lion of Justice” suffered no one to break the laws but himself. If he is accountable for the villanies of his purveyors, his standard of justice was not very high: “The king’s servants, and a multitude following the royal retinue, took and spoiled everything the way the king went, there being no discipline or good order taken.² When they could not consume what they found in the house they entered, they made the owners carry it to market and sell it for them; they burned the provisions, or washed their horses’ feet with the ale or mead, or poured the drink on the ground, or otherwise wasted it, so that every one hearing of the king’s coming would run away from their houses.” Whenever Henry I. was under any apprehensions from his brother Robert, he regulated his household somewhat better, and kept the lawlessness of his purveyors within bounds.³

Henry carried the art of dissimulation to such a pitch, that his grand iusticiary started when he heard the king had praised him, and exclaimed, “God defend me! The king praises no one but him whom he means to destroy.”⁴ The result proved the deep knowledge which the minister had of his royal master’s character, as Henry of Huntingdon, his archdeacon, details at length.

The removal of Henry’s body for interment was delayed for several weeks by tempestuous weather; but the seas becoming calmer after Christmas, it was put on shipboard, and safely transported to England.

¹ These portraits exactly agree with the descriptions of the costume from the monastic chronicles:—“They wore close breeches and stockings, all of a piece, made of fine cloth.” The pointed shoes were brought in by William Rufus, but were first invented by Folque le Rechin (whose surname means “the quarreller”), count of Anjou, to hide his corns and bunions. The queen and women of rank wore gowns and

mantles trailing on the ground. The married women wore an additional robe over the gown, not unlike the sacerdotal garment; to the girdle a large pouch or purse was suspended, called an *aumonière*. The men wore their hair in long curls, which provoked the wrath of popular preachers: the married women braided theirs very closely to the side of the face, or hid it.

² Wm. of Malins.

³ Eadmer. ⁴ H. of Hunt.

His obsequies were celebrated with great magnificence in the abbey church of Reading, which he had built and endowed for that purpose. His nephew and successor, Stephen, assisted at the funeral. Queen Adelicia gave one hundred shillings annually out of her wharf at London, called Queenhythe, for the expenses of a lamp to burn perpetually before his tomb.

On the first anniversary of king Henry's death, the royal widow, accompanied by her brother Josceline of Louvaine, and attended by her almoners, chaplains, and the officers of her household, entered the abbey-church of Reading, where, being received with all due ceremonies of respect by a numerous train of abbots, priors, and priests, she proceeded in solemn pomp up the aisle, supported by the bishops of Salisbury and Worcester, and gave public testimonial of her regard for the memory of her late consort, by placing with her own hand a rich pall on the altar, in token that she made an oblation to God and the monks of St. Mary, Reading, of her manor of Eastone,¹ in Hertfordshire (formerly given to her by her said lord king Henry), in order to obtain their prayers for the benefit of his soul, her own soul, the souls of her father and mother, and also for the health of the reigning sovereign king Stephen, and queen Maud his wife. By a second charter, commencing "*Ego Adalid regina*," she also gave the manor of Stanton Harcourt, in Oxfordshire, &c., &c., for the expenses of a solemn service for the repose of her royal husband's soul.²

What degree of happiness Adelicia the Fair enjoyed during the fifteen years of queenly splendour which she passed as the consort of Henry Beauclerc, no surviving records tell; but that she was very proud of his achievements and brilliant talents, we have the testimony of the poetical chronicler who continued the history of Brut, from William the Conqueror through the reign of William Rufus. It appears, moreover, that the royal dowager employed herself during her widowhood in collecting materials for the history of her mighty lord; for Gaimar, the author of the '*History of the Angles*,' observes, "that if he had chosen

¹ The original charter is still in excellent preservation, in the possession of Abel Smith, esq., M.P. Having been favoured with a translation of this curious document, through the kindness of my learned friend, Rouge Croix, I subjoin it, in illustration of the customs of that era, and as affording evidence of the disputed fact, that Josceline of Louvaine joined his royal sister in England:—

"**QUEEN ADELID'S CHARTER.**

"Be it known to all the faithful of Holy Church of all England and Normandy, that I, queen Adelidis, wife of the most noble king Henry, and daughter of Godfrey duke of Lorraine, have granted and given for ever to God and the church of St. Mary of Reading, for the health and redemption of the

soul of my lord the most noble king Henry, and of mine own; and also for the health of my lord Stephen, by the grace of God king of the English, and of queen Maud his wife, and all the offspring of the most noble king Henry, and of my father and mother and relations, as well living as dead, my manor of Eastone, which my lord the most noble king Henry gave to me as his queen and wife, in Hertfordshire, with all its appurtenances, to be held as freely and quietly as ever I myself held it best in demesne by the gift of my lord the most noble king Henry. And this gift I have made on the first anniversary of my lord the most noble king Henry in the same church, by the offering of a pall which I placed on the altar."

² *Edward Memorials.*

to have written of king Henry, he had a thousand things to say, which the troubadour called David, employed by queen Adelicia, knew nought about; neither had he written, nor was the Louvaine queen herself in possession of them." If the collection of queen Adelicia should ever be brought to light, it would no doubt afford a curious specimen of the biographical powers of the illustrious widow and her assistant, troubadour David, whose name has only been rescued from oblivion by the jealousy of a disappointed rival in the art of historical poetry.

Adelicia is much eulogized in the songs of the poets she patronised. A third *trouvère*, or troubadour, in his dedication of the wondrous voyage of St. Brandon, a sort of spiritual Sindbad, praises her for the good laws she had instituted. But the second queen-consort of Henry I. could have had little opportunity for the exercise of her legislative talents, save in the gentle influence of her refined and virtuous example, and the establishment of civilizing etiquette. It was one of Adelicia's best points, that she sedulously trod in the steps of her popular predecessor, Matilda of Scotland, and thus won the following elegant tribute from the author of St. Brandon's voyage:—

"Lady Adelais, who queen¹
By the grace of heaven hath been
Y-crowned,—who this land hath blest
With peace and wholesome laws and rest,
Both by king Henry's stalwart might,
And by thy counsels mild and right.
For this their holy benisons,
May the apostles shed, each one,
A thousand thousandfold on thee!
And since thy mild command hath won me,
To turn this goodly history
Into romaunt, and carefully
To write it out, and soothly tell
What to St. Brandon erst befell,—
At thy command I undertake
The task right gladly."

The poem is full of beauty, and reflects no little credit on the taste of the queen.

During the life of the king her husband, Adelicia had founded and endowed the hospital and conventual establishment of St. Giles, near Wilton.² She resided there during some part of her widowhood, in the house which is still called by her name.³ She was dowered by her late husband, king Henry, in the fair domain of Arundel-castle and its rich dependencies, the forfeit inheritance⁴ of the brutal Robert, earl of Belesme; and here, no doubt, the royal widow held her state at the expiration of the first year of cloistered seclusion after the death of her illustrious spouse.

"Beyond Selsey," says Camden, "the shore breaks, and makes way

¹ Cottonian MSS. *Vespasian*, B. x. 1

² Howard Memorials.

³ Hoare's *Modern Wiltshire*.
Tierney's *Arundel*.

for a river that runs out of St. Leonard's forest, and then by Arundel, seated on a hill, over a vale of the river Arun." At this Saxon castle, built and strengthened on the hill above the waters, Adelicia was residing when she consented to become the wife of William de Albini "of the Strong Hand," the lord of Buckenham in Norfolk, and one of the most chivalrous peers in Europe. According to Mr. Howard's computation, Adelicia was in her thirty-second year at the time of king Henry's death, in the very pride of her beauty; and she contracted her second marriage in the third year of her widowhood, A.D. 1138.¹

Her second spouse, William de Albini with the Strong Arm, was the son of William de Albini, who was called Pincerna,² being the chief butler or cup-bearer of the duchy of Normandy. William the Conqueror appointed him to the same office in England, at his coronation in Westminster-abbey; which honour has descended by hereditary custom to the duke of Norfolk, his rightful representative and heir; and when there is a coronation-banquet, the golden cup, out of which the sovereign drinks to the health of his or her loving subjects, becomes his perquisite.³ It appears that Adelicia and Albini were affianced some time previous to their marriage; for when he won the prize at the tournament held at Bourges in 1137, in honour of the nuptials of Louis VII. of France and Eleanora of Aquitaine, Adelicia or Adelaide, the gay queen-dowager of France, fell passionately in love with him, and wooed him to become her husband; but he replied, "that his troth was pledged to Adelicia, the queen of England."⁴

Although it may be considered somewhat remarkable that two queen-dowagers of similar names should have fixed their affections on the same gentleman, there is every reason to believe that such was the fact; but the marvellous legend so gravely related by Dugdale,⁵ containing the sequel of the tale, namely, the unlady-like conduct of the rejected dowager of France, in pushing the strong-handed Albini into a cave in her garden, where she had secreted a fierce lion to become the minister of her jealous vengeance, together with the knight's redoubtable exploit in tearing out the lion's heart, which he must have found conveniently situated at the bottom of his throat, (a place where no anatomist would have thought of feeling for it,) must be regarded as one of the popular romances of the age of chivalry. We have seen another version of the story, in which the hero is said to have deprived the lion, not of his heart, but his tongue; and this is doubtless the tradition relating to William of the Strong Hand, since the Albini lion on the ancient armorial bearings of that house is tongueless, and is, by-the-by, one of the most good-tempered looking beasts ever seen.

William de Albini was not only a knight *sans peur et sans reproche*,

¹ Howard Memorials.² Ibid.³ Ibid.⁴ Ibid. Dugdale.⁵ Dugdale's Baronage.

stout in combat, and constant in loyalty and love, but history proves him to have been one of the greatest and best men of that age. His virtues and talents sufficiently justified the widow of the mighty sovereign of England and Normandy in bestowing her hand upon him; nor was Adelicia's second marriage in the slightest degree offensive to the subjects of her late husband, or considered derogatory to the dignity of a queen-dowager of England. Adelicia, by her union with Albini, conveyed to him a life-interest in her rich dowry of Arundel, and he accordingly assumed the title of earl of Arundel, in her right, as the possessor of Arundel-castle.¹ It was at this feudal fortress, on the then solitary coast of Sussex, that the royal beauty, who had for fifteen years presided over the splendid court of Henry Beauclerc, voluntarily resided with her second husband—the husband, doubtless, of her heart—in the peaceful obscurity of domestic happiness, far remote from the scenes of her former greatness.

Adelicia's wisdom in avoiding all the snares of party, by retiring from public life at a period so full of perilous excitement as the early part of Stephen's reign, cannot be disputed. Her gentle disposition, her good taste, and feminine feelings fitted her for the enjoyments of private life, and she made them her choice. There was, however, nothing of a selfish character in the conduct of the royal matron in declining to exert such influence as she possessed in advocating the claims of her step-daughter, Matilda, to the throne of England. As a queen-dowager, Adelicia had no voice in the choice of a sovereign; as a female, she would have departed from her province had she intermeddled with intrigues of state, even for the purpose of assisting the lawful heiress of the crown. She left the question to be decided by the peers and people of England, and as they did not oppose the coronation of Stephen, she had no pretence for interfering; but she never sanctioned the usurpation of the successful rival of her step-daughter's right, by appearing at his court. And when the empress Matilda landed in England to dispute the crown with Stephen, the gates of Arundel-castle were thrown open, to receive her and her train, by the royal Adelicia and her high-minded husband, Albini.² It was in the year 1139 when this perilous guest claimed the hospitality, and, finally, the protection, of the noble pair, whose wedded happiness had been rendered more perfect by the birth of a son, very little before that period, for it was only in the second year of their marriage. And she, over whose barrenness, as the consort of the mightiest monarch of the West, both sovereign and people had lamented for nearly fifteen years, became, when the wife of a subject, the mother of a numerous progeny, the ancestress of an illustrious line of English nobles, in whose veins her royal blood has been preserved in uninterrupted course to the present day.

Howard Memorials. Tierney's Hist. Arundel.

² Wm. of Malms. Speed. Rapa.

According to Malmesbury, and many other historians, the empress Matilda was only attended by her brother, the earl of Gloucester, and a hundred and forty followers, when she landed at Portsmouth in the latter end of September. Gervase and Brompton aver that she came with a numerous army; but the general bearings of history prove that this was not the fact, since Matilda was evidently in a state of absolute peril when her generous step-mother afforded her an asylum within the walls of Arundel-castle; for we find that her devoted friend and brother, Robert, earl of Gloucester, when he saw that she was honourably received there, considered her in a place of safety, and, attended by only twelve persons, proceeded to Bristol.

No sooner was Stephen informed that the empress Matilda was in Arundel-castle, than he raised the siege of Marlborough, and commenced a rapid march towards Arundel, in order to attack her in her retreat. The spirit with which he pushed his operations alarmed the royal ladies.¹ Adelicia dreaded the destruction of her castle, the loss of her beloved husband, and the breaking up of all the domestic happiness she had enjoyed since her retirement from public life. The empress Matilda suffered some apprehension, lest her gentle step-mother should be induced to deliver her into the hands of her foe. There was, however, no less firmness than gentleness in the character of Adelicia; and the moment Stephen approached her walls, she sent messengers to entreat his forbearance, assuring him "that she had admitted Matilda, not as *his* enemy, but as her daughter-in-law and early friend, who had claimed her hospitality, which respect for the memory of her late royal lord, king Henry, forbade her to refuse; and these considerations would compel her to protect her imperial guest while she remained beneath the shelter of her roof."² That if he came in hostile array against her castle of Arundel with intent to make Matilda his prisoner, she must frankly say she was resolved to defend her to the last extremity, not only because she was the daughter of her late dear lord, king Henry, but as the widow of the emperor Henry and her guest;" and she besought Stephen, "by all the laws of courtesy and the ties of kindred, not to place her in such a painful strait as to compel her to do anything against her conscience." In conclusion, she requested, with much earnestness, "that Matilda might be allowed to leave the castle, and retire to her brother." Stephen acceded to the proposal, the siege was raised, and the empress proceeded to join her adherents at Bristol.

We are inclined to regard Stephen's courteous compliance with the somewhat unreasonable prayer of the queen-dowager, as a proof of the high respect in which she was held, and the great influence over the minds of her royal husband's kindred, her virtues and winning qualities had obtained while she wore the crown-matrimonial of Eng-

¹ Gervase. M. Paris. H. of Hunt.

² Gervase. Wm. of Malm. Raptin.

land. William of Malmesbury, the only writer who speaks unkindly of Adelicia, intimates that a suspicion of treachery on her part caused the empress Matilda to quit Arundel; "For," says he, "her mother-in-law, through female inconstancy, had broken the faith she had repeatedly pledged by messages sent into Normandy." It is scarcely probable that Adelicia, who took the utmost care to maintain a strict neutrality at this embarrassing crisis, had ever used any flattering professions to persuade the empress Matilda to assert her claims to the throne of England. Her sole offence appears to have been, inflexible determination not to engage herself in the struggle by espousing her imperial step-daughter's cause. Our chronicler, whose book is dedicated to his patron and pupil, the earl of Gloucester, gives of course a prejudiced view of conduct which, however politic, was opposed to the interests of their party. Adelicia conducted herself with equal prudence and magnanimity in the defence and deliverance of her step-daughter, exhibiting a very laudable mixture of the wisdom of the serpent with the innocence of the dove and the courage of the lion. The lion was the cognizance of the royal house of Louvaine; and Mr. Howard is of opinion, that this proud bearing was assumed by the family of Albini in token of descent from "the fair maid of Brabant,"¹ rather than with any reference to the fabled exploit of her second husband, related in Dugdale's Baronage. A grateful remembrance of the generous conduct of Stephen, in all probability withheld Adelicia and Albini from taking part with the empress Matilda against him, in the long and disastrous civil war, which drenched the ravaged plains of England with kindred blood during so many years of that inauspicious reign. They appear to have maintained a strict neutrality, and to have preserved their vassals and neighbours from the evils attendant upon the contest between the empress and the king.

Adelicia, after her happy marriage with the husband of her choice, was not forgetful of the respect due to the memory of her late royal lord, king Henry; for, by a third charter, she granted to his favourite abbey of Reading the church of Berkeley-Harness, in Gloucestershire,² with suitable endowments, "to pray for the soul of king Henry, and duke Godfrey her father; and also for the health of her present lord," whom she styles "William, earl of Chichester, and for her own wealth, and the health of her children." Thus we observe that this amiable princess unites the departed objects of her veneration in the devotional offices which she fondly caused the monks of Reading to offer up for the welfare of her living husband, her beloved children, and herself. To her third son, Adelicia gave the name of her deceased lord, king Henry. Her fourth she named Godfrey, after her father and elder brother, the reigning duke of Brabant.

Adelicia chiefly resided at Arundel-castle after her marriage with

Howard Memorials.

² Monasticon, charter ix. Howard Memorials.

William de Albin, but there is also traditional evidence that she occasionally lived with him in the noble feudal castle which he built, after his marriage with her, at Buckenham, in Norfolk. It is still designated in that county as *New Buckenham*, though the mound, part of the moat, and a few mouldering fragments of the walls, are all that remain of the once stately hall that was of yore graced with the dowager-court of Alix la Belle.

The priory of St. Bartholomew, likewise called "the priory of the Causeway," in the parish of Lyminster, near Arundel, was established by queen Adelicia, after her marriage with William de Albin, as a convent of Augustinian canons.¹ It was situated at the foot of the hill which overlooks the town from the south side of the river. The number of inmates appears originally to have been limited by the royal foundress to two persons, whose principal business was to take charge of the bridge, and preserve the passage of the river. All her gifts and charters were solemnly confirmed by her husband, William Albin, who appears to have cherished the deepest respect for his royal spouse, always speaking of her as "*eximia regina*,"—that is, inestimable or surpassingly excellent queen.² We find, from the Monasticon, that Adelicia gave in trust to the bishop of Chichester certain lands in Arundel, to provide salaries for the payment of two chaplains to celebrate divine service in that castle. Her last recorded act was the grant of the prebend of West Dean to the cathedral of Chichester, in 1150.

In the year 1149, a younger brother of Adelicia, Henry of Louvaine, was professed a monk in the monastery of Affligham, near Alost, in Flanders, which had been founded by their father Godfrey and his brother Henry of Louvaine; soon after, the royal Adelicia herself withdrew, not only from the pomps and parade of earthly grandeur, but from the endearments of her adoring husband and youthful progeny, and, crossing the sea, retired to the nunnery in the same foundation, where she ended her days,⁴ and was buried. Strange as it appears to us, that any one who was at the very summit of earthly felicity, should have broken through such fond ties of conjugal and maternal love as those by which Adelicia was surrounded, to bury herself in cloistered seclusion, there is indubitable evidence that such was the fact.

Sanderus, in his account of the abbeys and churches of Brabant, relates that "Fulgentius, the abbot of Affligham, visited queen Adelicia at the court of her royal husband, Henry I., where he was received with especial honours." The same author states that Adelicia died in the convent of Affligham, and was interred there on the 9th of the calends of April. From the mortuary of the abbey he quotes a Latin record of

¹ Dugdale's Monasticon, lib. epist. B. vol. xviii.

² B-zet, Triphées du Brabant.

³ Howard Memorials.

⁴ Ibid.

the death of this queen. The annals of Margan date this event in the year 1151. There is a charter in Affligham, granted by Henry of Louvaine, on condition that prayers may be said for the welfare of his brother Godfrey, the reigning duke, his sister Aleyda the queen, and Ida the countess of Cleves, and their parents.¹

Adelicia must have been about forty-eight years old at the time of her death. She had been married eleven years, or thereabouts, to William de Albin, lord of Buckenham. At his paternal domain of New Buckenham, in Norfolk, a foundation was granted by William de Albin, "of the Strong Arm," enjoining that prayers might be said for the departed spirit of his "*eximia regina*." He survived her long enough to be the happy means of composing, by an amicable treaty, the death-strife which had convulsed England for fifteen years, in consequence of the bloody succession-war between Stephen and the empress Matilda. This great and good man is buried in Wymondham-abbey, near the tomb of his father, the Pincerna of England and Normandy.

By her marriage with Albin, Adelicia became the mother of seven surviving children. William, earl of Arundel, who succeeded to the estates and honours; Reynier, Henry, and Godfrey; Alice, married to the count d'Eu; Olivia, and Agatha. The two latter were buried at Boxgrove, near Arundel. Though Adelicia had so many children by her second marriage, her tender affection for her father's family caused her to send for her younger brother, Josceline of Louvaine, to share in her prosperity and happiness. The munificent earl, her husband, to enable this landless prince to marry advantageously, gave him the fair domain of Petworth, on his wedding Agnes, the heiress of the Percies: "since which," says Camden, "the posterity of that Josceline, who took the name of Percy, have ever possessed it,—a family certainly very ancient and noble, the male representatives of Charlemagne, more direct than the dukes of Guise, who pride themselves on that account. Josceline, in a donation of his which I have seen, uses this title: 'Josceline of Louvaine, brother to queen Adelicia, castellaine of Arundel.'"

Two ducal peers of England are now the representatives of the imperial Carlovingian line; namely, the duke of Norfolk, the heir of queen Adelicia; and the duke of Northumberland, the lineal descendant of her brother Josceline of Louvaine. The two most unfortunate of all the queens of England, Anna Boleyn and Katharine Howard, were the lineal descendants of Adelicia, by her second marriage with William de Albin.

A curious tradition exists at Reading, that Henry I. was buried there in a silver coffin, and that the utter demolition of his monument may be attributed to the persevering zeal of the destroyers of the stately abbey,

¹ Howard Memorials.

in their search to discover and appropriate this costly depository. Adelia's effigy is stated to have been placed at Reading by the side of her husband, Henry I., crowned and veiled, because she had been both queen and professed nun. No copy or vestige of it remains.

The portrait of queen Adelia has been drawn by Mr. Harding from her beautiful seal, pendant to the charter she gave Reading-abbey. Although she was then the wife of William de Albini, she is represented as queen of England, in regal costume, which in many points varies from that of her predecessors.¹

The transparent veil of Matilda of Flanders is superseded by a drapery similar to the *haike* of the Arabs, hooded over the head and falling by each cheek, tied in front of the throat; then flowing in ample folds over the arms, nearly covers the whole of the person. Adelia's crown confines this mantle to the head, being fixed over it. The crown is simple: a smooth band of gold with rims, in which circlet three large gems are set; three high points rise from it, each terminated with a trefoil of pearls: a cap of satin or velvet is seen just above the circlet. In her right hand she holds the sceptre of mercy, surmounted with a dove and finished with a trefoil; in her left, the orb of sovereignty, to which, excepting by the especial grace of her royal lord, she could have no right. Her robe or gown is tight to her shape, and elegantly worked in a diamond pattern from the throat to the feet, over which it flows. The figure is whole length, standing; and, as the seal is a pointed oval nearly three inches long, there was space to give character, not only to the costume, but the features, of which the mediæval artist has availed himself sufficiently to present the only resemblance extant of Adelia of Louvaine.

¹ See the Illustrated edition of this Work.

MATILDA OF BOULOGNE,

QUEEN OF STEPHEN.

MATILDA of Boulogne, the last of our Anglo-Norman queens, was a princess of the ancient royal line of English monarchs. Her mother, Mary of Scotland, was the second daughter of Malcolm Canmore and Margaret Atheling, and sister to Matilda the Good, the first queen of Henry Beauclerc. Mary of Scotland was educated, with her elder sister, in the royal monasteries of Wilton and Romsey, under the stern tutelage of their aunt Christina; and was, doubtless, like the princess Matilda, compelled to assume the habit of a votaress. Whether the youthful Mary testified the same lively antipathy to the consecrated black veil that was exhibited by her elder sister, no gossiping monastic chronicler has recorded; but she certainly forsook the cloister for the court of England, on Matilda's auspicious nuptials with Henry I., and exchanged the badge of celibacy for the nuptial ring soon afterwards, when her royal brother-in-law gave her in marriage to Eustace, count of Boulogne. The father of this nobleman was brother-in-law to Edward the Confessor, having married Goda, the widowed countess of Mantes, sister to that monarch; both himself and his son Eustace had been powerful supporters of the Saxon cause. The enterprising spirits of the counts of Boulogne, and the contiguity of their dominions to the English shores, had rendered them troublesome neighbours to William the Conqueror and his sons, till the chivalric spirit of crusading attracted their energies to a loftier object, and converted these pirates of the narrow seas into heroes of the cross, and liberators of the holy city.

Godfrey of Boulogne, the hero of Tasso's *Gierusalemme Liberata*, and his brother Baldwin, who successively wore the crown of Jerusalem, were the uncles of Matilda, Stephen's queen. Her father, Eustace, count of Boulogne, was also a distinguished crusader. He must have been a mature husband for Mary of Scotland, since he was the companion in arms of Robert of Normandy, and her uncle, Edgar Atheling. Matilda, or, as she is sometimes called for brevity, Maud of Boulogne, was their sole offspring, and the heiress of this illustrious house.

There is every reason to believe Matilda was educated in the abbey of Bermondsey, to which the countess of Boulogne, her mother, was a munificent benefactress. The countess died in that abbey while on a visit to England in the year 1115, and was buried there. We gather from the Latin verses on her tomb, that she was a lady of very noble qualities, and that her death was painful and unexpected.¹

Young as Matilda was, she was certainly espoused to Stephen de Blois before her mother's decease; for the charter by which the countess of Boulogne, in the year 1114, grants to the Cluniac monks of Bermondsey her manor of Kynewardstone, is, in the year she died, confirmed by Eustace her husband, and Stephen her son-in-law.² Stephen, the third son of a vassal peer of France, obtained this great match through the favour of his royal uncle Henry I. He inherited from the royal Adela, his mother, the splendid talents, fine person, and enterprising spirit of the mighty Norman line of sovereigns. A very tender friendship had subsisted between Adela, countess of Blois, and her brother, Henry Beauclerc, who at different periods of his life had been under important obligations to her; and when Adela sent her landless boy to seek his fortunes at the court of England, Henry returned the friendly offices he had received from this faithful sister, by lavishing wealth and honour on her son.

Stephen was knighted by his uncle, king Henry, previous to the battle of Tinchebray, where he took the count of Mortagne prisoner, and received the investiture of his lands.³ "When Stephen was but an earl," says William of Malmesbury, "he gained the affections of the people, to a degree that can scarcely be imagined, by the affability of his manners, and the wit and pleasantry of his conversation, condescending to chat and joke with persons in the humblest stations as well as with the nobles, who delighted in his company, and attached themselves to his cause from personal regard."⁴

Stephen was count of Boulogne in Matilda's right. The London residence of Stephen and Matilda was Tower-Royal, a palace built by king Henry, and presented by him to his favoured nephew on the occasion of his wedding the niece of his queen, Matilda Atheling. The spot to which this regal-sounding name is still appended, is a close lane between Cheapside and Watling-street. Tower-Royal was a fortress of prodigious strength; for more than once, when the Tower of London itself fell into the hands of the rebels, this embattled palace of Stephen remained in security.⁵

It is a remarkable fact, that Stephen had embarked on board the *Blanche Nef* with his royal cousin, William the Atheling, and the rest of her fated crew; but with two knights of his train, and a few others

¹ *Annales Abbatae de Bermondsey.* ² *Ibid.* ³ *Ord. Vit.* ⁴ *Wm. of Malms. Ord. Vit.*

⁵ *Stowe. Pennant's London.*

who prudently followed his example, he left the vessel, with the remark that "she was too much crowded with foolish, headstrong young people."¹ After the death of prince William, Stephen's influence with his royal uncle became unbounded, and he was his constant companion in all his voyages to Normandy.

There are evidences of conjugal infidelity on the part of Stephen, about this period, proving that Matilda's cup of happiness was not without some alloy of bitterness. How far her peace was affected by the scandalous reports of the passion which her haughty cousin, the empress Matilda, the acknowledged heiress of England and Normandy, was said to cherish for her aspiring husband, we cannot presume to say; but there was an angel-like spirit in the princess which supported her under every trial, and rendered her a beautiful example to every royal female in the married state.

Two children, a son and a daughter, were born to the young earl and countess of Boulogne, during king Henry's reign. The boy was named Baldwin, after Matilda's uncle, the king of Jerusalem,—a Saxon name, withal, and therefore likely to sound pleasantly to the ears of the English, who, no doubt, looked with complacency on the infant heir of Boulogne, as the son of a princess of the royal Atheling blood, born among them, and educated by his amiable mother to speak their language and venerate their ancient laws. Prince Baldwin, however, died in early childhood, and was interred in the priory of the Holy Trinity, without Aldgate, founded by his royal aunt, Matilda of Scotland. The second child of Stephen and Matilda, a daughter, named Maud, born also in the reign of Henry I., died young, and was buried in the same church. Some historians aver that Maud survived long enough to be espoused to the earl of Milan. So dear was the memory of these her buried hopes to the heart of Matilda, that after she became queen of England, and her loss was supplied by the birth of another son and daughter, she continued to lament for them; and the church and hospital of St. Katherine, by the Tower, were founded and endowed by her, that prayers might be perpetually said by the pious sisterhood for the repose of the souls of her first-born children.

In the latter days of king Henry, while Stephen was engaged in stealing the hearts of the men of England, after the fashion of Absalom, the mild virtues of his amiable consort recalled to their remembrance her royal aunt and namesake, Henry's first queen, and inspired them with a trembling hope of seeing her place filled eventually by a princess so much more resembling her than the haughty wife of Geoffrey of Anjou. "The Norman woman looked upon her mother's people with scorn," and from her they had nothing to expect but the iron yoke which her grandfather, the Conqueror, had laid upon their necks, with, perhaps,

¹ Ord. Vit.

an aggravation of their miseries. But Stephen, the husband of her gentle cousin, the English-hearted Matilda, had whispered in their ears of the confirmation of the great charter of their liberties, which Henry of Normandy had granted when he became the husband of the descendant of their ancient kings, and broken when her influence was destroyed by her death and his foreign marriage.

King Henry's daughter, the empress Matilda,¹ was the wife of a foreign prince, residing on the continent. Stephen and his gentle princess were living in London, and daily endearing themselves to the people by the most popular and affable behaviour. The public mind was certainly predisposed in favour of Stephen's designs, when the sudden death of king Henry in Normandy left the right of succession for the first time to a female heir. Piers of Langtoft thus describes the perplexity of the nation respecting the choice of the sovereign :

"On bier lay king Henry,
On bier beyond the sea,
And no man might rightly know
Who his heir suld be."

Stephen, following the example of the deceased monarch's conduct at the time of his brother Rufus's death,² left his royal uncle and benefactor's obsequies to the care of Robert, earl of Gloucester, and the other peers who were witnesses to his last words; and embarking at Whitesand, a small port in Matilda's dominions, in a light vessel, on a wintry sea, he landed at Dover in the midst of such a storm of thunder and lightning, that, according to William of Malmesbury, everyone imagined the world was coming to an end. As soon as he arrived in London, he convened an assembly of the Anglo-Norman barons, before whom his confederate and friend, Hugh Bigod, the steward of king Henry's household, swore on the holy evangelists, "that the deceased sovereign had disinherited the empress Matilda on his death-bed, and adopted his most dear nephew Stephen for his heir."³ On this bold affirmation, the archbishop of Canterbury absolved the peers of the oaths of fealty they had twice sworn to the daughter of their late sovereign, and declared "that those oaths were null and void, and contrary, moreover, to the laws and customs of the English, who had never permitted a woman to reign over them." This was a futile argument, as no female had ever stood in that important position, with regard to the succession to the crown of England, in which the empress Matilda was now placed; therefore, no precedent had occurred for the establishment of a salic law in England.

Stephen was crowned on the 26th of December, his name-day, the feast of St. Stephen.⁴ He swore to establish the righteous laws of Edward the Confessor, for the general happiness of all classes of his

¹ The biography of the empress Matilda is continued through this life.

² Wm. of Malms. ³ Ibid. Rapin. ⁴ Nicolas's Chron. of Hist.

subjects.¹ The English regarded Stephen's union with a princess of their race as the best pledge of the sincerity of his professions in regard to the amelioration of their condition. These hopes were, of course, increased by the birth of prince Eustace, whom Matilda brought into the world very soon after her husband's accession to the throne of England. It was, perhaps, this auspicious event that prevented Matilda from being associated in the coronation of her lord on St. Stephen's-day, in Westminster-abbey. Her own coronation, according to Gervase, took place March 22nd, 1136, being Easter-Sunday, not quite three months afterwards. Stephen was better enabled to support the expenses of a splendid ceremonial in honour of his beloved queen, having, immediately after his own hasty inauguration, posted to Winchester, and made himself master of the treasury of his deceased uncle, king Henry; which contained, says Malmesbury, "one hundred thousand pounds, besides stores of plate and jewels."

The empress Matilda was in Anjou at the time of her father's sudden demise. She was entirely occupied by the grievous sickness of her husband, who was supposed to be on his death-bed. After the convalescence of her lord, as none of her partisans in England made the slightest movement in her favour, she remained quiescent for a season, well knowing that the excessive popularity of a new monarch is seldom of long continuance in England. Stephen had begun well by abolishing "danegelt," and leaving the game in woods, forests, and uncultivated wastes common to all his subjects; but after a while he repented of his liberal policy, and called courts of inquiry to make men give account of the damage and loss he had sustained in his fallow-deer and other wild game; he likewise enforced the offensive system of the other Norman monarchs for their preservation. Next he obtained the enmity of the clergy, by seizing the revenues of the see of Canterbury; and lastly, to the great alarm and detriment of the peaceably disposed, he imprudently permitted his nobles to build or fortify upwards of a thousand of those strongholds of wrong and robbery called castles, which rendered their owners in a great measure independent of the crown.

Baldwin de Redvers, earl of Devonshire, was the first to give Stephen a practical proof of his want of foresight in this matter, by telling him, on some slight cause of offence, "that he was not king of right, and he would obey him no longer." Stephen proceeded in person to chastise him. In the meantime David, king of Scotland, invaded the northern counties, under pretence of revenging the wrong that had been done to his niece, the empress Matilda, by Stephen's usurpation and perjury. Matilda of Boulogne, Stephen's consort, stood in the same degree of relationship to the king of Scotland as the empress Matilda, since her mother, Mary of Scotland, was his sister, no less than Matilda the queen

¹ Wm. of Malm. Brompton.

of Henry I. Stephen concluded a hasty peace with the Welsh princes, and advanced to repel the invasion of king David; but when the hostile armies met near Carlisle, he succeeded in adjusting all differences by means of an amicable treaty, perhaps through the entreaties or mediation of his queen.

Easter was kept at Westminster this year, 1137, by Stephen and Matilda, with greater splendour than had ever been seen in the court of Henry Beauclerc, to celebrate the happy termination of the storm that had so lately darkened the political horizon; but the rejoicings of the queen were fearfully interrupted by the alarming illness which suddenly attacked the king, in the midst of the festivities. This illness, the effect, no doubt, of the preternatural exertions of both mental and corporeal powers, which Stephen had compelled himself to use during the recent momentous crisis of his fortunes, was a sort of stupor or lethargy so nearly resembling death, that it was reported in Normandy that he had breathed his last; whereupon the party of the empress began to take active measures, both on the continent and in England, for the recognition of her rights.¹ The count of Anjou entered Normandy at the head of an army, to assert the claims of his wife and son, which were, however, disputed by Stephen's elder brother, Theobald, count of Blois, not in behalf of Stephen, but himself; while the earl of Gloucester openly declared in favour of his sister the empress, and delivered the keys of Falaise to her husband, Geoffrey of Anjou.²

When Stephen recovered from his death-like sickness, he found everything in confusion,—the attention of his faithful queen, Matilda, having doubtless been absorbed in anxious watchings by his sick bed, during the protracted period of his strange and alarming malady. She was now left to take care of his interests in England as best she might; for Stephen hastened to the continent with his infant heir Eustace, to whom queen Matilda had resigned the earldom of Boulogne, her own fair inheritance. Stephen, by the strong eloquence of an immense bribe, prevailed on Louis VII. of France, as *suzerain* of Normandy, to invest the unconscious babe with the duchy, and to receive his liege homage for the same.³

Several portentous events occurred during Matilda's government. Sudden and mysterious conflagrations indicated the sullen discontent of the very lower order of the English people. On the 3rd of June, 1137, Rochester cathedral was destroyed by fire; the following day, the whole city of York, with its cathedral and thirty churches, was burnt to the ground; soon after, the city of Bath shared the same fate. Then conspiracies began to be formed in favour of the empress Matilda, in various parts of England; and, lastly, her uncle, David,

¹ Reg. Hov. Brompton. Ord. Vit.

² Ord. Vit. H. Hunt. Brompton. M. Paris. Rapin. Speed.

³ M. Paris, &c. &c.

king of Scotland, once more entered Northumberland, with banners displayed, in support of his supplanted kinswoman's superior title to the crown.¹ Queen Matilda, with courage and energy suited to this alarming crisis, went in person and besieged the insurgents, who had seized Dover-castle; and sent orders to the men of Boulogne, her loyal subjects, to attack the rebels by sea. The Boulonnois obeyed the commands of their beloved princess with alacrity, and to such good purpose, by covering the channel with their light-armed vessels, that the besieged, not being able to receive the slightest succour by sea, were forced to submit to the queen.² At this juncture Stephen arrived: he succeeded in chastising the leaders of the revolt, and drove the Scottish king over his own border. Nevertheless, the empress Matilda's party, in the year 1138, began to assume a formidable aspect. Every day brought tidings to the court of Stephen of some fresh revolt. William of Malmesbury relates, that when Stephen was informed of these desertions, he passionately exclaimed, "Why did they make me king, if they forsake me thus? By the birth of God!³ I will never be called an abdicated king."

The invasion of queen Matilda's uncle, David of Scotland, for the third time, increased the distraction of her royal husband's affairs, especially as Stephen was too much occupied with the internal troubles of his kingdom to be able to proceed in person against him. David and his army were, however, defeated with immense slaughter, by the warlike Thurstan, archbishop of York, at Cuton-Moor. The particulars of this engagement, called "the battle of the Standard," where the church-militant performed such notable service for the crown, belong to general history. Matilda was mainly instrumental in negotiating the peace which was concluded this year between her uncle and her lord.⁴ Prince Henry, the heir of Scotland, having, at the same time, renewed his homage to Stephen for the earldom of Huntingdon, was invited by the king to his court. The attention with which the young prince was treated by the king and queen was viewed with invidious eyes by their ill-mannered courtiers; and Ranulph, earl of Chester, took such great offence at the royal stranger being seated above him at dinner, that he made it an excuse for joining the revolted barons.⁵

The empress Matilda, ta'ing advantage of the fierce contention between Stephen and the hierarchy of England, made her tardy appearance, in pursuance of her claims to the crown, in the autumn of 1138. Like her uncle, Robert the Unready, the empress allowed the critical moment to slip when, by prompt and energetic measures, she might

¹ Brompton. Rapin. Ord. Vit.

² Ord. Vit.

³ This was Stephen's usual oath.—Wm. of Malm.

⁴ "Through the mediation of Matilda, the

wife of Stephen, and niece of David, a peace was concluded at Durham between these two kings, equitable in itself, and useful to both parties."—Carruthers' History of Scotland. vol. i. p. 339.

⁵ Speed.

have gained the prize for which she contended. But she did not arrive till Stephen had made himself master of the castles, and, what was of more importance to him, the great wealth of his three refractory prelates, the bishops of Salisbury, Ely, and Lincoln.

When the empress was shut up within the walls of Arundel-castle, Stephen might, by one bold stroke, have made her his prisoner; but he was prevailed upon to respect the ties of consanguinity, and the high rank of the widow and of the daughter of his benefactor, king Henry. It is possible, too, that recollections of a tenderer nature, with regard to his cousin the empress, might deter him from imperilling her person by pushing the siege. According to some chroniclers, the empress sent, by queen Adelicia's advice, a guileful letter or message to Stephen, that she might be permitted to retire to Bristol,¹ which induced him to promise, on his word of honour, that he would grant her safe-conduct to that city. Though the empress knew that Stephen had violated the most solemn oaths in regard to her succession to the crown, she relied upon his honour, put herself under his protection, and was safely conducted to the castle of Bristol. King Stephen gave to his brother, Henry of Blois, bishop of Winchester, and to Walleran, earl of Mellent, the charge of escorting the empress to Bristol-castle; a trait of chivalry in contrast with the selfishness and perfidy too prevalent at the era. It was during this journey, in all probability, that Henry de Blois arranged his plans with the empress Matilda for making her mistress of the royal city of Winchester, which was entirely under his influence.

While the earl of Gloucester, on behalf of his sister the empress, was contesting with king Stephen the realm of England at the sword's point, queen Matilda proceeded to France with her son Eustace, to endeavour to strengthen her husband's cause by the aid of her foreign connexions; and while at the court of France, successfully exerted her diplomatic powers in negotiating a matrimonial alliance between the princess Constance, sister of Louis VII., and prince Eustace, then about four years old. The queen presided at this infant marriage, which was celebrated with great splendour. Instead of receiving a dowry with the princess, queen Matilda paid a large sum to purchase the bride for her son; Louis VII., in return, solemnly invested his young brother-in-law with the duchy of Normandy, and lent his powerful aid to maintain him there as the nominal sovereign, under the direction of the queen his mother. This alliance, which took place in the year 1140,² greatly raised the hopes of Stephen's party; but the bands of foreign mercenaries, which his queen Matilda sent over from Boulogne and the ports of Normandy to his succour, had an injurious effect on his cause, and were beheld with jealous alarm by the people of

¹ Gervase. H. Hunt.

² Flor. of Worc. Tyrrell.

the land; "whose miseries were in no slight degree aggravated," says the chronicler Gervase, "by the arrival of these hunger-starved wolves, who completed the destruction of the land's felicity."

It was during the absence of queen Matilda and her son prince Eustace, that the battle, so disastrous to her husband's cause, was fought beneath the walls of Lincoln, on Candlemas-day, 1141. Stephen had shut up a great many of the empress Matilda's partisans and their families in the city of Lincoln, which he had been for some time besieging. The earl of Gloucester's youngest daughter, lately married to her cousin Ranulph, earl of Chester, was among the besieged; and so determined were the two earls, her father and her husband, for her deliverance, that they encouraged their followers to swim, or ford, the deep cold waters of the river, behind which Stephen and his army were encamped, and fiercely attacked him in their dripping garments,¹—and all for the relief of the fair ladies who were trembling within the walls of Lincoln, and beginning to suffer from lack of provisions. These were the days of chivalry, be it remembered. Speed gives us a descriptive catalogue of some of the leading characters among our valiant king Stephen's knights *sans peur*, which, if space were allowed us, we would abstract from the animated harangue with which the earl of Gloucester endeavoured to warm his shivering followers into a virtuous blaze of indignation, after they had emerged from their cold bath.² His satirical eloquence was received by the partisans of the empress with a tremendous shout of applause; and Stephen, not to be behindhand with his foes in bandying personal abuse as a prelude to the fight, because his own powers of articulation happened to be defective, deputed one Baldwin Fitz-Gilbert, a knight who was blessed with a stentorian voice, to thunder forth his recrimination on the earl of Gloucester and his host in the ears of both armies. Fitz-Gilbert, in his speech, laid scornful stress on the illegitimacy of the empress's champion, whom he designated "Robert, the base-born general."³

The battle, for which both parties had prepared themselves with such a sharp encounter of keen words, was, to use the expression of contemporary chroniclers, "a very sore one;" but it seems as if Stephen had fought better than his followers that day. "A very strange sight it was," says Matthew Paris, "there to behold king Stephen, left almost alone in the field, yet no man daring to approach him, while, grinding his teeth and foaming like a furious wild boar, he drove back with his battle-axe the assailing squadrons, slaying the foremost of them, to the eternal renown of his courage. If but a hundred like himself had been with him, a whole army had never been able to capture his person; yet, single-handed as he was he held out, till first his battle-

¹ Wm. of Malm. Rapa. Speed.

² Rog. Hov. H. Hunt. Polychronicon.

³ Rog. Hov. H. Hunt. Speed.

axe brake, and afterwards his sword shivered in his grasp with the force of his own resistless blows, and he was borne backward to his knees by a great stone, which some ignoble person flung at him. A stout knight, William of Kames, then seized him by the helmet, and holding the point of his sword to his throat, called upon him to surrender."¹ Even in that extremity, Stephen refused to give up the fragment of his sword to any one but the earl of Gloucester, his valiant kinsman, who, coming up, bade his infuriated troops refrain from further violence, and conducted his royal captive to the empress Matilda, at Gloucester. The earl of Gloucester, it is said, treated Stephen with some degree of courtesy; but the empress Matilda, whose hatred appears to have emanated from a deeper root of bitterness than mere rivalry of power, loaded him with indignities, and ordered him into the most rigorous confinement in Bristol-castle. According to general historians, she caused him to be heavily ironed, and used the royal captive as ignominiously as if he had been the lowest felon; but William of Malmesbury says, "this was not till after Stephen had attempted to make his escape, or it was reported that he had been seen several times beyond the bounds prescribed for air and exercise."

The empress Matilda made her public and triumphant entry into the city of Winchester February 7, where she was received with great state by Stephen's equally haughty brother, Henry de Blois, bishop of Winchester and cardinal-legate. He appeared at the head of all the clergy and monks of the diocese; and even the nuns of Winchester² (a thing before unheard of) walked unveiled in the procession, to receive and welcome the rightful heiress of the realm, the daughter of the great and learned Henry Fitz-Conqueror, and of Matilda, the descendant of the Atheling. The English had also the satisfaction of seeing the male representative of their ancient monarchs on that occasion within the walls of Winchester; for David of Scotland, the son of Margaret Atheling, was present to do honour to his niece—the victorious rival of Stephen's crown. Henry de Blois resigned the regal ornaments, and the paltry residue of her father's treasure, into the hands of the empress. The next day he received her with great pomp in his cathedral-church, where he excommunicated all the adherents of his unfortunate brother, and promised absolution to all who should abandon his cause and join the empress.³

In this melancholy position did queen Matilda find her husband's cause, when she returned from her successful negotiation of the marriage between the French king's sister and her son the little count of Boulogne, whom she had left, for the present, established as duke of Normandy. The peers and clergy had alike abandoned the luckless

¹ H. Hunt. Speed. Rapin.² Rudborne's Winchester.³ Gesta Stephanij. Gervase. Wm of Malm. Rapin.

Stephen in his adversity;¹ and the archbishop of Canterbury, being a man of tender conscience, had actually visited Stephen in his prison, to request his permission to transfer his oath of allegiance to his victorious rival the empress Matilda. In this predicament, the faithful consort of the fallen monarch applied herself to the citizens of London, with whom she had ever maintained a great share of popularity. They knew her virtues, for she had lived among them; and her tender affection for her royal spouse in his adversity was well pleasing to those who had witnessed the domestic happiness of the princely pair, while they lived in Tower-Royal as count and countess of Boulogne. The remembrance of Stephen's free and pleasant conduct and affable association with all sorts and conditions of men, before he wore the thorny diadem of the disputed sovereignty of England, disposed the magistracy of London to render every assistance in their power to their unfortunate king.² So powerfully, indeed, had the personal influence of queen Matilda operated in that quarter, that when the magistrates of London were summoned to send their deputies to a synod at Winchester, held by Henry de Blois, which had predetermined the election of the empress Matilda to the throne, they instructed them to demand the liberation of the king in the name of the barons and citizens of London, as a preliminary to entering into any discussion with the partisans of his enemy. Henry de Blois replied, "That it did not become the Londoners to side with the adherents of Stephen, whose object was to embroil the kingdom in fresh troubles."³

Queen Matilda, finding that the trusty citizens of London were baffled by the priestly subtlety of her husband's brother, Henry de Blois, took the decided, but at that time unprecedented step, of writing in her own name an eloquent letter to the synod, earnestly entreating those in whose hands the government of England was vested to restore the king, her husband, to liberty. This letter the queen's faithful chaplain, Christian, delivered, in full synod, to the legate Henry de Blois. The prelate, after he had silently perused the touching appeal of his royal sister-in-law, not only refused to communicate its purport to the assembly, but, exalting his voice to the highest pitch, proclaimed "that it was illegal and improper to be recited in that great assembly, for, among other objectionable points it was witnessed by the signature of a person who had at a former council used insulting language to the bishops." Christian was not thus to be baffled: he boldly took his royal mistress's letter out of the imperious legate's hand, and exalting his voice in turn, so as to be distinctly heard by all present, he read it aloud to the astonished conclave, in spite of the anger and opposition of him who was at that time virtually the ruling power in the realm. The following brief abstract is all that William of Malmesbury, who

Wm. of Malms. H. Hunt.

² Wm. of Malms. Rapin.

³ Ibid.

dedicates his history to the leader of the adverse party, Robert, earl of Gloucester, thinks proper to give of Matilda's letter: "The queen earnestly entreats the whole clergy assembled, and especially the bishop of Winchester, the brother of her lord the king, to restore her said lord to his kingdom, whom abandoned persons, even such as were under homage to him, have cast into chains."

The legate endeavoured to frustrate any good effect which this conjugal appeal from the faithful consort of his unfortunate brother might have produced, by dissolving the assembly, having first excommunicated the leading members of the royal party. He then declared "that the empress Matilda was lawfully elected as the *domina* or sovereign lady of England." The following are the words of the formula in which the declaration was delivered: "Having first, as is fit, invoked the aid of Almighty God, we elect as lady of England and Normandy the daughter of the glorious, the rich, the good, the peaceful king Henry, and to her we promise fealty and support."¹ No word is here of the good old laws—the laws of Alfred and St. Edward, or of the great charter which Henry I. agreed to observe. The empress was the leader of the Norman party, and the head of Norman feudality, which, in many instances, was incompatible with the Saxon constitution. The imperial "*domina*" bore her honours with anything but meekness; she refused to listen to the counsel of her friends; she treated those of her adversaries whom misfortune drove to seek her clemency with insolence and cruelty, stripping them of their possessions, and rendering them perfectly desperate. The friends who had contributed to her elevation frequently met with a harsh refusal when they asked favours; "and," says an old historian, "when they bowed themselves down before her, she did not rise in return."²

Meantime, the sorrowful queen Matilda was unremitting in her exertions for the liberation of her unfortunate lord, who was at this time heavily ironed and ignominiously treated, by order of the empress. Not only England, but Normandy was now lost to the captive monarch, her husband, and their young heir, prince Eustace; for Geoffrey of Anjou, as soon as he received intelligence of the decisive battle of Lincoln, persuaded the Norman baronage to withdraw their allegiance from their recently invested duke, and to transfer it to his wife, the empress, and her son Henry, certainly the rightful heirs of William the Conqueror. The loss of regal state and sovereign power was, however, regarded by the queen of Stephen as a matter of little moment. In the season of adversity it was not the king, but the man, the husband of her youth, and the father of her children, to whom the tender-hearted Matilda of Boulogne clung, with a devotion not often to be met with in the personal history of royalty. It was for his sake that

¹ *Gesta Stephani*.² *Ibid.* Thierry³ *Wm of Malms.* Speed.

she condescended to humble herself by addressing the most lowly entreaties to her haughty cousin, the empress Matilda,—to her who, if the report of contemporary chroniclers is to be credited, had betrayed her husband into a breach of his marriage vow. The insulting scorn with which the empress rejected every petition which the wedded wife of Stephen presented to her in behalf of her fallen foe, looks like the vindictive spirit of a jealous woman; for not only the virtues of Matilda of Boulogne, but the high rank and near relationship to herself, demanded some degree of consideration and respect.

There appears even to be a covert reference to the former position in which these princesses had stood, as rivals in Stephen's love, by the proposal made by his fond queen. She offered, if his life were but spared, to relinquish his society, and that he should not only for ever forego all claims upon the crown and succession of England and Normandy, but taking upon himself the vows and habit of a monk, devote himself to a religious life, either as a pilgrim or a cloistered anchorite,¹ on condition that their son, prince Eustace, might be permitted to enjoy, in her right, the earldom of Boulogne, and his father's earldom of Mortagne, the grant of Henry I. This petition was rejected by the victorious empress with no less contempt than all the others which Stephen's queen had ventured to prefer, although her suit was backed by the powerful mediation of Henry de Blois. This prelate, who appears to have thought more of peace than of brotherhood, was not only desirous of settling public order on such easy terms for his new sovereign, but willing to secure to his nephew the natural inheritance of his parents, of which the empress's party had obtained possession. So blind, however, was the empress in pursuing the headlong impulse of her vindictive nature, that nothing could induce her to perceive how much it was her interest to grant the prayer of her unhappy cousin; and she repulsed the suit of Henry de Blois so rudely, that, when next summoned to her presence, he refused to come. Queen Matilda improved this difference between her haughty rival and her brother-in-law to her own advantage; and having obtained a private interview with him at Guildford, she prevailed on him by the eloquence of her tears and entreaties, to absolve all her husband's party whom, as pope's legate, he had a few days before excommunicated, and to enter into a negotiation with her for the deliverance of his brother.²

Nor did queen Matilda rest here. In the name of her son, prince Eustace, aided by William of Ypres, Stephen's able but unpopular minister of state, she raised the standard of her captive lord in Kent and Surrey, where a strong party was presently organized in his favour; and finding that her obdurate kinswoman would listen to no terms

¹ Y-Podigma Neustria. Speed.

² Speed. Tyrrell.

she, like a true daughter of the heroic house of Boulogne, and the niece of the illustrious Godfrey and Baldwin, prepared herself for a struggle with such courageous energy of mind and promptitude of action, that many a recreant baron was shamed into quitting the inglorious shelter of his castle, and led forth his vassals to strengthen the muster of the royal heroine.

In the pages of superficially written histories, much is said of the prowess and military skill displayed by prince Eustace at this period; but Eustace was scarcely seven years old at the time when these efforts were made for the deliverance of his royal sire. It is therefore plain, to those who reflect on the evidence of dates, that it was the high-minded and prudent queen, his mother, who avoided all Amazonian display by acting under the name of her son. Her feminine virtues, endearing qualities, and conjugal devotion, had already created the most powerful interest in her favour; while reports of the pride and hardness of heart of her stern relative and namesake, the Norman domina, began to be industriously circulated through the land by the offended legate, Henry de Blois.¹ William of Malmesbury mentions, expressly, that the empress Matilda never bore or received the title of *regina*, or queen of England, but that of *domina*, or lady of England. On her broad seal, which she caused to be made for her royal use at Winchester, she entitles herself "Romanorum Regina Macthildis;" and in a charter granted by her, just after the death of her brother and champion, Robert, earl of Gloucester, she styles herself "Regina Romanorum, et Domina Anglorum."

The seal to which we have just alluded bears the figure of the granddaughter of the Norman conqueror, crowned and seated on the King's-bench, with a sceptre in her right hand, but bearing neither orb nor dove, the symbols of sovereign power and mercy. She was not an anointed queen, neither had the crown-royal ever been placed on her brow.² The garland of fleurs-de-lis, by which the folds of her matronly wimple are confined, is of a simpler form than the royal diadems of the Anglo-Norman sovereigns, as shown on the broad seals of William Rufus, Henry I., and Stephen. Probably an alteration would have been made, if the coronation of Matilda, as sovereign of England, had ever taken place. But the consent of the city of London was an indispensable preliminary to her inauguration; and to London she proceeded

¹ Tyrrell.

² We are indebted for a drawing of the impression of another seal pertaining to Matilda the empress, to the kindness of Miss Mary Aglionby, who has elegantly delineated it from a deed belonging to her family. The head-dress of the empress is simpler than that above mentioned, the veil

being confined by a mere twisted fillet, such as we see beneath helmets and crests in heraldic blazonry. The inscription, in Roman letters, is S^{MA}THILDIS^{DEI} GRATIA^{ROMANORUM} REGINA. Her attitude, and the arrangement of the drapery on the knees, resemble the portrait of the mother of the empress described in her biography

in person, to obtain this important recognition. Though the majority of the city authorities were disposed to favour the cause of Stephen, for the sake of his popular consort, Matilda of Boulogne, the Saxon citizens, when they heard that "the daughter of Molde, their good queen," claimed their homage, looked with reverence on her elder claim, and threw open their gates to receive her with every manifestation of affection. The first sentence addressed to them by this haughty claimant of the crown of St. Edward, was the demand of an enormous subsidy. The citizens of London replied by inquiring after the great charter granted by her father. "Ye are very impudent to mention privileges and charters to me, when ye have just been supporting my enemies," was the gracious rejoinder.¹ Her wise and valiant brother, Robert of Gloucester, who stood by her side, immediately perceiving that the citizens of London were incensed at this intimation of their new sovereign's intention to treat them as a conquered people, endeavoured to soothe their offended pride by a conciliatory address, commencing,— "Ye citizens of London, who of olden time were called barons"

Although the heroic Robert was a most complete and graceful orator, his courteous language failed to atone to the Londoners for the arrogance of their new liege lady. Her uncle, king David, was present at this scene, and earnestly persuaded the empress to adopt a more popular line of conduct, but in vain.² After a strong discussion, the Londoners craved leave to retire to their hall of common council, in order to provide the subsidy.

The empress sat down to her midday meal in the banqueting-hall of the new palace at Westminster, in confident expectation that the civic authorities of London would soon approach to offer, on their knees, the bags of gold she had demanded.³ A dessert of a different kind awaited her, for at that momentous crisis a band of horsemen appeared on the other side of the river, and displayed the banner of Stephen's consort, Matilda of Boulogne. The bells of every church in London rang out a clamorous tocsin, and from every house rushed forth, as had doubtless been previously concerted, one champion at the least, and in many instances several, armed with whatever weapons were at hand, to do battle in defence of the rights and liberties of the city; "just," says the old chronicler, "like bees swarming about the hive when it is attacked." The Norman and Angevin chevaliers, under the command of the valiant earl of Gloucester, found they stood little chance of withstanding this resolute muster of the London patriots in their own narrow crooked streets. They therefore hastened to provide for the safety of their domina. She rose in haste from table, mounted her horse, and fled with her foreign retinue at full speed; she had urgent cause for haste, for before she had

¹ J. P. Andrews.² Carruthers' Hist. of Scotland, p. 341.³ Thierry. Speed. Stowe. Lingard.

well cleared the western suburb, the populace had burst into the palace, and were plundering her apartments.¹ The fugitives took the road to Oxford; but before the haughty domina arrived there, her train had become so small with numerous desertions, that, with the exception of Robert of Gloucester, she entered it alone.²

A strong reaction of popular feeling in favour of Stephen, or rather of Stephen's queen, followed this event. The counties of Kent and Surrey were already her own, and prepared to support her by force of arms; and the citizens of London joyfully received her within their walls once more. Henry de Blois had been induced, more than once, to meet his royal sister-in-law secretly at Guildford. Thither she brought the young prince, her son,³ to assist her in moving his powerful uncle to lend his aid in replacing her husband on the throne. Henry de Blois, touched by the tears and entreaties of these interesting suppliants, and burning with rage at the insolent treatment he had received from the imperial virago, whom Camden quaintly styles "a *niggish* old wife," solemnly promised the queen to forsake the cause of her rival. Immediately on his return to Winchester, the prelate fortified his castle, and having prepared all things for declaring himself in favour of his brother, he sent messengers to the queen, begging her to put herself at the head of the Kentishmen and Londoners, and march with her son, prince Eustace, to Winchester.⁴

The empress Matilda and the earl of Gloucester having some intelligence of Henry de Blois' proceedings, advanced from Oxford, accompanied by David, king of Scotland, at the head of an army to overawe him. When they approached the walls of Winchester, the empress sent a herald to the legate, requesting a conference, as she had something of importance to communicate; but to this requisition Henry de Blois only replied, "*Parabo me*,"⁵ that is, "I will prepare myself;" and finding that the Norman party in Winchester was at present too strong for him, he left the city, and retired to his strong castle in the suburbs, causing, at the same time, so unexpected an attack to be made on the empress, that she had a hard race to gain the shelter of the royal citadel. "To comprise," says William of Malmesbury "a long series of events within narrow limits, the roads on every side of Winchester were watched by the queen and the earls who had come with her, lest supplies should be brought in to those who had sworn fidelity to the empress. Andover was burned, and the Londoners having assumed a martial attitude, lent all the assistance they could to distress that princess."⁶

Queen Matilda, with her son and sir William Ypres, at the head of the Londoners and the Kentishmen, were soon after at the gates of Winchester. The empress, now closely blockaded in her palace, had

¹ Chronicle quoted in Knight's London.

² Ibid. Thierry. Lingard. Stowe.

³ Tyrrell

⁴ Wm. of Malms. Gervase.

⁵ Wm. of Malms.

⁶ Ibid.

ample cause to repent of her vindictive folly in rousing the energies of her royal cousin's spirit, by haughtily refusing the humble boon she had craved in her despair. For nearly two months the most destructive warfare of famine, fire, and sword was carried on in the streets of Winchester; till the empress Matilda, dreading the balls of fire that were nightly thrown from the legate's castle, and which had already destroyed upwards of twenty stately churches and several monasteries, prevailed on her gallant brother to provide for her retreat. He cut a passage for her through the besiegers at the sword's point. She and her uncle David, king of Scotland, by dint of hard riding escaped to Lutgershall; while the earl of Gloucester arrested the pursuit by battling with them by the way, till almost all his followers being slain, he was compelled to surrender after a desperate defence. This skirmish took place on the 14th of Sept. 1141.

When the earl of Gloucester was presented by his captors to queen Matilda at Winchester, she was transported with joy, beholding in him a security for her beloved consort's safety. She received him courteously, and exerted all her eloquence to persuade him to arrange an amicable treaty for the king's release, in exchange for himself. Gloucester replied, "That would not be a fair equivalent, for," said he, "twenty earls would not be of sufficient importance to ransom a king; how then, lady, can you expect that I should so far forget the interest of the empress, my sister, as to propose that she should exchange him for only one?" Matilda then offered to restore him to all his forfeit honours, and even to bestow the government of the realm on him, provided he would conclude a peace, securing England to Stephen, and Normandy to the empress. But nothing could induce him to swerve in the slightest degree from what he considered his duty to his sister. The queen, finding she could not prevail on him to enter into any arrangement for the restoration of his liberty, then committed him for safe custody to the charge of William of Ypres; "and though she might have remembered," says William of Malmesbury, "that her husband had been fettered by his command, yet she never suffered a bond of any kind to be put upon him, nor presumed on her dignity to treat him dishonourably; and, finally, when he was conducted to Rochester, he went freely whenever he wished to the churches below the castle, and conversed with whom he pleased, the queen only being present. After her departure he was held in free custody in the keep; and so calm and serene was his mind, that, receiving money from his vassals in Kent, he bought some valuable horses, which were both serviceable and beneficial to him hereafter."³

This generous conduct of Matilda to the man who had done so much injury to her husband and her cause, is imputed by William of Malmes-

³ *Win. of Malm.*

bury to the dignity and merit of the valiant earl, his patron, "whose high bearing," he says, "impressed his enemies with such great respect, that it was impossible to treat him otherwise."¹ A less partial writer would have given the queen due praise for the magnanimity with which she acted, under circumstances that might well have justified the sternest reprisals for his harsh usage of her captive lord; but the fact spoke for itself, and won more hearts for the queen than the wealth of England and Normandy combined could purchase for her haughty namesake and rival.

Meantime, the empress, whose safe retreat to Lutgershall had been thus dearly purchased by the loss of her great general's liberty, being hotly pursued by the queen's troops to Devizes, only escaped their vigilance by personating a corpse, wrapped in grave-clothes, and being placed in a coffin, which was bound with cords, and borne on the shoulders of some of her trusty partisans to Gloucester, the stronghold of her valiant brother, where she arrived, faint and weary with long fasting and mortal terror.²

Her party was so dispirited by the loss of her approved counsellor and trusty champion, the earl of Gloucester, that she was compelled to make some overtures to the queen, her cousin, for his release. But Matilda would hear of no other terms than the restoration of her captive husband, king Stephen, in exchange for him. This the empress peremptorily refused, in the first instance, and offered a large sum of gold, and twelve captive earls of Stephen's party, as her brother's ransom. Queen Matilda was inflexible in her determination never to resign this important prisoner on any other condition than the release of her royal husband. As this condition was rejected, she caused the countess of Gloucester to be informed, that unless her terms were accepted, and that speedily, she would send Gloucester to one of her strong castles in Boulogne,³ there to be kept as rigorously as Stephen had been by the orders of the empress and her party. Not that it was in the gentle nature of the queen to have made these harsh reprisals on a gallant gentleman, whom the fortune of war had placed at her disposal; but as the captive king was incarcerated in Bristol-castle, of which the said countess of Gloucester was the chatellaine, there was sound policy in exciting her conjugal fears. Had it not been for this threat, Stephen would never have regained his liberty, for important as her brother's presence was to the empress, she obdurately refused to purchase his freedom by the release of the king. Fortunately, the person of Stephen was in the keeping, not of the vindictive empress, but the countess of Gloucester; and her anxiety for the restoration of her lord led to the arrangement of a sort of private treaty between her and the queen for the exchange of their illustrious prisoners; by which it was agreed,

¹ Wm. of Malm. ² Brompton. John of Tynemouth. Gervase Knighton. ³ Wm. of Malm.

that Stephen should be enlarged forthwith on condition that his queen and son, with two of the leading nobles of his party, should be detained as hostages in Bristol-castle, to insure his keeping faith by liberating the earl of Gloucester, whose son was to be left in the king's possession at Winchester, as a surety for the release of the queen and prince Eustace.

Matilda, the most tenderly devoted of conjugal heroines, hesitated not to procure the enfranchisement of her lord by putting herself and her boy into the hands of the countess of Gloucester. This she did on the festival of All Saints, Nov. 1, 1141, on which day Stephen was liberated, and departed from Bristol on his way to Winchester. The earl of Gloucester being brought to him there from Rochester-castle, received his freedom, and on the third day after set out for Bristol, leaving his son with Stephen as a pledge for the release of the queen and prince. Matilda, who had remained a voluntary, but of course a most anxious prisoner in the stronghold of her foes, was emancipated as soon as he arrived, and hastened to rejoin her husband at Winchester, whence she sent the heir of Gloucester back to his parents. Few episodes in the personal history of royalty are more interesting than this transaction, none better authenticated, being narrated by William of Malmesbury, whose book is dedicated to one of the principal actors engaged in this drama,—his patron, Robert, earl of Gloucester.

Queen Matilda was not long permitted to enjoy the reunion which took place between her and her beloved consort, after she had succeeded in procuring his deliverance from the fetters of her vindictive rival; for nothing could induce the empress to listen to any terms of pacification, and the year 1142 commenced with a mutual renewal of hostilities between the belligerent parties. While Stephen was pursuing the war with the fury of a newly-enfranchised lion, he was seized with a dangerous malady at Northampton. Matilda hastened to him on the first news of his sickness, which was so sore, that for some hours he was supposed to be dead. In all probability, his illness was a return of the lethargic complaint with which he had once or twice been afflicted at the commencement of the internal troubles of his realm.

Through the tender attentions of his queen, Stephen recovered, and was soon after able to take the field again; which he did with such success, that the empress's party thought it high time to claim the assistance of Geoffrey, count of Anjou, who was now exercising the functions of duke of Normandy. Geoffrey, who had certainly been treated by his imperial spouse, her late father, king Henry, and her English partisans, as "a fellow of no reckoning," thought proper to stand on ceremony, and required the formality of an invitation, preferred by the earl of Gloucester in person, before he would either come himself, or part with the precious heir of England and Normandy, prince Henry. The

empress, impatient to embrace her first-born son, and obtain the Angevin and Norman succours to strengthen her party, prevailed upon her brother to undertake this mission.

Gloucester left her, as he thought, safe, in the almost impregnable castle of Oxford, and embarked for Normandy. As soon as he was gone, Stephen besieged the empress in her stronghold. The want of provisions rendered its fall inevitable, and there was then every hope of concluding the war by the capture of the haughty domina. By a shrewd exercise of female ingenuity, she eluded the vengeance of her exasperated rival. One night she, with only four attendants, clothed in white garments, stole through a postern that opened upon the river Thames, which at that time was thickly frozen over and covered with snow.¹ The white draperies in which the empress and her little train were enveloped from head to foot, prevented the sentinels from distinguishing their persons, as they crept along with noiseless steps under the snow-banks, till they were at a sufficient distance from the castle to exert their speed. They then fled with headlong haste, through the blinding storms that drifted full in their faces, as they scampered over hedges and ditches, and heaps of snow and ice, till they reached Abingdon, a distance of six miles, where they took horse, and arrived safely at Wallingford the same night.² The Saxon annals aver that the empress was let down from one of the towers of Oxford-castle by a long rope, and that she fled on foot all the long weary miles to Wallingford. On her arrival there she was welcomed by her brother, Robert of Gloucester, who had just returned from Normandy with her son prince Henry; "at the sight of whom," say the chroniclers, "she was so greatly comforted, that she forgot all her troubles and mortifications for the joy she had of his presence."³ Thus we see that the sternest natures are accessible to the tender influences of maternal love, powerful in the heart of an empress as in that of a peasant.

Geoffrey, count of Anjou, having no great predilection for the company of his Juno, thought proper to remain in Normandy with his son, the younger Geoffrey of Anjou. After three years of civil strife, during which the youthful Henry learned the science of arms under the auspices of his redoubted uncle, the earl of Gloucester, Geoffrey recalled his heir. Earl Robert of Gloucester accompanied his princely *élève* to Wareham, where they parted,⁴ never to meet again; for the brave earl died of a fever at Gloucester, October 31, 1147, and was interred at Bristol. With this great man and true-hearted brother died the hopes of the empress Matilda's party for the present, and she soon after quitted England, having alienated all her friends by the ungovernable

¹ M. Paris. Wm. of Malms. S. Dunelm. Y-Podigma Neustria.

² Y-Podigma Neustria. Wm. of Malms. Speed. Rapin.

³ Gervase.

⁴ Chronicles of Chester, as cited by Tyrrell.

violence of her temper, and her overweening haughtiness. The great secret of government consists, mainly, in an accurate knowledge of the human heart, by which princes acquire the art of conciliating the affections of those around them, and, by graceful condescensions, win the regard of the lower orders, of whom the great body of the nation, emphatically called "the people," is composed. The German education and the self-sufficiency of the empress prevented her from considering the importance of these things, and, as a matter of course, she failed in obtaining the great object for which she contended.

"Away with her!" was the cry of the English population; "we will not have this Norman woman to reign over us." Yet this unpopular claimant of the throne was the only surviving child and representative of their adored queen Matilda, the daughter of a Saxon princess, the descendant of the great Alfred. But the virtues of Matilda of Scotland, her holy spirit, and her graces of mind and manners had been inherited, not by her daughter (who was removed in her tender childhood from under the maternal influence), but by her niece and name-child, Matilda of Boulogne, who had been educated under her auspices. The younger queen Matilda, was not only one of the best, but one of the greatest women of the age in which she lived. So perfect was she in that most important of all royal accomplishments—the art of pleasing, that art in which her haughty cousin, the empress, was entirely deficient,—that her winning influence was acknowledged even by that diplomatic statesman-priest, Henry de Blois; and she was of more effectual service in her husband's cause, than the swords of the foreign army which Stephen had rashly called to the support of his tottering throne.

Stephen and Matilda kept their Christmas this year, 1147, at Lincoln, with uncommon splendour, for joy of the departure of their unwelcome kinswoman, the empress Matilda, and the re-establishment of the public peace; and so completely did Stephen consider himself a king again, that, in defiance of certain oracular denouncements of evil to any monarch of England who should venture to wear his crown in that city on Christmas-day, he attended mass in his royal robes and diadem, against the advice of his sagest counsellors, both temporal and spiritual.² While at Lincoln, prince Eustace, the son of Stephen and Matilda (then in his thirteenth year), received the oath of fealty from such of the barons as could be prevailed upon to acknowledge him as the heir-apparent to the throne. Stephen and Matilda were desirous of his being crowned at Lincoln, in hopes of securing to him the right of succession, but the nobles would not consent.

The mind of queen Matilda appears, during the year 1148, to have been chiefly devoted to devotional matters. It was in this year that

¹ Thierry's *Anglo-Norman History*.

² Gervase. Speed.

she carried into execution her long-cherished design of founding and endowing the hospital and church of St. Katharine by the Tower,¹ for the repose of the souls of her deceased children, Baldwin and Maud. The same year queen Matilda, jointly with Stephen, founded the royal abbey of Feversham, in Kent, and personally superintended its erection. For many months she resided in the nunnery of St. Austin's Canterbury, to watch the progress of the work,² it being her desire to be interred within that stately church, which she had planned with such noble taste.

The care of this popular queen, that the humbler portion of her subjects should be provided with proper accommodation for their comfort during public worship, caused her to found the noble church of St. Mary at Southampton, of which that faithful antiquary, Leland, gives the following quaint and characteristic particulars :—"There is a chapel of St. Nicholas, a poor and small thing, yet standing, at the east end of St. Marie's church, in the great cemetery, where it is said the old parish church of Old Hampton stood. One told me there, that the littleness of this church was the cause of the erection of the great church of Our Ladye, now standing, by this occasion : one Matilde, queen of England, asked 'What it meant that a great number of people walked about the church of St. Nicholas?' and one answered, 'It is for lack of room in the church.' Then she, *ex voto*, promised to make them a new, and this was the original of St. Marie church."³

The repose of cloistered seclusion, and heavenward employment in works of piety and benevolence, whereby the royal Matilda sought to charm away the excitement of the late fierce struggle in which she had been forced to take so active a part, were succeeded by fresh anxieties of a political nature, caused by the return of the young Henry Fitz-Empress in the following year (1149), and with the evident intention of her uncle, David of Scotland, to support his claims. The king, her husband, apprehending that an attack on the city of York was meditated, flew to arms once more ; on which David, after conferring knight-hood on his youthful kinsman, retired into Scotland, and prince Henry returned to Normandy, not feeling himself strong enough to bide the event of a battle with Stephen at that period.⁴ A brief interval of tranquillity succeeded the departure of these invading kinsmen ; but queen Matilda lived not long to enjoy it. Worn out with cares and anxieties, this amiable princess closed her earthly pilgrimage at Henning-

¹ This royal institution, which under the fostering protection of the queens of England has survived the fall of every other monastic foundation of the olden times, has been transplanted to the Regent's-park, and affords a delightful asylum and ample maintenance for a limited number of those favoured ladies who, preferring a life of maiden meditation

and independence to the care-worn paths of matrimony, are fortunate enough to obtain sisterships. A nun of St. Katherine may truly be considered in a state of single blessedness.

² Stowe.

³ Leland's Itinerary, vol. iii.; second edition.

⁴ Rog. Hov

ham-castle in Essex, the mansion of Alberic de Vere, where she died of a fever, May 3rd, 1151, in the fifteenth year of her husband's reign. Stephen was forty-seven years old at the time of this his irreparable loss; Matilda was probably about the same age, or a little younger.

This lamented queen was interred in the newly-erected abbey of Feversham, of which she had been so munificent a patroness, having endowed it with her own royal manor of Lillechurch, which she gave to William of Ypres for his demesne of Feversham, the spot chosen by her as the site of this noble monastic establishment, which was dedicated to St. Saviour, and filled with black monks of Cluny. The most valued of all the gifts presented by queen Matilda to her favourite abbey, was a portion of the holy cross, which had been sent by her illustrious uncle, Godfrey of Boulogne, from Jerusalem, and was, therefore, regarded as doubly precious, none but heretics presuming to doubt of its being "*vera crux*." ¹ "Here," says that indefatigable antiquary, Weever, "lies interred Maude, wife of king Stephen, the daughter of Eustace, earl of Boulogne (brother of Godfrey and Baldwin, kings of Jerusalem), by Mary Atheling (sister to Matilda Atheling, wife to Henry, her husband's predecessor). She died at Heningham-castle in Essex, the 3rd of May, 1151; whose epitaph I found in a nameless manuscript."

The monastic Latin of this inscription may be thus rendered:—"In the year one thousand one hundred and fifty-one, not to her own, but to our great loss, the happy Matilda, the wife of king Stephen, died, ennobled by her virtues as by her titles. She was a true worshipper of God, and a real patroness of the poor. She lived submissive to God, that she might afterwards enjoy his presence. If ever woman deserved to be carried by the hands of angels to heaven, it was this holy queen."

Queen Matilda left three surviving children by her marriage with Stephen: Eustace, William, and Mary. The eldest, prince Eustace, was, after her death, despatched by Stephen to the court of his royal brother-in-law, Louis VII., to solicit his assistance in recovering the duchy of Normandy, which, on the death of Geoffrey of Anjou, had reverted to Henry Fitz-Empress, the rightful heir. Louis, who had good reason for displeasure against Henry, re-invested Eustace with the duchy, and received his homage once more. Stephen then, in the hope of securing this beloved son's succession to the English throne, endeavoured to prevail on the archbishop of Canterbury to crown him as the acknowledged heir of England. But neither the archbishop, nor any other prelate, could be induced to perform this ceremony, lest, as they said, "they should be the means of involving the kingdom once more in the horrors of civil war." Stephen was so exasperated at this refusal, that he shut all the bishops up in one house, declaring his intention to keep them in ward till one or other of them yielded obedience

¹ Rob. of Gloucester

² Rapin.

to his will. The archbishop of Canterbury, however, succeeded in making his escape to Normandy, and persuaded Henry Plantagenet, who, by his marriage with Eleanor, duchess of Aquitaine, the divorced queen of France, had become a powerful prince, to try his fortune once more in England.

Henry, who had now assumed the titles of duke of Normandy and Aquitaine, and count of Anjou, landed in England, January 1153, before preparations were made to oppose his victorious progress. He marched directly to the relief of his mother's friends at Wallingford, and arrived at a time when Eustace was carrying on operations in the absence of the king his father, who had gone to London to procure fresh supplies of men and money. Eustace maintained his position till the return of Stephen, when the hostile armies drew up in battle-array, with the intention of deciding the question between the rival claimants of the crown, at swords' points. An accidental circumstance prevented the deadly effusion of kindred blood from staining the snows of the wintry plain of Egilaw. "That day Stephen's horse," says Matthew Paris, "reared furiously thrice, as he advanced to the front to array his battle, and thrice fell with his fore-feet flat to the earth, and threw his royal rider. The nobles exclaimed it was a portent of evil, and the men murmured among themselves; on which the great William de Albin, the widower of the late dowager-queen Adelicia, took advantage of the pause which this superstitious panic on the part of Stephen's adherents had created, to address the king on the horrors of civil war; and reminding him of the weakness of his cause, and the justice of that of his opponent, implored him to avoid the slaughter of his subjects, by entering into an amicable arrangement with Henry Plantagenet."¹

Stephen and Henry accordingly met for a personal conference in a meadow at Wallingford, with the river Thames flowing between their armies, and there settled the terms of pacification; whereby Stephen was to enjoy the crown during his life, on condition of solemnly guaranteeing the succession to Henry Plantagenet, to the exclusion of his own children.² Henry, on his part, swore to confirm to them the earldom of Boulogne, the inheritance of their mother, the late queen Matilda, and all the personal property and possessions enjoyed by Stephen during the reign of his uncle, Henry I. After the treaty was ratified, William de Albin first affixing his sign manual, as the head of the barons, by the style and title of William, earl of Chichester,³ Stephen unbraced his armour in token of peace, and Henry saluted him as "king," adding the endearing name of "father;" and if Polydore Vergil and other chroniclers who relate this incident are to be believed, not without good reason.

¹ H. of Hunt. Lord Lyttelton. Speed. Tierney's Arundel.

² Tierney's Arundel. M. Paris. Speed. ³ Tierney's Arundel.

Of a more romantic character, however, is the circumstantial account of the **cause** of this pacification, as related by that courtly historian, Matthew Paris. We give the passage in his own words: "The empress, they say, who had rather have been Stephen's paramour than his foe, when she saw him and her son arrayed against each other, and their armies ready to engage on Egilaw-Heath, caused king Stephen to be called aside, and coming boldly up to him, she said, 'What mischievous and unnatural thing go ye about to do? Is it meet the father should destroy the son, or the son to kill the sire? For the love of the most high God, fling down your weapons from your hands, sith that (as thou well knowest) he is indeed thine own son: for you well know how we twain were acquaint before I wedded Geoffrey!' The king knew her words to be sooth, and so came the peace."¹

No other historian records that the empress was in England at this period, much less that she was the author of the pacification. Lord Lyttelton, however, in his history of Henry II., says, "that at one of his interviews with Stephen, previous to the settlement of the succession on Henry, that prince is stated by an old author to have claimed the king for his father, on the confession of the empress, when she supposed herself to be on a death-bed." Rapin also mentions the report. That which lends most colour to the tale is the fact, that the empress Matilda's second son Geoffrey, on the death of his father, set up a claim to the earldom of Anjou, grounded on the supposed illegitimacy of prince Henry. The ungracious youth even went so far as to obtain the testimony of the Angevin barons, who witnessed the last moments of the count his father, to the assertion "that the expiring Geoffrey named him as the successor to his dominions, because he suspected his elder brother to be the son of Stephen."²

Prince Eustace was so much enraged at the manner in which his interests had been compromised by the treaty of Wallingford, that he withdrew in a transport of indignation from the field; and gathering together a sort of free company of the malcontent adherents of his father's party, he marched towards Bury St. Edmund's, ravaging and laying under contribution all the country through which he passed. The monks of Bury received him honourably, and offered to refresh his men; but he sternly replied, "That he came not for meat but money," and demanded a subsidy, which being denied by the brethren of St. Edmund,—"they being unwilling," they said, "to be the means of raising fresh civil wars, which fell heavily on all peacefully disposed men, but heaviest of all on the clergy,"—Eustace plundered the monastery, and ordered all the corn and other provisions belonging to these civil and hospitable ecclesiastics to be carried to his own castle, near the town; and "then sitting down to dinner in a frenzy of rage, the first morsel of

¹ M. Paris.² Vita Gaufredi de Normandi.

meat he essayed to swallow choked him," says the chronicler who relates this act of wrong and violence. According to other historians, Eustace died of a brain fever on the 10th of August, 1153.¹ His body was conveyed to Feversham-abbey, and was interred by the side of his mother, queen Matilda. Eustace left no children by his wife, Constance of France.

William, the third son of Stephen and Matilda, inherited his mother's earldom of Boulogne, which, together with that of Mortagne, and all his father's private property, were secured to him by the treaty of Wallingford. He is mentioned in that treaty by name, as having done homage to Henry of Anjou and Normandy. Shortly afterwards, however, this prince, though of tender age, entered into a conspiracy with some of the Flemish mercenaries, to surprise the person of prince Henry on Barham-downs, as he was riding from Dover in company with the king. Stephen himself is not wholly clear from a suspicion of being concerned in this plot, which failed through an accident befalling prince William; just before the assault should have taken place, he was thrown by his mettlesome steed, and had the ill-luck to break his leg. Henry, on receiving a secret hint of what was in agitation, took the opportunity of the confusion created by William's fall to ride off at full speed to Canterbury, and soon after sailed for Normandy. It does not appear that he bore any ill-will against William de Blois for this treacherous design, as he afterwards knighted him, and confirmed to him his mother's earldom and whatever was possessed by Stephen before his accession to the throne. William de Blois died in the year 1160, while attending Henry II. on his return home from the siege of Thoulouse.

The lady Marie de Blois, the only surviving daughter of Stephen and Matilda, took the veil, and was abbess of the royal nunnery of Romsey, in which her grandmother, Mary of Scotland, and her great aunt, Matilda, the Good Queen, were educated. When her brother William, count of Boulogne, died without issue, the people of Boulogne, desiring to have her for their countess, Matthew, the brother of Philip, count of Flanders, stole her from her convent, and marrying her, became in her right count of Boulogne. She was his wife ten years, when, by sentence of the pope, she was divorced from him, and forced to return to her monastery. She had two daughters by this marriage, who were allowed to be legitimate; Ida, the eldest, inherited the earldom of Boulogne, in right of her grandmother Matilda, Stephen's queen.

Stephen died at Dover, of the iliac passion, October 25th, 1154, in the fifty-first year of his age, and the nineteenth of his reign. He was buried by the side of his beloved queen Matilda, and their unfortunate son Eustace, in the abbey of Feversham. "His body rested here in quietness," says Stowe, "till the dissolution, when, for the trifling gain

¹ Speed.

of the lead in which it was lapped, it was taken up, uncoffined, and plunged into the river,—so uncertain is man, yea, the greatest princes, of any rest in this world, even in the matter of burial.” Honest old Speed, by way of conclusion to this quotation from his brother chronicler, adds this anathema: “And restless may their bodies be also, who, for filthy lucre, thus deny the dead the quiet of their graves!”

A noble monument of Stephen and Matilda still survives the storms and changes of the last seven centuries,—the ruins of Furness-abbey. That choicest gem of the exquisite ecclesiastical architecture of the twelfth century was founded, in conjugal unity of purpose, by them July 1st, 1127, when only earl and countess of Boulogne. On acquiring the superior rank and power of king and queen of England, they gave additional gifts and immunities to this abbey. The transferred brotherhood of St. Benedict, who were thus enabled by the munificence of the royal pair to plant a church and monastic establishment of unrivalled grandeur in the sequestered valley of Beksangill, or the vale of “the deadly nightshade,” as that spot was then called in Lancashire, were not occupied merely in singing and praying for the souls of their august founders and their children, although the customs of that age rendered the performance of these offices an indispensable obligation on the part of the community, in return for endowments of lands, but the real objects for which the monks of Furness were rendered recipients of the bounty of Matilda and her lord were the civilization and cultivation of the wildest district of England. Whatever evils might result in after ages from the abuses which a despotic theocracy introduced into their practice, the statistic benefits conferred by these English fathers of the desert on the country were undeniable. They drained morasses, cleared jungles,—the haunts of wild beasts and robbers, and converted them into rich pastures and arable lands; while they taught a barbarous and predatory population to provide honestly for the wants of life, by the practice of agriculture and the various handicrafts which a progressive state of society renders necessary, and even instructed those who possessed capabilities for higher pursuits, in the arts and sciences, which expand the intellect while they employ the mechanical powers of men.

The extensive remains of Furness-abbey, its clustered columns, glorious arches, elaborately wrought corbels, delicate traceries, sublime elevations and harmonious proportions, tell their own tale, not only of the perfection to which architecture and sculpture were carried under the auspices of the accomplished Matilda of Boulogne, but of the employment afforded to numerous bands of workmen in various branches during the erection of such a fabric. The busts of the royal founder and foundress still remain on either side the lofty chancel window. Noble works of art they are, full of life-like individuality, and ex-

tremely characteristic of the persons they represent. Stephen is a model of manly beauty, with a bold and majestic aspect. They both wear their royal diadems. There is a chaste simplicity truly classical in Matilda's attitude and costume. Her veil flows from beneath the royal circlet in graceful folds on either side her softly-moulded oval face. Her dress fits closely to her shape, and is ornamented in front with a mullet-shaped brooch. Her features are delicate and feminine, her expression sweet and modest, yet indicative of conscious dignity, and sufficiently touched with melancholy to remind us of the thorns which beset her queenly garland, during her severe struggles to assist in supporting the defective title of her consort to the sovereignty of England. The portrait of Matilda in the illustrated edition of the 'Lives of the Queens of England,'¹ is engraved from a drawing made expressly for that purpose from the bust at Furness-abbey which we have just described. It is the only contemporary memorial which preserves to posterity an authentic representation of this most interesting queen and admirable woman.

¹ Vol. 1. of the 8vo edition.

ELEANORA OF AQUITAINE,

QUEEN OF HENRY II.

CHAPTER I.

HEREDITARY sovereign of Aquitaine, by her first marriage queen of France, then queen-consort of Henry II., and subsequently regent of his realms,—how many regalities did Eleanora of Aquitaine unite in her own person! England, by means of the marriage of her king and Eleanora, formed a close alliance with the most polished and civilized people on the face of the earth, as the Provençals of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries undoubtedly were. With the arts, the idealities, and the refinements of life, Eleanora brought acquisitions of more importance to the Anglo-Norman people than even that “great Provence dower,” on which Dante dwells with such earnestness.

But before the sweet provinces of the South were united to England by the marriage of their heiress with the heir of the Conqueror, a varied tissue of incidents had chequered the life of the duchess of Aquitaine, and it is necessary to trace them before we can describe her conduct as queen of England. It would be in vain to search on a map for the dominions of Eleanora, under the title of dukedom of Aquitaine. In the eleventh century, the counties of Guienne and Gascony were erected into this dukedom, after the ancient kingdom of Provence, established by a diet of Charlemagne,¹ had been dismembered. Julius Caesar calls the south of Gaul Aquitaine, from the numerous rivers and fine ports belonging to it; and the poetic population of this district adopted the name for their dukedom from the classics.

The language which prevailed all over the south of France was called Provençal, from the kingdom of Provence; and it formed a bond of national union among the numerous independent sovereigns under whose feudal sway this beautiful country was divided. Throughout the whole tract of country from Navarre to the dominions of the dauphin of Auvergne, and from sea to sea, the Provençal language was spoken,—a language which combined the best points of French and

¹ Atlas Géographique.

Italian, and presented peculiar facilities for poetical composition. It was called the *langue d'oc*, sometimes *langue d'oc et no*, the tongue of "yes" and "no," because instead of the *oui* and *non* of the rest of France, the affirmative and negative were *oc* and *no*. The ancestors of Eleanora were called *par excellence* the lords of "*Oc*" and "*No*." William IX., her grandfather, was one of the earliest professors and most liberal patrons of the art. His poems were models of imitation for all the succeeding troubadours.¹

The descendants of this minstrel hero were Eleanora and her sister Petronilla: they were the daughters of his son, William, count de Poitou. William of Poitou was a pious prince, which, together with his death in the Holy Land, caused his father's subjects to call him St. William. The mother of this prince was the great heiress Philippa² of Thoulouse, duchess of Guienne and Gascony, and countess of Thoulouse in her own right. Before Philippa married, her husband was William the seventh, count of Poitou and Saintonge; afterwards he called himself William IV., duke of Aquitaine. He invested his eldest son with the county of Poitou, who is termed William X. of Poitou. This prince, the father of Eleanora, did not live to inherit the united provinces of Poitou and Aquitaine, which comprised nearly the whole of the south of France; his wife, Eleanora of Chatelherault, died in early life, in 1129.

The grandfather of Eleanora had been gay, and even licentious in his youth; and now, at the age of sixty-eight, he wished to devote some time, before his death, to penitence for the sins of his early life. When his grand-daughter had attained her fourteenth year, he commenced his career of self-denial, by summoning the baronage of Aquitaine and communicating his intention of abdicating in favour of his grand-daughter, to whom they all took the oath of allegiance.³ He then opened his great project of uniting Aquitaine with France, by giving Eleanora in marriage to the heir of Louis VI. The barons agreed to this proposal, on condition that the laws and customs of Aquitaine should be held inviolate, and that the consent of the young princess should be obtained. Eleanora had an interview with her suitor, and professed herself pleased with the arrangement.

It was abbot Suger,⁴ the wise premier of France, who had earnestly

¹ Sismondi's Literature.

² She is likewise called Matilda. — *Rer. Script. de Franc.*

³ Suger. *Ord. Vit.*

⁴ His great minister being intimately connected with the future destiny of Eleanora of Aquitaine, a sketch of his life is desirable for purpose of perspicuity. Suger was, according to his own account, the son of indigent peasants, dependent on the great abbey of St. Denis, near Paris. Being a promising child, he served at the altar as

acolyte, and finally became one of the most learned monks of the Benedictine order. Philippe I., king of France, confided the education of his second son Louis to the Benedictines of St. Denis; and here a firm friendship was established between the son of the king and Suger, son of the serf. By a strange accident, the heir of Philippe I. was killed at the chase, and the friend of Suger became Louis VI., king of France. Then he effected, with the aid of his friend abbot Suger those remarkable reforms in church

promoted the marriage of the crowned heir of his royal master Louis VI. with Eleanora of Aquitaine, in hopes of peacefully uniting the rich provinces of the South with the rest of the Gallic empire. According to the custom of the earlier Capetian monarchs, the peers of France recognised the heir of France as their king just before the death of his royal sire. From thence the spouse of Eleanora was surnamed Louis le Jeune, to distinguish him from his father, as he was called Louis VII. while Louis VI. was not only in existence, but reigning.

Suger, by the desire of the elder king Louis, who was declining in health, accompanied Louis le Jeune to Bordeaux, in order that this important marriage might be solemnized as speedily as possible: the heir of France was attended by his two kinsmen, the warlike prince of Vermandois, and Thibaut the poet, count of Champagne.

Louis and Eleanora were immediately married, with great pomp, at Bordeaux; and, on the solemn resignation of duke William, the youthful pair were crowned duke and duchess of Aquitaine, August 1, 1137. On the conclusion of this grand ceremony, duke William, grandsire of the bride, laid down his robes and insignia of sovereignty and took up the hermit's cowl and staff. He departed on a pilgrimage to St. James of Compostella in Spain, and died soon after in one of the cells of that rocky wilderness.¹

Louis and his bride obtained immediate possession of Poitou, Gascony, Biscay, and a large territory extending beyond the Pyrenees. The very day of the threefold solemnity of this abdication, and of the marriage and coronation of Eleanora, the news arrived that the reigning sovereign of France was stricken with mortal illness. The bride and bridegroom were urged by the minister, Suger, to set off for Paris. They accordingly commenced their journey from Bordeaux with all their court; they passed through Orleans, and calmed some discontents of the French people on the road.² Louis VI. survived, however, and when the royal bride and bridegroom arrived at the abbey of St. Denis, they were admitted to the death-bed of this great sovereign, who addressed them in these memorable words, "Remember! royalty is a public trust, for the exer-

and state, which occasion historians to reckon his reign among those of the greatest monarchs of France. Suger educated Louis VII., and after his accession, governed France as prime-minister, then as regent, and again as prime-minister. Suger, although an ecclesiastic, had sufficient wisdom to moderate, rather than encourage, the tendency to ascetic bigotry in the character and conduct of the husband of Eleanora of Aquitaine, his royal pupil and master, Louis VII. — *Vie de Suger*, par M. d'Auvinoy. Paris 1739.

¹ To this great prince, the ancestor, through Eleanora of Aquitaine, of our royal line, may be traced armorial bearings, and a

war-cry whose origin has not a little perplexed the readers of English history. The patron saint of England, St. George, was adopted from the Aquitaine dukes: we find, from the MS. of the French herald, Gilles de Bonnier, that the duke of Aquitaine's *mot*, or war-cry, was "St. George for the puissant duke." His crest was a leopard and his descendants in England bore leopards on their shields till after the time of Edward I. Edward III. is called "valiant pard" in his epitaphs; and the emperor of Germany sent Henry III. a present of three leopards, expressly saying they were in compliment and allusion to his armorial bearings.

² *Vie de Suger.*

cise of which a rigorous account will be exacted by Him who has the sole disposal of crowns and sceptres." So spoke the great legislator of France to the youthful pair, whose wedlock had united the north and south of France. On the conscientious mind of Louis VII. the words of his dying father were strongly impressed, but it was late in life before his thoughtless partner profited by them.

Louis VII. and queen Eleanora made a most magnificent entry into Paris from St. Denis, after the funeral rites of Louis VI. were performed. Probably the practice kept up by the new-married queens of France, of always making a public entry from St. Denis into the capital, originated at this important crisis. The influence the young queen soon acquired, speedily plunged her husband and France into bloody wars. She insisted on her relative, Raymond, count of Thoulouse, being forced to acknowledge her sovereignty over that province. The prime-minister of France, Suger, examined into the justice of her claims, and then informed her that her kinsman had fully proved that he held "a good bill of sale for Thoulouse." Suger, therefore, advised his royal master not to interfere; for even if the justice of the case had been on the side of queen Eleanora, it was unwise to incur the expense of war at the commencement of a new reign. Eleanora, however, prevailed with her royal lord: the war was undertaken, and proved unsuccessful.

Eleanora was very beautiful; she had been reared in all the accomplishments of the South; she was a fine musician, and composed and sang the *chansons* and *tensons* of Provençal poetry. Her native troubadours expressly inform us that she could both read and write. The government of her dominions was in her own hands, and she frequently resided in her native capital at Bordeaux. She was perfectly adored by her southern subjects, who always welcomed her with joy, and bitterly mourned her absence when she was obliged to return to her court at Paris,—a court where morals were severe; the rigid rule of St. Bernard being observed by the king her husband, as if his palace had been a convent. Far different was the rule of Eleanora in the cities of the South.

The political sovereignty of her native dominions was not the only authority exercised by Eleanora in "gay Guienne." She was by hereditary right, chief reviewer and critic of the poets of Provence. At certain festivals held by her, after the custom of her ancestors, called Courts of Love, all new *sirventes* and *chansons* were sung or recited before her by the troubadours. She then, assisted by a conclave of her ladies, sat in judgment, and pronounced sentence on their literary merits. She was herself a popular troubadour poet. Her chansons were remembered long after death had raised a barrier against flattery, and she is reckoned among the authors of France. The decisions of the young duchess-queen in her troubadour Courts of Love, have met with

the reprobation of modern French historians, on account of their immorality.

The amusements of queen Eleanora seemed little suited to the austere habits of Louis VII. ; yet she had the power of influencing him to commit the only act of wilful injustice which stains the annals of his reign. Her sister Petronilla had made acquaintance with Raoul, count of Vermandois, at the magnificent festival at Bordeaux. The beauty of Petronilla equalled that of queen Eleanora, and with still greater laxity of principles, she seduced Raoul of Vermandois from his wife. This prince had married a sister of the count of Champagne, whom he divorced for some frivolous pretext, and married Petronilla. The count of Champagne laid his sister's wrongs before the pope, who commanded Vermandois to put away Petronilla, and to take back the injured sister of Champagne. Queen Eleanora, enraged at the dishonour of Petronilla, prevailed on her husband to punish the count of Champagne for his interference. Louis VII., who already had cause of offence against the count, invaded Champagne at the head of a large army, and began a devastating war, in the course of which a most dreadful occurrence happened at the storming of Vitry: the cathedral, wherein thirteen hundred persons had taken refuge, was burnt, and the poor people perished miserably. Abbé Suger, having in the question of the Thoulouse war experienced the evil influence of the young queen, had resigned his administration, and retired to his abbey of St. Denis; there he superintended the building of that beautiful structure, which is still the admiration of Europe. But when the dreadful slaughter at Vitry took place, Suger was roused by the reproofs of his friend St. Bernard, who declared him to be responsible for all the ill, since Louis VII. had previously always acted by his advice. Suger in vain pleaded that his king had now a bosom counsellor, who privately traversed his best advice; that he had striven against her influence to the verge of hostility with his king, and had retired, when he found he could do no good, to his duties as abbot, leaving the giddy Eleanora to reap the fruit she had planted.¹

It was at this juncture that St. Bernard preached the crusade at Vezelai, in Burgundy. King Louis and queen Eleanora, with all their court, came to hear the eloquent saint; and such crowds attended the royal auditors, that St. Bernard was forced to preach in the market-place, for no cathedral, however large, could contain them. St. Bernard touched with so much eloquence on the murderous conflagration at Vitry, that the heart of the pious king Louis, full of penitence for the sad effects of his destructiveness on his own subjects, resolved to atone for it to the God of mercy, by carrying sword and fire to destroy thousands of his fellow-creatures, who had neither offended him, nor even heard of

¹ Vie de Suger.

him. His queen, whose influence had led to the misdeed at Vitry, likewise became penitent, and as sovereign of Aquitaine vowed to accompany her lord to the Holy Land, and lead the forces of the South to the relief of the Christian kingdom of Jerusalem. The wise and excellent Suger endeavoured to prevail on his royal master to relinquish his mad expedition to Syria, assuring him that it would bring ruin on his country; he entreated him to stay and govern his dominions, and if the crusade must be undertaken, to permit the hot-headed young nobility to lead their vassals to the East without him. But the fanaticism of the king was proof against such persuasions: moreover, the romantic idea of becoming a female crusader had got into the light head of Eleanora his queen. Louis was dubious whether to take his queen on this expedition; but as Suger was to be left regent of France during the crusade, he persuaded his royal master not to oppose her inclinations.¹ Nor can it excite wonder that, if Louis VII. would go crusading against all reasonable advice, his wise prime-minister should wish him to take his troublesome partner in regality with him. Eleanora was sovereign of the South, with all its riches and maritime power; and when the specimens she had already given of her impracticable conduct are remembered, it will be allowed that small chance had chancellor Suger's regency of peace and quiet, if she remained at home.

When Eleanora received the cross from St. Bernard, at Vezelai, she put on the dress of an Amazon; and her ladies, all actuated by the same frenzy, mounted on horseback, and forming a lightly-armed squadron, surrounded the queen when she appeared in public, calling themselves queen Eleanora's body-guard. They practised Amazonian exercises, and performed a thousand follies in public, to animate their zeal as practical crusaders. By the suggestion of their young queen, this band of mad women sent their useless distaffs, as presents, to all the knights and nobles who had the good sense to keep out of the crusading expedition. This ingenious taunt had the effect of shaming many wise men out of their better resolutions; and to such a degree was this mania of the crusade carried, that, as St. Bernard himself owns, whole villages were deserted by their male inhabitants, and the land left to be tilled by women and children." It was on the Whit-Sunday of 1147 that, all matters being ready for marching to the south of France, Louis VII. received the oriflamme from the hands of the pope himself at the abbey of St. Denis, and set forward on his ill-advised expedition. Such fellow-soldiers as queen Eleanora and her Amazons would have been quite sufficient to disconcert the plans and impede the projects of Hannibal himself; and though king Louis conducted himself with great ability and courage in his difficult enterprise, no prudence could coun-

¹ Vie de Suger.

teract the misfortune of being encumbered with an army of fantastic women. King Louis, following the course of the emperor Conrad, whose army, roused by the eloquence of St. Bernard, had just preceded them, sailed up the Bosphorus, and landed in Thrace.

The freaks of queen Eleanora and her female warriors were the cause of all the misfortunes that befell king Louis and his army, especially in the defeat at Laodicea. The king had sent forward the queen and her ladies, escorted by his choicest troops, under the guard of count Maurienne. He charged them to choose for their camp the arid but commanding ground which gave them a view over the defiles of the valley of Laodicea. While this detachment was encamping, he, at the distance of five miles, brought up the rear and baggage, ever and anon turning to battle bravely with the skirmishing Arab cavalry, who were harassing his march. Queen Eleanora acted in direct opposition to his rational directions. She insisted on her detachment of the army halting in a lovely romantic valley, full of verdant grass and gushing fountains. The king was encumbered by the immense baggage, which, William of Tyre declares, the female warriors of queen Eleanora persisted in retaining in the camp at all risks. Darkness began to fall as the king of France approached the entrance to the valley; and, to his consternation, he found the heights above it unoccupied by the advanced body of his troops. Neither the queen nor her forces being encamped there, he was forced to enter the valley in search of her, and was soon after attacked from the heights by swarms of Arabs, who engaged him in the passes among the rocks, close to the fatal spot where the emperor Conrad and his heavy horse had been discomfited but a few weeks before. King Louis, sorely pressed in one part of this murderous engagement, only saved his life by climbing a tree, whence he defended himself with the most desperate valour. At length, by efforts of personal heroism, he succeeded in placing himself between the detachment of his ladies and the Saracens. But it was not till the dawn of day that he discovered his advanced troops, encamped in the romantic valley chosen by his poetical queen. Seven thousand of the flower of French chivalry paid with their lives the penalty of their queen's inexperience in warlike tactics; all the provision was cut off; the baggage containing the fine array of the lady-warriors, which had proved such an encumbrance to the king, was plundered by the Arabs and Saracens; and the whole army reduced to great distress. Fortunately Antioch was near, whose prince was the uncle of the crusading queen of France. Prince Raymond opened his friendly gates to the distressed warriors of the cross, and by the beautiful streams of the Orontes the defeated French army rested and refreshed themselves after their recent disasters.

Raymond of Poitou was brother to the queen's father, the saintly

William of Poitou. There was, however, nothing of the saint in the disposition of Raymond, who was still young, and was the handsomest man of his time. The uncle and niece, who had never met before, were much charmed with each other. It seems strange that the man who first awakened the jealousy of king Louis should stand in such very near relationship to his wife; yet it is certain, that as soon as queen Eleanora had recovered her beauty, somewhat sullied by the hardships she endured in the camp, she commenced such a series of coquetries with her handsome uncle, that king Louis, greatly scandalized and incensed, hurried her out of Antioch one night, and decamped to Jerusalem, with slight leave-taking of Raymond, or none at all. It is true, many authorities say that Raymond's intrigues with his niece were wholly political, and that he was persuading Eleanora to employ her power, as duchess of Aquitaine, for the extension of his dominions, and his own private advantage. It was at Antioch that Eleanora first declared "that she would not live as the wife of a man whom she had discovered was her cousin, too near by the ordinance of the church."¹ The Chronicle of Tours accuses her of receiving presents from Saladin, and this accusation was doubtless some recognition of her power as queen-regnant of the south of France. Eleanora, having taken the cross as an independent sovereign, was of course treated as such by the oriental powers.

Eleanora was enraged at her sudden removal from Antioch, which took place early in the spring of 1149: she entered the holy city in a most indignant mood. Jerusalem, the object of the ardent enthusiasm of every other crusader, raised no religious ardour in her breast; she was burning with resentment at the unaccustomed harshness king Louis exercised towards her. In Jerusalem, king Baldwin III. received Eleanora with the honours due both to her rank as queen of France, and her power as a sovereign-ally of the crusading league; but nothing could please her. It is not certain whether her uneasiness proceeded from a consciousness of guilt, or indignation at being the object of unfounded suspicions; but it is indisputable that, after her forced departure from Antioch, all affection between Eleanora and her husband was at an end. While the emperor of Germany and the king of France laid an unsuccessful siege to Damascus, Eleanora was detained at Jerusalem, in something like personal restraint.

The great abilities of Sultan Nouredin rendered this siege unavailing, and Louis was glad to withdraw, with the wreck of his army, from Asia. There are letters² still extant from Suger, by which it appears that the king had written to him complaints of the criminal attachment of his queen to a young Saracen emir of great beauty, named Sal-Addin. For this misconduct the king of France expressed

¹ Guillaume de Nangis' Chronicle, quoted by Michelet.
Du Chesne, which has furnished much of the information in this narrative.

² In the collection of

his intention of disgracing her, and putting her away as soon as he arrived in his dominions, but was dissuaded from this resolution by his sagacious minister, who pointed out to him the troubles which would accrue to France by the relinquishment of her great possessions, and that his daughter, the princess Marie, would be deprived, in all probability, of her mother's rich inheritance, if the queen were at liberty to marry again. This remonstrance so far prevailed on Louis, that he permitted his discontented spouse to accompany him to Paris, November 1149. The royal pair made a solemn entry into the capital on their return from the crusade, with as much triumphant pomp as if they had gained great victories during an absence of two years and four months, instead of having passed their time in a series of defeats and disasters. Suger then resigned his regency to the king, with much more pleasure, as he said, than he took it. He had governed France in a manner which obtained from the king and people the appellation of "father of his country."¹ The dread that Suger felt at the separation of Eleanora's southern provinces was the reason why the king continued to live with her, and allowed her to retain the dignity of queen of France.

Queen Eleanora therefore resided at Paris, with all her usual state and dignity: she was, however, closely watched, and not permitted to visit her southern dominions,—a prohibition which greatly disquieted her. She made many complaints of the gloom of the northern Gallic capital, and the monkish manners of her devout husband. She was particularly indignant at the plain and unostentatious clothing of king Louis, who had likewise displeased her by sacrificing, at the suggestion of the clergy, all his long curls, besides shaving off his beard and moustaches. The giddy queen made a constant mockery of her husband's appearance, and vowed that his smooth face made him look more like a cloistered priest than a valiant king. Thus two years passed away in mutual discontent, till, in the year 1150, Geoffrey Plantagenet, count of Anjou,² appeared at the court of Louis VII. Geoffrey did homage for Normandy, and presented to Louis his son, young Henry Plantagenet, surnamed Fitz-Empress. This youth was about seventeen, and was then first seen by queen Eleanora. But the scandalous chroniclers of the day declare the queen was much taken by the fine person and literary attainments of Geoffrey, who was considered the most accomplished knight of this time. Geoffrey was a married man; but queen Eleanora as little regarded the married engagements of the persons on whom she bestowed her attention, as she did her own conjugal ties.

About eighteen months after the departure of the Angevin princes, the queen of France gave birth to another princess, named Alice. Soon

¹ Vie de Suger.

² Vie de Gaufred, Duc de Normand.

after this event, Henry Plantagenet once more visited Paris, to do homage for Normandy and Anjou, a pleuritic fever having suddenly carried off his father. Queen Eleanora now transferred her former partiality for the father to the son, who had become a noble, martial-looking prince, full of energy; learned, valiant, and enterprising, and ready to undertake any conquest, whether of the heart of the gay queen of the South, or of the kingdom from which he had been unjustly disinherited. Eleanora acted with her usual disgusting levity in the advances she made to this youth. Her beauty was still unimpaired, though her character was in low esteem with the world. Motives of interest induced Henry to feign a return to the passion of queen Eleanora; his mother's cause was hopeless in England, and Eleanora assured him that, "if she could effect a divorce from Louis, her ships and treasures should be at his command for the subjugation of king Stephen."

The intimacy between Henry and Eleanora soon awakened the displeasure of the king of France, consequently the prince departed for Anjou. Eleanora immediately made an application for a divorce, under the plea that king Louis was her fourth cousin. It does not appear that he opposed this separation, though it certainly originated from the queen. Notwithstanding the advice of Suger, Louis seems to have accorded heartily with the proposition, and the divorce was finally pronounced by a council of the church at Baugenci,¹ March 18, 1152; where the marriage was not dissolved on account of the queen's adultery, as is commonly asserted, but declared invalid on the grounds of consanguinity. Eleanora and Louis, with most of their relations, met at Baugenci, and were present when the divorce was pronounced.² Suger, who had so long opposed the separation of Eleanora from his king, died a few days before that event took place.³

It is useless for modern historians either to blame or praise Louis VII. for his scrupulous honesty in restoring to Eleanora her patrimonial dominions; he restored nothing that he was able to keep, excepting her person. When the divorce was first agitated, Louis VII. tried the experiment of seizing several of the strongholds in Guienne, but found the power of the South was too strong for him. Giffard, who never wrote a line without the guide of contemporary chronicles, has made it fully apparent that the queen of the South was a stronger potentate than the king of the North. If the lady of "Oc" and "No," and the lord of "Oui" and "Non," had tried for the mastery by force of arms, he civilized, the warlike, and maritime Provençals would certainly have raised the banner of St. George and the golden leopards far above the oriflamme of France, and rejoiced at having such fair cause of quarrel with their *suzerain* as the rescue of their princess. Moreover, Louis could not detain Eleanora, without defying the decree of the pope.

¹ Nicolas's Chron. of Hist² Bouquet des Histories³ Vie de Suger.

On her way southward to her own country, Eleanora remained some time at Blois. The count of this province was Thibaut, elder brother to king Stephen, one of the handsomest and bravest men of his time. Much captivated with the splendour of "her great dower," Thibaut offered his hand to his fair guest. He met with a refusal, which by no means turned him from his purpose, as he resolved to detain the lady a prisoner in his fortress, till she complied with his proposal. Eleanora suspected his design, and departed by night, without the ceremony of leave-taking. She embarked on the Loire, and went down the stream to Tours, which was then belonging to the dominions of Anjou.

Here her good luck, or dexterous management, brought her off clear from another mal-adventure. Young Geoffrey Plantagenet, the next brother to the man she intended to marry, had likewise a great inclination to be sovereign of the South. He placed himself in ambush at a part of the Loire called the "Port of Piles," with the intention of seizing the duchess and her train, carrying her off, and marrying her. "But," says the chronicler, "Eleanora was pre-warned by her good angel, and she suddenly turned down a branch of the stream southwards, towards her own country." Thither Henry Plantagenet, the elder brother of Geoffrey, repaired to claim the hand which had been promised him months before the divorce. The celerity with which the marriage of Eleanora followed her divorce astonished all Europe, for she gave her hand to Henry Plantagenet, duke of Normandy and count of Anjou, only six weeks after the divorce was pronounced. Eleanora is supposed to have been in her thirty-second year, and the bridegroom in his twentieth,—a disparity somewhat ominous to their future matrimonial felicity.

The duchess of Aquitaine and the duke of Normandy were married at Bordeaux¹ on May-day, with all the pomp that the luxurious taste of Eleanora, aided by Provençal wealth, could effect. If Henry and Eleanora could have been married a few months earlier it would have been better for the reputation of the bride, since all chroniclers are very positive in fixing the birth of her eldest son, William, on the 17th of August, 1152, little more than four months after their union on the first of May. The birth of this boy accounts for the haste with which Eleanora was divorced. Had king Louis detained his unfaithful wife, a dispute might have arisen respecting the succession to the crown of France. Her child was born in Normandy, whither Henry conveyed Eleanora directly after their marriage, leaving the garrisons of Aquitaine commanded by Norman officers faithful to his interest; a step which was the commencement of his unpopularity in his wife's dominions.

Louis VII. was much displeased at the marriage of his divorced queen with Henry of Anjou. He viewed with uneasiness the union of

¹ Gervase. Brompton.

the fair provinces of the South with Anjou and Normandy; and, in order to invalidate it, he actually forbade Henry to marry without his permission, claiming that authority as his feudal lord. His measures, we think, ought to acquit king Louis of the charge of too much righteousness in his political dealings, for which he is blamed by the superficial Voltaire. The hostility of Louis, who entered into a league with king Stephen, roused young Henry from the pleasures in which he was spending the first year of his nuptials; and breaking from his wedded Circe, he obtained, from her fondness, a fleet for the enforcement of his claims to his rightful inheritance. Eleanor was sovereign of a wealthy maritime country, whose ships were equally used for war and commerce. Leaving his wife and son in Normandy, Henry embarked from Harfleur with thirty-six ships, May 1153. Without the aid of this fleet, England would never have reckoned the name of Plantagenet among her royal dynasties.

These circumstances are alluded to, with some dry humour, in the following lines by Robert of Gloucester:—

"In eleven hundred years of grace and forty-one,
 Died Geoffry of Plantagenet, the earl of Anjou.
 Henry his son and heir, earl was made through
 All Anjou, and duke of Normand:—much it was his mind
 To come and win England, for he was next of kind, [kin]
 And to help his moder, who was oft in feeble chance.
 But he was much acquaint with the queen of France,
Some deal too much, as me weened; so that in some thing
 The queen loved him, as me trowed, more than her lord the king;
 So that it was forth put that the king and she
 So sibbe were, that they must no longer together be.
 The kindred was proved so near, that king Louis there
 And Eleanor his queen by the pope y-parted were.
 Some were glad enow, as might be truly seen,
 For Henry the empress' son forthwith espoused the queen.
 The queen riches enow had under her hand,
 Which helped Henry then to war on England.
 In the eleventh hundred year and fifty-two
 After God on earth came, this spousing was ado;
 The next year after that, Henry his power nom, [took]
 And with six-and-thirty ships to England com."

There is reason to believe that at this period Henry won the affections of the beautiful Rosamond Clifford, and seduced her, under the promise of marriage, as the birth of her eldest son corresponds with Henry's visit to England at this time; for he left England the year before Stephen's death, 1153. Henry was busy laying siege to the castle of one of his rebels in Normandy when the news of Stephen's death reached him. Six weeks elapsed before he sailed to take possession of his kingdom. His queen and infant son accompanied him. They waited a month at Barfleur for a favourable wind,¹ and after all

¹ Brompton.

they had a dangerous passage, but landed safely at Osterham, December 8. The king and queen waited at the port for some days, while the fleet, dispersed by the wind, collected. They then went to Winchester,¹ where they received the homage of the southern barons. Theobald, archbishop of Canterbury, and some of the chief nobles, came to hasten their appearance in London, "where Henry was," says the Saxon chroniclers, "received with great honour and worship, and blessed to king the Sunday before Midwinter-day." Eleanora and Henry were crowned in Westminster-abbey, December 19, 1154, "after England," to use the words of Henry of Huntingdon, "had been without a king for six weeks." Henry's security during this interval, was owing to the powerful fleet of his queen, which commanded the seas between Normandy and England, and kept all rebels in awe.

The coronation of the king of England and the luxurious lady of the South was without parallel for magnificence. Here were seen in profusion, mantles of silk and brocade, of a new fashion and splendid texture, brought by queen Eleanora² from Constantinople. In the illuminated portraits of this queen she wears a wimple, or close coif, with a circlet of gems over it; her kirtle, or close gown, has tight sleeves, and is drawn up with full gathers just below the throat, confined with a rich collar of gems. Over this is worn the elegant pelisson, or outer robe, bordered with fur, with very full loose sleeves lined with ermine, showing gracefully the tight kirtle sleeves beneath. In some portraits the queen is seen with her hair braided, and closely wound round the head with jewelled bands. Over all was thrown a square of fine lawn or gauze, which supplied the place of a veil and was worn precisely like the *faziola*, still the national costume of the lower orders of Venice. This coverchief, or kerchief, could be drawn down below the chin; and supplied the place of veil and bonnet, when abroad; sometimes it descended but to the brow, just as the wearer was disposed to show or conceal her face. Frequently the coverchief was confined by the bandeau, or circlet, being placed on the head over it. Girls before marriage wore their hair in ringlets or tresses on their shoulders. The church was very earnest in preaching against the public display of ladies' hair after marriage. The long hair of the men likewise drew down the constant fulminations of the church; but after Henry I. had cut off his curls, and forbidden long hair at court, his courtiers adopted periwigs; indeed, if we may judge by the queer effigy on his coins, the handsome Stephen himself wore a wig. It is certain that the thunder of the pulpit was constantly levelled at wigs, which were forbidden by a sumptuary law of king Henry.

Henry II. made his appearance, at his coronation, with short hair,

¹ Nicoll's Chron. of Hist.

² It is said she introduced the growth of silk in her southern dominions, a benefit attributed to Henry the Great.

moustaches, and shaven chin; he wore a doublet and short Angevin cloak, which immediately gained for him from his subjects, Norman and English, the *sobriquet* of "Court-mantle." His dalmatica was of the richest brocade, bordered with gold embroidery. At his coronation, ecclesiastics were first seen in England dressed in sumptuous robes of silk and velvet, worked with gold in imitation of the luxury of the Greek church. Such was the costume of the court of Eleanora of Aquitaine, the queen of England, in the year of her coronation, 1154. The Christmas festivities were celebrated that year with great pomp, at Westminster-palace; but directly the coronation was over, the king conducted his queen to the palace of Bermondsey, where, after remaining some weeks in retirement, she gave birth to her second son, the last day of February, 1155.

Bermondsey, the first place of Eleanora's residence in England, was, as delineated in its ancient plans, a pastoral village nearly opposite to London, of a character decidedly Flemish. Rich in well-cultivated gardens and wealthy velvet meads, it possessed, likewise, an ancient Saxon palace,¹ and a priory then newly built. Assuredly the metropolis must have presented itself to the view of its foreign queen, from the palace of Bermondsey, with much more picturesque grandeur than it does at present, when its unwieldy size and smoky atmosphere prevent an entire *coup d'œil*. But at one glance from the opposite bank of the river the eyes of the fair Provençal could then behold London, situated on ground rising from the Thames. It was at that time girdled with an embattled wall, which was studded with gateways, both by land and water.² The new Tower of London kept guard on the eastern extremity of the city, and the lofty spire of the ancient cathedral soared over the western side, just behind the antique gateway of Ludgate. This gate led to the pleasant road of the river's Strand, ornamented with the old Temple, its fair gardens and wharf, and interspersed with a few *inns*,³ or metropolitan dwellings of the nobility, the cultivated grounds of which sloped down to their water-stairs and boat-houses, the Thames being then the highway of London. The Strand road terminated in the majestic palace and abbey of Westminster, the old palace, with its yard and gardens, once belonging to St. Edward, and the new palace, its noble hall and water-stairs, which owed their origin to the Norman dynasty. Such was the metropolis when Henry II. succeeded to the English crown.

If the example and conduct of the first Provençal queen was neither edifying nor pleasing to her subjects, yet, in a commercial point of view, the connexion of the merchants of England with her Aquitanian

¹ Annals of the Abbey of Bermondsey.

² Dowgate and Billingsgate.

³ Inn was not, in early times, a word used

for a house of public entertainment. Its original signification was a temporary abode in London, used by abbot, bishop, or peer.

dominions was highly advantageous. The wine trade with Bourdeaux became considerable.¹ In a few months after the accession of Eleanora as queen-consort of England, large fortunes were made by the London traders, who imported the wines of Gascony from the port of Bourdeaux;² and above all (by the example of the maritime cities of Guienne), the shipping of England was governed by the ancient code of laws, called the code of Oleron. In compliment to his consort Eleanora, Henry II. adopted for his plate-mark the cross of Aquitaine, with the addition of his initial letter *H*. An instance of this curious fact is still to be seen in the grace-cup of Thomas à-Becket.³

The English chose to regard Henry II. solely as the descendant of their ancient Saxon line. "Thou art son," said they, "to the most glorious empress Matilda, whose mother was Matilda Atheling, daughter to Margaret, saint and queen, whose father was Edward, son to king Edmund Ironside, who was great-grandson to king Alfred." Such were the expressions of the English, when Henry convened a great meeting of the nobility and chief people at Wallingford, in March 1155; where, by the advice of his mother, the empress Matilda (who had learned wisdom from adversity), he swore to confirm to the English the laws of Alfred and Edward the Confessor, as set forth in the great charter of Henry I. At this grand convocation queen Eleanora appeared with her eldest son, then in his fourth year, and the infant Henry. The baronage of England kissed the hands of the infants, and vowed to recognise them as the heirs of the English monarchy. A few weeks after this recognition, the queen lost her eldest son, who was buried at Reading, at the feet of his great-grandfather, Henry I.

The principal residences of the court were Winchester-palace, Westminster-palace, and the country palace of Woodstock. The amusements most favoured by queen Eleanora were of a dramatic kind. Besides the Mysteries and Miracles played by the parish-clerks and students of divinity, the classic taste of the accomplished Eleanora patronised representations nearly allied to the regular drama, since we find that Peter of Blois, in his epistles, congratulates his brother William on his tragedy of Fiaura and Marcus, played before the queen. This William was an abbot, but was master of the revels or amusements at court:

¹ Anderson's History of Commerce.

² "The land," says one of the malcontent Saxon chroniclers, "became full of drink and drunkards. Claret was 4*d.* per gallon at this time. Gascon wine in general sold at 20*s.* per tun.

³ This cup formerly belonged to the Arundel collection, and was given by Bernard Edward, the late duke of Norfolk, to H. Howard, Esq., of Corby-castle, who thus became the possessor of this highly-prized relic of Eleanora's era. The cross of Aqu-

taine somewhat resembles the Maltese cross: the cup is of ivory mounted with silver, which is studded on the summit and base with pearls and precious stones. The inscription round the cup is, *VNUM TUM BIBE CUM GAUDIO*,—"Drink thy wine with joy;" but round the lid, deeply engraved, is the restraining injunction, *SOBRII ESTOTE*, with the initials T. B. interlacing a mitre, the peculiarly low form of which stamps the antiquity of the whole.

he composed all the Mysteries and Miracles performed before the queen at Westminster and Winchester.

It is to Peter of Blois we owe a graphic description of King Henry's person and manners; likewise the picture of his court setting out in progress. "When king Henry sets out of a morning, you see multitudes of people running up and down as if they were distracted; horses rushing against horses, carriages overturning carriages, players, gamblers, cooks, confectioners, morris-dancers, barbers, courtesans, and parasites, making so much noise, and, in a word, such an intolerable tumultuous jumble of horse and foot, that you imagine the great abyss hath opened, and that hell hath poured forth all its inhabitants." We think this disorderly crew must have belonged to the queen's court, for the sketch given us by the same most amusing author of king Henry himself, would lead us to suppose that he countenanced no such riotous doings:—"In praising David the king, it is read that he was ruddy, but you must understand that my lord the king is sub-rufus, or pale-red; his harness [armour] hath somewhat changed his colour. Of middle stature he is, so that among little men seemeth he not much, nor among long men seemeth he over little. His head is round, as in token of great wit, and of special high counsel the treasury. His head of curly hair, when clipped square in the forehead, sheweth a lyonous visage, the nostrils even and comely, according to all the other features. High vaulted feet, legs able to riding, broad bust, and long champion arms, which telleth him to be strong, light, and hardy. In a toe of his foot the nail groweth into the flesh, and in harm to the foot over waxeth. His hands, through their large size, sheweth negligence, for he utterly leaveth the keeping of them; never, but when he beareth hawks, weareth he gloves, Each day at mass and council, and other open needs of the realm, throughout the whole morning he standeth a foot, and yet when he eateth he never sitteth down. In one day he will, if need be, ride two or three journeys, and thus hath he oft circumvented the plots of his enemies. A huge lover of woods is he, so that when he ceaseth of war he haunteth places of hawking and hunting. He useth boots without folding caps, and homely and short clothes weareth he. Not as other kings lieth he in his palace, but travelling about by his provinces espieth he the doings of all men. He doometh those that he judges when they do wrong, and punisheth them by stronger judgment than other men. No man more wise in counsel, ne more dreadful in his prosperity, ne steadfaster in adversity. When once he loveth, scarcely will he ever hate; when once he hateth, scarcely ever receiveth he into grace. Oft holdeth he in hand swords, bows, and hunting-gear, excepting he be at council or at book. When he may rest from worldly business, privily he occupieth himself about learning and reading, and among his clerks he asketh questions,

For though your king¹ be well y-lettered (learned), our king by far is more y-lettered. I, forsooth in science of letters, know the cunning of them both, ye wotting well that my lord the king of Sicily a whole year was my disciple, and though by you he had the beginning of teaching, yet by me he had the benefit of more full science.² And as soon as I went out of Sicily, *your* king cast away his books, and gave himself up to palatine³ idleness. But, forsooth, *our* lord the king of England has each day a school for right well lettered men; hence his conversation, that he hath with them, is busy discussing of questions. None is more honest than our king in speaking. ne in alms largess. Therefore, as Holy Writ saith, we may say of him, 'His name is a precious ointment, and the alms of him all the church shall take.'" Such is the picture of the first of our great Plantagenet monarchs, drawn in minute pencilling by the man who had known him from his childhood.

It is not a very easy task to reduce to anything like perspicuity the various traditions which float through the chronicles regarding queen Eleanora's unfortunate rival, the celebrated Rosamond Clifford. No one who studies history ought to despise tradition, for we shall find that tradition is generally founded on fact, even when defective, or regardless of chronology. The learned and accurate Carte has not thought it beneath him to examine carefully the testimony that exists regarding Rosamond; and we find, from him, that we must confine her connexion with Henry to the two years succeeding his marriage. He has proved that the birth of her youngest son, and her profession as a nun at Godstow, took place within that space of time, and he has proved it from the irrefragable witness of existing charters, of endowments of lands given by the Clifford family to benefit the convent of Godstow, of provision made by Henry II. for her son William Long-espée and his brother, and of benefactions he bestowed on the nunnery of Godstow because Rosamond had become a votaress therein. It appears that the acquaintance between Rosamond and Henry commenced in early youth, about the time of his knighthood by his uncle the king of Scotland; that it was renewed at the time of his successful invasion of England, when he entered privately into marriage contract⁴ with the unsuspecting girl; and before he left England, to return to his wife, his noble boy William, surnamed Long-espée, was born. His own words afterwards confirmed this report: "Thou art my legitimate son," said he to one of the sons of Rosamond, who met him at the head of an armed force at a time when the rebellion of the princes had distressed him; "and," continued he, "the rest are bastards." Perhaps these words afford the

¹ The king of Sicily, William the Good, afterwards Henry II.'s son-in-law.

By this passage it appears that Peter

⁴ Carte. Br. mpton.

Blots had been the tutor to Henry II. and the king of Sicily.

³ The idleness and luxuries of the palace. Essex's Antiquities.

truest explanation of the mysterious dissensions which perpetually distracted the royal family.¹

How king Henry excused his perjury, both to Rosamond and the queen, is not explained by chronicle; he seems to have endeavoured, by futile expedients, to keep them both in ignorance of his perfidy. As Rosamond was retained by him as a prisoner, though not an unwilling one, it was easy to conceal from her the facts, that he had wedded a queen and brought her to England; but his chief difficulty was to conceal Rosamond's existence from Eleanora, and yet to indulge himself with frequent visits to the real object of his love.

Brompton says, "That one day queen Eleanora saw the king walking in the pleasure of Woodstock, with the end of a ball of floss silk attached to his spur; coming near him unperceived, she took up the ball, and the king walking on, the silk unwound, and thus the queen traced him to a thicket in the labyrinth or maze of the park, where he disappeared. She kept the matter secret, often revolving in her own mind in what company he could meet with balls of silk. Soon after, the king left Woodstock for a distant journey; then queen Eleanora, bearing her discovery in mind, searched the thicket in the park, and discovered a low door cunningly concealed; this door she had forced, and found it was the entrance to a winding subterranean path, which led out at a distance to a sylvan lodge in the most retired part of the adjacent forest." Here the queen found, in a bower, a young lady of incomparable beauty, busily engaged in embroidery. Queen Eleanora then easily guessed how balls of silk attached themselves to king Henry's spurs. Whatever was the result of the interview between Eleanora and Rosamond, it is certain that the queen did not destroy her rival either by sword or poison, though in her rage it is possible that she might threaten both. That Rosamond was not killed may be ascertained by the charters before named, which plainly show that she lived twenty years, in great penitence, after her retirement from the king. It is extremely probable that her interview with Eleanora led to her first knowledge that Henry was a married man, and consequently to her profession at Godstow, which took place the second year of Henry's reign. The grand error in the statements regarding Rosamond is, the assertion that she was a young girl seduced and concealed by the king when he was in advanced life. Now the charters collated by Carte prove that the acquaintance of Rosamond and Henry commenced in early youth, that they were nearly of the same age, and that their connexion terminated soon after queen Eleanora came to England.

Twenty years afterwards, when Rosamond's death really occurred in her convent, it happened to coincide with Eleanora's imprisonment and disgrace. This coincidence revived the memory of the romantic inci-

¹ Lingard

dents connected with Henry's love for Rosamond Clifford. The high rank of the real object of the queen's jealousy at that time, and the circumstances of horror regarding Henry's profligacy, as the seducer of the princess Alice, his son's wife, occasioned a mystery at court which no one dared to define. The common people, in their endeavours to guess this state secret, combined the death of the poor penitent at Godstow with Eleanora's imprisonment, and thus the report was raised that Eleanora had killed Rosamond. To these causes we trace the disarrangement of the chronology in the story of Rosamond, which has cast doubts on the truth of her adventures. In Brompton's narrative, we find the labyrinth¹ at Woodstock, and the clue of silk, famous in the romance and ballad. His chronology of the incidents is decidedly wrong, but the actual events are confirmed by the most ancient authorities.

Queen Eleanora gave birth to her eldest daughter, the princess Matilda, in the year 1156. Her celebrated son, Richard Cœur de Lion, was born September, 1157, at a palace considered one of the finest in the kingdom, called the Beau-Monte, in Oxford. This palace was afterwards turned into the White Friars' church, and then into a work-house.

Eleanora of Aquitaine, in some passages of her life, appears as one of the most prominent characters of her age: she was very actively employed, either as sovereign of her own dominions or regent of Normandy, during this period of 1157. Eleanora was crowned a second time at Worcester, with the king, in 1159. When the royal pair came to the oblation, they both took off their crowns, and, laying them on the altar, vowed never to wear them more.

A son was born to Henry and Eleanora, September 23rd, after the Worcester coronation: this prince bore the name of the king's father, Geoffrey Plantagenet. The same year the king betrothed this boy to Constance, the heiress of Conan, duke of Bretagne. The infant Con-

¹ As to the labyrinth or maze at Woodstock, it most likely existed before the time of Rosamond, and remained after her death, since all pleasantries or gardens in the middle age were contrived with this adjunct. Traces of them exist to this day, in the names of places near defunct royal palaces; witness "Maze-hill" at Greenwich (near the site of the maze or labyrinth of Greenwich-palace), and "the Maze" in Southwark, once part of the garden of the princess Mary Tudor's palace. We have evidence that Edward III. (between whom and the death of Rosamond little more than a century intervened) familiarly called a structure pertaining to Woodstock palace "Rosamond's chamber," the locality of which he minutely describes in a letter preserved in the *Fœdera*, vol. iv.

p. 629. In this document he directs William de Montacute "to order various repairs at his manor of Woodstock; and that the house beyond the gate in the new wall be built again, and that same chamber, called Rosamond's chamber, to be restored as before, and crystal plates, and marble, and lead to be provided for it." Here is indisputable proof that there was a structure called Rosamond's chamber, distinct from Woodstock-palace yet belonging to its domain, being a building situated beyond the park wall. Edward III. passed the first years of his marriage principally at Woodstock, therefore he well knew the localities of the place; which will agree with the old chroniclers, if we suppose Rosamond's residence was approached by a tunnel under the park wall.

stance was about eighteen months older than the little prince Geoffrey. Henry had made most unjust seizure of Bretagne, by way of conquest; he, however, soothed the independent Bretons, by marrying their infant duchess to his son. His ambitious thirst for extension of empire was not sated by the acquisition of this dukedom; he immediately laid siege to Thoulouse, and, in the name of queen Eleanora, claimed that sovereignty of earl Raymond, who was in possession, and the ally of the king of France. A year was occupied with skirmishing and negotiation, during which time Eleanora acted as queen-regent in England.

Henry sent for his queen to Normandy in 1160; she went in great state, taking with her prince Henry and her eldest daughter, to meet their father. The occasion of her presence being required was, the marriage of Marguerite, the daughter of her former husband, Louis VII., by his second wife, with her young son Henry. Chancellor Becket went with a magnificent retinue to Paris, and brought the little bride, aged three years, to the queen at Rouen. Both bride and bridegroom were given, after their marriage, to Becket for education; and this extraordinary person inspired in the young princess an attachment to him that ended but with her life. Queen Eleanora kept her Christmas at Mans, with the king, in great state and splendour, the year of this betrothment.

After a sharp dispute, between Henry II. and Louis VII., relative to the portion of the princess Marguerite, the king of France compromised the matter by giving the city of Gisors as a portion with another infant princess of France, named Alice.¹ This child was in her third year when wedded to prince Richard, who was then seven years old. The little princess was unfortunately consigned to the king of England for education. Two marriages were thus contracted between the daughters of Louis VII. and the sons of his divorced queen,—connexions which must seem most extraordinary, when we consider that the father of the brides and the mother of the bridegrooms had been married, and were the parents of children who were sisters to both. Louis VII. gave his eldest daughter by queen Eleanora in marriage to Henry the Large, count of Champagne.

It was in this year, 1162, that king Henry's troubles began with Thomas à-Becket, who had hitherto been his favourite, his friend, and prime-minister. The contest between the king and Becket, which fills so many folio pages of modern history, must be briefly glanced at here. It was the same quarrel which had agitated England between Henry I. and Anselm; but England no longer possessed a virtuous daughter of her royal race for a queen, who, out of pity for the poor, deprived of their usual provision, mediated between these haughty

¹ Louis had two daughters of that name,—one by Eleanora, and this child by his second queen, Alice of Champagne.

spirits. The gay, luxurious daughter of the South was occupied with her pleasures, and heeded not the miseries which the king's sequestrations of benefices brought on the destitute part of the population. Becket appealed to the empress Matilda, the king's mother, who haughtily repulsed his suit. Becket was the son of a London citizen, who had followed Edgar Atheling on his crusading expedition, and was made prisoner in Syria; he obtained his liberty through the affection of a Syrian lady, an emir's daughter, who followed her lover after his departure, and succeeded in finding him in London, although she knew but two European words, "London" and "Gilbert,"—the place of abode and Christian name of her lover. The pagan maiden was baptized, by the favourite Norman name of Matilda, and from this romantic union sprang Thomas à-Becket, who was remarkable for his learning and brilliant talents, and his fine stature and beauty. The love which Gilbert Becket bore to the race and blood of Alfred, which had sent him crusading with prince Edgar, rendered him the firm partisan of his niece, the empress Matilda.

Young Becket had taken the only road to distinction open to an Anglo-Saxon: yet he was *of* the church, but not *in* it; for he was neither priest nor monk, being rather a church-lawyer than a clergyman. Henry II. had distinguished this Anglo-Saxon with peculiar favour to the indignation of his wife and mother, who warned him against feeling friendship for an Anglo-Saxon serf with the loathing that the daughters of rajahs might feel for a pariah. The see of Canterbury having remained vacant a year and a half, Henry urged his favourite to accept it, in hopes that he would connive at his plans of diverting the revenues of the church to enrich those of the crown, for this was simply the whole cause of the perpetual contest between the Anglo-Norman kings and the archbishops of Canterbury since the Conquest; but as the church supported the destitute poor, it is not difficult to decide which had the moral right. Archdeacon Becket protested that if he were once a bishop, he must uphold the rights of the church; but the king still insisted on investing him with the archbishopric. The night before his consecration, at supper, he told the king, "that this archbishopric would place an eternal barrier between their friendship." Henry would not believe it. Becket was consecrated priest one day, and was invested as archbishop of Canterbury the next. To the annoyance of the king he instantly resigned his chancellorship, and became a firm champion for the rights of his see. For seven years the contest between Becket and Henry continued, during which time we have several events to note, and to conclude the history of the empress Matilda. She was left¹ regent of Normandy by her son, which country she governed with great wisdom and kept in a peaceful state, but she never returned to England.

¹ Rog. Hov. Gervase. Newberry.

In the year 1165 king Louis VII. gave the princess Alice (his youngest daughter by queen Eleanor) in marriage to the count of Blois, and at the same time endowed him with the office of high-seneschal of France, which was the feudal right of Henry II., as count of Anjou. Henry violently resented this disposal of his office; and the empress, his mother, who foresaw the rising storm, wrote to pope Alexander III., begging him to meet her, to mediate between the angry kings. The pope obeyed the summons of the royal matron, and the kings met Matilda and the pontiff at Gisors. The differences between Becket and Henry II had then risen to a fearful height. It appears that Matilda was charged by the pope with a commission of peace-making between Becket and his royal master. Emboldened by the mandate of the pope, Becket once more referred to the empress Matilda as the mediator between the church and her son, and no more met with repulse. We have seen the disgust with which Matilda recoiled from any communication with Becket, as the son of a Saxon villein; nevertheless, this great man, by means of his eloquent epistles, was beginning to exercise the same dominion over the mind of the haughty empress that he did over every living creature with whom he communicated. Henry II., alarmed at his progress, sent to his mother a priest named John of Oxford, who was charged to inform her of many particulars derogatory to Becket's moral character,—events, probably, that happened during his gay and magnificent career as chancellor and archdeacon.

The demise of the duke of Bretagne had called Henry II. to take possession of that duchy, in the name of the infant duchess Constance and her betrothed lord, his son Geoffrey, when the news arrived of the death of the empress Matilda, which occurred September 10, 1167. The mother of Henry II. was deeply regretted in Normandy, where she was called “the lady of the English.” She governed Normandy with discretion and moderation, applying her revenues wholly to the benefit of the common weal in many public works.¹ While regent of Normandy, she applied her private revenues to building the magnificent stone bridge, of thirteen arches, over the Seine, called *le Grand Pont*. The construction of this bridge was one of the wonders of the age, being built with curved piers, to humour the rapid current of the river. The empress built and endowed three monasteries; among these was the magnificent structure of St. Ouen. She resided chiefly at the palace of Rouen, with occasional visits to the abbey of Bec.

The empress was interred with royal honours, first in the convent of Bonnes Nouvelles: her body was afterwards transferred to the abbey of Bec, before the altar of the Virgin. Her son left his critical affairs in Bretagne, to attend her funeral. He raised a stately marble tomb

¹ Ducarel's Normandy.

to her memory; upon it was the following epitaph, whose climax tends rather to advance the glory of the surviving son than the defunct mother:—

"Great born, great married, greater brought to bed,
Here Henry's daughter, wife, and mother's laid." ¹

In this grave her body remained till the year 1282, when the abbey church of Bec being rebuilt, the workmen discovered it, wrapped up in an ox-hide. The coffin was taken up, and, with great solemnity re-interred in the middle of the chancel, before the high altar. The ancient tomb was removed to the same place, and, with the attention the church of Rome ever showed to the memory of a foundress, erected over the new grave. This structure falling to decay in the seventeenth century, its place was supplied by a fine monument of brass, with a pompous inscription.² The character of this celebrated ancestress of our royal line was as much revered by the Normans as disliked by the English. Besides Henry II. she was the mother of two sons, Geoffrey and William, who both preceded her to the grave.

Queen Eleanora was resident, during these events, at the palace of Woodstock, where prince John was born, in the year 1166. Henry completed the noble hall of the palace of Rouen,³ begun by Henry I., and nearly finished by the empress Matilda. He sent for queen Eleanora from England, to bring her daughter, the princess Matilda, that she might be married to her affianced lord, Henry the Lion, duke of Saxony. The nuptial feast was celebrated in the newly-finished hall of Rouen-palace, first opened for this stately banquet, 1167. Queen Eleanora was left regent of Normandy by her royal lord; but the people, discontented at the loss of the empress Matilda, rebelled against her authority; which insurrection obliged Henry to come to the aid of his wife.⁴

Guienne and Poitou became in a state of revolt soon after.⁴ The people, who earnestly desired Eleanora, their native princess, to govern them, would not be pacified till Henry brought her, and left her at Bourdeaux with her son Richard. Henry, the heir of England, was entitled the duke of Guienne; Eleanora's favourite son, Richard, was promised the county of Poitou, subject to vassalage to his brother and father. The princess Marguerite, the young wife of prince Henry, was left in Guienne with her mother-in-law, while Henry II. and his heir proceeded to England, then convulsed with the disputes between

¹ "Ortu magna, viro major, sed maxima partu,
Hic jacet Henrici filia, sponsa, parens."

² Her remains were discovered and exhumed, for the fourth time, January 1847, when the ruins of the Benedictine church of Bec (Hellouin) were demolished. According to the *Moniteur*, a leaden coffin, containing

fragments of bones and silver lace, was found, with an inscription affirming that the chest contained the bones of the illustrious empress Matilda, &c.

³ Thierry.

⁴ Tyrrell

church and state carried on by Becket. Queen Eleanora and prince Richard remained at Bourdeaux, to the satisfaction of the people of the south, who were delighted with the presence of their reigning family, although the Norman deputies of king Henry still continued to exercise all the real power of the government.

The heart of Henry's son and heir still yearned to his old tutor, Becket,—an affection which the king beheld with jealousy. In order to wean his son from this attachment, in which the young princess Marguerite fully shared, Henry II. resolved, in imitation of the Capetian royal family, to have him crowned king in his lifetime. "Be glad, my son," said Henry II. to him, when he set the first dish on the table at the coronation banquet in Westminster-hall; "there is no prince in Europe has such a sewer² at his table!"—"No great condescension for the son of an earl to wait on the son of a king," replied young Henry, aside to the earl of Leicester. The princess Marguerite was not crowned at the same time with her husband; she remained in Aquitaine, with her mother-in-law, queen Eleanora. Her father, the king of France, was enraged at this slight offered to his daughter, and flew to arms to avenge the affront, Yet it was no fault of king Henry, who had made every preparation for the coronation of the princess, even to ordering her royal robes to be in readiness; but when Marguerite found that Becket, the guardian of her youth, was not to crown her, she perversely refused to share the coronation of her husband.

The character of Henry II., during the long strife that subsisted between him and his former friend, had changed from the calm heroism portrayed by Peter of Blois; he had given way to fits of violence, agonizing to himself and dangerous to his health. It was said, that when any tidings came of the contradiction of his will by Becket, he would tear his hair, and roll on the ground with rage, grasping handfuls of rushes in the paroxysms of his passion.³ It was soon after one of these frenzies of rage that, in 1170, he fell ill⁴ at Dromfront in Maine. he then made his will, believing his end approaching. To his son Henry he left England, Normandy, Maine, and Anjou; to Richard, the Aquitanian dominions; Geoffrey had Bretagne, in right of his wife; while John was left dependent on his brothers. From this order of affairs John obtained the nickname of Lackland, first given him by Henry himself, in jest, after his recovery.

During a fit of penitence, when he thought himself near death, Henry sought reconciliation with Becket. When, however, fresh contradictions arose between them, Henry, in one of those violent accessions of fury

¹ Rog. Hov.

² This being one of the functions of the grand seneschal of France, which Henry had to perform, as his feudal service at the coronation of a king of France, as count of Anjou,

led to his performing the same office at his son's banquet.

³ Rog. Hov.

⁴ Brompton. Gervase Rog. Hov.

described above, unfortunately demanded, before the knights who attended in his bedchamber,¹ "Whether no man loved him enough to revenge the affronts he perpetually received from an insolent priest?" On this hint, Fitz-Urse, Tracy, Britton, and Morville slaughtered Becket, before the altar in his cathedral, the last day of the year 1171.

CHAPTER II.

FROM the time of the marriage of her daughter Matilda to the Lion of Saxony, Eleanora had not visited England. The coronation of her eldest son and the murder of Becket had occurred while she resided in her native province. She had seen her favourite son Richard crowned count of Poitou, with all the ceremonies pertaining to the inauguration of her ancestors. But king Henry only meant his sons to superintend the state and pageantry of a court; he did not intend that they should exercise independent authority, and Richard's will was curbed by the faithful Norman veterans pertaining to his father. These castellans were the real governors of Guienne; an order of affairs equally disapproved of by prince Richard, queen Eleanora, and their Aquitanian subjects. The queen told her sons,² Richard and Geoffrey, that Guienne and Poitou owed no obedience to a king of England, or to his Normans: if they owed homage to any one, it was to the sovereign of France; they resolved to act as their Provençal forefathers of old, and pay no homage to a king of England.

All these fermentations were approaching a violent crisis, when Henry II., in the summer of 1173, arrived, with his son, the young king, in Guienne, to receive the long-delayed homage of count Raymond of Thoulouse. Count Raymond, although supported against Eleanora by his former enemy, Louis VII., was forced to succumb to the warlike energy of the first Plantagenet king of England. The day when the count of Thoulouse tendered his long-delayed homage to Henry II. as sovereign of Aquitaine, he took the opportunity of his position to sow mischief between Henry and his wife and sons. It was part of the duty of a feudal vassal to give his sovereign advice in time of need; and when Raymond of Thoulouse³ came to this part of his oath of homage, as he knelt before Henry II., he interpolated it with these emphatic words:—"Then I advise you, king, to beware of your wife and sons." That very night the young king, although he always slept in his father's bedroom, escaped to the protection of his father-in-law, Louis VII. Simultaneously with the flight of young Henry, his brothers, Richard and Geoffrey, decamped for Paris. Richard's griev-

¹ Fitz-Stephen calls the four who murdered the archbishop, the barons or servants of the king's bedchamber.

² Script. Rer. Franc.

³ Ibid.

ance was, that his wife, the princess Alice of France, was withheld from him; while Geoffrey insisted, as he had arrived at the mature age of sixteen, that the duchy of Bretagne, and his wife, Constance, whose dower it was, should be given to his sole control.

Henry II. has been taxed with atrocious misconduct in regard to his daughter-in-law, the young duchess of Bretagne, in addition to the crime he really committed against young Alice of France, the spouse of his son Richard. But as the authority, John of Salisbury, calls the princess of Bretagne *Alice*, instead of her real name, Constance, it is evident that the same person is meant in both instances. Rumours had been brought to Eleanora that her husband meditated a divorce; for some lady had been installed, with almost regal honours, in her apartments at Woodstock. Court scandal pointed at her daughter-in-law, the princess Alice, whose youthful charms, it was said, had captivated her father-in-law, and for that reason the damsel was detained from her affianced lord, prince Richard. Enraged at these reports, Eleanora resolved to seek the protection of the king of France; but as she was surrounded by Henry's Norman garrisons, she possessed so little power in her own domains, as to be reduced to quit them in disguise.¹ She assumed male attire, and had travelled part of her way in this dress, when Henry's Norman agents followed and seized her, before she could reach the territories of her divorced husband. They brought her back very rudely, in the disguise she had adopted, and kept her prisoner in Bordeaux till the arrival of her husband in that city.

Now commenced that long, dolorous, and mysterious incarceration, which may be considered the third era in the life of Eleanora of Aquitaine. But while on the continent, the imprisonment of queen Eleanora was not stationary; we trace her carried, with her royal husband, in a state of restraint to Barfleur, where he embarked for England. He had another prisoner in company with Eleanora; his daughter-in-law, the young Marguerite, who had contumaciously defied him, left the royal robes he had had made for her coronation unworn upon his hands, and scorned the crown he had offered to place on her brow if not consecrated by Becket. With these royal captives, Henry II. landed at Southampton, some time in July, 1173.² Henry proceeded directly to Canterbury, carrying the captive queens in his train. Here he performed the celebrated penance, so often described, at the tomb of Becket.

Scarcely had king Henry completed his penance, when tidings were brought him that his high constable had defeated prince Richard and the earl of Leicester, near Bury;³ and this news was followed by a messenger, announcing the capture, at Alnwick, of William the Lion, king of Scotland, and that the royal prisoner was approaching, with his

¹ Gervase. ² Diceto. Dr. Henry has likewise traced the progress of Henry with two queens, from the contemporary chroniclers.

³ Brompton and Rog. Hov.

legs tied beneath his horse,—the most approved method of showing contumely to a captive in the middle ages. All this manifested very clearly to the Anglo-Saxons, that St. Thomas had forgiven his royal friend, and was now exerting himself very actively in his behalf; but when, within a very few hours, intelligence came that the fleet of young king Henry, which had set sail to invade England, had been entirely demolished by a storm, public enthusiasm for the saint knew no bounds. The king went to return thanks to St. Thomas, at the shrine before which he had done penance, and the peace of the kingdom was wholly restored. Then was queen Eleanora consigned to confinement, which lasted, with but short intervals, for sixteen years. Her prison was her own royal palace at Winchester,¹ where she was guarded by her husband's great justiciary and general, Ranulph de Glanville.

The poor penitent at Godstow expired in the midst of these troubles, not cut off in her brilliant youth by queen Eleanora, but "from slow decay by pining." She was nearly forty, and was the mother of two sons, both of age. She died, practising the severest penances, in the high odour of sanctity, and may be considered the Magdalen of the middle ages. Tradition says she declared on her death-bed, that when a certain tree² she named in the convent-garden was turned to stone, they would know the time she was received into glory. She died deeply venerated by the simple-hearted nuns of Godstow. The public, finding that the queen was imprisoned on account of her restless jealousy, compared the circumstance with the death of Rosamond, and revived the old story of Henry's passion for the penitent of Godstow. From this accidental coincidence, of Eleanora's imprisonment and Rosa-

¹ Benedict Abbas, and many chronicles. Benedict was her prime minister during her long regency in the succeeding reign.

² The body of Rosamond was buried at Godstow, near Oxford, a little nunnery among the rich meadows of Evenlod.—Camden. According to the peculiar custom of the times the grave was not closed, but a sort of temporary tabernacle, called in chronicle a hearse (of which the modern hatchment is a relic), was erected over the coffin before the high altar, covered with a pall of fair white silk, tapers burnt around it, and banners with emblazonment waved over it. Thus lying in state, it awaited the time for the erection of a monument. Twenty years after, the stern moralist St. Hugh, bishop of Lincoln, in a course of visitation of convents, came to Godstow, and demanded, "Who lies there in such state under that rich hearse?" And when the simple nuns replied, "It was the corpse of their penitent

sister, Rosamond Clifford," the reformer, perhaps remembering she was the mother of his superior, the archbishop, declared "that the hearse of a harlot was not a fit spectacle for a quire of virgins to contemplate, nor was the front of God's altar a proper station for it." He then gave orders for the expulsion of the coffin into the churchyard. The sisters of Godstow were forced to obey at the time; but after the death of St. Hugh they gathered the bones of Rosamond into a perfumed bag of leather, which they enclosed in a leaden case, and, with all the pertinacity of woman's affection, deposited them in their original place of interment. The principal remnant serves for a cowhouse. A nut-tree grows out of the penitent's grave, which bears every year a profusion of nuts without kernels. King John thought proper to raise a tomb to the memory of Rosamond: it was embossed with fair brass, having an inscription about its edges, in Latin, to this effect:—

"This tomb doth here enclose
The world's most beauteous rose,—
Rose passing sweet erewhile,
Now nought but odour vile."

mond's death, the memory of the queen has been unjustly burdened with the murder of her former rival.

Henry II. seems to have indulged his eldest and his youngest son with the most ruinous fondness; he always kept them near him if possible, while prince Richard and prince Geoffrey, equally beloved by their mother, were chiefly resident with her on the continent. Prince John had entirely an English education, having for his tutor that learned ecclesiastic, allied to the Welsh royal family, well known to historians as the chronicler Giraldus Cambriensis.

Through the mediation of the king of France, his father-in-law, the young king Henry was reconciled to Henry II. for a time, and his spouse, Marguerite was restored to him. King Louis himself visited England in 1179, for the purpose of praying for the health of his son, Philip Augustus, at the shrine of St. Thomas à-Becket. Notwithstanding the singular relationship in which the kings of England and France stood to each other, as the former and present husband of the same queen, they appear to have met frequently in friendly intercourse. Henry rode all night, August 18, with his train, to meet Louis VII. at Dover, where the chroniclers relate that Henry made many curious observations on a total eclipse of the moon, which happened during his nocturnal journey,—a fact reminding us of his fondness for scientific questions, as recorded in his character by Peter of Blois.

Henry II. afterwards took his royal guest to his Winchester-palace, where he showed him his treasure-vault, and invited him to take anything he chose. Queen Eleanor was then at Winchester, but whether she met her divorced lord, is not recorded. In the course of a few months, Louis VII. died, of a cold caught at his vigils near the tomb of St. Thomas à-Becket. Such was the end of the first husband of Eleanor of Aquitaine.

To enter into a minute detail of all the rebellions and insurrections undertaken by the insurgent sons of Eleanor, during their mother's imprisonment, were an endless, and indeed an impracticable task. It must suffice to hold up a picture of the manners and temper of the people over whom she was the hereditary sovereign, and who disdained the rule of any stranger, however nearly connected with the heiress of their country. All the elements of strife were kept in a perpetual state of activity, by the combativeness of the troubadours, whose *tensons*, or war-songs, perpetually urged the sons of Eleanor to battle, when they were inclined to repose. Such, among many of inferior genius, was Bertrand de Born, viscount de Hauteforte, whom Dante has introduced with such terrific grandeur in his *Inferno*, as the mischief-maker between Henry II. and Prince John. But he began this work with Henry's eldest and best beloved son. Bertrand, and all the other troubadours, hated Henry II., whom they considered as an interloper, and

a persecutor of their rightful princess, the duchess of Aquitaine, his wife. It is said that Bertrand was in love with queen Eleanor, for he addresses many covert declarations to a "royal Eleanor" in his *chansons*, adding exultingly, that "they were not unknown to her, for she can read."¹ But there is a mistake of the mother for the daughter, since prince Richard, who was a brother troubadour, encouraged Bertrand in a passion for his beautiful sister, Eleanor;² and to the daughter of the queen of England, not to herself, these passionate declarations were addressed.

In the midst of an insurrection against his sire, to obtain an independent sovereignty, young Henry Plantagenet died, at the castle of Martel, in Guienne, in his twenty-eighth year. When he found his illness mortal, he was seized with deep remorse for his frequent rebellions against his ever-indulgent father. He sent to king Henry to implore his pardon for his transgressions. Before he expired, he had the satisfaction of receiving a ring from his sire, as a token of forgiveness. On the receipt of this pledge of affection, the penitence of the dying prince became passionate; when expiring, he caused himself to be taken out of bed, and died on sackcloth and ashes, as an atonement for his sins. The death of their heir for a short time reconciled queen Eleanor and her royal husband. Henry mourned for the loss of this son with the deep grief of David over Absalom. The contemporary chroniclers agree, that from 1183 to 1184, when the princess Matilda, with her husband, Henry, the Lion of Saxony, sought refuge in England, the captive queen was restored to her rank at the English court.³

Prince Richard, now become the heir of Henry and Eleanor, remained some time quiet, in order to see how his father would conduct himself towards him. Although he had arrived at the age of twenty-seven, and the princess to whom he was half married was twenty-three, she was still detained from him. Richard had formed at Guienne⁴ an attachment to a virtuous and beautiful princess, the daughter of a neighbouring potentate, and he was anxious that his mysterious entanglement with the princess Alice should be brought to a termination.

The continual urgency of prince Richard, in regard to the princess Alice, was met with constant evasion. Reports were renewed of the king's intention to divorce queen Eleanor; and the legate resident in England, cardinal Hugo, was consulted on the practicability of this divorce, and likewise on the possibility of obtaining a dispensation for the king's marriage with some person nearly allied to him. The consequence was, that prince Richard flew to arms, and got possession of his mother's inheritance, while queen Eleanor was again committed to restraint in Winchester-palace. Her lengthened imprisonment infuriated

¹ Count Thierry.

² The royal family considered the love of the noble troubadour as a mere poetical pas-

sion, and the young princess was married very passively to Alphonso, king of Castile.

³ Benedict Abbas.

⁴ Gervase.

her subjects in Aquitaine. The troubadours roused the national spirit in favour of their native princess by such strains as these, which were the war-songs that animated the contest maintained by Richard in the name of his mother:—"Daughter of Aquitania, fair fruitful vine! thou hast been torn from thy country, and led into a strange land. Thy harp is changed into the voice of mourning, and thy songs into sounds of lamentation. Brought up in delicacy and abundance, thou enjoyedst a royal liberty, living in the bosom of wealth, delighting thyself with the sports of thy women, with their songs, to the sound of the lute and tabor: and now thou mournest, thou weapest, thou consumest thyself with sorrow. Return, poor prisoner—return to thy cities, if thou canst: and if thou canst not, weep, and say, 'Alas! how long is my exile!' Weep, weep, and say, 'My tears are my bread, both day and night!' Where are thy guards, thy royal escort? where thy maiden train, thy councillors of state? Some of them, dragged far from thy country, have suffered ignominious death; others have been deprived of sight; others banished and wandering in divers places. Thou criest, but no one hears thee!—for the king of the North keeps thee shut up like a town that is besieged. Cry, then,—cease not to cry! Raise thy voice like a trumpet, that thy sons may hear it; for the day is approaching when thy sons shall deliver thee, and then shalt thou see again thy native land!" These expressions of tenderness for the daughter of the old national chiefs of Aquitaine are followed by a cry of malediction against the towns which, either from force or necessity, still adhered to the king of the foreign race:—"Woe to the traitors which are in Aquitaine, for the day of their chastisement is at hand! La Rochelle dreads that day. She doubles her trenches, she girds herself all round with the sea, and the noise of her great works is heard beyond the mountains. Fly before Richard, duke of Aquitaine, ye who inhabit the coast! for he shall overthrow the glorious of the land,—he shall annihilate, from the greatest to the least, all who deny him entrance into Saintonge!" The manner of Eleanora's imprisonment was as mysterious to her contemporaries and subjects as it is to her modern historians, if we may take literally the query propounded in one of her troubadour war-songs.¹ "Tell me, double eagle, tell me where wast thou when thine eaglets, flying from their paternal nest, dared to put forth their claws against the king of the North?"

For nearly two years, the Angevin subjects of Henry II. and the Aquitanian subjects of his captive queen gave battle to each other; and, from Rochelle to Bayonne, the dominions of queen Eleanora were in a state of insurrection. The contemporary chroniclers, who beheld

¹ *Tenson* quoted by M. Michelet, in his History of France. Eleanora is designated in the prophecies of Merlin as the double

eagle, on account of the double sovereignty she had possessed, as queen of France and then of England.

this contest of husband against wife, and sons against father, instead of looking upon it as the natural consequence of a divided rule in an extended empire, exercised by persons of a corrupt education, considered it as the influence of an evil destiny presiding over the race of Plantagenet, and as the punishment of some great crime.

It was whispered that queen Eleanora, when pursuing, in her early days, her guilty career as queen of France,¹ had been too intimate with Geoffrey Plantagenet, her husband's father. Then the story of Foulke the Red,² the first that took the name of Plantagenet, was revived, and the murder of his brother discussed. The wonderful tale was also remembered of the witch-countess of Anjou, Henry II.'s great-grandmother, wife to Foulke le Rechin, whose cognomen means "the quarreller." This count, having observed that his wife seldom went to church (and when she did, quitted it always at the elevation of the Host), thought proper not only to force her to mass, but made four of his esquires hold her forcibly by the mantle when she was there; when io! at the moment of consecration, the countess, untying the mantle by which she was heid, left it in the hands of the esquires, and flying through the window of the chapel, was never heard of more. A great thunderstorm happened at the moment of her departure; a dreadful smell of brimstone remained, which "no singing of the monks could allay." Her ungracious descendant, Richard Cœur de Lion, used to tell this tale with great glee to his knights at Poitou; and added, "Is it to be wondered that, having sprung from such a stock, we live on bad terms with each other? From Satan we sprang, and to Satan we must go."

Geoffrey held out Limoges, in his mother's name, with great pertinacity. Among other envoys came a Norman clerk, holding a cross in his hand, and supplicated Geoffrey not to imitate the crime of Absalom. "What!" said Geoffrey, "wouldst thou have me deprive myself of mine inheritance? It is the fate of our family that none shall love the rest. Hatred is our rightful heritage," added he, bitterly, "and none will ever succeed in depriving us of it." During a conference which prince Geoffrey soon after had with his father, in the market-place at Limoges, for the purpose of discussing peace, the Aquitanian soldiers and supporters of Geoffrey, full of rage at the sight of the monarch who kept their duchess imprisoned, broke the truce, by aiming from the castle a shower of cross-bow shafts at the person of the king, one of which came so close as to shoot his horse through the ear. The king presented the arrow to Geoffrey, saying, with tears, "Tell me, Geoffrey, what has thy unhappy father done to thee, to deserve that thou, his son, shouldst make him a mark for thine archers?"

¹ Brompton.² Script. Rer. Franc.

Geoffrey was greatly shocked at this accident, of which he declared he was wholly innocent. It was the outbreak of popular fury in his mother's subjects.

Prince Richard having obtained possession of the whole of Aquitaine, his father commanded him to surrender it to his mother, queen Eleanor, whom he had brought as far as Normandy to claim her right.¹ The moment the prince received this mandate he gave up the territory, and hastened to Normandy to welcome the queen, and congratulate her on her restoration to freedom. During the year 1186, Eleanor exercised sovereign power at Bourdeaux, and then resigned it to her son Richard, who in the meantime had made his peace with his father. Henry II. was with his queen during this period; for Benedict declares that, the following April, they sailed from Barfleur to England. Eleanor was again put under some restraint at Winchester-palace, which she quitted no more till the death of king Henry, three years afterwards.

When prince Richard and prince Geoffrey were not combating with their father's subjects, they employed themselves in making war on each other. Just before the death of Geoffrey, his brother Richard invaded his dominions in Bretagne with fire and sword. After this faction was pacified, Geoffrey went to assist at a grand tournament at Paris, where he was flung from his steed in the midst of the *mêlée*, and was trodden to death beneath the feet of the coursers. He was buried at Nôtre Dame. This was the second son queen Eleanor had lost since her imprisonment, in the very flower of his youth and strength. Like his brother Henry, this prince was remarkable for his manly beauty, and the agile grace of his martial figure. His death afflicted his mother equally with that of her first-born; for Geoffrey had been brought up a Provençal, and had shown far more resentment for his mother's imprisonment than the young king Henry. That Eleanor loved both with all a mother's passionate tenderness, we have the evidence of her own most eloquent words. In one of her letters to the pope, preserved in the collection of Peter of Blois, she says,—“The younger king and the count of Bretagne both sleep in dust, while their most wretched mother is compelled to live on, though tortured by the irremediable recollections of the dead.”

The misfortunes of prince Arthur, duke of Bretagne, began before his birth, and were strengthened by his baptism, on the 29th March, 1187. The duchess Constance brought him into the world a few months after the death of his father. Eleanor, the eldest child of Constance, had been proclaimed heiress of Bretagne, but was disinherited by the birth of her brother. “It was the pleasure of king Henry and queen Eleanor that the infant should be named Henry; but the Bretons chose to indulge their natural prejudices in favour of king Arthur, whom they claim

¹ Benedict Abbas.

as their countryman ; and as they looked forward to the boy as the possible heir of England, they insisted on giving the last descendant of the Armorican princes that favourite name. This was the first public displeasure given by Constance to the parents of her husband : their enmity increased with years.”—“ Great scandal arose after the death of Geoffrey, regarding the duchess Constance, and her brother-in-law, John ; till his marriage with Isabella of Angoulême, he was constantly ‘haunting her ;’ and on this account, it is supposed, Henry II., after the birth of her posthumous son, Arthur, forced the duchess to marry the earl of Chester, as prince John’s attentions to his sister-in-law caused considerable comment.”¹

The commission of moral wrong had involved Henry, great and powerful as he was, in a net, within whose inextricable folds he either vainly struggled, or awaited the possibility of deliverance by the death of the queen. If Eleanora had preceded him to the grave, as in the common course of nature might have been expected, he would have sued instantly for a dispensation to marry the affianced bride of his son. While the queen lived, this could not be done without an explosion of scandal which would have dishonoured him in the eyes of all Europe. Henry had only two alternatives : either to permit his heir to marry the princess Alice, or to shorten the life of the queen Eleanora by violent means. Although his principles were not sufficiently firm to resist temptations to vice, yet he was not abandoned enough to commit deliberately either atrocity. So time wore uneasily on, till prince Richard attained the age of thirty-four, and Alice that of thirty ; while the king still invented futile excuses to keep both in this miserable state of entanglement, wherein Richard could neither free himself from Alice, nor give his hand to any other bride. Yet Richard, to further his own ends, made the brother of Alice believe that he was willing to complete his engagement. “It was the wish of Henry II. to crown his son John king of England during his lifetime, and to give Richard all his dominions that lay beyond the English sea. Richard was not content ; he came to the king of France, and cried for aid, saying, ‘Sire, for God’s sake suffer me not to be disinherited thus by my sire. I am engaged to your sister Alice, who ought by right to be my wife. Help me to maintain my rights and hers.’”² The king of France, after vainly seeking for explanation of the reason why his sister was not married to her betrothed, made, with prince Richard, an appeal to arms. King Philip contrived to induce prince John to join in the rebellion. When Henry heard that this idolized child of his old age had followed the insurgent example of his brethren, he threw himself into a paroxysm of rage, and invoked the bitterest curses on his head, and that of prince Richard ; he cursed the day of his own birth ; and, after giving orders to his painter at Windsor to paint a

¹ Carte.² Bernard le Trésorier.—Guizot’s Chron.

device, of a young eaglet pecking out the eyes of an eagle, as a reproach to prince John, he set out for the continent, in an agonized state of mind.

After waging, for the first time in his life, an unsuccessful war, king Henry agreed to meet his son Richard and the king of France at Vezelai. As the king was on his progress to this congress, he fell ill at Chinon, after indulging in one of his fits of violent passion.¹ Finding that his life was departing, he caused himself to be carried before the high altar of the cathedral, where he expired in the supporting arms of Geoffrey, the youngest son of Rosamond, who was the only one of his children from whom he received filial attention in his last moments. Before he died, he spoke earnestly to him, and gave him a ring of great value; then laying his head on the bosom of Geoffrey,² his spirit departed, leaving his features still convulsed with the agony of rage which had hastened his end. When the news was brought to Richard that the crown of England had devolved upon him by the sudden death of his father, he was torn with remorse and regret. He went to meet the royal corpse at Fontevraud, the place of interment pointed out by the will of the deceased monarch. King Henry, when he was carried forth to be buried, was first apparelled in his princely robes, having a crown on his head, gloves on his hands, and shoes on his feet, wrought with gold; spurs on his heels, a ring of gold on his finger, a sceptre in his hand, his sword by his side, and his face uncovered. But this regalia was of a strange nature, for the corpse of Henry, like that of the Conqueror, had been stripped and plundered; and when those who were charged with the funeral demanded the ornaments in which Henry was to lie in state, the treasurer, as a favour, sent a ring of little value, and an old sceptre. As for the crown with which the warlike brow of Henry was encircled, it was but the gold fringe from a lady's petticoat, torn off for the occasion; and in this odd attire, the greatest monarch in the world went down to his last abode.³

Thus he was conveyed to the abbey of Fontevraud, where he lay with his face uncovered, showing, by the contraction of his features, the violent rage in which he departed. When Richard entered the abbey he shuddered, and prayed some moments before the altar, when the nose and mouth of his father began to bleed so profusely, that the monk in attendance kept incessantly wiping the blood from his face. Richard testified the most poignant remorse at this sight. He wept bitterly; and, prostrating himself, prayed earnestly, under the mingled stimulus of grief and superstition, and then rising, he departed, and looked on the face of his sire no more.⁴ Henry II. died July 6th, 1189.

The first step taken by Richard I. on his accession to the English crown was, to order his mother's release from her constrained retirement

¹ Which Brompton declares was the immediate cause of death. ² Lord Lyttelton.
³ P. Andrews. ⁴ Count Thierry from Norman Chronicles.

at Winchester-palace. From a captive, queen Eleanora in one moment became a sovereign; for the reins of the English government were placed in her hands at the time of her release. "Queen Eleanora, directly she was liberated from her restraint at Winchester, was invested with full powers as regent, which she most beneficially exercised, going in person from city to city, setting free all those confined under the Norman game-laws, which in the latter part of Henry's life were cruelly enforced. When she released prisoners, it was on condition that they prayed for the soul of her late husband." Her son had given her full power, but, to her great honour, Eleanora did not use against those who had been her gaolers or enemies. Her regency was entirely spent in acts of mercy and wisdom, and her discriminating acumen in the prisoners she liberated may be judged by the following list:—She liberated fully,—“all confined for breach of forest laws, who were accused of no further crime. All who were outlawed for the same, she invited back to their homes and families. All who had been seized by the king's arbitrary commands, and were not accused by their hundred or county, she set free. But all malefactors, accused on good and lawful evidence, were to be kept in prison, without bail.” The queen-regent next ordained that “every freeman of the whole kingdom should swear that he would bear faith to his lord, Richard, son of king Henry and queen Eleanora, for the preservation of life, limbs, and terrene honour, as his liege lord, against all living; and that he would be obedient to his laws, and assist him in the preservation of peace and justice.”

Eleanora showed so little distaste to the Winchester-palace, her late prison, that she returned thither, after her justiciary progress, to await the arrival of her son from the coast of Normandy. It appears that king Richard, when he gave commands for his mother's release, ordered her castellan, the keeper of the treasure-vault at Winchester, Ranulph de Glanville, to be thrown into a dungeon in Winchester-castle, and loaded with fetters weighing a thousand pounds.¹ Our ancient chroniclers, when labouring to reconcile the prophecies of Merlin with the events of English history, while hunting after the impossible, very often start some particulars which would otherwise have slept shrouded in the dust of the grave. Thus, speaking of the liberation of Eleanora of Aquitaine by her son, Richard I., Matthew Paris says she is designated, by Merlin's sentence, *Aquila rupti fœderis tertiâ nidificatione gaudebit*; “the destructive eagle shall rejoice in her third nestling.”—“Eleanora,” pursues Matthew, “is the eagle, for she spreads her wings over two nations, England and Aquitaine; also, by reason of her *excessive beauty*, she destroyed or injured nations. She was separated from the king of France by reason of consanguinity, and from the king of England by divorce upon suspicion, and kept in close confinement. She rejoiced in her

¹ Tyrrell, to whose most learned and indefatigable research the elucidation of many dark passages of Eleanora's life is owing.

third nestling, since Richard, her third son, honoured her with all reverence after releasing her from prison." If Matthew would imply that Henry confined Eleanora for impropriety of conduct, he is not supported by other authors.

King Richard I. landed at Portsmouth, August the 12th, 1189. Three days after, he arrived at his mother's court at Winchester, where his first care was directed to his father's treasure. After he had conferred with his mother, he ordered before him Ranulph de Glanville, who gave him so good an account of the secrets of the Winchester treasure-vault, that he set him at liberty, and ever after treated him with confidence. Either Ranulph de Glanville had behaved to the queen, when his prisoner, with all possible respect, or Eleanora was of a very magnanimous disposition, and forebore prejudicing her son against her late castellan. Glanville gave up to the king the enormous sum of nine hundred thousand pounds, besides valuable jewels. At his first seizure, only 100,000 marks were found in the treasure-vault, which, it seems, possessed some intricacies only known to Glanville.¹ The king's next care was to settle the revenue of the mother he so passionately loved, and whose wrongs he had so fiercely resented. Her dower was rendered equal to those of the queens Matilda Atheling and Matilda of Boulogne.

Richard returned to England with the full intention of immediately joining the crusade, now warmly preached throughout Christendom. In furtherance of this cherished purpose, preparations were instantly made for his early coronation, which took place on the 3rd of September, 1189, three weeks only after he reached the shores of his future kingdom. As the etiquette of the queen-mother's recent widowhood prevented her from sharing in this splendid festival, all women were forbidden to be present at its celebration. Richard issued a proclamation the day before, debarring all women² and Jews from entering the precincts of Westminster-abbey at the time of his inauguration,—a classification of persons greatly impugning the gallantry of the lion-hearted king. The Provençal alliance had produced a prodigious influx of this usurious race into England. As they enjoyed high privileges in the hereditary dominions of queen Eleanora, they supposed they were secure under her son's government. Believing money would buy a place everywhere, they flocked to the abbey, bearing a rich present; but the populace set upon them and

¹ Rog. Hov. Brompton. Tyrrell. M. Paris. The singular employment of warlike barons as justiciaries, and the combination of the offices of general and of lawyer in one man, are strange features in the Norman and Angevin domination in England. This Ranulph de Glanville is an instance; he was Henry's great general, who defeated and took prisoner William the Lion of Scotland; but he is only known to our gentlemen of the

bar as the author of '*Glanville's Institutes*,'—this steel-clad baron being the first who reduced the laws of England to a written code. To make the contrast with modern times still stronger, the great legalist died crusading, having, either to please Cœur de Lion, or to atone for his sins both as lawyer and general, taken up the cross for the purpose of battling "Mahoun and Termagaunt."

² Rog. Hov. Brompton. M. Paris.

slaughtered them, being excited to religious mania by the preaching of the crusade. The massacre of these unfortunate money-brokers was not perpetrated with the connivance of either king Richard or the queen-mother. The ringleaders were, by the king's orders, tried and put to death. Alice, the long-betrothed bride of Richard, was neither married nor crowned. On the contrary, she was committed to the same species of restraint, by the orders of the queen, in which she herself had been so long held captive. The princess Alice had been twenty-two years without leaving England; and as she was the only person on whom Eleanora retaliated any part of her wrongs, the inference must be drawn that she considered Alice as the cause of them.

Eleanora departed for Aquitaine as soon as her son had settled her English dower, and Richard embarked at Dover, for Calais, to join the crusade, taking with him but ten ships from the English ports. His troops were disembarked, and he marched across France to his mother's dominions, where he formally resigned to her the power he had exercised, during his father's lifetime, as her deputy. Richard appointed the rendezvous of the crusade at Messina, and directing his mother to meet him there, he set sail from Marseilles for Sicily; while Eleanora undertook a journey to Navarre, to claim for him the hand of Berengaria, the daughter of king Sancho.

Richard had much to effect at Messina, before he commenced the crusade. Before he struck a blow for Christendom, he was obliged to right the wrongs of his sister Joanna, queen of Sicily, the youngest daughter of Eleanora and Henry II. William the Good, through the recommendations of Peter of Blois (who had formerly been his tutor), became the husband of Joanna Plantagenet. The Sicilian ambassador granted Joanna an immense dower; and, when the aged bridegroom found that his young queen was still more beautiful and sweet-tempered than her father's chaplain, Peter, had set forth, he greatly augmented her jointure. The king of Sicily died childless, leaving his young widow immense riches in his will. King Tancred robbed her of these, and of her dower; and, to prevent her complaints, enclosed her in prison at Messina. It was this outrage Richard hastened there to redress. But the list of goods the fair widow directed her brother to claim of Tancred, could surely have only existed in a catalogue of Aladdin's household furniture:—an arm chair of solid gold;¹ footstools of gold; a table twelve feet long, with trestles of gold; besides urns and vases of the same precious metal. These reasonable demands were enforced by the arm of the mighty Richard.

Tancred compounded for dower and legacy with the enormous payment of 40,000 ounces of gold. This treasure, with the royal widow

¹ Rog. Hov. and Vinisauf; likewise Piers of Langtoft, who mentions many other curious articles

herself, were consigned to Richard forthwith. Thus was a companion provided for Richard's expected bride, the elegant and refined Berengaria, who, under the conduct of Eleanora of Aquitaine, was daily expected. Richard was so well pleased with the restoration of his sister and her treasures, that he asked Tancred's daughter in marriage for *his* then acknowledged heir, Arthur of Bretagne.

Eleanora arrived in Messina, bringing with her Berengaria; she tarried but four days in the company of her daughter Joanna, and sailed for Rome; her errand was to settle a dispute which had arisen between king Richard and Geoffrey, the son of Rosamond, whom the king had appointed archbishop of York, according to his father's dying request, but required an enormous sum from the revenues of the archbishopric. Queen Eleanora returned to England in 1190. Thus did Eleanora pass from captivity to the high authority of queen-regent. In every emergency during the king's absence, she was the guiding power. She placed the government of Aquitaine in the hands of her grandson, Otho of Saxony, and "governed England with great wisdom and popularity."¹ Queen Eleanora, when thus arduously engaged in watching over the interests of her best-beloved son, was approaching her seventieth year,—an age when rest is imperiously demanded by the human frame. But years of toil still remained before her; and these years were laden with sorrows, which drew from her that pathetic alteration of the regal style, on the occasion of the captivity of Cœur de Lion, where she declares herself—"Eleanora, by the wrath of God queen of England." Other traits of the subdued spirit of Eleanora are to be discovered; for the extreme mobility of her spirits diffused itself even over the cold records of state. When swayed by calmer feelings, she styles herself—"Ælienora, by the grace of God *humbly* queen of England."² Eleanora of Aquitaine is among the very few women who have atoned for an ill-spent youth by a wise and benevolent old age. As a sovereign, she ranks among the greatest of female rulers.³

¹ Peter of Blois' Epistles.

² Rymer.

³ To prevent repetition, the rest of her life is comprehended in the memoirs of her daughters-in-law, Berengaria and Isabella.

BERENGARIA OF NAVARRE, QUEEN OF RICHARD CŒUR DE LION.

BERENGARIA, the beautiful daughter of Sancho the Wise, king of Navarre, was first seen by Richard Cœur de Lion, when count of Poitou,¹ at a grand tournament given by her gallant brother at Pampeluna, her native city. Richard was then captivated by the beauty of Berengaria, but his engagement to the fair and frail Alice of France prevented him from offering her his hand. Berengaria may be considered a Provençal princess by language and education, though she was Spanish by descent. Her mighty sire, Sancho the Wise, had for his immediate ancestor Sancho the Great, called the emperor of all Spain, although he inherited but the little realm of Navarre. He married Beatrice, daughter of Alphonso, king of Castile, by whom he had three children, Berengaria, Blanche, and one son, Sancho, surnamed "the Strong,"—a hero celebrated by the Provençal poets for his gallant exploits against the Moors; for he defeated the Miramolin, and broke with his battle-axe the chains that guarded the camp of the infidel, which chains were afterwards transferred to the armorial bearings of Navarre.

An ardent friendship had subsisted from boyhood between Richard and Sancho the Strong, the gallant brother of Berengaria. A similarity of pursuits strengthened the intimacy of Richard with the royal family of Navarre. The father and brother of Berengaria were celebrated for their skill and judgment in Provençal poetry. Berengaria was herself a learned princess; and Richard, who was not only a troubadour poet, but as acting sovereign of Aquitaine, was the prince and judge of all troubadours, became naturally drawn into close bonds of amity with a family, whose tastes and pursuits resembled his own.

No one can marvel that the love of the ardent Richard should be strengthened when he met the beautiful, the cultivated, and virtuous Berengaria, in the familiar intercourse which sprang from his friendship with her gallant brother;² but a secret engagement, replete with

¹ See the preceding biography.

² Richard and his nephew, the troubadour count of Champagne, who afterwards married Blanche, the younger sister of Berengaria

were, with Sancho the Strong, on the most intimate terms of friendship, being *fratres jurati*, or sworn brothers, according to a custom of the chivalric ages.

"hope deferred," was the fate of Richard the Lion-hearted and the fair flower of Navarre, before the death of his father, in 1189, placed him at liberty to demand her hand. Richard had another motive for his extreme desire for this alliance; he considered that his beloved mother, queen Eleanora, was deeply indebted to king Sancho, the father of Berengaria, because he had pleaded her cause with Henry II., and obtained some amelioration of her imprisonment.

Soon after Richard ascended the English throne, he sent his mother, queen Eleanora, to the court of her friend, Sancho the Wise, to demand the princess Berengaria in marriage; "for," says Vinesauf, "he had long loved the elegant girl." Sancho the Wise not only received the proposition with joy, but entrusted Berengaria to the care of queen Eleanora. The royal ladies travelled from the court of Navarre together, across Italy to Naples, where they found the ships belonging to Eleanora had arrived in the bay. But etiquette forbade Berengaria to approach her lover till he was free from the claims of Alice; and the dissolution of that engagement was tedious; therefore she sojourned with queen Eleanora at Brindisi, in the spring of 1191, waiting the message from king Richard, announcing that he was free to receive her hand.

It was at Messina that the question of the engagement between the princess Alice and the king of England was debated with Philip Augustus, her brother; and more than once, the potentates assembled for the crusade expected that the forces of France and England would be called into action, to decide the right of king Richard to give his hand to any other lady than the sister of the king of France. Piers of Langtoft recapitulates these events,

"Then spake king Philip, and in grief said,
'My sister Alice is now forsaken,
Since one, of more riches, of Navarre hast thou taken.'
When king Richard understood what king Philip had sworn,
Before clergy he stood, and proved on that morn,
That Alice to his father a child had borne,
Which his sire king Henry held for his own:
A maiden child it was, and now dead it is.
'This was a great trespass, and against mine own witte
If I Alice take.'"

King Philip contended that Richard held in hand his sister's dower, the good city of Gisors. Upon this the king of England brought the matter to a conclusion in these words:

"Now," said king Richard, that menace may not be,
For thou shalt have ward of Gisors thy citée,
And treasure ilk a deal.'
Richard yielded him his right, his treasure and his town,
Before witness at sight, (of clerk and eke baron).
His sister he might marry, wherever God might like.
And, to make certainty, Richard a quitance took."

The French contemporary chroniclers, who are exceedingly indignant at the repudiation of their princess, attribute it solely to Eleanora's influence. When Eleanora arrived at Messina, Richard was absent; but queen Joanna was there, preparing herself to embark next day. The queen of England could not tarry from her regency, but said to Joanna,—“Fair daughter, take this damsel for me to the king your brother, and tell him I command him to espouse her speedily.”¹ Piers of Langtoft resumes:—

“She beleft Berengere,
At Richard's costage.
Queen Joanne held her dear;
They lived as doves in cage.”

Eleanora commenced her journey to Italy, where she had a conference with pope Celestine, at the castle of Radulphi.² The aged queen went to England as regent very soon after the marriage of her son with Berengaria was made public, for she there claimed her share of queen-gold in the fines or aid contributed by the feudal tenants of the crown on account of that marriage. The monks of Bury contrived to dispense with their share of the payment; for, pleading scarcity of coin, they sent in, to make up their aid to the king's marriage, a cup of gold, worth one hundred marks, which Henry II. had given to the shrine of Edmund, martyr and king. The queen-regent Eleanora recognised the cup, and taking it from the heap of treasure sent into the royal treasury, she said, “I claim this as my portion, being my queen-gold. It was given to the monks of Bury by Henry, my late lord; and I give it back to them, on condition that they pray for me and for his soul with increased fervency.”³

At the arrival of Berengaria in Sicily, king Richard and king Tancred were absent on a pilgrimage to the shrine of St. Agatha, at Catania, where Tancred must have devoutly prayed for the riddance of his guest. Richard here presented the Sicilian king with a famous sword, pretending it was Caliburn, the brand of king Arthur, lately found at Glastonbury during his father's antiquarian researches for the tomb of that king. Richard then embarked in his favourite galley, named by him ‘Trenc-the-mere.’⁴ He had previously, in honour of his betrothment, instituted an order of twenty-four knights, who pledged themselves in a fraternity with the king to scale the walls of Acre; and that they might be known in the storming of that city, the king appointed them to wear a blue band of leather on the left leg, from which they were called “knights of the Blue Thong.”⁵

¹ Bernard le Trésorier.

² Her letter to pope Celestine.—Epistles of Peter of Blois, cxlvi.

³ Chronicle of Josceline of Brakelonde.

⁴ Literally meaning *cut-the-sea*.

⁵ Rog. Hov. Sir Egerton Bridges names Roger St. John as one of these early knights of the Garter. St. George was the tutelary saint of Aquitaine; his name was the war-cry of the dukedom.

The season of Lent prevented the immediate marriage of Richard and his betrothed; and, as etiquette did not permit the unwedded maiden Berengaria to embark in the 'Trenc-the-mere' under the immediate protection of her lover, she sailed, in company with queen Joanna, in one of the strongest ships, under the care of a brave knight, called Stephen de Turnham. After these arrangements, Richard led the van of the fleet in 'Trenc-the-mere,' bearing a huge lantern at her poop to rally the fleet in the darkness of night. Thus, with a hundred and fifty ships and fifty galleys, and accompanied by his bride and his sister, did lion-hearted Richard hoist sail for Palestine, where Philip Augustus had already indolently commenced the siege of Acre.

" Syrian virgins wail and weep,
English Richard ploughs the deep."

Piers of Langtoft thus traces the voyage of the English king and queen :—

" Till king Richard be forward he may have no rest,
Acres then is his tryste upon Saracen fiends,
To venge Jesu Christ hitherward he wends.
The king's sister Joanne, and lady Berengare,
Foremost salled of ilk one; next them his chancellor,
Roger Mauchel. The chancellor so hight,
His tide fell not well; a tempest on him light,
His ship was down borne, himself there to die;
The king's seal was lost, with other gallies tway.
Lady Joanna she the Lord Jesu besought,
In Cyprus she might be to haven quickly brought:
The maiden Berengare, she was sore afright,
That neither far nor near, her king rode in sight."

Queen Joanna was alarmed for herself, but the maiden Berengaria only thought of Richard's safety. Bernard, the treasurer, does not allow that Joanna was quite so much frightened: "Queen Joanna's galley sheltered in the harbour of Limoussa, when Isaac, the lord of Cyprus, sent two boats, and demanded if the queen would land? She declined the offer, saying, 'All she wanted was, to know whether the king of England had passed?' They replied, 'They did not know.' At that juncture Isaac approached with a great power; upon which the chevaliers who guarded the royal ladies got the galley in order, to be rowed out of the harbour at the first indication of hostility. Meantime Isaac, who saw Berengaria on board, demanded 'What damsel that was with them?' They declared, 'She was the sister of the king of Navarre, whom the king of England's mother had brought for him to espouse. Isaac seemed so angry at this intelligence, that Stephen de Turnham gave signal to heave up the anchor, and the queen's galley rowed with all speed into the offing."¹

When the gale had somewhat abated, king Richard, after mustering

¹ Guizot's edition of Bernard le Trésorier

his navy, found not only that the ship was missing wherein were drowned both the chancellor of England and the great seal, but the galley that bore the precious freight of his sister and his bride. He immediately sailed from a friendly Cretan harbour in search of his lost ships. When arrived off Cyprus, he entered the bay of Famagusta, and beheld the galley that contained his princesses labouring heavily and tossing in the offing. He became infuriated with the thought that some wrong had been offered to them, and leaped, armed as he was, into the first boat that could be prepared. His anger increased on learning that the queen's galley had put into the harbour in the storm, but had been driven inhospitably from its shelter.¹

At the time of Richard's landing, Isaac and all his islanders were busily employed in plundering the wreck of the chancellor's ship and two English transports, then stranded on the Cypriot shore. As this self-styled emperor, though in behaviour worse than a pagan, professed to be a Christian, Richard, at his first landing, sent him a civil message, suggesting the propriety of leaving off plundering his wrecks. To this Isaac returned an impertinent answer, saying, "That whatever goods the sea threw on his island he should take, without asking leave of any one."

"They shall be bought full dear, by Jesu, heaven's king."

With this saying, Richard, battle-axe in hand, led his crusaders so boldly to the rescue, that the mock emperor and his Cypriots scampered into Limoussa, the capital of the island, much faster than they had left it. Freed from the presence of the inhospitable despot, king Richard made signals for Joanna's galley to enter the harbour. Berengaria, half dead with fatigue and terror, was welcomed on shore by the conquering king, when, says the chronicler, "there was joy and love enow."

As soon as Isaac Comnenus was safe behind the walls of his citadel, he sent a message to request a conference with king Richard, who expected that he had a little lowered the despot's pride; but when they met, Isaac was so full of vapouring and boasting, that he elicited from his illustrious auditor an aside in English; and as Cœur de Lion then uttered the only words in our language he ever was known to speak, it is well they have been recorded by chronicle:—"Ha, de debil!" exclaimed king Richard; "he speke like a fole Breton."²

As Isaac and Richard could not come to any terms of pacification, the despot retreated to a stronghold in a neighbouring mountain: while Richard, after making a speech to the Londoners (we hope in more choice English than the above), instigating them to the storm of the

¹ Vinesauf and Piers Langtoft.

² Piers of Langtoft. This speech implied no offence to the English, but was meant as a reproach to the Bretons, who are to this day proverbial in France for their wilfulness

Besides, Richard was bitter against the Bretons, who deprived him of the society of his then acknowledged heir, Arthur, their young duke.—Vinesauf.

Cypriot capital with promise of plunder, led them on to the attack, axe in hand. The Londoners easily captured Limoussa.

Directly the coast was clear of Isaac and his myrmidons, magnificent preparations were made at Limoussa for the nuptials and coronation of king Richard and Berengaria. We are able to describe the appearance made by these royal personages at this high solemnity. King Richard's costume, we may suppose, varied little from that in which he gave audience to the despot Isaac a day after the marriage had taken place. "A satin tunic of rose-colour was belted round his waist; his mantle was of striped silver tissue, brocaded with silver half-moons; his sword, of fine Damascus steel, had a hilt of gold, and a silver-scaled sheath: on his head, he wore a scarlet bonnet, brocaded in gold with figures of animals. He bore a truncheon in his hand. His Spanish steed was led before him, saddled and bitted with gold, and the saddle was inlaid with precious stones; two little golden lions were fixed on it, in the place of a crupper: they were figured with their paws raised, in act to strike each other. In this attire," Vinisauf adds, "Richard, who had yellow curls, a bright complexion, and a figure like Mars himself, appeared a perfect model of military and manly grace."

The effigy of queen Berengaria, at Espan, certainly presents her as a bride,—a circumstance which is ascertained by the flowing tresses, royal matrons always wearing their hair covered, or else closely braided. Her hair is parted, *à la vierge*, on the brow: a transparent veil, pendant on each side like the Spanish mantillas, covers the rich tresses at their length: the veil is confined by a regal diadem, of peculiar splendour, studded with several bands of gems, and surmounted by fleurs-de-lis, to which so much foliage is added as to give it the appearance of a double crown,—perhaps because she was crowned queen of Cyprus as well as of England.¹

At his marriage, king Richard proclaimed a grand feast.

"To Limoussa the lady was led, his feast the king did cry,
Berengere will be wed, and sojourn thereby,
The third day of the feast, bishop Bernard of Bayonne
Renewed oft the geste, to the queen he gave the crown."

"And there, in the joyous month of May, 1191," says an ancient writer, "in the flourishing and spacious isle of Cyprus, celebrated as the very abode of the goddess of love, did king Richard solemnly take to wife his beloved lady Berengaria." By the consent of the Cypriots, wearied of Isaac's tyranny, and by the advice of the allied crusaders who came to assist at his nuptials, Richard was crowned king of Cyprus, and his bride, queen of England and Cyprus.

Soon after the fair heiress of Cyprus, daughter to the despot Isaac,

¹ See portrait in the 8vo. edition, vol. I.

came and threw herself at the feet of Richard. "Lord king," she said, "have mercy on me!" when the king courteously put forth his hand, raised her from the ground, and sent her to his wife and his sister Joanna. As many historical scandals are afloat respecting the Cypriot princess, implying that Richard, captivated by the distressed beauty, from that moment forsook his queen, it is well to observe the words of an eye-witness,¹ who declares "that Richard sent the lady directly to his queen, from whom she never parted till after their return to Europe." The surrender of the Cypriot princess was followed by the capture of her father, whom the king of England bound in silver chains, richly gilt, and presented to queen Berengaria as her captive.²

After the conclusion of the nuptials and coronation of Berengaria, her royal bridegroom once more hoisted his flag on his good galley 'Trenc-the-mere,' and set sail, in beautiful summer weather, for Palestine. Berengaria and her sister-in-law again embarked under the protection of sir Stephen de Turnham, such escort being safer than companionship with the warlike Richard.³ Their galley made the port of Acre before the 'Trenc-the-mere.' "On their arrival at Acre, though," says Bernard le Trésorier, "it was very grievous to the king of France to know that Richard was married to any other than his sister: yet he received Berengaria with great courtesy, taking her in his arms, and lifting her on shore himself from the boat to the beach." Richard appeared before Acre on the long bright day of St. Barnabas, when the whole allied army, elated by the naval victory he had won by the way, marched to the beach to welcome their champion. "The earth shook with footsteps of the Christians, and the sound of their shouts."

When Acre was taken, Richard established his queen and sister safely there. They remained at Acre with the Cypriot princess during the whole of the Syrian campaign, under the care of Richard's castellans, Bertrand de Verdun and Stephen de Munchenis. To the left of the mosque at Acre are the ruins of a palace, called to this day "king Richard's palace:" this was doubtless the abode of Berengaria. There is not a more pleasant spot in history than the tender friendship of Berengaria and Joanna, who formed an attachment amidst the perils and terrors of storm and siege, ending only with their lives. How

¹ The Provençal metrical historian, who is the guide of Piers of Langtoft.

² Isaac afterwards entered among the Templars, and died in their order. Richard presented his island to Guy de Lusignan, his friend, as a compensation for the loss of Jerusalem.

³ The king's arrival was delayed by a naval battle with a rich Saracen argosy, which he captured with great plunder. The manœuvres of the 'Trenc-the-mere' are thus described by the Provençal; likewise the casting of the Greek fire:—

"The king's own galley, he called it 'Trenc-the-mere';
It was first under weigh, and came that ship full near,
Who threw her buckets out. The galley to her drew,
The king stood full stout, and many of them slew,
Though wild fire they cast."

quaintly, yet expressively, is their gentle and feminine love for each other marked by the sweet simplicity of the words,—

“ They held each other dear,
And lived as doves in cage !”

noting, at the same time, the harem-like seclusion in which the royal ladies dwelt while sharing the crusade campaign. It was from the citadel of Acre that Richard tore down the banner of Leopold, archduke of Austria, who, by alliance with the family of the Comneni, was related to the Cypriot lady. Her captivity was the real matter of dispute, as the scandals which connected her name with that of king Richard seemed to touch the honour of the house of Austria.

We have little space to dwell on Richard's deeds of romantic valour in Palestine, on the capture of Ascalon, or the battle of Jaffa, before which city was killed Richard's yellow steed, whose feats in battle are nearly as much celebrated by the troubadours as those of his master. After the death of Flavelle,¹ Richard was obliged to fight on foot. The courteous Saladin, who saw him thus battling, was shocked that so accomplished a cavalier should be dismounted, and sent him, as a present, a magnificent Arab charger. Richard had the precaution to order one of his knights to mount first. The headstrong beast no sooner found a stranger on his back, than he took the bit between his teeth, and, refusing all control, galloped back to his own quarters, carrying the Christian knight into the midst of Saladin's camp. If king Richard had ridden the wilful animal, he would, in like manner, have been at the mercy of the Saracens. Saladin was so much ashamed of the misbehaviour of his present, that he could scarcely look up while he apologized to the Christian knight, for it appeared as if he had laid a trap for the liberty of king Richard. He sent back the knight mounted on a more manageable steed, on which Richard rode to the end of the campaign.²

King Richard, during his Syrian campaign, was once within sight of Jerusalem, but never took it. While his queen, Berengaria, sojourned at Acre, an incident befell him, of which De Joinville, the companion in arms of St. Louis, has thus preserved the memory:—“In those times, when Hugh, duke of Burgundy, and king Richard of England were abiding at Acre, they received intelligence that they might take Jerusalem if they chose, for its garrison had gone to the assistance of Damascus. They accordingly marched towards the holy city, the English king's battalions leading the way, while Burgundy's force brought up the rear. But when Richard drew near to Jerusalem, intelligence was brought him that the duke of Burgundy had turned back with his division, out of pure envy, that it might not be said that the king of England had taken Jeru-

¹ Meaning *yellow-coloured*. Vinesauf declares this peerless charger was taken among the spoils of Cyprus, with another named *Lyard*. The cavaliers in ancient times named

their steeds from their colour, as *Bayard*, bay-colour; *Lyard*, grey; *Ferraunt*, black as iron; *Flavel*, yellow, or very light sorrel.

² Chronicle of Bernard le Trésorier

salem. As these tidings were being discussed, one of the English knights cried out,—‘Sire, sire! only come hither, and I will show you Jerusalem. But the king, throwing down his weapons, said, with tears in his eyes and hands uplifted to heaven,¹—‘Ah, Lord God! I pray thee that I may never see thy holy city Jerusalem, since things thus happen and since I cannot deliver it from the hands of thine enemies!’ Richard could do nothing more than return to his queen and sister at Acre.

“You must know that this king Richard performed such deeds of prowess when he was in the Holy Land, that the Saracens, on seeing their horses frightened at a shadow or a bush, cried out to them, ‘What! dost think Melec-Ric is there?’ In like manner, when the children of Turks or Saracens cried, their mothers said to them, ‘Hush, hush! or I will give you to king Richard;’ and from the terror of these words the babes were instantly quiet.”² The final truce between Richard and Saladin was concluded in a fair flowery meadow near Mount Tabor, where Richard was so much charmed with the gallant bearing of the “prince of Miscreants,” as Saladin is civilly termed in the crusading treaties, that he declared he would rather be the friend of that brave and honest pagan, than the ally of the crafty Philip or the brutal Leopold. It is a tradition, often cited in modern romance, but without historical foundation, that Richard offered the hand of his sister, queen Joanna, to Saladin’s brother, Melec Adhel.

The autumn of 1192 had commenced when king Richard concluded his peace with Saladin, and prepared to return, covered with fruitless glory, to his native dominions. A mysterious estrangement had, at this time, taken place between him and Berengaria; yet the chroniclers do not mention that any rival had supplanted the queen, but merely that accidents of war had divided him from her company. As for the Cypriot princess, if he were estranged from his queen, he must likewise have been separated from the fair captive, since she always remained with Berengaria. The king bade farewell to his queen and sister, and saw them embark the very evening of his own departure. The queens, accompanied by the Cypriot princess, sailed from Acre, under the care of Stephen de Turnham, September the 29th. Richard meant to return by a different route across Europe. He travelled in the disguise of a Templar, and embarked in a ship belonging to the master of the Temple. This vessel was wrecked off the coast of Istria, which forced Richard to proceed homewards through the domains of his enemy, Leopold of Austria. To his ignorance of geography is attributed his near approach to Leopold’s capital. After several narrow escapes, a page, sent by Richard to purchase provisions at a village

¹ See Dean Stanley in his *Sinai and Palestine*.
by Dryden :—

² Joinville’s words are thus paraphrased

“No more Sebastian’s formidable name
Is longer used to still the crying babe.”

near Vienna, was recognised by an officer who had made the late crusade with Leopold. The boy was seized, and, after enduring cruel torments, he confessed where he had left his master.

When Leopold received certain intelligence where Richard harboured, the inn was searched, but not a soul found there who bore any appearance of a king. "No," said the host, "there is no one here like him whom you seek, without he be the Templar in the kitchen, now turning the fowls which are roasting for dinner." The officers of Leopold took the hint and went into the kitchen, where, in fact, was seated a Templar very busy turning the spit. The Austrian chevalier, who had served in the crusade, knew him, and said quickly, "There he is: seize him!" Cœur de Lion started from the spit, and did battle for his liberty right valiantly, but was overborne by numbers.¹ The revengeful Leopold immediately imprisoned his gallant enemy, and immured him so closely in a Styrian castle called Tenebreuse, that for months no one knew whether the lion-hearted king was alive or dead. Richard, whose heroic name was the theme of admiration in Europe, and the burden of every song, seemed to have vanished from the face of the earth.

Better fortune attended the vessel that bore the fair freight of the three royal ladies. Stephen de Turnham's galley arrived without accident at Naples, where Berengaria, Joanna, and the Cypriot princess landed safely, and, under the care of sir Stephen, journeyed to Rome. The Provençal traditions declare, that here Berengaria first took the alarm that some disaster had happened to her lord, from seeing a belt of jewels offered for sale which she knew had been on his person when she parted from him. At Rome she likewise heard some vague reports of his shipwreck, and of the enmity of the emperor Henry VI. Berengaria was detained at Rome, with the princesses her companions, by her fear of the emperor, for upwards of half a year. At length the pope, moved by her distress and earnest entreaties, sent them, under the care of messire Mellar, one of the cardinals, to Pisa, whence they proceeded to Genoa, where they took shipping to Marseilles. "At Marseilles, Berengaria was met by her friend and kinsman, the king of Arragon, who showed the royal ladies every mark of reverence, gave them safe-conduct through his Provençal domains, and sent them on, under the escort of the count de Sancto Egidio." This Egidio is doubtless the crusader Raymond count St. Gilles, who, travelling from Rome with a strong escort, offered his protection to the distressed queens of England and Sicily; and though his father, the count of Thoulouse, had, during Richard's crusade, invaded Guienne, and drawn on himself a severe chastisement from Berengaria's faithful brother, Sancho the Strong, yet the young count so well acquitted himself of his charge, that he won the affections of the fair

¹ Translated from Bernard le Trésorier.—Gulzot's *Chronicles*.

widow, queen Joanna, on the journey. The attachment of these lovers healed the enmity that had long subsisted between the house of Aquitaine and that of the counts of Thoulouse, on account of the superior claims of queen Eleanora on that great fief. When Eleanora discovered their attachment, she conciliated his father by giving up her rights to her daughter, and Berengaria had the satisfaction of seeing her two friends united after she arrived at Poitou.

Now queen Berengaria is left safely in her own dominions, it is time to return to her unfortunate lord, who seems to have been destined, by the malice of Leopold, to a life-long incarceration. The royal prisoner almost despaired of liberty when he wrote that pathetic passage in his well-known Provençal *tenson*, saying, "Now know I for a certainty that there exists 'or me neither friend nor parent; or, for the lack of gold and silver, I should not so long remain a prisoner." He scarcely did justice to his affectionate mother, who never ceased exerting herself for his release. Without giving any credence to the ballad story of king Richard and the lion's heart, which seems to have arisen from a metaphorical epithet of the troubadour Peyrols,¹ and is not even alluded to by the most imaginative of contemporary chroniclers, it really appears that Richard was ill-treated during his German captivity. Matthew Paris declares he was thrown into a dungeon from whence no other man ever escaped with life, and was loaded with irons; yet his countenance was ever serene, and his conversation pleasant and facetious with the crowds of armed guards, who were stationed at his dungeon-door day and night. It was a long time before Richard's friends could with any certainty make out his locality. He was utterly lost for some months. Blondel, a troubadour knight and poet, who had been shipwrecked with him on the coast of Istria, and who had sought him through the cities of southern Germany, sang, beneath the tower Tenebreuse, in which he was confined, a *tenson* which Richard and he had composed together. Scarcely had he finished the first stanza,² when Richard replied with the second. Blondel directly went to queen Eleanora, and gave her tidings of the existence of her son, and she took measures for his release.

The letters which Eleanora of Aquitaine addressed to pope Celestine on the subject of her son's captivity, were penned by the royal secretary,

¹ In the beautiful crusade *sirvente* extant by Peyrols, he calls the king "lion-hearted Richard." Peyrols was his fellow-soldier.—Sismondi. The earliest chronicler who mentions the lion-legend is Rastall, the brother-in-law of Sir Thomas More, who had no better means of knowing the truth than we have. Here are his quaint sayings on the subject: "It is said that a lyon was put to king Richard, being in prison, to have devoured him; and when the lyon was gaping, he put his arm in his mouth and pulled the

lyon by the heart so hard, that he slew the lyon, and therefore is called Cœur de Lyon, while others say he is called Cœur de Lyon because of his boldness and hardy stomach."

² Blondel's *tenson* is not preserved, but the poem Richard composed is still in the Bibliothèque Royal. There is no just reason for doubting this Provençal tradition of Blondel's agency in the discovery of Richard. Crescimbeni and most foreign historians authenticate it. In fact, it is consistent with the manners and customs of the era.

Peter of Blois.¹ Whether the composition emanated from Peter, or from his royal lady, is another question. There are many passages alluding with passionate penitence to her own former criminality, which no courtier dared to have indited; on the other hand, the numerous scriptural narratives indicate the ecclesiastic, while a tincture of pedantry, to say nothing of punning, speaks strongly of the professional scribe. The letters are written in Latin, but that language presented few difficulties to Eleanor, who could compose in Provençal, a dialect far more Latinized than French: likewise, she had been accustomed to the daily service of the church. The tenour of the epistles, the strain of self-condemnation, and the agonized maternity that runs through them, give the idea that they were written from her lips, or transcribed from passages which she had noted down. What scribe, for instance, would have presumed thus to express himself?—"O Mother of mercy! look upon a wretched mother. If thy son, the fount of mercy, avenges the sins of the mother on the son, let him launch his vengeance on her who has sinned; let him punish me, the guilty, and not let his wrath diverge on my unoffending son. Me, miserable yet unpitied as I am! why have I, the queen of two kingdoms, survived to endure the wretchedness of calamitous old age? The young king and the count of Bretagne sleep in the dust, while their hapless mother lives on, tortured with the remembrance of the dead. Two sons were left for my consolation, but now they only survive for my sorrow, condemned and miserable wretch that I am! Richard, the king, is in chains, while John wastes and devastates his captive brother's realm with fire and sword. The Lord's hand is heavy upon me: truly his anger fights against me when my sons strive together, if that may be called a strife where one person languishes in prison, and his opponent, oh, grief of griefs! lawlessly usurps the unfortunate one's dominions."

The queen-mother here alludes to the strife raised by prince John. He had obtained his brother's leave to abide in England, on condition that he submitted to the government established there. Queen Eleanor had intended to fix her residence at Rouen, as a central situation between her own dominions and those of king Richard. But the confused state of affairs in England summoned her thither, February 11, 1192. She found John in open rebellion; for, stimulated by messages from Philip Augustus, offering him all Richard's continental provinces, and the hand of Alice, rejected by Richard, he aimed at nothing less than the English crown. The arrival of his mother curbed his turbulence: she told him to touch his brother's rights under peril of her curse; she forbade his disgraceful intention of allying himself with Alice; and, to render such mischievous project impossible, she left that princess in close confinement at Rouen, instead of delivering her to Philip Augustus, as king Richard

¹ Letters of Peter of Blois, edited by Du Chesne.—Bib. du Roi, Paris.

had agreed,—so little truth is there in the common assertion that the worthless character of John might be attributed to the encouragement his vices received from his mother; but it was the doting affection of Henry II. for his youngest son that had this effect, as he was the child of his old age, and constantly near him, while the queen was kept in confinement at a distance from her family.

To proceed with Eleanora's letters. Her agonizing exclamations and self-reproaches are diversified by the scribe Peter with interpolations from Job and Jeremiah, and the penitential Psalms; yet an earnest vein of personality runs through the epistle, which is in many passages imbued with historical truth. Eleanora, when meditating on a journey to visit, or rather to search for, the prison of her son, thus expresses herself:—"If I leave my son's dominions, invaded as they are on every side with enemies, they will, on my departure, lose all counsel and solace: if I remain, I shall not behold my son, whose face I long to see. There will be none to labour for his redemption, and, what I fear the most, he will be goaded for an exorbitant ransom; and unused as his generous youth is to such terrible calamities, he will not survive all he has to endure."¹ This remarkable letter, then, seems to enter into a strain of reproach against the pope, which has caused some surprise to those who are not imbued with the peculiar spirit of that age; but the object of Eleanora is clearly to excite the pope into asserting his spiritual power against the usurpations of the emperor and the house of Austria.

Eleanora invokes the thunders of the German pope Celestine against the German encroacher, and strives to pique him into becoming the advocate of her son:—"Yet the prince of the apostles still fills the apostolic chair, and his judgment-seat is a place of resort; wherefore it remains that you, O holy father! draw against these injurious ones the sword of Peter, which is for this purpose set over people and kingdoms; for the cross of Christ excels the eagles of Cæsar, the sword of Peter the weapon of Constantine, the apostolic see is above the imperial power.*

..... Wherefore, then, do you leave my son in bonds, delaying negligently? or rather, is it that you dare not free him? Woe for us when the shepherd dreads the wolf! leaving not only the lambs, but the elect leader³ of the flock in the bloody fangs of the beast of prey. If my son were prosperous, they would hasten at his summons, because they would expect bountiful largess from his generosity and the great revenues of his dominions. Is this the promise you made me at the castle of Radulfi,⁴ with such protestations of aid and kindness? What availed it to feed my simplicity with mere words?"

¹ Letters of Peter of Blois, edited by Du Chesne.—Bib. du Roi, Paris.

² Ibid. These are all axioms and sayings of the Guelphic party.

³ Richard, she thus reminds pope Celestine, was elected head of the crusade.

⁴ It will be remembered that Eleanora, on her voyage home from Messina, visited the pope, to adjust some disputes concerning the archbishopric of York.

The passionate penitence of Eleanora broke forth in the following exclamations, which, it will be allowed, were no flowers of her scribe's rhetoric :—" Oh, Lord ! to thee are the eyes of thy servant lifted up,—to thee : thou lookest on my grief. Lord of lords, and king of kings ! consider the cause of thine Anointed ; assert the empire of thine own Son, and at the same time save the son of thine handmaid. Visit not on him the crimes of his father, or the iniquities of his mother !"

Again Eleanora struggles to awake the jealousy of the pope, whom she suspects of Ghibiline, or German tendencies, by representing the cruelty of the emperor Henry to churchmen. She accuses him of the assassination of the bishop of Liege, and of the imprisonment of several German and Italian prelates ; also of taking possession of Sicily, which, since the time of Constantine, had ever been the patrimony of St. Peter :—" We feel evil : we dread more," concludes the queen. " I am no prophetess, nor even a prophet's daughter ; yet my sorrow foresees greater troubles for the future ! That sorrow chokes the words I would utter : sobs impede my breath, and close up the vocal utterance which would further express the thoughts of my soul ! Farewell." By the abruptness of the conclusion, it is by no means improbable that the passion of grief, which had been excited by many passages in this letter, actually prevented the queen from further dictation to Peter of Blois, who availed himself of a circumstance, at once natural and interesting, for the conclusion of his transcript.

Eleanora throughout strives to pique pope Celestine into the assertion of his spiritual power in behalf of her Richard, who was by alliance as well as principle an undoubted Guelphite,¹ or supporter of the church against all temporal despotism,—excepting his own. Moreover, the queen summoned her favourite grandson Otho, of Guelph, the representative of that heroic line, and withal her deputy in Aquitaine, to the aid of his uncle Richard ; and he hastened, nothing loth, to the German congress, that he might give Cœur de Lion the aid of his formidable name, and the sanction of his great office as hereditary guardian of the liberties of the church.

The queen sent two abbots to confer with Richard ; they met him, with his German guards, on the road to Worms, where a diet of the empire was soon to be held, and were received by him with his usual spirit and animation. He inquired into the state of his friends, his subjects, and his dominions, and particularly after the health of the king of Scotland, on whose honour, he said, he entirely relied ; and certainly he

¹ The office of the princes of the house of Guelph, the most civilized and heroic among the German potentates, was to defend, by their occupation of southern Germany, Italy, and the city of the pontiff, against the incursions of the barbarian Germans. When the German empire became Christian, the warlike

Guelphs did not lose their occupation ; they defended the spiritual independence of the pope against the temporal power of the empire,—a quarrel, although carried on by other champions, not quite decided at the present day.

was not deceived in his judgment of the character of that hero. On hearing of the base conduct of his brother John, he was shocked and looked grave; but presently recovering his cheerfulness, he said, with a smile, "My brother John was never made for conquering kingdoms!"¹ Richard defended himself before the diet with eloquence and pathos that drew tears from most of his hearers; and the mediation of the princes of the empire induced the emperor to accept, as ransom, one hundred thousand marks of silver. This ransom was collected in England, Normandy, and Aquitaine; queen Eleanora largely contributed to it. She again received a tithe of queen-gold to a large amount. She had taken one hundred marks out of every thousand raised for her son's marriage, and now she claimed the tenth of his ransom, although she certainly gave it, with much more, as her contribution towards his freedom. The monks of Bury, having obtained the restoration of their gold cup through her generosity, resolved to act the same part again, for they were amerced in the enormous sum of a thousand marks as their quota. As they had no money, they sent in the whole of their church plate in payment. Again it is recorded that Eleanora, the queen-regent, was personally superintending the registration of the money and valuables that came into the treasury of her son. "Now," pursues the Bury chronicler, "it was queen Eleanora's right, by the law of the land, to receive a hundred marks whensoever the king is paid a thousand. So she took up this gold cup and gave it back to us once more, for the benefit of the soul of her dear lord, king Henry II." The adventures of this gold cup (which are not yet concluded) offer the most practical illustration of the nature of the claims of the queens of England on the *aurum reginæ* yet discovered.

When the first instalment of king Richard's ransom was ready, his affectionate mother and the chief justiciary set out for Germany, a little before Christmas. She was accompanied by her grand-daughter, Eleanora, surnamed "the Pearl of Brittany." This young princess was promised, by the ransom treaty, in marriage to the heir of Leopold of Austria.² The Cypriot princess was likewise taken from the keeping of queen Berengaria, on the demand of the emperor, and escorted by queen Eleanora to the German congress, where she was surrendered to her Austrian relatives.

It was owing to the exertions of the gallant Guelphic princes, his relations, that the actual liberation of Cœur de Lion was at last effected. Henry the Lion, duke of Saxony,³ and his sons, appeared before the diet, and pleaded the cause of the English hero with the most passionate eloquence; they pledged their credit for the payment of the remainder of his ransom, and actually left William of Winchester, the youngest

¹ Rog. Hov.

² The marriage contract was afterwards broken.

³ Her Majesty queen Victoria is the repre-

sentative of this great and generous prince; and at the same time, from his wife Matilda, eldest daughter of Henry II., derives a second direct descent from the house of Plantagenet.

Guelphic prince, in pawn with the emperor for the rest of the ransom. After an absence of four years, three months, and nine days, king Richard landed at Sandwich, in April, the Sunday after St. George's-day, 1195, in company with his royal mother, who had the pleasure of surrendering to him his dominions, both insular and continental, without diminution. Eleanora's detention of the princess Alice in Normandy had drawn on that country a fierce invasion from Philip Augustus, the result of which would have been doubtful if the tears of Berengaria, then newly arrived in Aquitaine, had not prevailed on her noble brother, Sancho the Strong, to traverse France with two hundred choice knights. By the valour of this hero, and his chivalric reinforcement, Normandy was delivered from the king of France.¹ Berengaria, during the imprisonment of her royal husband, lost her father, Sancho the Wise, king of Navarre, after a glorious reign of forty-four years.

After a second coronation at Westminster, not shared by his queen, who was absent in Aquitaine, Richard went in progress throughout England, with his royal mother, to sit in judgment on those castellans who had betrayed their fortresses to his brother John; by the advice of his mother, they were treated with much lenity. At all these counsels queen Eleanora assisted him, being regarded with the utmost reverence, and sitting in state at his right hand. Probably, in the same progress, king Richard sold the manor of Mildenhall to the monks of Bury St. Edmund's. Queen Eleanora made a claim of her *aurum reginæ*, or queen-gold, on the sum paid to her son. Once more the wily ecclesiastics, knowing the good service the gold cup of Henry II. had done for them, sent it in as part of payment, protesting their utter inability otherwise to make up the price. Queen Eleanora was present, for the purpose of asserting her claim on the tenth of the gold; but when she saw, for the third time, her old acquaintance, the gold cup, she was somewhat disturbed in spirit, deeming that her generosity was played upon. It is true, she redeemed the gift of her husband, but she required from the monks of Bury a solemn promise, "that, for the time to come, the gold cup of Henry II. should be held sacred, and never again be set for sale or laid in pledge."²

When the king, in the course of his progress, arrived in Normandy, queen Eleanora introduced into his chamber prince John, who knelt at his royal brother's feet for pardon. Richard raised him, with this magnanimous expression: "I forgive you, John; and I wish I could as easily forget your offence as you will my pardon."

King Richard finished his progress by residing some months in his Angevin territories. Although he was in the vicinity of the loving and faithful Berengaria, he did not return to her society. The reason of this estrangement was, that the king had renewed his connexion with a

¹ History of Navarre.

² Josceline de Brakelonde.

number of profligate and worthless associates, the companions of his long bachelorhood in his father's lifetime.

Richard was hunting in one of his Norman forests,¹ when he was met by a hermit, who recognised him, and preached him a very eloquent sermon on his irregular life, finishing by prophesying that, unless he repented, his end and punishment were close at hand. The king answered slightly, and went his way; but the Easter following he was seized with a most severe illness, which threatened to be fatal, when he remembered the saying of the hermit-prophet, and, greatly alarmed, began to repent of his sins. He sent for all the monks within ten miles round, and made public confession of his iniquities, vowing, withal, that if queen Berengaria would forgive him, he would send for her, and never forsake her again. On his recovery these good resolutions were strengthened.

When Richard first parted from his queen, he quarrelled with the virtuous St. Hugh, bishop of Lincoln, on the old ground of exacting a simoniacal tribute on the installation of the prelate into his see. Willing to evade the direct charge of selling the see, king Richard intimated that a present of a fur-mantle, worth a thousand marks, might be the composition. St. Hugh said he was no judge of such gauds, and therefore sent the king a thousand marks, declaring, if he would devour the revenue devoted to the poor, he must have his wilful way. Richard pocketed the money, but some time after sent for the fur-mantle. St. Hugh set out for Normandy, to remonstrate with the king on this double extortion. His friends anticipated that he would be killed; but St. Hugh said, "I fear him not," and boldly entered the chapel where Richard was at mass, when the following scene took place. "Give me the embrace of peace, my son," said St. Hugh. "That you have not deserved," replied the king. "Indeed I have," said St. Hugh, "for I have made a long journey on purpose to see my son." So saying, he took hold of the king's sleeve, and drew him on one side. Richard smiled, and embraced the old man. They withdrew to the recess behind the altar, and sat down. "In what state is your conscience?" asked the bishop. "Very easy," answered the king. "How can that be, my son," said the bishop, "when you live apart from your virtuous queen, and are faithless to her? when you devour the provision of the poor, and load your people with heavy exactions? Are these light transgressions, my son!" The king owned his faults, and promised amendment: and when he related this conversation to his courtiers, he added,—"Were all our prelates like Hugh of Lincoln, both king and barons must submit to their righteous rebukes!"² Whether the interview with St. Hugh took place before or after the king's alarming illness, we have no data to declare; but as Richard was evidently in a

¹ Rog. Hov² Berrington.

tamer state when St. Hugh visited him than when he lawlessly demanded the fur-mantle, we think the good bishop must have arrived opportunely.

The final restoration of Berengaria to the affections of her royal husband took place a few months after, when Richard proceeded to Poitiers,¹ where he was reconciled to his queen, and kept Christmas and the new year of 1196 in that city, with princely state and hospitality. It was a year of great scarcity and famine, and the beneficent queen exerted her restored influence over the heart of the king by persuading him to give all his superfluous money in bountiful alms to the poor, and through her goodness many were kept from perishing. From that time queen Berengaria and king Richard were never parted. She found it best to accompany him in all his campaigns, and we find her with him at the hour of his death. Higden, in the Polychronicon, gives this testimony to the love that Berengaria bore to Richard: "The king took home to him his queen Berengaria, whose society he had for a long time neglected, though she were a royal, eloquent and beauteous lady, and for his love had ventured with him through the world."

The same year the king, despairing of heirs by his consort, sent for young Arthur, duke of Bretagne, that the boy might be educated at his court as future king of England. His mother Constance, out of enmity to queen Eleanor, unwisely refused this request, and she finished her folly by declaring for the king of France, then waging a fierce war against Richard. This step cost her hapless child his inheritance, and finally his life. From this time Richard acknowledged his brother John as his heir. The remaining three years of Richard's life were spent in petty provincial wars with the king of France. In one of his treaties the princess Alice was at last surrendered to her brother, who gave her, with a tarnished reputation and the dowry of the county of Ponthieu, in marriage to the count of Aumerle, when she had arrived at her thirty-fifth year.

After the reconciliation between Richard and Berengaria, the royal revenues arising from the tin mines in Cornwall² and Devon, valued at two thousand marks per annum, were confirmed to the queen for her dower. Her continental dower was the whole county of Bigorre, and the city of Mans.

It was the lively imagination of Richard, heated by the splendid fictions of Arabian romance, that hurried him to his end. A report was brought to him in 1198 that a peasant, ploughing in the fields of Vidomar, lord of Chaluz, in Aquitaine, had struck upon a trap-door, which concealed an enchanted treasure; going down into a cave, he discovered several golden statues, with vases full of diamonds, all of which had been secured in the castle of Chaluz, for the private use of the sieur de Vidomar. Richard, when he heard this fine tale, sent to Vidomar

¹ Rigord, French Chron.

² Rymer's Fœdera.

demanding, as sovereign of the country, his share of the golden statues. The poor castellan declared that no such treasure had been found; nothing but a pot of Roman coins had been discovered, and those he was welcome to have. As Richard had set his mind upon golden statues and vases of diamonds, and had thriven so well when he demanded the golden furniture from king Tancred, it was not probable he could lower his ideas to the reality stated by the unfortunate lord of Vidomar. Accordingly he marched to besiege the castle of Chaluz, sending word to Vidomar either to deliver the statues, or abide the storming of the castle. To this siege queen Berengaria certainly accompanied the king. Here Richard met his death, being pierced from the walls by an arrow from an arbalista, or cross-bow, aimed by the hand of Bertrand de Gordon.¹ It was the unskilfulness of the surgeon, who mangled the king's shoulder in cutting out the arrow, joined to Richard's own wilfulness in neglecting the regimen of his physicians, that caused the mortification of a trifling wound, and occasioned the death of a hero, who, to many faults, joined a redeeming generosity that showed itself in his last moments. After enduring great agony from his wound, as he drew near to death the castle of Chaluz was taken. He caused Bertrand de Gordon to be brought before him, and telling him he was dying, asked him whether he had discharged the fatal arrow with the intention of slaying him. "Yes, tyrant," replied Gordon; "for to you I owe the deaths of my father and my brother, and my first wish was to be revenged on you." Notwithstanding the boldness of this avowal, the dying king commanded Gordon to be set at liberty, and it was not his fault that his detestable mercenary general, the Fleming Marcade, caused him to be put to a cruel death.

Richard's death took place April 6th, 1199. His queen unquestionably was with him when he died.² She corroborated the testimony that he left his dominions, and two-thirds of his treasures, to his brother John.

Richard appears to have borne some personal resemblance to his great uncle, William Rufus. Like him, his hair and complexion were warm in colour, and his eyes blue, and fiercely sparkling. Like Rufus, his strength was prodigious, but he had the advantage of a tall majestic figure. There are some points of resemblance in character between Richard and his collateral ancestor, though Richard must be considered a more accomplished prince, and susceptible, withal, of more frequent impulses of generosity and penitence. They both seemed to have excelled in the same species of wit and lively repartee. At the time of king Richard's death, Matthew Paris declares queen Eleanor, his mother, was governing England, "where," adds that historian, "she was exceedingly respected and beloved."

¹ We find the name of Gordon among the *serventes* of Bertrand de Born.

² Hemmingford.

Before the body of Cœur de Lion was committed to the grave, an additional load of anguish assailed the heart of his royal widow, through the calamities that befell Joanna, her friend, and Richard's favourite sister. The same species of persecution that afterwards visited Joanna's son, in the well-known war against the Albigenses, had already been incited against his father. Owing to the secret agitations of the Catholic clergy, the barons of Thoulouse were in arms against their sovereign, count Raymond. Queen Joanna, though in a state little consistent with such exertions, flew to arms for the relief of her lord.¹ We translate the following mournful passage from Guillaume de Puy-Lauren :—²

“Queen Joanna was a woman of great courage, and was highly sensitive to the injuries of her husband. She laid siege to the castle of Casser, but, owing to the treachery of her attendants, her camp was fired : she escaped with difficulty from the burning tents, much scorched and hurt. Unsubdued by this accident, she hastened to lay her wrongs before her beloved brother, king Richard. She found he had just expired as she arrived. The pains of premature child-birth seized her as she heard the dire intelligence, and she sank under the double affliction of mental and corporeal agony. With her last breath she begged to be laid near her brother Richard.” To Berengaria the request was made, and the cold remains of the royal brother and sister, the dearest objects of the sorrowing queen's affections, were laid, by her pious care, side by side, in the stately abbey of Fontevraud. The heart of Richard was bequeathed by him to be buried in the cathedral of Rouen, where it has lately been exhumed, in 1842. When the case was unclosed, the lion-heart was found entire, but withered to the consistency of a faded leaf.³

The deaths of Richard and Joanna were immediately succeeded by that of Berengaria's only sister, Blanche. This princess had been given in marriage by Cœur de Lion to his nephew and friend, the troubadour-prince Thibaut of Champagne. The princess Blanche died the day after the birth of a son, who afterwards was the heir both of Sancho and Berengaria, and finally king of Navarre. Thus, in the course of a few short weeks, was the queen of England bereft of all that were near and dear to her. The world had become a desert to Berengaria before she left it for a life of conventual seclusion.

Queen Berengaria fixed her residence at Mans, where she held a great

¹ Unfortunately. M. Michelet has given good historical proof, not only that queen Joanna was the fourth wife of count Raymond, but that all his other countesses were at that time alive. The low scale of morality on which Michelet places the potentates of the south of France, need not be attributed to any of his prejudices against royalty, because he does better justice to the sovereigns of France at this era than any other modern French historian.

² Guizot's *Chronicles*, vol. xv. p. 219.

³ This is from a most interesting description of the exhumation of Richard's heart by Mr. Albert Way, in vol. xxix. *Archæologia*, p. 210 ; where may be found a copy of the inscription identifying it as the heart of Richard and likewise an account of the discovery of a noble statue, raised by the men of Rouen to the memory of their beloved hero.

part of her foreign dower. Here she founded the noble abbey of Espan. Once Berengaria left her widowed retirement, when she met her brother-in-law king John, and his fair young bride, at Chinon, her husband's treasure city. Here she compounded with the English monarch for the dower she held in England, for two thousand marks per annum, to be paid half-yearly. After she had been entertained with royal magnificence, and received every mark of respect from the English court, the royal widow bade farewell to public splendour, and retired to conventual seclusion, and the practice of constant charity. But no sooner was John firmly fixed on the English throne, than he began to neglect the payment of the dower for which his sister-in-law had compounded; and in 1206, there appears in the *Fœdera* a passport for the queen-dowager to come to England for the purpose of conferring with king John,¹ but there is no evidence to prove that she arrived in this country. The pope in 1207 awarded her half the personal goods of her husband.

The records of 1209 present a most elaborate epistle from pope Innocent, setting forth the wrongs and wants of his dear daughter in Christ, Berengaria, who had appealed to him, he says, "with floods of tears streaming down her cheeks, and with audible cries,"—which we trust were flowers of rhetoric of the pope's secretary. As pope Innocent threatens John with an interdict, it is pretty certain that the wrongs of Berengaria formed a clause in the subsequent excommunication of the felon king. Bale, in his coarse comedy of *King Jehan* (of which king John is the very shabby hero), bestows a liberal portion of reviling on Berengaria, because she was the cause of the papal interdict in that reign; but this abuse is levelled at her under the name of queen Juliana. What connexion there was between the queen of Cœur de Lion and the name of Juliana is difficult to ascertain, excepting that the cathedral of her city of Mans is dedicated to St. Julian; and when she retired from the world, she might possibly have adopted it in compliment to the patron saint of her city. However, Bale, who was an historical antiquary, is certainly correct in the cause of the interdict, which arose from the non-payment of Berengaria's dower.

King John wrote to "his dear sister, the illustrious Berengaria, after the excommunication was taken off, praying that the pope's nuncio might arbitrate what was due to her." The next year brings a piteous letter from John, praying his dearly beloved sister will excuse his delay of payment, seeing the "greatness of his adversity by reason of the wickedness of his magnates and barons, who had invited prince Louis of France to spoil her estates; but when," continues he, "these clouds that have overcast our serenity shall disperse, and our kingdom be full of joyful tranquillity, then the pecuniary debt owed to our dear sister shall be paid joyfully

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. i. p. 152. These passports, or safe conducts, occur very frequently in this collection, for the benefit of persons who never used them.

and thankfully." This precious epistle was penned July 8th, 1216, by John; but he died the succeeding October, and Berengaria's debt was added to the vast sum of his other trespasses, for "joyful tranquillity" never came for him, nor of course her time of payment.

King John being deprived of the duchy of Normandy, Berengaria was forced to petition Philip Augustus, king of France, concerning her rights of dower there: as the widow of his late feudatory. She was given the county of Maine in compensation. A singular circumstance proves that Berengaria exercised sovereignty over this province. In the year 1216 she presided in person, as countess of Maine, August 23, being the eve of St. Bartholomew, as judge of a duel which took place between two champions; one defending the honour of a demoiselle, the other, who was the brother of the poor girl, having assailed her reputation in order to claim her portion.¹

In the reign of Henry III. Berengaria had again to require the pope's assistance for the payment of her annuity. Her arrears at that time amounted to 4,040*l.* sterling; but the Templars became guarantees and agents for her payments, and from that time the pecuniary troubles of Berengaria cease to form a feature in our national records. The letters of Berengaria, claiming her arrears of dower from Henry III., are probably from her own pen, as they are in a very different style from those of her ecclesiastical scribe, previously quoted.

"To our venerable father in Christ, and most cordial friend, Peter, by God's grace bishop of Winchester, Berengaria, by the same grace, formerly the *humble* queen of England, wishes health and every good thing.

"We send to you our well-beloved friar Walter, of the Cistercian order, the bearer of these presents, beseeching you humbly and devotedly, with all the humility that we can, that in reference to this present feast of All Saints (as well as to other terms now past), you will cause us to be satisfied about the money due to us according to the composition of our dower, which by your mediation we made with our brother John, of happy memory, formerly king of England. Fare you well."

The English regency had the jointures of two queen-dowagers to pay, and certainly too much trouble was not taken to satisfy either. Again friar Walter was despatched, in 1225, to receive the dues of his royal mistress, and was the hearer of an epistle, addressed to the young king by her. Henry III. ordered his treasurer and chamberlains to deliver by his treasurer to friar Walter, chaplain to queen Berengaria, and to Martin, her servant, one thousand marks, which he owed to her at the term of the Ascension of our Lord.²

The date of Berengaria's death has generally been fixed about the year 1230; but that was only the year of the completion of her abbey of Espan, and of her final retirement from the world; as from that time she took up her abode within its walls, and finished there her blameless life, at an advanced age, some years afterwards. In the High-street of Mans is an antique and curious structure, embellished with bas-reliefs:

¹ *L'Art de Vérifier les Dates*, tomes xii. p. 102 from Courvoisier.

² Close rolls.

the people of the city call it, to this day, queen Berengaria's house or palace. The name is older than the building itself, which is of the architecture of the fifteenth century. Berengaria's dower-palace probably stood on the site of this house.

Berengaria was interred in her own stately abbey. The following most interesting particulars of her monument we transcribe from the noble work of the late Mr. Stothard:—"When Mr. Stothard visited the abbey of Espan, near Mans, in search of the effigy of Berengaria, he found the church converted into a barn, and the object of his inquiry in a mutilated state, concealed under a quantity of wheat. It was in excellent preservation, with the exception of the left arm. By the effigy were lying the bones of the queen, the silent witnesses of the sacrilegious demolition of the tomb. After some search, a portion of the arm belonging to the statue was recovered." Three men, who had assisted in the work of destruction, stated "that the monument, with the figure upon it, stood in the centre of the aisle, at the east end of the church; that there was no coffin within it, but a small square box, containing bones, pieces of linen, some stuff embroidered with gold, and a slate, on which was found an inscription." The slate was found in possession of a canon of the church of St. Julian, at Mans: upon it was engraven an inscription, of which the following is a translation: "The tomb of the most serene Berengaria, queen of England, the noble founder of this monastery, was restored and removed to this more sacred place. In it were deposited the bones which were found in the ancient sepulchre, on the 27th May, in the year of our Lord 1672." The sides of the tomb are ornamented with deep quatrefoils. The effigy which was upon it is in high relief. It represents the queen with her hair unconfined, but partly concealed by the coverchef, over which is placed an elegant crown. Her mantle is fastened by a narrow band crossing her breast; a large fermail, or brooch, richly set with stones, confines her tunic at the neck. To an ornamental girdle, which encircles her waist, is attached a small aumonière, or purse. This greatly resembles a modern reticule, with a chain and clasped top. "The queen holds in her hands a book, singular from the circumstance of its having embossed on the cover a second representation of herself, as lying on a bier, with waxen torches burning in candlesticks on either side of her."

From early youth to her grave, Berengaria manifested devoted love for Richard. Uncomplaining when deserted by him, forgiving when he returned, and faithful to his memory unto death, the royal Berengaria, queen of England, though never in England, little deserves to be forgotten by any admirer of feminine and conjugal virtue.

ISABELLA OF ANGOULÊME.

QUEEN-CONSORT OF KING JOHN.

No one would have imagined that Isabella of Angoulême was destined to become the future queen of England when king John ascended the throne, for she was then not only the engaged wife of another, but, according to the custom of the times, had been actually consigned to her betrothed for the purpose of education.

Hugh de Lusignan, surnamed Le Brun,¹ was the affianced lord of Isabella. He was eldest son of Hugh IX., the reigning count de la Marche, who governed the provinces which formed the northern boundary of the Aquitanian dominions, called in that age French Poitou. He was a vassal prince of the French crown, and, by virtue of his authority as lord-marcher or guardian of the border, was a most formidable neighbour to the Aquitanian territories; for, if offended, he could at pleasure raise the *ban* and *arrière ban*, and pour thereon the whole feudal militia of a large portion of France.

The aged queen Eleanora, mother of king John, was deeply impressed with the necessity of conciliating this powerful neighbour. She had been forced, at the death of Richard to do homage at Tours,² in person, to Philip Augustus, for Poitou, 1199; and by her wise mediation she reconciled John and Philip, negotiating an alliance between prince Louis and her grand-daughter, Blanche of Castile. She even travelled to Spain, and was present at the splendid marriage of her grand-daughter, who was wedded at Burgos to prince Louis, by procuration. Afterwards her daughter, the queen of Castile, accompanied her across the Pyrenees, with the young bride to her native territories of Guienne. Queen Eleanora intended to escort Blanche to Normandy, where prince Louis waited for them; but she fell sick with fatigue, and retreated to Fontevraud towards the close of the year 1199. In a Latin letter she urged her

¹ "Hugh," says G. de Nangis, "whom the people of the little town of Limoges would call 'the Brown,' was a noble personage, brave, powerful, and possessing great riches." He did not own the *sobriquet* of Le Brun, but signs himself Lusignan in his charters.

² Guillaume de Nangis. The conclusion of the life of Eleanora of Aquitaine is comprised in this biography.

son "to visit immediately his Poitevin provinces, and for the sake of their peace and preservation, she desired him to form an amicable league with the count de la Marche," that celebrated Hugh de Lusignan, whose friendship for Cœur de Lion forms a remarkable feature in the history of the crusades. This epistle is dated Fontevraud, 1200, and was the occasion of king John's progress to Aquitaine, in the summer; but little did the writer suppose that, before the year was expired, the whole powerful family of Lusignan would be exasperated by king John's lawless appropriation of the bride wedded to the heir of their house¹.

Isabella was the only child and heiress of Aymer, or Americus, count of Angoulême, surnamed Taillefer. By maternal descent she shared the blood of the Capetian sovereigns, her mother, Alice de Courtenay, being the daughter of Peter de Courtenay, fifth son of Louis VI., king of France. The inheritance of Isabella was the province of Angoumois, situated in the very heart of the Aquitanian domains, with Perigord on the south, Poitou on the north, Saintonge on the west, and La Limousin on the east. The Angoumois, watered by the clear and sparkling Charente, abounded in all the richest aliments of life; altogether it was fair and desirable as its heiress. The Provençal language was at that era spoken throughout the district; Isabella of Angoulême may therefore be reckoned the third of our Provençal queens. The province to which she was heiress had been governed by her ancestors ever since the reign of Charles the Bald.

Isabella had been betrothed to Hugh de Lusignan, eldest son of the count de la Marche, and was consigned to the care of her husband's family, according to the feudal custom. At the period of king John's arrival, she was residing in the castle of Lusignan, under the guardianship of the count of Eu, the uncle of her spouse. The young lady was nearly fifteen; her marriage was to take place on the return of her bridegroom from some distant feudal service connected with the accession of John as duke of Aquitaine. Meantime, the count of Eu received the English king most hospitably: the chief entertainment was hunting in the chases pertaining to the demesne of Lusignan, which were then the most celebrated for deer in France. At one of these hunting parties it is supposed that king John first saw the beautiful *fiancée* of the absent Lusignan: tradition says,² "that meeting her in the glades of the chase, he carried her off, screaming with terror, to the stronghold of his sovereignty, Bordeaux." In reality the abduction was made by collusion of the parents of the bride: they sent to the count of Eu, requesting his permission that she might visit them for the purpose of being present at

¹ Hugh IX., the friend and fellow-crusader of king Richard, was alive long after his son's betrothment to Isabella. The bereft lover of Isabella succeeded his father by the title of

Hugh X. There were thirteen counts of this house, successively, of the name of Hugh; a fact which makes their identity difficult without close investigation. ² Vatout.

a day of high ceremonial, on which they paid their homage to king John for the province of Angoumois;¹ the young lady herself, as their sole heir, was required to acknowledge her lord-paramount as duke of Aquitaine. The count of Eu has been accused of betraying the interests of his nephew, but wholly without foundation.

The parents of Isabella, when they perceived that their sovereign was captivated with the budding charms of their daughter, dishonourably encouraged his passion, and by deceitful excuses to the count of Eu, prevented the return of Isabella to the castle of Lusignan; a proceeding the more infamous, since subsequent events plainly showed that the heart of the maiden secretly preferred her betrothed. Had John Plantagenet remained in the same state of poverty as when his father surnamed him Lackland, the fierce Hugh de Lusignan might have retained his beautiful bride; but at the time his fancy was captivated by Isabella, her parents saw him universally recognised as the possessor of the first empire in Europe. They had just done homage to him as the monarch of the south of France, and they knew the English people had acknowledged him as king, in preference to his nephew Arthur; that he had been actually crowned king of England, and that his brow had been circled with the chaplet of golden roses which formed the ducal coronet of Normandy.

John was already married to a lady, who had neither been crowned with him, nor acknowledged queen of England; yet she appears to have been the bride of his fickle choice. The son of his great uncle, Robert earl of Gloucester, had left three daughters, co-heiresses of his vast possessions. The youth and beauty of Avis, the youngest of the sisters, induced prince John to woo her as his wife. The wedding took place at Richard's coronation, but the church forbade the pair to live together.² The pope, who had previously commanded the divorce of Avis from John, because the empress Matilda and Robert earl of Gloucester had been half brother and sister, now murmured at the broken contract between Isabella and the heir of Lusignan; but his opposition was vain, for the lady Isabella, as much dazzled as her parents by the splendour of the triple crowns of England, Normandy, and Aquitaine, would not acknowledge that she had consented to any marriage contract with count Hugh. As Isabella preferred marrying a king to giving her hand to the man she really loved, no one could right the wrongs of the ill-treated Lusignan. Moreover, the mysterious chain of feudality interwove its inextricable links and meshes, even round the sacrament of marriage. King John, as lord-paramount of Aquitaine, could have rendered invalid any wedlock that the heiress of the Angoumois might

¹ William le Breton.—Guizot's French Collection. Dr. Henry asserts the same, and gives Roger Hoveden and M. Paris as authorities.

² It must be noticed that the church forbade the wedlock of cousins of illegitimate descent as strictly as those by marriage.

contract without his consent; he could have forbidden his fair vassal to marry the subject of king Philip, and if she had remained firmly true to her first love, he could have declared her fief forfeited for disobedience to her immediate lord.¹ King John and Isabella were married at Bordeaux, August 24th, 1200. Their hands were united by the archbishop of Bordeaux, who had previously held a synod, assisted by the bishop of Poitou, and solemnly declared that no impediment existed to the marriage. There was, however, a considerable disparity of age: John was thirty-two, while Isabella had scarcely seen fifteen years.

The abduction of his bride threw count Hugh of Lusignan into despair: he did not, however, quietly submit to the destruction of his hopes, but challenged to mortal combat the royal interloper between him and his betrothed.² John received the cartel with remarkable coolness, saying that if count Hugh wished for combat, he would appoint a champion to fight with him; but the count declared that John's champions were hired bravoës and vile mercenaries, unfit for the encounter of a wronged lover and true knight. Thus unable to obtain satisfaction, the valiant marcher waited his hour of revenge, while king John sailed with his bride in triumph to England. He kept his Christmas with her, 1201, at Guildford, "where," says Roger of Wendover, "he distributed a great number of festive garments." He was desirous that Isabella should be recognised as his wife, not only by the peers, but by the people; therefore he called "a common council of the kingdom" at Westminster. The ancient wittena-gemot seems the model of this assembly. Here the young Isabella was introduced, and acknowledged as the queen-consort of England. Her coronation was appointed for the 8th of October, and there exists a charter in the Tower, expressing "that Isabella of Angoulême was crowned queen by the common consent of the barons, clergy, and people of England."³ It was solemnized on that day by the archbishop of Canterbury. Clement Fitz-William was paid thirty-three shillings, for strewing Westminster-hall with herbs and rushes at the coronation of lady Isabella the queen; and the chamberlains of the Norman exchequer were ordered to pay Eustace the chaplain, and Ambrose the songster, twenty-five shillings, for singing the hymn *Christus vincit* at the unction and crowning of the said lady queen.⁴ The expenses of her dress at this time were by no means extravagant: three cloaks of fine linen, one of scarlet cloth, and one grey pelisse, costing together twelve pounds five and fourpence, were all that was afforded to the fair Provençal bride on this august occasion. The whole of the intervening months between October and Easter were spent by the king and queen in a continual round of feasting and voluptuousness. At the Easter festival of 1201, they were the guests of arch-

¹ See Bracton. "By the feudal law, any woman who is an heir forfeits her lands if she marries without her lord's consent."

² Vatout, Hist. of Eu, says that Isabella and John were married at Angoulême.

³ Rog. Hov.

⁴ Madox.

bishop Hubert, at Canterbury, where they were once more crowned, or rather they wore their crowns, according to the ancient English custom at this high festival; it being the office of the primate of England always to place them on the heads of the king and queen on such occasions, when he was abiding in the vicinity of royalty.

Wars, and rumours of wars, awoke king John and the beautiful Isabella from their dream of pleasure. Constance, duchess of Bretagne, had eloped from her husband, the earl of Chester, and married a valiant Poictevin, sir Guy of Thouars,¹ who showed every demonstration of successfully asserting the claims of his son-in-law, young Arthur Plantagenet, for whose cause Anjou and Maine had already declared. Added to this alarming intelligence was the news that Lusignan and his brother, the count of Eu, were conspiring with the family of Bretagne, and raising insurrections in Poitou and Normandy, to avenge the abduction of Isabella of Angoulême. These troubles caused Isabella and her husband to embark at Portsmouth for Normandy. King John sailed in a separate galley from the queen, and in stress of weather ran for the Isle of Wight, a place of retirement where John often abode for months together. The queen's ship was in the greatest distress, but at last made the port of Bardeur, where king John found Isabella waiting his arrival. The insurrection of which the disappointed lover of Isabella was the mover, was somewhat retarded by the death of Constance, duchess of Bretagne, in 1201, soon after the birth of her third child, the princess Alice, who was finally the heiress of the duchy. King John, regardless of the tempest that still muttered around him, established himself at Rouen, and gave way to a career of indolent voluptuousness, little in accordance with the restless activity of his warlike nobility. In that era, when five in the morning was the established breakfast-time, and half-past ten in the forenoon the orthodox dinner-hour for all ranks and conditions of men, the courtiers were scandalized at finding that king John never left his pillow before mid-day, at which time they, with contempt, saw him issuing from the chamber of the fair Isabella: "it was as if she held him by sorcery or witchcraft." This mode of life made him far more unpopular, in the thirteenth century, than the perpetration of a few more murders and abductions, like those with which his memory stands already charged. His young queen shared some of this blame, as the enchantress who kept him chained in her bowers of luxury. The royal pair paid, however, some attention to the fine arts, for the magnificent mosaic pavement of the palace of Rouen was laid down while the queen kept her court there.²

Eleanora of Aquitaine, now advancing into her eightieth year, still acted a queenly part on the arena of Europe. After resigning her vice-

¹ Argentre, Breton. Hist. The disconsolate widowhood of Constance exists only in the pages of fiction.

² Ducarel.

regency of England¹ into the hands of king John, she had assumed the sceptre of her native dominions, and was then governing Aquitaine, residing with a peace establishment, in perfect security, at her summer castle of Mirabel, in Poitou, when count Hugh de Lusignan, joining his forces with those of young Arthur of Bretagne, suddenly laid siege to the residence of the aged queen. This was a plan of count Hugh's devising, who meant, if Eleanora had been captured, to have exchanged her for his lost spouse. But Eleanora, after they had stormed the town, betook herself to the citadel of Mirabel, and from its lofty heights she scoffed at their efforts, sent to her son for speedy aid, and, with a slight garrison and scanty provisions, held out heroically till his arrival. Once, and once only, did the recreant John prove himself of "the right stem of great Plantagenet." When he heard of his mother's danger, he traversed France with lightning speed, and arriving unexpectedly before Mirabel, his forces hemmed in count Hugh and duke Arthur between the town and citadel. The enemies of John had reckoned on his character as a sluggard and *fainéant* knight, but they reckoned in vain; he gave them fierce battle on his arrival, and overthrew them with an utter defeat, taking prisoners his rival in love, count Hugh, and his rival in empire, duke Arthur, together with four-and-twenty of the principal barons of Poitou, who had risen for the right of young Arthur, or were allies of the count. Queen Eleanora charged her son, on her malediction, not to harm the noble boy whom he had made his prisoner. While his queen-mother retained her faculties, John contented himself with incarcerating Arthur in the citadel of Falaise; but he insulted count Hugh, the unfortunate lover of his wife, with every species of personal indignity, carrying him and the insurgent barons of Poitou after him, wherever he went, "chained hand and foot, in tumbril carts drawn by oxen,"—"a mode of travelling," says a Provençal chronicler, very pathetically, "to which they were not accustomed." In this manner he dragged them after him, till he made them embark with him for England. Queen Isabella must have exerted her utmost influence to save the unfortunate Lusignan from the fate of his fellow-prisoners, for two-and-twenty Poictevin lords, who had been exhibited with count Hugh in the carts, were starved to death in the dungeons of Corfe-castle, by the orders of king John. The defrauded spouse of Isabella, positively refusing any submission to the abductor of his bride, was consigned to a weary confinement in the donjon of Bristol-castle, at the same time with John's other hapless prisoner Eleanora, surnamed the Pearl of Brittany,² the sister of Arthur.

She would not recognise Arthur as the rightful heir, for fear Constance should govern England during his minority.

² There is reason to suppose that this unfortunate lady, on whom the lineal right of

the English crown devolved, took the vows after a long imprisonment. From a bundle of charters belonging to the abbey of Fontevraud, examined by Sir Thomas Phillipps, Bart., it is evident that Eleanora of Bretagne

Isabella of Angoulême had not borne an heir to John when Arthur was cut off; therefore, after John had destroyed this promising scion of Plantagenet, the sole representative of that heroic line was his dishonoured self.¹ The decision of the twelve peers of France, convened to inquire into the fate of Arthur, declared Normandy forfeited by king John in 1203. The demise of queen Eleanor, his mother, took place the year after: she lived to mourn over the dismemberment of the continental possessions of her family. Paulus Emilius, in his '*Life of Philip Augustus*,' declares that the queen-mother interceded strenuously for Arthur, and died of sorrow when she found the depths of guilt into which John had plunged.

The annals of the monks of Fontevraud testify that queen Eleanor took the veil of their order after Arthur's capture, and that she died in 1204, having been for many months wholly dead to the world. Her last charter was given to "her beloved and faithful mariners" of Oleron,² soon after the demise of her son, Richard I.; in which document she confirmed the privileges of this great maritime guild or fraternity. Adversity evidently improved the character of Eleanor of Aquitaine; and after the violent passions of her youth had been corrected by sorrow and experience, her life exhibits many traces of a great ruler and magnanimous sovereign. A good moral education would have rendered her one of the greatest characters of her time. She had been reared in her sunny fatherland as the gay votaress of pleasure; her intellectual cultivation had been considerable, but its sole end was to enhance the delights of a voluptuous life, by calling into activity all the powers of a poetic mind. Slowly and surely she learned the stern lesson of life,—that power, beauty, and royalty are but vanity, if not linked with moral excellence. She was buried by the side of Henry II. at Fontevraud, where her tomb was to be seen, with its enamelled statue, till the French revolution.³ The face of this effigy is beautifully worked with strokes of the pencil; the features are noble and intellectual. Eleanor wears the gorget, wimple, and coverchef; over this head-gear is a regal diadem: the royal mantle is folded gracefully round her waist; it is of garter blue, figured with silver crescents.

With his mother, king John lost all fear and shame. Distinct as his character stands on a bad eminence, the reader of general history knows

was appointed, by the abbess of Fontevraud, superior of the nunnery of Ambresbury. All known hitherto of the sister of Arthur was, that she died in 1235, and was buried at Ambresbury.

¹ It is in an allusion to this fact that Le Breton, in his beautiful description of Arthur's death, (which, with other rich though irrelevant matter, we are forced to exclude), records Arthur's exclamation when pleading passionately for his life, "Ah, my uncle,

spare the son of thy brother! spare thy young nephew,—spare thy *race*!"

² Eleanor of Aquitaine, at that era the greatest naval potentate in the world, is seen in this charter to exercise full sovereignty over these merchant islanders.

³ Her beautiful statue is still preserved, thanks to the research and zeal of our lamented antiquary Stothard. See her portrait in the illustrated edition.

little of the atrocity of this man, whose wickedness was of the active impetuous quality sometimes seen in the natives of the south of Europe, combined with the most prominent defects of the English disposition. He exhibits the traits of the depraved Provençal, whose civilization had at that era degenerated to corruption, joined to the brutality of his worst English subjects, then in a semi-barbarous state. Isabella's influence did not mend his manners : he became notoriously worse after his union with her. Ignorance could not be pleaded as an excuse for John's enormities ; like all the sons of Eleanora of Aquitaine, he had literary tastes. Some items in his Close rolls prove the fact, that king John read books of a high character. His mandate to Reginald de Cornhill requires him to send to Windsor the 'Romance of the History of England.' The abbot of Reading supplied his sovereign with the 'Old Testament,' 'Hugh St. Victor on the Sacraments,' the 'Sentences of Petre Lombard,' the 'Epistles of St. Austin,' 'Origen's Treatise,' and 'Arian.' The abbot likewise acknowledges that he has a book belonging to the king called 'Pliny.' In short, the abbot of Reading was evidently librarian to king John.

After the dower-lands of the English queens had been left free, by the death of the queen-mother and the composition of Berengaria, king John endowed his wife most richly with many towns in the west of England, besides Exeter and the tin mines of Cornwall and Devonshire. The jointure-palace of the heiress of Angoulême was that ancient residence of the Conqueror, the castle of Berkhamstead in Hertfordshire.

Queen Isabella, during the king's absence, brought him an heir at Winchester, Oct. 1207, who received the name of Henry. The following year she had another son named Richard. After his return to England, king John began utterly to disregard all the ancient laws of his kingdom ; and when the barons murmured, he required from them the surrender of their children as hostages. In the Tower rolls exist documents, proving that those young nobles were appointed to wait on his queen at Windsor and Winchester, where they attended her in bands, serving her at meals, and following her at cavalcades and processions,¹ but their preceptors were not to venture into the queen's presence ; her pages were to sleep in the royal hall, under the great tables which were on trestles. The tragedy of the unfortunate family of De Braose was occasioned by the resistance of the parents to these ordinances. King John had demanded the eldest son of William de Braose, lord of Bramber in Sussex, as a page to wait on queen Isabella, in 1211, meaning him in reality as a hostage for his father's allegiance. When

¹ Close rolls. Two of these hostage children, Elizabeth heiress of Sir Ralph d'Eyncourt of Sizergh-castle, in Westmoreland, and Walter, the heir of Sir Thomas Strickland, of Strickland, formed an attachment for each

other at the court of Isabella, and afterwards married, and were the parents of our line. Close rolls mention other pages of honour, Robert and Odivil de Umfraville, who waited on their queen at dinner.

the king's message was delivered at Bramber by a courtier, who bore the ominous name of Mauluc,¹ the imprudent lady de Braose declared, in his hearing, "that she would not surrender her children to a king who had murdered his own nephew." The words of the unfortunate mother were duly reported by the malicious messenger. The lady de Braose repented of her rashness when it was too late, and strove in vain to propitiate queen Isabella by rich gifts. Among other offerings, she sent the queen a present of a herd of four hundred cows and one beautiful bull: this peerless herd was white as milk, all but the ears, which were red. This strange present to Isabella did not avert the deadly wrath of king John, for he seized the unfortunate family at Meath in Ireland, whither they had fled for safety. The lord of Bramber, his wife and children, were conveyed to the old castle at Windsor, and enclosed in a strong room, where they were deliberately starved to death. Father, mother, and five innocent little ones suffered, in our England, the fate of count Ugolino and his family,—an atrocity compared with which the dark stain of Arthur's murder fades to the hue of a venial crime.

The passion of John for his queen, though it was sufficiently strong to embroil him in war, was not exclusive enough to secure conjugal fidelity; the king tormented her with jealousy, while on his part he was far from setting her a good example, for he often invaded the honour of the female nobility. The name of the lover of Isabella has never been ascertained, nor is it clear that she was ever guilty of any dereliction from rectitude; but John revenged the wrong, that perhaps only existed in his malignant imagination, in a manner peculiar to himself. He made his mercenaries assassinate the person whom he suspected of supplanting him in his queen's affections, with two others supposed to be accomplices, and hung their bodies over Isabella's bed,² an event which is evidently alluded to in the narrative given by Matthew Paris, concerning the embassy king John sent to the Mahometan sovereign of Spain, called the Miramolin, offering to ally himself with him, and to renounce the Christian religion. The Moslem chief strongly suspected that the offered alliance was of no great value; he therefore cross-questioned one of the envoys, "Robert the clerk," a small, dark, deformed man, with a Jewish physiognomy,—indeed, Matthew Paris insinuates that he was a Jew in disguise of a priest. Partly by bribes, and partly by threats, the Moslem obtained the following description of king John's person and family affairs: "The king of England is about fifty years of age; his hair is quite hoary; his

¹ Peter de Mauluc was said to be the assistant of John in the murder of Arthur; hence the taunt of the lady de Braose.—Speed. She

was a Norman baroness by birth; her name, Matilda St. Vallery.

² Rog. of Wend.

figure is made for strength, compact but not tall ; his queen hates him, and is hated by him, she being an evil-minded, adulterous woman, often found guilty of crimes: king John seized her paramours, and had them strangled with a rope on her bed." Whatsoever degree of truth may pertain to these accusations, it is certain that about the year 1212 the queen had been consigned to captivity, having been conveyed to Gloucester-abbey under the ward of one of her husband's mercenary leaders. In a record-roll of king John, he directs Theodoric de Tyes "to go to Gloucester with our lady queen, and there keep her in the chamber where the princess Joanna had been nursed, till he heard further from him." Joanna was born two years previously, according to the majority of the chroniclers, to the queen's disgrace.

The queen had brought John a lovely family, but the birth of his children failed to secure her against harsh treatment : she was at this time the mother of two sons, and a daughter. She inherited the province of the Angoumois in the year 1213: it is probable that a reconciliation then took place between her and her husband, since her mother, the countess of Angoulême, came to England, and put herself under the protection of John. Soon after he went to Angoulême with Isabella. To facilitate the restoration of the Poictevin provinces, again seized by Philip Augustus, John found it necessary to form an alliance with his former rival, count Hugh de Lusignan.¹ Although that nobleman had been restored to liberty by king John for some years, he perversely chose to remain a bachelor, in order to remind all the world of the perfidy of that faithless beauty who had broken her troth for a crown. The only stipulation which could induce him to assist king John was, that he would give him the eldest daughter of Isabella as a wife, in the place of the mother. In compliance with his request, the infant princess Joanna was betrothed to him, and forthwith given into his charge, that she might be educated and brought up in one of his castles, as her mother had been before her. After this alliance, count Hugh effectually cleared the Poictevin borders of the French invaders ; and king John, flushed with his temporary success, returned with his queen, to plague England with new acts of tyranny, Oct. 20, 1214.

Although the most extravagant prince in the world in regard to his own personal expenses, John was parsimonious enough toward his beautiful queen. In one of his wardrobe-rolls there is an order for a **gray** cloth pelisson for Isabella, guarded with nine bars of gray fur. In king John's wardrobe-roll is a warrant for giving out cloth to make two robes for the queen, each to consist of five ells ; one of green cloth, the other of brunet. The green robe, lined with cendal or sarcenet, is considered worth sixty shillings. The king likewise orders for his queen, cloth for

¹ M. Paris.

a pair of purple sandals, and four pair of women's boots, one pair to be embroidered in circles round the ankles. There is, likewise, an item for the repair of Isabella's mirror.¹ The dress of John was costly and glittering in the extreme, for he was, in addition to other follies and frailties, the greatest fop in Europe. At one of his Christmas festivals he appeared in a red satin mantle embroidered with sapphires and pearls, a tunic of white damask, a girdle set with garnets and sapphires, while the baldric that crossed from his left shoulder to sustain his sword, was set with diamonds and emeralds, and his white gloves were adorned, one with a ruby, and the other with a sapphire.² The richness of king John's dress, and the splendour of his jewellery, partly occasioned the extravagant demands he made on the purses of his people, both church and laity; he supplied his wants by corruption that proves him utterly insensible to every feeling of honour, both as a man and a king. The shamelessly left rolls and records whereby posterity were enabled to read such entries as the following ludicrous specimens of bribery:—"Robert de Vaux gave five of his best palfreys, that the king might hold his tongue about Henry Pinel's wife." What tale of scandal king John had the opportunity of telling, deponent saith not; but the entry looks marvellously undignified in regal accounts. "To the bishop of Winchester is given one tun of good wine, for *not* putting the king in mind to give a girdle to the countess of Albemarle." The scarcity of coin and absence of paper-money made bribery remarkably shameless in those days; palfreys prancing at the levee, and the four hundred milk-white kine of the unfortunate lady de Braose lowing before the windows of Isabella, must have had an odd effect.

The queen, soon after her return to England in 1214, was superseded in the fickle heart of her husband by Matilda Fitz-Walter, surnamed the Fair. The abduction of this lady, who, to do her justice, thoroughly abhorred the royal felon, was the exploit which completed the exasperation of the English barons, who flew to arms for the purpose of avenging the honour of the most distinguished among their class, lord Fitz-Walter, father of the fair victim of John. Every one knows that, clad in steel, they met their monarch, John, at Runnymede, and there

" In happy hour,
Made the fell tyrant feel his people's power."

The unfortunate Matilda, who had roused the jealousy of the queen, and excited the lawless passion of John, was supposed to be murdered by him, in the spring of the year 1215. Poison was inserted by him in a poached egg, which his gaolers gave the victim to eat. The king had imprisoned her (that she might tell no tales) in one of the turrets of the Tower of London.

¹ *Excerpta Historica*, p. 398. ² Such ornamented gloves are seen on his effigy at Worcester cathedral, and on that of his father at Fontevraud.

After signing Magna Charta at Runnymede, king John retired in a rage to his fortress at Windsor, the scene of many of his secret murders. Here he gave way to tempests of personal fury, resembling his father's bursts of passion; he execrated his birth, and seizing sticks and clubs, vented his maniacal feelings by biting and gnawing them, and then breaking them in pieces. While these emotions were raging, mischief matured itself in his soul; for after passing a sleepless night at Windsor, he departed for the Isle of Wight, where he sullenly awaited the arrival of the bands of mercenaries he had sent for from Brabant and Guienne, with whose assistance he meant to revenge himself on the barons. In the fair isle John passed whole days, idly sauntering on the beach, chatting familiarly with the fishers, and even joining in piratical expeditions with them against his own subjects. He was absent some weeks; every one thought he was lost, and few wished that he might ever be found. He emerged from his concealment in good earnest when his mercenary troops arrived, and then he began that atrocious progress across the island, always alluded to by his contemporaries with terror. One trait of his conduct shall serve for a specimen of the rest: the king every morning took delight in firing, with his own hands, the house that had sheltered him the preceding night.

In the midst of this diabolical career, he reconciled himself to Isabella, whom he had kept in a state of palace restraint ever since the abduction of Matilda the Fair. The queen advanced as far as Marlborough to meet him, where they abode some days at the royal palace on the forest of Savernake,¹ which was one of the principal dower-castles of our queens. At this time there is an intimation on the record-rolls, that the new buildings at the queen's castle on Savernake were completed; among which were kitchens, with fire-places for roasting oxen whole. John consigned to the care of Isabella, at this time, his heir prince Henry, with whom she retired to Gloucester, where the rest of the royal children were abiding. The queen became the mother of a second daughter, Eleanor; and in the succeeding year she gave birth to a third, named Isabella, afterwards married to the Emperor of Germany.

Scarcely had the queen retreated to the strong city of Gloucester, when that invasion by prince Louis of France took place which is so well known in general history. The barons, driven to desperation by John's late outrages, offered the heir of France the crown, if he would aid them against their tormentor.² Hunted into an obscure corner of his kingdom, in the autumn of 1216 king John confided his person and regalia to the men of Lynn, in Norfolk. But as his affairs summoned him northward, he crossed the Wash to Swinshead-abbey, in Lincolnshire. The tide coming in unexpectedly, swept away part of his army

¹ See *Fœdera*, in many deeds. ² Louis' claim on England was founded on his marriage with the celebrated Blanche of Castile, niece to John.

and his baggage. His splendid regalia was swallowed in the devouring waters, and John himself scarcely escaped with life. The king arrived at Swinshead-abbey unwell and dispirited, and, withal, in a malignant ill-temper. As he sat at meat in the abbot's refectory, he gave vent to his spleen by saying, "That he hoped to make the halfpenny loaf cost a shilling before the year was over." A Saxon monk heard this malicious speech with indignation. John uttered his folly at dinner; and before his dessert was ended, he was poisoned in a dish of autumn pears.

In all probability, the king was seized with one of those severe typhus fevers often endemic in the fenny countries at the close of the year. The symptoms of alternate cold and heat, detailed by the chroniclers, approximate closely with that disease. But, sick as he was, he ordered himself to be put in a litter, and carried forward on his northern progress. At Newark he could proceed no further, but gave himself up to the fierce attacks of the malady. He sent for the abbot and monks of Croxton, and made full confession of all his sins (no slight undertaking); he then forgave his enemies, and enjoined those about him to charge his son, Henry, to do the same; and after taking the eucharist, and making all his officers swear fealty to his eldest son, he expired, Oct. 19, 1216, commending his soul to God, and his body to burial in Worcester cathedral, according to his especial directions, close to the grave of St. Wulstan,¹ a Saxon bishop of great reputation for sanctity, lately canonized. This vicinity the dying king evidently considered likely to be convenient for keeping his corpse from the attacks of the Evil one, whom he had indefatigably served during his life. His contemporary historians did not seem to think that this arrangement, however prudently planned, was likely to be effectual in altering his destination; as one of them sums up his character in these words of terrific energy,— "Hell felt itself defiled by the presence of John."

The queen and the royal children were at Gloucester when the news of the king's death arrived. Isabella and the earl of Pembroke immediately caused prince Henry to be proclaimed in the streets of that city. In the coronation-letter of Henry III. is preserved the memory of a very prudent step taken by Isabella as queen-mother. As the kingdom was in an unsettled and tumultuous state, and as she was by no means assured of the safety of the young king, she provided for the security of both her sons by sending the second, prince Richard, to Ireland, which was at that time loyal and tranquil. The boy-king says in his proclamation,² "The lady queen our mother, has, upon advice, and having

¹ The noble monument of king John, in black marble, with his fine effigy, is to be seen in Worcester cathedral, though now removed to the choir, at some distance from the desirable neighbourhood of the Saxon saint. John was reckoned by his contempo-

raries extremely handsome; but the great breadth over the cheek and ear, which is the leading characteristic of this monarch, is not consistent with modern ideas of beauty.

² *Fœdera*, vol. i.

our assent to it, sent our brother Richard to Ireland, yet so that you and our kingdom can speedily see him again."

Only nine days after the death of John, the queen caused her young son to be crowned in the cathedral of Gloucester.¹ Although so recently a widow, the extreme exigencies of the times forced Isabella to assist at her child's coronation. The regal diadem belonging to his father being lost in Lincoln Washes,² and the crown of Edward the Confessor being far distant in Westminster-abbey, the little king was crowned with a gold throat-collar belonging to his mother. A very small part of England recognised the claims of Isabella's son: even Gloucester was divided, the citizens who adhered to the young king being known by the cross of Aquitaine cut in white cloth and worn on the breast. Henry was then just nine years old; but though likely to be a minor for some years, it must be observed that the queen-mother was offered no share in the government; and as several queens of England had frequently acted as regents during the absence of their husbands or sons, this exclusion is a proof that the English held Isabella in little esteem. London and the adjacent counties were then in the hands of Louis of France. Among other possessions, he held the queen's dower-palace of Berkhamstead, which was strongly garrisoned with French soldiers. However, the valour and wisdom of the Protector Pembroke, and the intrepidity of Hubert de Burgh, in a few months cleared England of these intruders.

Before her year of widowhood had expired, Isabella retired to her native city Angoulême, July, 1217. The princess Joanna resided in the vicinity of her mother's domains, at Lusignan, the castle of her betrothed lord, the count de la Marche. Nothing could be more singular than the situation of queen Isabella as mother to the promised bride of count Hugh, and that bride under ten years of age. The valiant Lusignan himself was absent from his territories, venting his superfluous combativeness and soothing his crosses in love with a crusade. The demise of his father obliged him to revisit Poitou in 1220, where he was frequently in company with the queen of England, who was at the same time his own early betrothed, and the mother of his young *fiancée*. Queen Isabella, at the age of thirty-four, still retained that marvellous

¹ Speed's Chronicle.

² Reports were circulated in Norfolk that the royal circlet of king John was certainly found, in the late excavation for the Eau brink drainage, near the spot indicated by chroniclers as the scene of this loss; and a well-sinker, who knew nothing of history, informed a gentleman of Norfolk of a curious discovery he made, when digging for a well in the same neighbourhood. "I found," said he, "in the course of my well-digging, a king's crown." On being desired to describe

it, he declared that it was not larger than the top of a quart pot, but cut out in ornaments round the top; that it looked black, and that he had no idea of the value, for when a Jew pedlar offered him three pounds ten shillings, he was glad to accept it, but he afterwards heard that the Jew had made upwards of fifty pounds by the speculation. This was, most likely, one of the golden coronals or circlets fixed at the back of the king's helmet, as its size shows that it was not the regal crown.

beauty which had caused her to be considered the Helen of the middle ages. It is therefore no great wonder that she quickly regained her old place in the constant heart of the valiant Lusignan. Isabella had had bitter cause during years of splendid misery as the consort of the faithless tyrant, John, to regret the betrothed husband of her youth. Thrown once more into the society of the man to whom she had once stood in a position so tender, she found it impossible to allow him to wed her daughter or any other woman, and yielding to the impulse of her feelings, she astounded all Christendom by becoming his wife. Thus completing in her thirty-fifth year the plight she had broken in her fifteenth. As she had not signified her intention to her royal son, nor asked the consent of the English council of regency, she was deprived of her queenly dower, to the surprise and indignation of her bridegroom. Isabella's letter to her son Henry III. on the subject, has recently been discovered among the Norman rolls in the Tower of London. It is in accordance with the artifice of her character:—"To our dearest son Henry, by the grace of God king of England, lord of Ireland, duke of Normandy and Aquitaine, Isabella, countess of Anjou and Angoulême, sends health and her maternal benediction. We hereby signify to you, that when the counts of Marche and Eu¹ departed this life, the lord Hugh de Lusignan remained alone and without heirs in Poitou; and his friends would not permit that our daughter should be united to him in marriage, *because her age is so tender*, but counselled him to take a wife from whom he might speedily hope for an heir; and it was proposed that he should take a wife in France, which if he had done, all your land in Poitou and Gascony would be lost. We, therefore, seeing the great peril that might accrue if that marriage should take place (when our counsellors could give us no better advice), ourself married the count de Marche; and God knows that we did this rather for your benefit than our own. Wherefore we entreat you, dear son, that this thing may be pleasing to you, seeing it conduces greatly to the profit of you and yours; and we earnestly pray that you will restore to him (her unmarried spouse) his lawful right; that is, Niort,² and the castles of Exeter and Rockingham, which your father, our former husband, bequeathed us."

Isabella's pretence that her marriage was for the good of her son and the preservation of his dominions not producing the desired effect of inducing young king Henry to surrender the dower-castles, and still less the cash she said king John had bequeathed to her, which sum, we strongly suspect, was not in the coffers of the defunct, the count de la

¹ Father and uncle of Hugh de Lusignan, Isabella's former betrothed.

² Niort, on the road from Poitiers to Rochefort, still shows the dower-castle here claimed by Isabella. It is thirteen miles from Poitiers, and but three or four from the famous castle of Lusignan. It still has two

great donjons, each surrounded by eight tourelles. This feudal pile has been used as a prison for the last three centuries. D'Aubigné, madam de Maintenon's father, was imprisoned there for years; and that celebrated lady, if not born at Niort, passed the first years of her life within its walls.

Marche became as troublesome a neighbour to Poitou as his loving spouse had intimated he meant to be, if exasperated; and he detained her young daughter when demanded by the English council. The young king sent no satisfactory answer in return to the demand of the legacy and dower-castle of Niort; but only a letter, dated May 22, addressed "to the count de la Marche, who has married our mother," requiring him to come to England to treat with him on their affairs, and instantly to send his young sister, Joanna, under safe-conduct to Rochelle, to be delivered to his officers.¹ Isabella, however, having ascertained that the little princess was required in order to marry her to the young king of Scots, refused to resign her without the payments and surrenders she had demanded. The count de la Marche forthwith commenced active measures of annoyance against the townsmen of Niort, whose letters to their sovereign, Henry, king of England, are piteous in the extreme, full of complaints of being starved, plundered, and maltreated. The young king wrote to the pope, earnestly requesting him to excommunicate his mother and step-father: the latter he vituperated as a very Judas. Before the pope complied with this dutiful request, he inquired a little into the merits of the case, and found that Henry III. had deprived his royal mother of all, in England and Guienne, that appertained to her as the widow of king John, because she did not ask his leave to marry a second time; and as he was only fourteen, that was scarcely to be expected. After a most voluminous correspondence between the contending parties, on the king of Scots declaring he would not be pacified without a wife from the royal family of England, Henry was glad to make up the difference with his mother, by paying her arrears of jointure, and receiving from the count de la Marche the princess Joanna, then only eleven years of age.

The king of France was the liege lord of count de la Marche, but the countess-queen was infuriated whenever she saw her husband arrayed against the territories of her son, and her sole study was, how French Poitou could be rendered independent of the king of France. "She was a queen," she said, "and she disdained to be the wife of a man who had to kneel before another." She was likewise angry because prince Alphonso, the brother of the king of France, had refused to espouse her infant daughter by the count de la Marche, and married Jane of Thoulouse, a girl of his own age: on this occasion, king Louis created his brother count of Poitiers, and required the count de la Marche, as possessor of French Poitou, to do him homage. Isabella manifested great disdain at the heiress of Thoulouse taking precedence of *her*, the crowned queen of England—mother, as she said, of a king and an empress. From that time she suffered the unfortunate count de la Marche to have no domestic peace, till he transferred his allegiance from Louis IX. to her

¹ Records of the Wakefield tower, Tower of London.

son, Henry III., who undertook the conquest of French Poitou at the instigation of his mother.

Years of disastrous warfare ensued. The husband of Isabella nearly lost his whole patrimony, while the district of the Angoumois was overrun by the French. After king Henry III. lost the battle of Taillebourg, fought on the banks of Isabella's native river, the sparkling Charente, in 1242, a series of defeats followed, which utterly dispossessed both the queen-mother and her husband of their territories. Henry III. fled to Bourdeaux, scarcely deeming himself safe in that city; while the queen-mother, whose pride had occasioned the whole catastrophe, had no resource but to deliver herself up to the mercy of the king of France. The count de la Marche had fought like a lion, but his valour availed little when the minds of his people were against the war. In this dilemma, the countess-queen and her lord determined to send their eldest son, the young Hugh de Lusignan, to see how king Louis seemed disposed towards them. That amiable monarch received the son of his enemies with such benevolence, that the count de la Marche, taking his wife and the rest of the children with him to the camp of St. Louis, threw themselves at his feet, and were very kindly received, —on no worse conditions than doing homage to prince Alphonso for three castles.

Two years afterwards the life of king Louis was attempted, the first time by poison, the second time by the poniard. The last assassin was detected: he confessed that he had been suborned by Isabella. A congress was held by Louis in the neighbourhood of Poitou, where he laid before the prelates and the peers of the southern borders the proofs of the turpitude which had emanated from the family of the count de la Marche. The king wished to hold this consultation before he charged with so black a crime a potentate as high in the ranks of the feudal chivalry as the head of the house of Lusignan,—for the unfortunate count de la Marche was accused of being the instigator of his wife. Isabella, deeming that her sacred station as an anointed queen had prevented all imputation on her conduct, showed the greatest effrontery on the occasion.¹ She affected to believe that the congress was a mere effort of party malice towards her lord; accordingly she summoned all her retainers and attendants, and mounting her horse, rode to the court of inquiry. Either she was not permitted to enter, or her conscience suggested that it might not be quite safe; but she scandalized all beholders by sitting on horseback² at the door of the court while the inquiry went on. This would have been heroic had she been innocent; but as it was it merely showed her daring disposition. Isabella either saw some witness enter who staggered her resolution, or she heard rumours which convinced her that her wickedness was discovered, for suddenly

¹ Guillaume de Nangis.

² French Chronicle, quoted by M. Michelet.

she passed from the height of audacity to the depths of despair. She fled homewards; and when the news came that the assembled peers and prelates considered there were grounds for judicial process, she threw herself into transports of fury, tore her *guimpe* and her hair, and snatching her dagger, would have plunged it into her breast, if it had not been wrested from her hand.¹ And here, as a lady's dress is concerned, we beg to draw attention to the cap that the queen tore to tatters in her rage, called a *guimpe*, which is a *wimple*, an article of female gear, which occasions long and angry discussions among our brother historical antiquaries; but we hope the portrait of Isabella has settled the pattern to their general satisfaction, for they must own that Isabella could not have pulled off and torn her wimple unless she had worn one. Fashions did not change in those days oftener than once in a quarter of a century, and her beautiful enamelled statue at Fontevraud² shows that she wore the matronly wimple at the time of her death.

Isabella's excess of rage brought on a severe illness, rather fortunately for her at that crisis. It gave some colour to her subsequent escape into her son's dominions; she affected to seek medical advice, but she really sought refuge at the same time at his royal abbey of Fontevraud in Anjou. The Benedictine ladies gave her shelter in those apartments which were set apart for any members of their royal benefactor's family who were sick or penitent,—laden with ills of body or soul. No one could be more indisposed in both than Isabella of Angoulême, nor did she feel any security until she was enclosed in that retreat called "the secret chamber of Fontevraud."³ Matthew Paris observes, "that she lived at her ease, though the Poitevins and French, considering her as the origin of the disastrous war with France, called her by no other name than Jezebel, instead of her rightful appellation of Isabel." He adds, "that the whole brunt of this disgraceful business fell upon her unfortunate husband and son. They were seized, and about to be tried on this accusation of poisoning, when count de la Marche made appeal to battail, and offered to prove in combat with his accuser Alphonso, brother to St. Louis, that his wife was belied." Alphonso, who appears to have had no great stomach for the fray, declined it, on the plea that count Hugh was so "treason-spotted" it would be pollution to fight with him. Then Isabella's young son Hugh dutifully offered to fight in the place of his sire, and Alphonso actually appointed the day and place to meet him; nevertheless, he again withdrew, excusing himself on the plea of the infamy of the family. "This sad news," says old Matthew, "for evil tidings hasten fast, soon reached the ears of Isabella in the secret chamber of Fontevraud." The affront offered to her brave

¹ French Chronicle, quoted by Vatout, Hist. of Eu.

² See the portrait of Isabella of Angoulême,

vol. i. of the Illustrated 'Lives of the Queens of England,' in eight vols. Longman & Co.

³ Guillaume de Nangis. Recueil de Tillet.

young son broke the heart of Isabella. She never came out of "the secret chamber again, but, assuming the veil, died of a decay brought on by grief, in the year 1246.

As a penance for her sins, she desired to be buried humbly in the common cemetery of Fontevraud. Some years afterwards, her son, Henry III., visiting the tombs of his ancestors at Fontevraud, was shocked at being shown the lowly grave of his mother: he raised for her a stately tomb, with a fine enamelled statue, in the choir at Fontevraud, near that of Henry II. and Eleanora of Aquitaine, her mother-in-law.¹ Her statue is of fine proportions, clad in flowing garments of the royal blue of France, figured with gold, and confined to the waist by a girdle. She wears the wimple and the veil. Her face is oval, with regular and majestic features.

The count de la Marche survived his unhappy partner, although much older. The enmity between him and the family of St. Louis entirely disappeared after the death of Isabella; for her husband shared the crusade that the king of France made to Damietta, and fell, covered with wounds, in one of the eastern battles, fighting by the side of his old antagonist, Alphonso, count of Poitiers, 1249.² Isabella left several children by this marriage,—five sons, and at least three daughters. Her eldest son by the count de la Marche succeeded, not only to his father's domains, but to his mother's patrimony of the Angoumois.

The count de la Marche sent all his younger sons, with his daughter Alice, to Henry III., who provided for them with reckless profusion, to the indignation of his English subjects. The names of his half-brothers are connected with most of the grievances of his troubled reign. The second son of queen Isabella and Marche was Guy de Lusignan, slain at the battle of Lewes; the third, William de Valence, earl of Pembroke, well known in English chronicle; the fourth, Aymer de Valence, bishop of Winchester. The sons of Isabella derived their appellations from the places where she resided when she gave them birth; those called "de Valence" were born at her lord's great citadel of that name, and the others at his more celebrated feudal castle of Lusignan.

¹ M. of West.

² Montfaucon.

ELEANOR OF PROVENCE,

SURNAMED LA BELLE,

QUEEN OF HENRY III.

CHAPTER I.

ELEANOR of Provence was perhaps the most unpopular queen that ever presided over the court of England. She was unfortunately called to share the crown and royal dignity of a feeble-minded sovereign at an earlier age than any of her predecessors, for at the time of her marriage with king Henry she had scarcely completed her fourteenth year,¹ a period of life when her education was imperfect, her judgment unformed, and her character precisely that of a spoiled child, of precocious beauty and genius,—perilous gifts! which in her case served but to foster vanity and self-sufficiency.

This princess was the second of the five beautiful daughters of Berenger, count of Provence, the grandson of Alfonso, king of Arragon. Berenger was the last and most illustrious of the royal Provençal counts; and even had he not been the sovereign of the land of song, his own verses would have entitled him to a distinguished rank among the troubadour poets.² His consort Beatrice, daughter of Thomas, count of Savoy, was scarcely less celebrated for her learning and literary powers. From her accomplished parents the youthful Eleanor inherited both a natural taste and a practical talent for poetry, which the very air she breathed tended to foster and encourage. Almost before she entered her teens, she had composed an heroic poem in her native Provençal tongue, which is still in existence. The composition of this romance was the primary cause to which the infanta Eleanor of Provence owed her elevation to the crown-matrimonial of England. Her father's major-domo and confidant, Romeo, was the person to whose able management count Berenger was indebted for his success in matching his portionless daughters with the principal potentates of Europe.³ Eleanor, prompted by

¹ M. Paris.

² Sismondi's Literature.

³ Crescembini. Romeo is mentioned by Dante as one of the greatest Italian poets of his time: he was tutor to Eleanor and her

sister Marguerite. Far from reaping any benefit for himself from his faithful and successful match-making in behalf of his patron's daughters, Dante tells us that Romeo expe-

this sagacious counsellor, sent to Richard, earl of Cornwall, Henry III.'s brother, her Provençal romance, on the adventures of Blandin of Cornwall, and Guillaume of Miremas his companion, who undertook great perils for the love of the princess Briende and her sister Irlande (probably Britain and Ireland), dames of incomparable beauty.

Richard of Cornwall¹ was highly flattered by the attention of the young princess, who was so celebrated for her personal charms that she was called Eleanor la Belle; but as it was out of his power to testify his grateful sense of the honour by offering his hand and heart to the royal Provençal beauty in return for her romantic rhymes, he being already the husband of one good lady, he obligingly recommended her to his brother, Henry III., for a queen. That monarch, whose share of learning and imaginativeness far exceeded his wit and judgment, had been disappointed in no less than five attempts to enter the holy pale of matrimony, with as many different princesses. He would fain have espoused a princess of Scotland, whose eldest sister had married his great minister, Hubert de Burgh, but his nobles, from jealousy of Hubert, dissuaded him from this alliance.² He then vainly sued for a consort in the courts of Bretagne, Austria, and Bohemia. At length, wholly dispirited by his want of success in every matrimonial negotiation into which he had entered, the royal Cœlebs having arrived at the age of twenty-five, began, no doubt, to imagine himself devoted to a life of single blessedness, and remained four years without further attempts to provide himself with a queen.

In 1235, however, he again took courage, and offered his hand to Joanna, the daughter of the earl of Ponthieu; and having, for the first time in his life, received a favourable answer to his proposals, a contract of marriage with this lady was signed, and ambassadors despatched for the pope's dispensation; but when they were within a few days' journey of Rome, he sent word that he had altered his mind, and charged them not to proceed. This sudden change of purpose was occasioned by the agreeable impression Henry had received from his brother, Richard, earl of Cornwall, of the beauty and brilliant genius of his fair correspondent, Eleanor of Provence.³

rienced the proverbial ingratitude of princes, and was driven from the court in disgrace in his old age.

* Four daughters, and each one of them a queen,
Had Raymond Berenger; this grandeur all
By poor Romeo had accomplished been."—WRIGHT'S *Dante*.

¹ 'Lives of the Troubadours,' by Nostradamus, who very stupidly mistakes Richard, earl of Cornwall, for his uncle Cœur de Lion; but Fauriel has, in the '*Revue des Deux Mondes*,' satisfactorily explained the blunder. The poem written by the princess Eleanor bears marks of its origin, being precisely the sort of composition that a child, or young girl of

some genius and no literary experience, might have composed.

² Rabin.

³ We find in Rymer's *Fœdera*, about this period, a letter written by Henry III. to the earl of Savoy brother to the countess Beatrice, Eleanor's mother, entreating his friendly assistance in bringing about the marriage.

As soon as Henry thought proper to make known to his court that he had broken his engagement with the maid of Ponthieu, his nobles, according to Hemmingford, were so obliging as to recommend him to marry the very lady on whom he had secretly fixed his mind. As Louis IX. of France (afterwards styled St. Louis) was married to Eleanor's eldest sister, the infanta Marguerite of Provence, Henry's counsellors were of opinion that great political advantages might be derived from this alliance. The matrimonial treaty was opened June, 1235. Henry discreetly made choice of three sober priests, for his procurators at the court of count Berenger,¹—the bishops of Ely and Lincoln, and the prior of Hurtle: to these were added the master of the Temple. Though Henry's age more than doubled that of the fair maid of Provence, of whose charms and accomplishments he had received such favourable reports, and he was aware that the poverty of the generous count her father was almost proverbial, his constitutional covetousness impelled him to demand the enormous portion of twenty thousand marks with this fairest flower of the land of roses and sweet song.

Count Berenger, in reply, objected on the part of his daughter, to the very inadequate dower Henry would be able to settle upon her during the life of his mother, queen Isabella. Henry, on this, proceeded to lower his demands from one sum to another, till finding that the impoverished but high-spirited Provençal count was inclined to resent his sordid manner of bargaining for the nuptial portion,² and being seriously alarmed lest he should lose the lady, he in a great fright wrote to his ambassadors, "to conclude the marriage forthwith, either with money or without; but at all events to secure the lady for him, and conduct her safely to England without delay." After the contract was signed, Henry wrote both to the count and countess of Provence, requesting them "to permit the nuptials of Eleanor to be postponed till the feast of St. Martin, and to explain to their daughter that such was his wish."

Eleanor was dowered in the reversion of the queen-mother Isabella of Angoulême's dower, whose jointure is recapitulated in the marriage-treaty between Henry and his future consort; but no immediate settlement is specified for the young queen. The royal bride, having been delivered with due solemnity to king Henry's ambassadors, commenced her journey to England. She was attended on her progress by all the chivalry and beauty of the south of France, a stately train of nobles, ladies, minstrels, and jongleurs, with crowds of humbler followers.

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*.

² In the postscript to his private instructions to John, the son of Philip, his seneschal, and his procurators, Henry subjoins the following scale of progressive abatements, which he empowers his "trusty and well-beloved" to

make from his first demand of 20,000 marks: 15,000—10,000—7,000—5,000—3,000.—Rymer's *Fœdera*. It is by no means certain that even the paltry minimum here named by the royal calculator was obtained.

Eleanor was treated with peculiar honours by Thibaut, the poet-king of Navarre, who feasted her and her company for five days, and guarded them in person, with all his knights and nobles, to the French frontier. There she was met and welcomed by her eldest sister, the consort of St. Louis; and, after receiving the congratulations of these illustrious relatives, she embarked for England, landed at Dover, and, on the 4th of January, 1236, was married to king Henry III. at Canterbury by the archbishop, St. Edmund of Canterbury.¹

Piers of Langtoft gives us the following description of the royal bride:—

“ Henry, our king, at Westminster took to wife
The earl's daughter of Provence, the fairest may in life;
Her name is Elinor, of gentle nurture;
Beyond the sea there was no such creature.”

All contemporary chronicles, indeed, whether in halting English rhymes or sonorous Latin prose—to say nothing of the panegyrical strains of her countrymen, the Provençal poets,—are agreed in representing this princess as well deserving the surname of “*la Belle*.”

King Henry conducted his youthful consort to London with great pride, attended by a splendid train of nobility and ecclesiastics, who had accompanied the sovereign to Canterbury in order to assist at his nuptials. Preparations of the most extraordinary magnificence were made for the approaching coronation of the newly-wedded queen, which was appointed to take place on the feast of St. Fabian and St. Sebastian, sixteen days only after the bridal, being the 20th of January. Previous to that august ceremony, Henry had caused great improvements to be made in the palace of Westminster for the reception of his young consort. There is a precept, in the twentieth year of his reign, directing “that the king's great chamber at Westminster be painted a good green colour, like a curtain: that, in the great gable or frontispiece of the said chamber a French inscription should be painted, and that the king's little wardrobe should also be painted of a green colour, to imitate a curtain.” The queen's chamber was beautified and adorned with historical paintings at the same time.

The Saturday before the queen was crowned, Henry laid the first stone of the Lady-chapel, in Westminster-abbey. We read also that the good citizens of London, in their zealous desire of doing honour to their new queen, set about the scarcely less than Herculean labour of cleansing their streets from mud, and all other offensive accumulations, with which they were, at that season of the year, rendered almost impassable. This laudable purification, which must have been regarded almost as a national blessing, being happily effected, the loyal citizens prepared all sorts of costly pageantry to grace the coronation-festival, and delight the young queen.

¹ M Paris.

Eleanor was just at the happy age for enjoying all the gaiety and succession of brave shows and dainty devices detailed by Matthew Paris, who, after describing streets hung with different-coloured silks, garlands, and banners, and with lamps, cressets, and other lights at night, concludes by saying,—“But why need I recount the train of those who performed the offices of the church? why describe the profusion of dishes which furnished the table, the abundance of venison, the variety of fish, the diversity of wine, the gaiety of the jugglers, the comeliness of the attendants? Whatever the world could produce for glory or delight, was there conspicuous.”¹

The most remarkable feature in the coronation of Eleanor of Provence must have been the equestrian procession of the citizens of London, who, on that occasion, claimed the office of cellarers to the king of England. The claim of his loyal citizens having been wisely granted, they venturously mounted swift horses, and rode forth to accompany the king and queen from the Tower, clothed in long garments, embroidered with gold and silk of divers colours. They amounted to the number of three hundred and sixty. Their steeds were finely trapped in array, with shining bits and new saddles, each citizen bearing a gold or silver cup in his hand for the royal use, the king's trumpeters sounding before them; and so rode they in at the royal banquet (better riders, belike, were they than the men who wear long gowns in the city of London in these degenerate days), and served the king and that noble company with wine, according to their duty.² The mayor of London, Andrew Buckerel, the pepperer, headed this splendid civic cavalcade, and claimed the place of master Michael Belot, the deputy of Albini, earl of Arundel, the grand boteler or pincerna of England; but he was repulsed by order of the king, who said, “No one ought by right to perform that service but master Michael.” The mayor submitted to the royal decision in this matter of high ceremonial, and served the two bishops at the king's right hand. After the banquet, the earl-boteler received the cup out of which the king had drunk as a matter of right; and master Michael, his deputy, received the earl's robes. Gilbert de Sandford claimed, for the service of keeping the queen's chamber-door at this coronation, the queen's bed and all its furniture, as her chamberlain.³ The barons of the Cinque-ports made their claim to carry, as usual, the canopy over the queen's head,—a right which was fruitlessly disputed by “the marchers” of Wales. Alms were bounteously distributed to the poor on this occasion,

¹ M. Paris. City Record. Speed.

As cellarers, they handed the wine to the royal butler. Speed. City records.

² As the citizens of London had claimed the service of the butlery, so those of Winchester claimed that of the royal kitchen; but the

doings of the men of Winchester, in the capacity of cook's assistants, have not been recorded. The cloth that hung behind the king's table was claimed, on the one side by the door-keepers, and on the other by the scullions, as their perquisite.

king Henry, with all his faults, being one of the most charitable of princes.

The most sumptuous and splendid garments ever seen in England were worn at the coronation of the young queen of Henry III. The peaceful and vigorous administration of Pembroke and Hubert de Burgh had filled England with wealth and luxury, drawn from their commerce with the south of France. The citizens of London wore at this splendid ceremony garments called cyclades, a sort of upper robe, made of velvet worked with gold. Henry III., who was the greatest fop in his dominions, did not, like king John, confine his wardrobe precepts to the adornment of his own person, but liberally issued benefactions of satin, velvet, cloth of gold, and ermine for the apparelling of his royal ladies. No homely dress of green cloth was ordered for the attire of his lovely queen; but when a mantle lined with ermine was made by his tailors for himself, another as rich was given out for Eleanor.

The elegant fashion of chaplets of gold and jewels, worn over the hair, was adopted by this queen, whose jewellery was of a magnificent order, and is supposed to have cost her doting husband nearly 30,000*l.*—an enormous sum, if reckoned according to the value of our money: Eleanor had no less than nine guirlands, or chaplets,¹ for her hair, formed of gold flagree and clusters of coloured precious stones. For state occasions she had a great crown, most glorious with gems, worth 1500*l.* at that era; her girdles were worth 5000 marks, and the coronation present given by her sister, queen Marguerite of France, was a large silver peacock, whose train was set with sapphires and pearls, and other precious stones, wrought with silver. This elegant piece of jewellery was used as a reservoir for sweet waters, which were forced out of its beak into a basin of chased silver.

Henry III. was the first prince who wore the costly material called baudekins: arrayed in a garment of this brilliant tissue of gold, he sat upon his throne and “glittered very gloriously”² at his bridal coronation. The expenses of this ceremonial were enormous. Henry expended the portion of his sister Isabella, just married to the emperor of Germany, in defraying them.³ When he petitioned the lords for a thirtieth of his subjects' property as a relief from his difficulties, they told him

¹ See the elegant description of this kind of head-dress, in the Lay of Sir Launfel, written a few years after:

“Their heads were dight well, withal,
Each with a jolly coronal
With sixty gems or rue.”

² M. Paris.

³ Henry had indeed fitted his sister out with a sumptuous wardrobe, the details of which he had personally superintended, with a degree of minute attention to linings, trimmings, purflings and garniture perfectly sur-

prising in a male sovereign, but quite in accordance with the general frivolity of this monarch's character, and his taste for finery. He also favoured the officers of the wardrobe with a particular inventory of the dresses of the princess, and a description of the material and fashion of each, even to the *robe de chambre*; and having, by the extra pains for his sister's outward adornment, we suppose satisfied his conscience, he appropriated the rest of her portion to his own use.—Rapin. Strutt's British Costume.

"they had amply supplied funds both for his marriage, and that of the empress; and as he had wasted the money, he might defray the expenses of his wedding as he could." Great offence was taken by the nation at the number of foreigners, especially Italians, who accompanied, or followed, queen Eleanor to England. Among these was her uncle, Peter of Savoy, one of the younger brothers of the countess of Provence. King Henry created him earl of Richmond: and, at the suit of the queen, bestowed upon him that part of London since called from him "the Savoy." Peter founded there a noble palace, which the queen, his niece, afterwards purchased of him for her son, Edmund, earl of Lancaster.¹

In the course of one short year the ascendancy which the uncle of his young queen gained over the plastic mind of Henry was so considerable, that the administration of the kingdom was entirely left to his discretion, and all the patronage of church and state passed through his hands. Richard, earl of Cornwall, at that time the heir-presumptive to the throne, though greatly attached to the king his brother, reprobated Henry's conduct in permitting the intrusion and interference of the queen's foreign relatives and attendants; bidding his brother "follow the prudent example of their brother-in-law, the emperor, who, when he received their sister, the princess Isabella, sent back all her train of followers." The king of France, too, he reminded Henry, had taken the same course, when he married the elder sister of queen Eleanor.²

In the fourth year of her marriage Eleanor brought an heir to England. The young prince was born on the 16th of June, 1239, at Westminster, and received the popular name of Edward, in honour of Edward the Confessor, for whose memory Henry III. cherished the deepest veneration. A comet, which had approached with the utmost velocity every night, occupied a great portion of the heavens; before it went flames, and behind it a long tail of smoke. It attained its greatest altitude at the hour of the birth of the heir of England. Eleanor eagerly inquired what the comet portended to her babe. She was informed by the astrologers in employ that the bright flames which preceded it promised brilliant fortunes to the new-born son; but the long train of smoke great calamity to his son and successor.³

The celebrated earl of Leicester⁴ was one of the godfathers of prince Edward, and held him at the baptismal font: he was then in the height

¹ Pennant's London.

² M. Paris.

³ Ubaldina Vita delli Donni illustri.

⁴ Simon de Montfort, earl of Leicester, the third son of Simon, count de Montfort, the sanguinary leader of the crusade against the Albigenes. He had served the office of seneschal, or high steward of the royal household, at the coronation of the queen: and this year Henry, with his own hand, secretly be-

stowed upon him his widowed sister, Eleanor countess of Pembroke, in St. Stephen's chapel, though the princess had vowed to become a nun. There were circumstances, it should seem, that rendered a hasty marriage necessary; and an enormous bribe from Henry purchased a dispensation for this marriage from the pope, the lady having taken the ring, but not the veil of a nun.—Matthew Paris. Speed. Rapin.

of favour, both with Eleanor and the king. But the scene changed before the queen left her lying-in chamber; for when she gave a grand festival on occasion of her churching,¹ and the king summoned all the great ladies of the land to attend the queen to church, Leicester brought his newly-wedded wife, the king's sister, to perform her devoir to Eleanor, but was received with a burst of fury by Henry, who called him "the seducer of his sister and an excommunicated man, and ordered his attendants to turn him out of the palace." Leicester endeavoured to remonstrate, but Henry would not hear him, and he was expelled, weeping with rage, and vowing vengeance against the queen, to whose influence he attributed this reverse.

Among many other proofs of attention paid by Henry to his young queen on the birth of his heir, we find that he ordered "the chamber behind her chapel, in his palace of Westminster, and the private chamber of that apartment, supposed to be Eleanor's dressing-room, to be freshly wainscoted and lined, and that a list or border should be made, well painted with images of our Lord and angels, with incense-pots scattered over the list or border." He also directed that the four Evangelists should be painted in the queen's chamber, and that a crystal vase should be made for keeping the relics he possessed.

A few curious particulars, illustrative of the interior of the ancient palace of our English kings at Woodstock, may be gathered from the following minute instructions contained in a precept² addressed by Henry III., in the 25th of his reign, to the keeper of that palace, directing him "to cause an extension of the iron trellises on the steps leading from our chamber to the *herbarium*, or garden; also of the wooden lattices in two windows of our queen's chamber, and to cause a pent to be made over these windows, covered with lead; and an aperture to be made in the pent, between the hall and our queen's chamber and the chapel towards the borders of our herbarium, and two windows of white glass looking towards the said borders. Two spikes, also, in the gable of our hall, and windows of the same kind on the east of the hall; and the pictures now in the hall are to be repaired. And we desire that all the courts, fountains, and walls of our houses there be repaired."

Independently of his noble taste in architecture, of which Westminster-abbey is a standing proof, Henry III. was undoubtedly possessed of a love for the fine arts, for we find, in the seventeenth year of his reign, a precept directed to the sheriff of Hampshire, commanding him to cause the king's wainscoted chamber, in the castle of Winchester, to be painted with Saxon histories, and the same pictures with which it had been painted before; which proves, not only that historical paintings in oil on wainscot were then in use, but that they had been

¹ Sandford's *Genealogies*.

² Rot. Liberati, 25th of Henry III., m. 23.

painted so long that the colours were faded, and required renewing. Again, we have a precept of Henry III., several years after this period, which runs thus:—"Pay out of our treasury to Odo the goldsmith, and Edward his son, one hundred and seventeen shillings and tenpence, for oil, varnish, and colours bought, and pictures made in the chamber of our queen, at Westminster, between the octaves of Holy Trinity and the feast of St. Barnabas, the same year, in the twenty-third year of our reign."¹

Gardening was by no means neglected in the reign of this prince; for Matthew Paris mentions "that the inclement year 1257 was a year of famine: that apples were scarce and pears scarce; but that figs and cherries, plums, and all kinds of fruit included in shells, had totally failed. Several of these fruits are afterwards named in our annals as lately introduced, in the reign of Henry VIII.; but there is not a doubt that the civilization of England had greatly retrograded from the time of the Provençal queens. During the barbarous wars, from the reign of Henry V. to Richard III., England had lost many arts, even horticulture, for the fruits re-introduced in the reign of king Henry VIII. were undoubtedly cultivated in that of Henry III.

This reign affords the first example of a poet-laureate, in the person of one master Henry, to whom, by the appellation of "our beloved versificator,"² the king orders "one hundred shillings to be given in payment of his arrears." This officer was, in all probability, introduced into the royal household by the Provençal queen, who was, as we have seen, herself a poet, and who had been accustomed in her early youth to be surrounded by minstrels and troubadours in the literary court of her accomplished parents. Fauriel points out several romances written under the superintendence of this king, who, when he married Eleanor of Provence, received a partner whose tastes and pursuits certainly assimilated with his own; and to this circumstance may, no doubt, be attributed the unbounded influence she acquired over his mind, which she retained long after the bloom of youth and beauty had passed away.

While the king and queen were still residing at the palace of Woodstock, about three months after the birth of their heir, an attempt was made on the life of the king by a mad poet named Ribald, or Ribaut, who, according to some of the chroniclers, was a gentleman and a knight.³ One day he rushed into the royal presence, and, before the whole court, called upon Henry to resign the crown, which he had usurped, and so long detained from him. The officers of the household forced him out of the presence-chamber, and would have inflicted a severe chastisement upon him, if the kind-hearted monarch had not

¹ Walpole's *Anecdotes of Painting*. Strutt.

² *Madox*, *Hist. of the Exchequer*.

³ Speed. *M. Paris*

interposed, and charged them "not to hurt a man who talked so like a person out of his senses." The king told them "to take him into his hall, and entertain him hospitably, and let him go." This was done, and Ribaut got into high spirits, and began to be very amusing to the royal retinue, joculating for their entertainment, and singing some choice minstrelsy.¹ Thus he wiled away the time till dark, when he stole into the king's bedchamber through a window, armed with a long sharp knife, and concealed himself among the rushes under the king's bed. Henry, fortunately for himself, passed that night in the queen's chamber, and Ribaut, rising up at midnight, stabbed the bolster of the royal bed several times, searching for the king in vain, and demanding where he was in a loud roaring voice, which so alarmed Margaret Bisset, one of the queen's maids of honour, who was sitting up late, reading a devout book by the light of a lamp, that her shrieks awakened the king's servants, who took him into custody. The unhappy creature was executed at Coventry for this offence.²

The following year two other uncles of the queen, Thomas, count of Savoy, and Boniface, his younger brother, visited England.³ King Henry, out of complaisance to his consort, received and entertained them with such magnificence, that not knowing how to support the charge by honest means, he sent word to the Jews, that unless they presented him with twenty thousand marks he should expel them all the kingdom; and thus he supplied himself with money for his unjust generosity.

The death of St. Edmund, archbishop of Canterbury, furnished Henry with a further opportunity of obliging Eleanor, by obtaining the nomination of her uncle Boniface to the primacy of England. Matthew of Westminster informs us that Eleanor wrote, with her own hand, a very elegant epistle to the pope in his behalf: "taking upon herself," says the worthy chronicler (who appears to have been highly scandalized at female interference in ecclesiastical affairs), "for no other reason than his relationship to her, to urge the cause of this unsuitable candidate in the warmest manner. And so," continues he, "my lord the pope, when he had read the letter, thought proper to name this man, who had been chosen by a woman; and it was commonly said that he was chosen by female intrigue." Among other proofs of Eleanor's unbounded influence over the mind of her lord, it was observed, that when, on the death of Gilbert Mareschal, earl of Pembroke, his brother Walter demanded of the king the office of earl marshal, which was hereditary in his family, Henry at first in a great passion denied him, telling him "that his two brothers were a pair of turbulent traitors, and that he

¹ Wilkes.

² In these days he would have been, with more propriety, consigned to an asylum for lunatics. The expression of "ribald rhymes"

was, no doubt, derived from the name of this frantic versifier of the thirteenth century.

³ M. Paris. Polydore Vergil. Speed.

had presumed to attend a tournament at which he had forbidden him to be present." Yet, when the earl, having succeeded in interesting queen Eleanor in his favour, again preferred his suit, it was immediately granted through her powerful intercession.¹

Queen Eleanor presented her husband with a daughter in the year 1241, who was named Margaret, after her royal aunt, the queen of France. The following year, queen Eleanor, accompanied the king her husband on his ill-advised expedition against her brother-in-law, the king of France,² with whom that peace-loving monarch had suffered himself to be involved in a quarrel, to oblige his mother, Isabella of Angoulême.³ The king and queen embarked at Portsmouth, May 19, 1242. Henry was totally unsuccessful in his attacks on the king of France, and, after a series of defeats, took refuge with his queen at Bordeaux, to the great scandal of all his English knights and nobles. Eleanor gave birth to another daughter at Bordeaux, whom she named Beatrice, after her mother, the countess of Provence.⁴

In consequence of the close connexion between their queens, Louis IX. was induced to grant a truce of five years to his vanquished foe. Henry and Eleanor then resolved to spend a merry winter at Bordeaux, where they amused themselves with as much feasting and pageantry as if Henry had obtained the most splendid victories, although he was impoverished by losing his military chest and his moveable chapel-royal, with all its rich plate, at the battle of Taillebourg. When Henry and Eleanor returned to England, they landed at Portsmouth, and orders were issued that the principal inhabitants of every town on the route to London should testify their loyal affection by coming forth on horseback, in their best array, to meet and welcome their sovereign and his queen.⁵

During the residence of the royal family on the continent, queen Eleanor strengthened her interest by bringing about a union between her youngest sister, Cincia, or Sancha, and the king's brother, Richard, earl of Cornwall, who had recently become a widower. The marriage was solemnized in England, whither the countess of Provence conducted the affianced bride in the autumn of the same year. Henry called upon the Jews to furnish the funds for the splendid festivities which he thought proper to ordain, in honour of the nuptials between his brother and the sister of his queen. One Jew alone, the rich Aaron, of York, was compelled to pay no less than four hundred marks of gold and four thousand of silver; and the Jews of London were mulcted in like proportion. The dinner at this bridal consisted of thirty thousand dishes. The countess of Provence, not contented with the splendour of her entertainment, thought proper, before she departed, to borrow four thousand marks of the king for the use of her husband. "The king,"

¹ M. Paris.² M. West. Rapin.³ Ibid.⁴ Ibid.⁵ Speed.

say the chroniclers of that day, "thought he never could do enough to testify his love for the queen and her family."¹

The misconduct of Eleanor's uncles, and their unfitness for the high and responsible situation in which they were placed in England, may be gathered from the following disgraceful fracas, which took place between the archbishop Boniface and the monks of St. Bartholomew. Boniface, archbishop of Canterbury, thought proper to intrude himself in the bishop of London's diocese, on a visitation to the priory of St. Bartholomew. The monks, though they liked not his coming, received him with respect, and came out in solemn procession to meet him; but the archbishop said "he came not to receive honour, but for the purposes of ecclesiastical visitation." The monks replied, "We have a learned bishop of our own, and ought not to be visited by any other." This answer was so much resented by the wrathful primate, that he smote the sub-prior on the face, exclaiming, in his ungoverned fury, "Indeed! indeed! Doth it become ye English traitors thus to withstand me?" and, with oaths not proper to repeat, he tore the rich cope of the sub-prior to pieces, and trampled it under his feet, and thrust him against a pillar of the chancel with such violence, that he had well-nigh slain him. The monks seeing their sub-prior thus maltreated, pushed the archbishop back, and in so doing discovered that he was cased in armour, and prepared for battle. The archbishop's attendants, who were all Provençals to a man, then fell on the monks, whom they beat, buffeted, and trampled under foot. The monks, in their rent and miry garments, ran to show their wounds, and to complain of their wrongs to their bishop, who bade them go and tell the king thereof. The only four who were capable of getting as far as Westminster proceeded to the palace in a doleful plight; but the king would neither see them nor receive their complaint.² The populace of London were, however, disposed to tear the archbishop to pieces, pursuing him all the way to Lambeth with execrations, crying aloud, "Where is this ruffian—this cruel smiter? He is no winner of souls, but an exacter of money,—a stranger born, unlearned, and unlawfully elected." Boniface fled over to the palace, where he made his story good with the king through the influence of the queen, his niece; and the monks of St. Bartholomew got no redress.

The following year, the threatened war between England and Scotland was averted by a contract of marriage, in which the hand of the eldest daughter of Henry and Eleanor, the infant lady Margaret, was pledged to the eldest son of Alexander II.³ About this time Henry ordered all the poor children from the streets and highways round Windsor and its neighbourhood, to be collected and munificently feasted in the great hall of the palace there. Afterwards the royal children

¹ M. Paris.² M. West.³ M. Paris. M. West.

were all publicly weighed, and their weight in silver distributed in alms among the destitute individuals present, for the good of the souls of the princely progeny of himself and queen Eleanor.

In the beginning of the year 1245, the queen bore a second son, prince Edmund, and the king levied a fine of fifteen hundred marks on the city of London, under pretence that they had sheltered one Walter Bukerel, whom he had banished. Henry was encouraged in his unconstitutional proceedings by a very trivial circumstance. A fire broke out in the pope's palace, and destroyed the chamber in which the principal deed of Magna Charta was kept, which made the queen fancy that it was rendered null and void. England was at this period in such a state of misrule, that in Hampshire no jury dared to find a bill against any plunderer. Matthew Paris declares "king Henry complained to him, that when he was travelling with the queen through that county, their luggage was robbed, their wine drunk, and themselves insulted by the lawless rabble." Such was the insurgent state of Hampshire, that king Henry could find no judge or justiciary who would undertake to see the laws duly executed. In this dilemma he was forced to sit on the bench of justice himself in Winchester-castle: and no doubt the causes determined by him, and his manner of declaring judgment, would have been well worth the attention of modern reporters. While thus presiding personally on the King's-bench, Henry had occasion to summon lord Clifford to answer at this justice-seat for some offence; the haughty peer not only contumaciously refused his attendance, but forced the king's officer to eat the royal warrant, seal and all!¹ Henry punished him with spirit and courage.

One great cause of the queen's unpopularity in London originated from the unprincipled manner in which she exercised her influence to compel all vessels freighted with corn, wool, or any peculiarly valuable cargo, to unlade their cargoes at Queenhithe; because at that port (the dues of which formed a part of the revenues of the queen-consorts of England) the tolls were paid according to the value of the lading.² This arbitrary mode of proceeding was without parallel on the part of her predecessors, and was considered as a serious grievance by the masters of vessels and merchants in general.³ At last Eleanor, for a certain sum of money, sold her rights in this quay to her brother-in-law, Richard, earl of Cornwall, who let it as a fee-farm to John Gisors, the mayor of London, for the sake of putting an end to the perpetual disputes between the merchants of London and the queen. Henry, during the disputes regarding the queen's gold, revived the old Saxon custom of convening folkmotes;⁴ and by this means reminded the commons, as the great body of his subjects were called, that they had a political existence no less than the barons of Eng'and,—and they never again forgot it.

¹ *Regal Annals*, quoted by Speed. ² *Harrison's Survey of London*. *Ibid.* ³ *Ibid.*

Modern writers have asserted, that there was no middle class in the days of the Plantagenets: what then, may we ask, were the citizens of London, those munificent and high-spirited merchants, whose wealth so often in this reign excited the cupidity of the court? If the conduct of the king and queen towards this class of their subjects had been guided by a more enlightened policy, they might have found in their loyal affection no trivial support against Leicester and the disaffected aristocracy of England; but, excited by the rapacity of Eleanor, the king pillaged and outraged the citizens, till they threw their weight into the scale of the mighty adversary of the monarchy.

Queen Eleanor was somewhat relieved from her pecuniary difficulties by the death of the queen-mother, Isabella, in 1246, when the dowerlands appointed for the English queens reverted to her. Her mother, now a widow, intent on sharing this wealth, hastened to England, though her husband was on ill terms with Henry. The king was discontented at the manner in which count Berenger had disposed of Provence, to the exclusion of his eldest daughters. He was, besides, very little able to afford gifts to his wife's mother, since he had not at that time wherewithal to meet his household expenses. He was advised, as the parliament refused to assist him with more money, to raise the sum required to satisfy his clamorous creditors by selling his plate and jewels. "But where shall I find purchasers, if money be so scarce?" demanded the king. "In the city of London," was the reply. On this, Henry petulantly observed, "If the treasures of Augustus Cæsar were in the market, the city of London would purchase them, I suppose. Those clownish citizens, who call themselves barons, are an inexhaustible treasury in themselves."¹ With the determination of participating in some of this envied wealth, Henry and Eleanor thought proper to keep the Christmas of 1248 in the city of London, and extorted presents from the most liberal of the leading men there, to the amount of upwards of two thousand marks.² This was, however, far from satisfying the royal visitors. Henry complained that he had not been treated with sufficient respect, and to testify his displeasure, proclaimed a fair in Tothill-fields for the benefit of the men of Westminster, which was to last a fortnight; and during that period he forbade the citizens of London to open their shops for any sort of traffic, to the great injury of trade.³

The extreme straits to which the king and queen were at times reduced for the money they profusely lavished, may be gathered from the fact, that in the twenty-seventh year of his reign, Henry, being without the means of paying the officers of the chapel-royal at Windsor, issued an order to John Mansel, directing him "to pawn the most valuable image of the Virgin Mary for the sum required, but under especial condition that this hallowed pledge be deposited in a decent

¹ M. Paris. Speed.² Survey of London.³ *ibid.*

place.”¹ In the year 1249, the royal coffers being entirely exhausted, and the parliament refusing to grant any aid, Henry proceeded to practise the degrading expedient of soliciting loans and gifts of every person of condition who entered his presence, assuring them, “That it would be a greater act of charity to bestow money on him, than on those who went from door to door begging an alms.”²

The king and queen were next seized with an unwonted fit of economy, and not only forebore to make expensive grants and donations, but put all their servants on short allowance, abridged their wages, and refused to disburse any of the gratuities which the kings and queens of England had been accustomed to bestow. They ceased to put on their royal robes,³ and to save the expense of keeping a table, they daily invited themselves, with their son Prince Edward, and a chosen number of their foreign kindred or favourites, to dine with the rich men of the city of London, or the great men of the court, and manifested much discontent unless presented with costly gifts at their departure, which they took, not as obligations and proofs of loyal affection to their persons, but as matters of right. The cry of the land in this reign was against foreign influence and foreign oppression, and it was a proverb, that “no one but a Provençal or a Poitevin had any hopes of advancement, either in the state or church.” It was difficult to say which were held in the greatest abhorrence, the half-brothers of the king or the uncles of the queen.⁴

On St. Dunstan’s-day, 1251, queen Eleanor’s apartments in Windsor-castle were struck by lightning, and the chimney of the room where she and the royal children were, was thrown down by the violence of the shock, and reduced to dust.² In the parks many oaks were rent asunder and uprooted; mills with their millers, sheepfolds with their shepherds, and husbandmen in the fields, were, by the same awful storm, beaten to the earth and destroyed. The year closed auspiciously with the espousals of the princess Margaret, the eldest daughter of Henry and

¹ Madox.² M. Paris.³ Speed.

⁴ A foreign historian declares that the language of the English was in this reign as barbarous as their manners. To add to other disquiets, there was a regular confusion of tongues, as in England no man rightly understood his neighbour. It was a mark of nobility and gentle breeding for people to converse in Norman-French, or in Provençal; and many affected these languages who knew them not. All the queen’s court spoke Provençal: the law acknowledged no language but Norman-French; the church nothing but Latin; the people a corrupted Saxon: therefore, in addition to her other misfortunes, poor England had to endure the plagues of the tower of Babel. “Some,” says a contemporary writer, “use strange gibbering, chattering, waffling, and grating; then the Nor-

thumbres tongue (and especially at York) is so sharp, flitting, frotying, and unshape, that we Southron men may not understand that language.”—Trevisa. Here we see the different elements, out of which rose our English language, in an actual state of struggle and ferment. The long alliance with Provence certainly threw into the composition of the rising language its share of harmony and elegance, the long reign of Eleanor of Provence, and her constant communication with her own country, aided this transfusion. It is a curious circumstance, that the proclamations to preserve the king’s peace, or at least to make the endeavour, had to be read in three languages,—Saxon, French, and Latin.

⁵ Stowe.

Eleanor, then in her tenth year, to the young king of Scotland, Alexander III., who was about twelve. The nuptials were celebrated with great pomp at York, where the royal families of England and Scotland kept their Christmas together. The youthful bridegroom was knighted by king Henry in York cathedral, on Christmas-day, in the presence of the whole court, and the next morning the marriage was solemnized at an early hour. Henry endeavoured to persuade Alexander to pay him homage for the realm of Scotland; but the princely boy replied that "He came to York to be married, not to discuss an affair on which he, being a minor, could determine nothing without consulting the states of his kingdom." Henry could not find it in his heart to break up the nuptial festivities by insisting on his demand, especially as the archbishop of York had generously promised to be at the expense of all the entertainment, which cost him upwards of four thousand marks, "and six hundred oxen, which," says Matthew Paris, "were all consumed at one meal."

More worthy of remembrance, however, than these enormous devourings of the hospitable archbishop's beef, does the worthy chronicler consider the dignified and princely conduct of the youthful majesty of Scotland at his bridal feast, and his supplication to his royal father-in-law for the pardon of Philip Lovel, one of his ministers, who lay under the king's heavy displeasure at that time. The royal bride joined in the petition, kneeling with her newly-wedded lord at her father's feet, and hanging on his garments. Henry was so moved by the artless earnestness of their supplications, as to be only able to articulate one word, "Willingly;" and all who sat at the feast melted into tears of tenderness and admiration. The object for whom these interesting pleaders used such powerful intercessions was an unworthy peculator, convicted of receiving bribes in the discharge of his office; nevertheless, the misjudging sovereign was persuaded, by the engaging prattle of two inexperienced children, to invest him with the tempting office of treasurer.

The extravagance of dress at these nuptials has been noted by many writers. The nobility were arrayed in vests of silk called "centoises," or "quintises;" and the day after the nuptial ceremony, the queen of England and her ladies laid these new robes aside, and appeared clad in others still more costly, and of a new pattern. *Quintises* were upper or super tunics, with no sleeves, or very short ones, bordered, worked, and notched in various patterns; scarfs were worn by knights *à la quintise*, meaning that they were ornamented with a notched border. The quintise robe was worn by queen Eleanor so long, before and behind, as to trail on the ground, and was held up with one hand, lest her steps should be impeded. The *Roman de la Rose*, speaking of these garments first worn by Eleanor and her court, counsels the ladies, if

their feet and ankles be not small and delicate, to let their robes fall on the pavement and hide them; whilst those whose feet are of a beautiful form may hold up the robe in front, for the convenience of stepping along briskly. The ladies are uncivilly compared to pies and peacocks, "which delight in feathers of various colours: so do our court ladies. The pies have long tails that train in the dirt, but the ladies make their tails a thousand times longer than the peacocks and the pies."

The costume of Eleanor's portrait is that worn on high festivals by the queens of England in the thirteenth century.¹ The head of the queen is encircled with the open gothic crown of floriated trefoils, surmounting a rich band of gems. The royal mantle has a low collar or small cape round the neck, fastening in front with a square *fermoir* of gems and wrought gold; the mantle is bordered with an elegant gold lace of a scale pattern. The close gown fitting to the shape is of gold diapered brocade; the sleeves are cut very deep on the hands, which they nearly cover, a peculiarity pertaining to the era of Eleanor of Provence.

The felicity which the king and queen enjoyed in the celebration of their daughter's union with the Scottish king, was interrupted by the return of Simon de Montfort, earl of Leicester, who had passed six years in a sort of honourable banishment as Governor of Gascony. Deputies had been sent from that province with complaints of Leicester's tyrannical conduct, and he, having succeeded in refuting the charges of his Gascon foes, proceeded to call upon the king to reward him for his services, reminding him of his royal promise to that effect. Henry, with infinite scorn, replied, "I do not consider myself obliged to keep my word with a traitor." Leicester fiercely told the sovereign "He lied, and were he not his king, he would make him eat his words;" adding, "that it was scarcely possible to believe he was a Christian, or ever had made confession of his sins."—"Yes," replied the king, "I am a Christian, and have often been at confession."—"What signifies confession," retorted the earl, "without repentance?"—"I never repented of any thing so much in my life," rejoined the insulted monarch, "as having bestowed favours on one who has so little gratitude and such ill manners."² After this characteristic dialogue, there was nothing but hatred between the king and his insolent brother-in-law.

To add to the troubles of the king and queen at this juncture, even so late as the year 1252, the validity of their marriage was perpetually agitated at the court of Rome, owing to the king's capricious breach of promise with the countess of Ponthieu;³ and this year he was forced to obtain bulls, at a great expense, from Pope Innocent, declaring "the contract of the king of England with Joana (who had been long married to the king of Castile) null and void, and his marriage with

See the eight-volume edition of this work.

¹ M. Paris.

³ *Fœdera*, vol. i.

Eleanor of Provence good matrimony." Henry's temper now became so irascible, that he quarrelled with his best friends; he was more extortionate than ever, and demanded of the clergy a tenth of their revenues, towards the expenses of a projected crusade. He sent for the bishop of Ely, who appeared to have great influence with his brethren, and endeavoured by flattering caresses to secure his interest; but when that conscientious prelate attempted to reason with him on the folly of his conduct, Henry angrily retorted, that "he did not want any of his counsels;" and ordered his officers "to turn him out of doors for an ill-bred fellow as he was."¹

Louis IX. of France, and the gallant retinue by whom he had been attended on his ill-starred expedition to Palestine, were at this time languishing in the most doleful captivity, and the flower of the French chivalry had fallen victims either to the pestilence or the sword. Eleanor talked of accompanying her feeble-minded lord in a crusade for their deliverance; but could not resolve to abandon her painted chambers and jewelled pomp, to expose herself to the peril of hardships and privation like those her sister was suffering at Damietta. The queen was this year again in imminent danger from a thunder-storm; she was with her children visiting the abbey of St. Alban's, when lightning struck the chimney of her chamber, and shivered it to pieces. The abbey-laundry burst into flames; while such a commotion was raised by the elements, that the king's chief-justice (who was escorting two treasure-carts, and had accepted hospitality at the abbey) thinking the whole structure was devoted to destruction, rushed forth into the highway with two friars, fancying a flaming torch or a drawn sword pursued them.

The same summer Henry made preparations for going in person to quell the formidable revolt in Guienne, occasioned by the recall of the earl of Leicester and the misgovernment of prince Edward, who had been appointed as his successor in the fourteenth year of his age. Queen Eleanor, being near her confinement, did not accompany the king, but was solemnly invested by him at his departing with the regency of the kingdom, jointly with his brother Richard, earl of Cornwall, the husband of her sister Sancha of Provence. While Henry was waiting in the neighbourhood of Portsmouth for a favourable wind, he made his will, which is a very interesting document, affording proof of his affection for his queen, and the unbounded confidence which he reposed in her. He says:—"I commit the guardianship of Edward, my eldest son and heir, and of my other children, and of my kingdom of England, and all my other lands in Wales, Ireland, and Gascony, to my illustrious queen Eleanor."²

Attended by the greater number of his barons, king Henry sailed

¹ *M. Paris*

² *Nicolas's Testamenta Vetusta.*

from Portsmouth, August 6th : he arrived at Bourdeaux on the 15th of the same month, and took the command of his army in person.

On the 25th of November Eleanor gave birth to a daughter in London, who was christened with great pomp by the archbishop of Canterbury, her uncle. That primate also stood godfather for the infant princess, and bestowed upon her the name of Katherine, because she was born on St. Katherine's-day. She died very young, and was buried in Westminster-abbey by her two brothers, Richard and John, the third and fourth sons of Henry and Eleanor, who had preceded her to the tomb. These royal children repose in the space between the chapels of St. Edward and St. Bennet.¹

CHAPTER II.

WHEN Henry III. appointed Eleanor regent of England, he left the great seal in her custody, but enclosed in its casket, sealed with the impression of his own privy seal, and with the signets of his brother Richard, earl of Cornwall, and others of his council. It was only to be opened on occasions of extreme urgency. Eleanor was directed to govern by the advice of her royal brother-in-law, but the regal power was vested in her ; and we find that pleas were holden before her and the king's council, in the court of Exchequer, during Henry's absence in Gascony. "At this time," says Madox, "the queen was *custos regni*, and sat *vice regis*." We have thus an instance of a queen-consort performing, not only the functions of a sovereign, in the absence of the monarch, but acting as a judge in the highest court of judicature, *curia regis*. There can be no doubt but this princess took her seat on the King's-bench.

No sooner had queen Eleanor got the reins of empire in her own hands, unrestrained by the counterbalancing power of the great earl o' Leicester, who had volunteered his services to king Henry against the insurgent Gascons, than she proceeded to play the sovereign in a more despotic manner, in one instance at least, than had ever been attempted by the mightiest monarch of the Norman line. Remembering her former disputes with the city of London, she now took the opportunity of gratifying her revenge and covetousness at the same time, by demanding of their magistrates the payment of a large sum, which she insisted they owed her for *aurum reginæ*, or queen-gold,—a due which the queens of England were entitled to claim on every tenth mark paid to the king, as voluntary fines for the royal goodwill in the renewals of leases on crown lands, or the granting of charters. Eleanor, in this instance, most unreasonably demanded her queen-gold on various enor-

¹ Speed.

mous fines that had been unrighteously and vexatiously extorted by the king from the plundered merchants and citizens of London. For the non-payment of this unjust claim, she committed the sheriffs of London, Richard Picard and John de Northampton, to the Marshalsea prison, in the year 1254:¹ and the same year she again committed them, together with Richard Hardell, draper, the mayor, to the same prison, for arrears of an aid towards the war in Gascony. These arbitrary proceedings of the queen-regent were regarded with indignant astonishment in a city governed by laws peculiar to itself,—London being, in fact, a republic within a monarchy, whose privileges had hitherto been respected by the most despotic sovereigns. It had been hoped that Richard, earl of Cornwall, Eleanor's coadjutor in the delegated regal power, would have restrained her from such reckless abuse of the authority with which she had been invested by her absent lord; but since his marriage with her sister, that prince had ceased to oppose the queen in any of her doings. Thus the queen and the countess of Cornwall made common cause, contriving to govern between them the king and his brother, and through them the whole realm, according to their own pleasure.

Early in the year, Eleanor received instructions from the king to summon a parliament, for the purpose of demanding aid for carrying on the war in Gascony. But finding it impossible to obtain this grant, queen Eleanor sent the king five hundred marks from her own private coffers, as a New-year's gift, for the immediate relief of his more pressing exigencies.² Henry then directed his brother to extort from the luckless Jews the sum required for the nuptial festivities of his heir. As soon as Henry received the glittering fruits of this iniquity, he sent for Eleanor, to assist him in squandering away the supply in the light and vain expenses in which they mutually delighted, likewise to grace with her presence the bridal of their eldest son, prince Edward.³ Eleanor who loved power well, but pleasure better, on this welcome summons resigned the cares of government to the earl of Cornwall; and with her sister, the countess of Cornwall, her second son, prince Edmund, and a courtly retinue of ladies, knights, and nobles, sailed from Portsmouth on the 15th of May, and, landing at Bourdeaux, was joyfully welcomed by her husband and their heir, prince Edward, whom she had not seen for upwards of a year. She crossed the Pyrenees with her son, and having assisted at the solemnization of his nuptials with the infanta Eleanora of Castile, returned with the royal bride and bridegroom to king Henry, who was waiting for their arrival at Bourdeaux. Instead of sailing from thence to England, the queen persuaded Henry to accept the invitation of St. Louis, her brother-in-law, to pass some days at his court with their train.

¹ Stowe. Harrison's 'Survey.'² Stowe's Annals.³ M. Paris.

At Chartres, Eleanor enjoyed the pleasure of embracing her sister, the queen of France, who, with king Louis and their nobles, there met and welcomed their royal guests, and conducted them with all due pomp to Paris.¹ Here Louis assigned the palace of the old Temple for the residence of his royal guests; a domicile that could almost furnish accommodations for an army. The morning after their arrival Henry distributed very abundant alms among the Parisian poor, and made a splendid entertainment for the relatives of his queen: this, in memory of the number of crowned heads present, was called "the feast of kings."² Contemporary chroniclers declare that neither Ahasuerus, Arthur, nor Charlemagne ever equalled this feast. King Henry sat at table on the right hand of the king of France, and the king of Navarre on the left. King Louis, with the princely courtesy and meekness which so much characterized the royal saint of France, contended much that the king of England should take the place of honour; but Henry refused to do so, alleging that the king of France was his *suzerain*, in allusion to the lands which he held of him as a vassal peer of France; on which Louis, in acknowledgment of the compliment, softly rejoined, "Would to God that every one had his rights without offence!"

At this memorable entertainment, queen Eleanor enjoyed the happiness of a reunion with her mother, the countess of Provence, her four sisters and their children. Michelet states, that the three elder daughters of the count of Provence being queens, made their youngest sister, Beatrice, sit on a stool at their feet,—hence her extreme desire to be the wife of a king. After the royal family of England had received, during a sojourn of eight days in Paris, all the honour which the power of the king and the wealth of the fair realm of France could bestow, they took their leave of these pleasant scenes. The king and court of France accompanied them one day's journey. Eleanor and her husband landed at Dover on the 5th of January, 1255, and on the 27th made their public entry into London with extraordinary pomp. They received a present of a hundred pounds sterling, which the citizens of London were accustomed to give on such occasions; but as Henry did not seem satisfied, a rich piece of plate of exquisite workmanship was added, which pleased, but certainly did not content, this most acquisitive of all our monarchs; since, a few days after, he extorted a fine of three thousand marks from them, on the frivolous pretence of the escape of a priest from Newgate who was accused of murder. It was very evident to the citizens that Eleanor had not forgotten their resistance of her illegal exactions, for much strife ensued regarding her claims.³

¹ M. Paris. M. West.

² M. Paris.

³ In addition to this imposition, Henry forced the Londoners to pay *fourpence* a-day for the maintenance of a white bear which he

kept in the Tower of London, having six years previously commanded the sheriffs of London to provide a muzzle, an iron chain, and a cord for the use of the said royal pet.

Eleanor, who was probably ambitious of being the mother of as many crowned heads as those by whom she had seen the countess of Provence proudly surrounded at the feast of kings, was much elated at the pope sending her second son, prince Edmund, then about ten years old, a ring, whereby he professed to invest him with the kingdom of Sicily. But the delight of king Henry at the imaginary preferment of his favourite son exceeded all bounds. He caused a seal to be made, with the effigies of the young prince enthroned, bearing the sceptre and orb of sovereignty, and crowned with the royal diadem of Sicily.¹ Henry was only deterred from rushing into a war for the purpose of establishing the imaginary claims of his boy to this dignity, by the necessity of rendering his paternal succour to the king and queen of Scots; queen Eleanor having been informed that they were deprived of royal power and kept in close confinement by the regents, Sir John Baliol and the Comyns, who were the next heirs to the Scottish crown. The maternal anxiety of the queen being very painfully excited by these reports, she privately despatched her physician, a person in whose sagacity she could confide, into Scotland, to learn the real situation of her daughter. This trusty agent ascertained that the king and queen of Scots were both imprisoned in the castle of Edinburgh, but in separate apartments; and having succeeded in gaining a secret interview with the young queen, she gave him a lamentable account of her treatment ever since her marriage,—“Having been rudely torn,” she said, “from her royal husband, and kept apart from him in a doleful damp place, the bad air of which had seriously injured her health; and so far from having any share in the government, they were treated with the utmost contumely, and were in daily peril of their lives.”

When these alarming tidings reached queen Eleanor she was greatly distressed in mind, and herself accompanied king Henry on a campaign which, at her earnest entreaty, he undertook for the deliverance of the young king and queen of Scotland. Eleanor's trouble of mind brought on a violent illness, and she was confined to her bed at Wark-castle, with small hopes of her life. At last tidings came that Gloucester and Mansel had gained admittance into the castle of Edinburgh by assuming the dress of tenants of Baliol, the governor, and, in this disguise, they were enabled to give secret access to their followers, by whom the garrison was surprised, and the rescued king and queen restored to each other. Their cruel gaolers, Baliol and Ross, were brought to king Henry at Alnwick to answer for their treasons: on their throwing themselves at his feet and imploring for mercy, he forgave them; but as Baliol was his own subject he mulcted him in a heavy fine, which he reserved for

while fishing in the river Thames: The menagerie at the Tower was formed in his reign, commencing with three leopards, which his

brother-in-law, the emperor, presented to him. He also had an elephant, which was highly prized by him.

¹ Speed.

his own private use. He then sent for the young king and queen to join him at Alnwick.

Queen Eleanor's illness continued to detain her at Wark-castle, even after her mind was relieved of the anxiety which had caused her sickness. Her indisposition, and extreme desire for her daughter's company, are certified in a letter of king Henry to his son-in-law, the king of Scotland, dated the 20th of September, 1255,¹ in which he specifies, that "The queen of Scotland is to remain with the sick queen her mother, his beloved consort, at Wark-castle, till the said queen is sufficiently recovered to be capable of travelling southward." On Eleanor's convalescence, the king and queen of Scotland accompanied her and king Henry to Woodstock, where she kept her court with more than ordinary splendour, to celebrate their deliverance from their late adversity. There were then three kings and three queens at Woodstock, with their retinues.² Richard, earl of Cornwall, having obtained his election as successor to the emperor of Germany, had assumed the title of king of the Romans, while his consort, queen Eleanor's sister, took also royal state and title. After exhausting all the pleasures that the sylvan palace of Woodstock, its extensive chase and pleasance, could afford, they proceeded to London, where, in the month of February, the three kings and queens made their public entry, wearing their crowns and royal robes.³

All this pomp and festivity was succeeded by a season of gloom and care. The departure of the king and queen of Scotland was followed by that of the new king and queen of the Romans, who went to be crowned at Aix-la-Chapelle, carrying with them seven hundred thousand pounds sterling money. A dreadful famine added to the public embarrassment occasioned by the drain on the specie. It was at this season of public misery that Eleanor, blinded by the selfish spirit of covetousness, to the impolicy of her conduct, chose to renew her demands of queen-gold on the city of London. These the king enforced by writs of Exchequer, himself sitting there in person,⁴ and compelling the reluctant sheriffs to distrain the citizens for the same. This year the queen lost her little daughter, the lady Katherine. Among the Tower records is an order to the treasurer and chamberlains of the treasury, to deliver to master Simon de Wills five marks and a half, for his expenses in bringing from London a certain brass image to be set on the royal infant's tomb at Westminster; also to Simon de Gloucester, the king's goldsmith, for a silver image for the like purpose, the sum of seventy marks.

The ardent desire of the king and queen for the realization of their second son's title as king of Sicily meeting with no encouragement, a little piece of stage effect was devised by the sovereign, by which he foolishly imagined he should move his obdurate barons to grant the pecuniary supplies for his darling project. Having caused the young

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*.² M. Paris. M. West.³ M. Paris.⁴ Stowe's London.

prince to be attired in the graceful costume of a Sicilian king, he, at the opening of the parliament, presented him to the assembly with the following speech:—"Behold here, good people, my son Edmund, whom God of his gracious goodness hath called to the excellency of kingly dignity. How comely and well worthy he is of all your favour; and how cruel and tyrannical must they be, who, at this pinch, would deny him effectual and seasonable help, both with money and advice!"¹ Of the latter, truth to tell, the barons were nowise sparing, since they urged the king not to waste the blood and treasure of his suffering people on such a hopeless chimera; but Henry, who was as firm in folly as he was unstable in well-doing, pertinaciously returned to the charge, notwithstanding the strange insensibility manifested by the peers to the comeliness of the young prince and the picturesque beauty of his Sicilian dress, for which the royal sire, in the fond weakness of paternal vanity, had condescended to bespeak the admiration of the stern assembly. The aid was finally obtained through the interference of the pope's legate, but on condition that the sovereign should consider himself bound by the Oxford statutes. The object of those statutes was to reduce the power of the crown within moderate limits.

One day, as the sovereign was proceeding by water to the Tower, he was overtaken by a tremendous thunder-storm, and in great alarm bade the boatman push for the first stairs, forgetting in his fright that they belonged to Durham-house, where Leicester then dwelt. The earl, with unwelcome courtesy, came to receive his royal brother-in-law as he landed from the boat, telling him, at the same time, "not to be alarmed, as the storm was spent."—"I am beyond measure afraid of thunder and lightning; but, by the head of God! I fear thee more than all the thunder in the world," replied Henry, with as fierce a look as he could assume.² To which Leicester mildly rejoined, "My lord, you are to blame to fear your only true and firm friend, whose sole desire it is to preserve England from ruin, and yourself from the destruction which your false counsellors are preparing for you."

Henry, far from confiding in these professions, took the earliest opportunity of leaving the kingdom, to seek assistance from the foreign connections of his queen. In his absence, the king and queen of Scots arrived at Windsor-castle, on a visit to queen Eleanor. A few days after Henry's return, John, duke of Bretagne, came over to wed the princess Beatrice. The court at Windsor had never been more numerously attended, or more magnificently appointed, than on this occasion; but there was a pervading gloom on the mind of the royal parents, which the presence of their eldest daughter and the marriage of the second failed to dissipate. The young queen of Scotland passed the whole winter with her mother at Windsor-castle, where she lay in of a daughter.

¹ M. Paris² *Ibid.*

The state of Henry's mind just before the outbreak of the barons' war is apparent from his issuing directions to his painter, master William, a monk of Westminster, to paint a picture for him of "a king rescued by his dogs from an attack made upon him by his subjects." Philip Lovel, the king's treasurer, is ordered by this precept, which was issued in the fortieth year of Henry's reign, "to disburse to the said master William the full charges and expenses of executing this picture; to be placed in the wardrobe of Westminster, where the king was accustomed to wash his head." At this period, the king and queen chiefly confined themselves within one or other of the royal fortresses of Windsor or the Tower, which he had fortified with additional defences to stand a siege. After Henry had violated the provisions of Oxford, he took up his residence in the Tower of London, while Eleanor remained with a strong garrison to keep Windsor.

In 1261 died the queen's sister, Sancha, countess of Cornwall and queen of the Romans, for whom the king and queen made great lamentations, and gave her a magnificent funeral. In that year the royal party gained such strength, that the earl of Leicester found it most prudent to withdraw to the continent. Prince Edward returned to England, to guard the realm while king Henry went to Gascony, where his presence was required, and where he fell sick of a quartan ague, which detained him there during the autumn.

While prince Edward was carrying on the war against the Welsh, Leicester's party became more formidable, and in 1262 that mighty agitator returned almost at the same time with the king, to whom he caused the barons to present an address requiring him to confirm the Oxford statutes, adding a defiance to all who opposed them, the king, the queen, and their royal children excepted. This exception may be regarded, all things considered, as a very remarkable piece of civility on the part of the reforming barons of the 13th century. One of the most influential of these was Roger Bigod, earl of Norfolk and Suffolk, to whom in angry parlance king Henry said, "What, sir earl! are you so bold with me, whose vassal peer you are? Could I not issue my royal warrant for thrashing out all your corn?"—"Ay," retorted the earl, "and could I not in return send you the heads of the thrashers?"¹

Bold men would they have been who had ventured to undertake that office. A striking instance of the disregard of all moral restraints among the high and mighty in that reign of misery, may be seen in the lawless robbery committed by the heir-apparent of the realm on the treasury of the knights Templars, in the year 1263. Those military monks, it is well known, were not only the masters of great wealth, but acted as bankers and money-brokers to all Europe, lending sums on rich pledges at usurious interest. Queen Eleanor, at the commencement of the

¹ M. Paris.

troubles in which her reckless counsels had involved the king, had pawned her jewels to this fraternity. On the return of prince Edward from his victorious campaign in Wales, finding himself without the means of disbursing the arrears of pay which he owed the troops, and unwilling to disband men whom he foresaw his father's cause would require, marched straightway to the Temple, and told the master that it was his pleasure to see the jewels of the queen his mother, as he understood they were not safely kept. On this excuse he entered the treasury and broke open the coffers of many persons who had lodged their money and pledges for security in the hands of the Templars, and seized ten thousand pounds sterling, principally belonging to the citizens of London, which, together with the queen's jewels, he carried off to the royal fortress of Windsor.¹ A few months afterwards the queen pawned these jewels a second time to her sister's husband, the king of France.

The active part taken by queen Eleanor and her eldest son in the mismanagement of the king's affairs at this critical period, is recorded by Matthew Paris, who is certainly a credible witness, and one who had every means of information on the subject; since, from the great respect in which his talents were held by king Henry, he was invited to dine at the royal table every day, and, as he himself states, frequently wrote in the presence and from the dictation of the king. Neither Henry nor Eleanor were probably aware how oft that sly monk took notes of their foolish sayings and evil doings, for the example of distant generations enriching his chronicle, moreover, with many a choice anecdote, illustrative of the personal history of royalty in the thirteenth century. Robert of Gloucester, a contemporary, thus notices the proceedings of the queen, and prince Edward's political opinions:

"The queen went beyond sea, the king's brethren also,
And ever they strove the charter to undo;
They purchas'd that the pope should assoil, I wis,
Of the oath, and the charter, the king, and all his.

"It was ever the queen's thought (as much as *she* could think)
To break the charter by some woman's wrenche;²
And though Sir Edward proved a hardy knight and good,
Yet this same charter was little to his mood."

Many indeed were the wiles and evasions, very inconsistent with the stern soldier-like plainness of his character in after-life, which were practised by the valiant heir of England, while acting under the influence of his insincere mother, in the hope of circumventing the barons by fraud, if not by force. Notwithstanding the reluctance of the queen, king Henry signed an amicable arrangement with the barons, by which he bound himself to confirm the provisions of Oxford. This agreement

¹ Chronicle of Dunmow. Annals of St Augustine. Rapin. Harrison's Survey of London, &c., &c.

² Pronounced *wenle*,

meaning twisting or wrenching the words of Magna Charta from their clear and simple signification.

³ M. of West.

which might have averted the storm of civil strife, was regarded with fierce impatience by some of the destructives of the thirteenth century, who, eager for plunder and athirst for blood, finding they were likely to be disappointed in the object which had led them to rank themselves on the side of the reforming barons and their great dictator Montfort, raised a dreadful uproar in London against the unhappy Jews, which was the prelude to a personal attack upon the queen:—At the sound of St. Paul's great bell a numerous mob sallied forth, led on by Stephen Buckrell, the marshal of London, and John Fitz-John, a powerful baron. They killed and murdered many of these wretched people without mercy. The ferocious leader, John Fitz-John, ran through with his sword, in cold blood, Kokben Abraham, the wealthiest Hebrew resident in London. Besides plundering and killing five hundred of this devoted race, the mob turned the rest out of their beds, undressed as they were, keeping them so the whole night. The next morning they commenced the work of plunder with such outrageous yells, that the queen, who was then at the Tower, seized with mortal terror, got into her barge with her great ladies, intending to escape by water to Windsor-castle. But the raging populace, to whom she had rendered herself most obnoxious, as soon as they observed the royal barge on the river, made a general rush to the bridge, crying, "Drown the witch!—drown the witch!" at the same time pelting the queen with mud, addressing the most abusive language to her, and endeavouring to sink the vessel by hurling down blocks of wood and stone of an enormous weight, which they tore from the unfinished buildings of the bridge; besides pelting the poor ladies with rotten eggs, sheep's bones, and everything vile.¹ If the queen had persisted in shooting the arch, the boat must have been swamped, or her vessel dashed to pieces by the formidable missiles that were aimed at her person. As it was, she with difficulty escaped the fury of the assailants by returning to the Tower. Not considering herself safe there, she took sanctuary at night in the bishop of London's palace at St. Paul's, whence she was privately removed to Windsor-castle, where prince Edward kept garrison with his troops. This high-spirited prince never forgave the Londoners for the insult they had thus offered to his mother.²

Though Eleanor had been a most unprincipled plunderer of the Jews, whenever opportunity served, she was accused of patronizing them, because great numbers of them had flocked into England at the time of her marriage with king Henry, the Provençal princes having always granted toleration to this people. Eleanor never forgot her terror at London-bridge, which had the effect of hurrying forward the civil war. The epithets of witch and sorceress, which were liberally bestowed on the queen by her enemies from the bridge, must have originated from a

¹ M. of West. Wikes. Speed. Rapin.

² M. of West

strange story, preserved in the French Chronicle of London; and however absurd the narrative may be, there is little doubt that it was purposely circulated among the ignorant populace by the opponents of the court, to excite a cry against the queen. The story commences by stating that Henry III., having admired the fairest damsel in the world, the queen took her privately and put her to death, by the assistance of some old sorceresses with whom she was leagued, who poisoned her with toads. At the end of the story the girl is called Rosamond, and the king is described as burying her with great grief at Godstow. The enemies of the queen had not even taken the trouble to invent a new story to enrage the Londoners against her. Although the tale is a barefaced and evident falsehood, yet, from the antiquity of the work in which it is cited, there can be no doubt that it was a scandal raised among the Londoners to her injury. The king and the barons had agreed to refer their grievances to the arbitration of St. Louis, the brother-in-law of the queen, but the decision, though really a rational one, did not satisfy the barons, who protested against it on the grounds of family partiality, and England was forthwith involved in the flames of civil war. King Henry had previously provided for the safety of the queen and royal children, by carrying them to France, and leaving them under the care of Eleanor's sister, Marguerite. After taking a tender leave of those beloved ones, he returned to England to encounter the storm, with more spirit and manliness than was usual in his character. On Passion-Sunday, Henry gained a great victory at Northampton over the barons; he took his rebellious nephew, the earl of Leicester's eldest son, prisoner, together with fourteen of the leading barons.¹ Henry used his victory with great moderation.² At the castle of Tunbridge the fair countess of Gloucester, the wife of one of the most inveterate of his foes, fell into his hands, but he generously set her at liberty, with the courteous remark, "that he did not war on ladies." This occasioned some scandal at the court of France, where it appears that either his loving consort Eleanor was afflicted with a fit of jealousy, or that queen Marguerite had taken alarm for her sister; since, from among the records of the Wakefield tower, has been brought to light a curious letter from that queen on this subject.³ The queen of France, with whom at this juncture queen Eleanor was residing, wrote to Henry III., her royal brother-

¹ In this action, the insurgent students of Oxford, fifteen thousand in number, who fought under the banner of the university against the crown, were the most formidable of Henry's assailants. When victory declared in his favour, the king would have inflicted a severe vengeance on them, had he not been deterred by his counsellors, who, in a great fright, reminded him "that these bellicose students were the sons and kindred of the nobles and magnates of the land, many of them the heirs of his own adherents withal,

who had been carried away by the evil example of their companions, or excited by the misdirected ardour of youthful enthusiasm, to swell the ranks of the popular party against him; and if he slew them, their blood would be terribly revenged on him and his, even by those nobles who fought in his cause."

² Speed.

³ Calendar of the Royal Letters in the Wakefield tower.—Fourth Report of the deputy Keeper of the Records, p. 147.

in-law, thanking him for his inquiries after her health, and stating that, "though much desiring the society of her sister his queen, she would hasten her departure to him according to his request; because she feared that, on account of her long delay, he would *marry some other* lady, and that as long as the countess of Gloucester remained in his vicinity, she should be impatient till she knew that her sister had joined him." These doubts and fears of the queen of France, lest the mild and much-enduring Henry should take unto him a new spouse, are novel features in his domestic history. However, queen Marguerite's letter is evidently written in a vein of playfulness few persons would look for at that era, and we should deem the whole a piece of badinage, if this same fair countess of Gloucester had not nearly excited a civil war by her coquetries with prince Edward some time afterwards. But that she should have made a deliberate attack on the constant heart of the old king, in the absence of the queen, would seem incredible, were not the letter of the queen's sister indisputable.

So well had the royal cause prospered in the commencement of the struggle, that when the rival armies were encamped within six miles of each other, near Lewes, the barons sent word to the king, that they would give him thirty thousand marks if he would consent to a pacification. Prince Edward who was burning to avenge the insults which had been offered to the queen his mother, dissuaded Henry from accepting these terms, and the battle of Lewes followed.

"The king and his meinie were in the priorie,
When Simon came to field and raised his bannere;
He showed forth his shield, his dragon full austere;
The king said on high, 'Simon, je vous defie!'"

The battle of Lewes was lost through the reckless fury with which the fiery heir of England pursued the flying Londoners, in order to avenge their incivility in pelting his mother at their bridge. He followed them with his cavalry, shouting "Queen Eleanor!" as far as Croydon, where he made a merciless slaughter of the hapless citizens. When Edward returned to the field of battle with his jaded cavalry, he found his father, who had lost the support of all the horse, had been captured, with his uncle, the king of the Romans, and he had no other resource than surrendering himself also to Leicester, who conveyed him, with his other royal prisoners, to the castle of Wallingford.

The remnant of the royal army retreated to Bristol-castle, under the command of seven knights, who reared seven banners on the walls. The queen was said by some to be safe in France, but old Robert of Gloucester asserts "that she was *espy* in the land, for the purpose of liberating her brave son." She sent word to Sir Warren de Basingbourne, her son's favourite knight, and one of the gallant defenders of Bristol, "that

Wallingford was but feebly guarded, and that her son might be released, if he and the rest of the Bristol garrison would attack it by surprise." Directly Sir Warren received the queen's message, he, with three hundred horse, crossed the country, and arrived at Wallingford on a Friday just as the sun rose, and, right against All Hallow's church, made the first fierce attack on the castle, and won the outermost wall. The besieged defended themselves furiously with cross-bows and battle engines: at last they called out to Sir Warren, that "If they wanted *sire* Edward the prince, they should have him, but bound hand and foot, and shot from the mangonel,"—a terrific machine used for casting stones. As soon as the prince heard of this murderous intention, he demanded leave to speak with his friends, and coming on the wall, assured them, "that if they persevered, he should be destroyed." Whereupon Sir Warren and his chevaliers retired in great dejection. Simon de Montfort then transferred all his royal prisoners, for safer keeping, to Kenilworth-castle, where Edward's aunt, his countess, was abiding, who offered them "all the solace she could."

The queen, thus disappointed in the liberation of her gallant heir, found a partisan in lady Maud Mortimer. Lord Roger Mortimer had, much against the wishes of his lady, given his powerful aid to Leicester; but having received some affront since the victory of Lewes, he now turned a complacent ear to the loyal pleadings of lady Maud in behalf of the queen and her son.¹ What all the valour of Sir Warren failed to accomplish, the wit of woman effected. Lady Maud Mortimer having sent her instructions to prince Edward, he made his escape by riding races with his attendants till he had tired their horses, when he rode up to a thicket, where dame Maud had ambushed a swift steed. Mounting his gallant courser, Edward turned to his guard, and bade them "commend him to his sire the king, and tell him he would soon be at liberty," and then galloped off; while an armed party appeared on the opposite hill, a mile distant, and displayed the banner of Mortimer.

"Why should halt a long tale? He off scaped so,
To the castle of Wigmore the way soon he took;
There was joy and bliss enow, when he came thither,
To the lady of that castle, dame Maud de Mortimer."

When the queen heard of her son's escape, she borrowed all the money she could raise on her jewels and credit, and proceeded to muster forces and equip a fleet. Matthew of Westminster does full justice to the energetic efforts for the liberation of her husband, of "this noble virago," as he styles queen Eleanor. "She succeeded," he says, "in getting together a great army, commanded by so many dukes and earls as seemed incredible; and those who knew the strength and power of that army affirmed, "that if they had once landed in England, they would

¹ Rob. of Gl.

presently have subdued the whole population of the country; but God in his mercy, ordered it otherwise." The queen and her armament remained long wind-bound, and in the meantime Leicester encamped with his victorious army on Barham-downs, in readiness to attack her in the event of her attempting to land.¹

There are letters in the *Fœdera*, written during Henry's captivity, addressed by him "to queen Eleanor, abiding in foreign parts," in which "he assures her of his health and comfort, and continued affection for her and their children, and his good hopes of a happy peace being soon established (through the blessing of God) in his dominions." These letters are, however, evidently written under the restraint and dictation of the earl of Leicester, since the captive monarch desires, nay, commands the queen to "abstain from any attempts to alter the state of things, and charges her to exhort his heir not to interfere in any way against his will, which will be further explained by master Edward de Carol, the deacon of Wells, who is the bearer of these missives." They are dated Windsor, 18th of November, 1264.² Eleanor, of course, paid no regard to the forced mandates of her unfortunate consort, but, like a faithful helpmate in the time of trouble, exerted all the energies of her nature for his deliverance. Possessing the pen of a ready writer, she addressed the most persuasive letters to Urban IV. and his legates, setting forth the zeal and obedience her husband had ever shown to the church.³ She obtained bulls in favour of her party, which were of great service to the royal cause.

While queen Eleanor remained wind-bound on the coast of France, the battle of Evesham was fought and won by her son, prince Edward. Leicester mistook prince Edward's army for that of his own son, Simon de Montfort, which the prince had intercepted and dispersed. When Leicester discovered his error, he was struck with consternation, and exclaimed, "May the Lord have mercy on our souls! for our bodies are the prince's." Leicester exposed his royal prisoner and former benefactor, king Henry, to the shafts of his own friends, by placing him in the front of the battle. Poor Henry was wounded with a javelin in the shoulder, and was in imminent danger of being slain by a royalist soldier, who, mistaking him for one of Leicester's party, would have cut him down, had he not cried out, in a lamentable voice, "Slay me not: I am Henry of Winchester, your king." An officer, hearing this, ran to his assistance, rescued him from his perilous situation, and brought him to prince Edward, who, greeting him with the tenderest affection, knelt and implored his blessing; and then, leaving a strong guard for his protection, pursued his victorious career. The earl of Leicester and his son, Henry de Montfort, were slain in this battle. It was fought on

¹ Hasted's Hist. of Kent. ² Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. i.

³ M. of West.

the 4th of August, 1265, fourteen months after the defeat and capture of the king at Lewes.

Robert of Gloucester, in strains of rugged strength, bewails the death of Leicester, and describes the singular darkness and storm-cloud which overshadowed the fatal plain of Evesham "while England's barons fought a field."

"Such was the murder of Evesham, for battle none it was."

He proceeds to say, that the victory was much displeasing to the Saviour, who sent a token of his anger by a darkness over the middle earth, such as befell when he died on the rood. For,

"Tae while the good men at Evesham were slew,
In the north-west a dark weather arose,
Suddenly swart enow that many men *egros*, [terrified]
And overcast all through the land, that we might scarcely see.
Grislier weather than it was might not on earth be;
Few drops of rain fell, but they were large enow,
Tokening well through the land, when these men were slew,
For thirty mile then. This I saw, (Robert
That first this book made,) and I was sore afraid."

Though great provocation had been given to the king and every member of the royal family, there was not a single drop of blood shed on the scaffold after this decisive triumph. Henry, with all his faults and follies, was tender of human life, and mindful that the noblest prerogative of the crown is mercy. Neither is it recorded of queen Eleanor that she ever caused a sanguinary vengeance to be inflicted on any of her foes. King Henry, however, made the Londoners pay pretty dearly for the pelting they had bestowed "on the high and mighty lady, his companion." He divested the city of its ancient charters, caused its posts and chains to be taken away, and ordered the mayor, with a party of the principal citizens, to attend him at Windsor, to confirm the instrument of their own degradation by affixing the seal of the city to a written form of their submission to the royal mercy. When they arrived at Windsor, they were treated with the utmost contumely by the officers of the royal household, and committed to the custody of the constable of the castle, who shut them up in the keep till the following day; when, as a great favour, they were bestowed in less alarming lodgings; but the mayor and four of the most obnoxious to the royal cause were delivered to prince Edward, and by him subjected to a rigorous confinement till they had paid ransom for their own persons, and consented to petition the king to name a sum as the price of reconciliation with the city of London. Henry, not being a prince to whom *carte blanche* terms could be offered with impunity, demanded the enormous fine of sixty thousand marks. The luckless citizens pleaded so movingly the im-

¹ Harrison's Survey of London.

possibility of raising so unreasonable a sum, without involving in utter ruin many families who had been guiltless of all offence against him and the queen, that he was at length induced to moderate his demands to twenty thousand marks. This enormous fine was not paid into the king's exchequer, every farthing of it being devoted to queen Eleanor's use, and by her desire it was transmitted to certain persons in France, who had supplied her with money at her need, during her exile from England.¹

As for Henry, he had a rich harvest of fines and confiscations, granted by his obliging parliament from the lands of the rebel barons. The "disinherited," as they were called, who were thus stripped of their patrimony, having nothing more to lose than their lives, raised a fresh revolt under the banner of Simon de Montfort, Leicester's eldest son by king Henry's sister. The consequences of this rebellion were happily averted by the arrival of the queen, who landed at Dover, October 29th, 1266, bringing with her the pope's legate, cardinal Ottobone, whom she had induced to visit England, for the purpose of hurling the anathema of the church against the insurgent barons. Ottobone accordingly convened a synod, and solemnly excommunicated all the adherents of the late earl of Leicester, whether living or dead, which had a wonderful effect in suppressing the insurrection. The discontented annalists of the era quaintly state, "that the queen returned with the legate, and made a great cursing."

The formidable revolt of the earl of Gloucester occurred in the year 1267. Fortunately the queen was at Windsor, when his partisans stormed her palace at Westminster, which they sacked, breaking and destroying everything they could not carry away, even to the doors and windows, and making a great slaughter of the royal domestics, who offered resistance. They also did great mischief to the beautiful new-built abbey. Four of these banditti being discovered to be the servants of the earl of Derby, were, by that nobleman's orders, tied up in sacks, and thrown into the Thames.² Edward engaged the brave outlaw, Adam de Gordon, in Alton-wood, hand to hand, and fairly conquered and captured him in a personal encounter. He brought him to his wife's palace of Guildford, where the queen his mother happened to be that evening. Edward presented his prisoner to her, and pleaded so successfully for him, that she not only obtained his pardon from the king, but got him an office at Windsor-castle.

St. Edward's chapel being now completed, and forming the crowning glory of that sublime *chef-d'œuvre* of gothic architecture, St. Peter's-abbey at Westminster, which Henry III. had been fifty years in building, he, on the 13th of October, St. Edward's day, 1269, assisted by his sons, Edward and Edmund, and his brother, the king of the Romans, bore the bier of the royal saint on his shoulders, and, in the presence of his

¹ Annals of London. T. Wikes

² Stowe.

queen and all the nobles of his court, placed it in its new station. Queen Eleanor offered a silver image of the Virgin, and other jewels of great value, at the shrine. King Henry reserved the old coffin of St. Edward for his own private use: having, with his usual simplicity, an idea that its previous occupation by the royal saint had made it a peculiarly desirable tenement.¹

From the Exchequer rolls of this reign² some light is thrown on the domestic usages of royalty in the middle ages. The royal table was chiefly supplied by the sheriffs of the counties, or the bailiffs of towns. The sheriffs of the counties of Buckingham and Bedford, by the king's command, on one occasion brought four hundred and twenty-eight hens to Westminster for his use. The bailiffs of Bristol provided conger eels, and the sheriffs of Essex fowls and other victuals. The bailiffs of Newhaven brought lampreys. The sheriff of Gloucester was commanded to put twenty salmons into his pies against Christmas. The herring-pies of Yarmouth and Norwich still form part of their quit-rent to the crown. The sheriff of Sussex furnished brawn, and other provisions. The sheriff of Wiltshire provided oxen, hogs, sheep, fruit, corn, and many other things for the queen, when she was at her dower castle of Marlborough. These requisitions were, however, by no means confined to eatables. In the thirty-seventh of Henry III.'s reign, the sheriffs of Wiltshire and Sussex were each ordered to buy a thousand ells of fine linen, and to send it to the royal wardrobe at Westminster, before the next Whitsuntide; and the linen was to be very fair and delicate in quality. In the forty-second of Henry III. the sheriffs of Norfolk and Suffolk were commanded to disburse thirty bezants, to be offered at St. Edmund's shrine for the king and queen, and their children. The sheriff of Nottinghamshire was enjoined to cause the queen's chamber at Nottingham-castle to be painted with the history of Alexander the Great; and the sheriff of Southampton to cause the image of St. Christopher, with our Saviour in his arms, and the image of St. Edward the king to be painted in her chapel at Winchester.³

In one of the Tower rolls, dated Woodstock, April 30th, in the thirty-second year of Henry III.'s reign, that monarch directs his treasurer and chamberlain to pay master Henry the poet, whom he affectionately styles "our beloved master Henry, the versificator," one hundred shillings, due to him for the arrears of his salary, enjoining them to pay it without delay, though the exchequer was then shut. In Henry's thirty-fourth year, occurs his order to the master of the Temple, that he deliver to "Henry of the wardrobe," for two years' use, "a certain great book, which is at his house in London, written in French, containing the acts of the king of Antioch, and of other

¹ Wikes.² Madox, *Hist. Excheq. Liberat.* 37 H. III. m. 4.³ Madox, *Hist. Excheq. rolls.*

kings.”¹ In the great roll of the forty-ninth of Henry III. there is a curious account of queen Eleanor’s wardrobe expenses, as rendered by Hugh of the Pen,—from the feast of St. Philip and St. James in the forty-first year of the king her husband, till the feast of St. Simon and St. Jude, forty-ninth year, under the control of Alexander de Bradeham, chaplain to the queen. The accounts are of a more creditable nature to Eleanor than might be imagined, when we consider the reckless expenditure of the first years of her marriage.² There was expended in the linen department, the butlery, kitchen, scullery, hall, in feeding the poor, in liveries of garçons, farriery and shoeing of horses, six thousand eight hundred and sixteen pounds. In oblations for holidays, and alms distributed daily, and by the wayside, one hundred and fifty-one pounds and eighteen shillings. In silks, mantles, upper garments, linen hose for her ladies, and other miscellaneous expenses for the wardrobe, a hundred and four-score pounds, eleven shillings, and twelve-pence halfpenny. In jellies, spices, apples, pears, and other fruit, two hundred and fifty-two pounds, sixteen shillings, and nine-pence halfpenny. In jewels bought for the queen’s use, to wit, eleven rich garlands, with emeralds, pearls, sapphires, and garnets, of the value of one hundred and forty-five pounds, four shillings, and four-pence. In horses purchased, and robes for the queen’s family, in mending robes, in shoes, saddles, reins, almonds, wax, and other necessities for the wardrobe, one thousand six hundred and ninety-one pounds, twelve shillings, and one penny. In gifts presented to knights, clerks, and other messengers coming to the queen, three hundred and sixty-eight pounds, eleven shillings, and ten-pence. Over and above the large amount for public charity, this creditable entry is carried to account: “in secret gifts and private alms, four thousand and seventeen pounds, ten shillings, and three-pence.” Thus we see how large a portion of her income Eleanor of Provence devoted to charitable purposes. But the character of this queen undoubtedly improved as she advanced into the vale of years.

When men were indebted to the queen for *aurum reginæ*, she sometimes respited, pardoned, and discharged the debt, as she saw fit.³ Eleanor, oppressive and exacting as she was, occasionally exercised this

¹ Close rolls, quoted by Brayley; Hist. Palace of Westminster.

² From the perusal of the ancient rolls, it appears that a part of the royal revenue was always devoted to alms. The alms was called ‘*elemosyna constituta*,’ or settled alms, and we find that pensions were accustomed to be paid to the servants of the king and queen, when sickness or age incapacitated them from the performance of their respective duties. In the reign of Henry III., the sheriffs of London were commanded “to pay unto Richard the carter the penny per day of the king’s alms, which Nicholas the carpenter used to

receive of the sheriffs of that city for the time being.” The king granted to Elias de Mileford, for his good service, three halfpence per day during his life; and to Pentecost de Farnham, the king’s porter, twopence per day, to be received of the sheriff of Essex until the king should otherwise provide for him. In the royal household there was an *elemosyna statuta*, besides what was dispensed in oblations and daily alms by the hands of the king and queen’s almoners, in clothing for the poor, and other necessities sent to them.

³ Madox, Hist. of the Exchequer.

gracious prerogative, as we learn from memoranda contained in the rolls of the Exchequer, where it is recorded that the queen gave respite to Imoyne de Sulleye for thirty marks, which he owed her for *aurum reginæ*; and in the same roll, dated Southampton, it is certified, "that the queen pardoned Patrick de Chauces a hundred shillings, owed for queen-gold, due on the fine which he paid to the king, to have seisin of the lands that were his patrimony."¹ In the fifth roll there is also record of Thomas, son of Aucher, having respite of the fine of fifteen marks, due for a trespass in the forest, and of the portion coming to Eleanor.

The nuptials of queen Eleanor's second son, Edmund, earl of Lancaster and Derby, with the beautiful Aveline, heiress of William Fortibus, earl of Albemarle, had been celebrated on the 8th of April, 1270, before his departure for the Holy Land. The youthful bride died before his return, in the first year of her nuptials. Her death was quickly followed by that of the king of the Romans, for grief of which king Henry fell into the deepest dejection of mind, and having been in person to quell a riot in Norwich, in which great part of the cathedral was burnt, he was attacked with a mortal sickness at Bury St. Edmund's; but his anxiety to settle the affairs of the kingdom caused him to insist on being carried forward to London by short stages. When the dying monarch arrived in the metropolis, finding his dissolution at hand, he summoned Gilbert de Clare, earl of Gloucester, into his presence, and made him swear to preserve the peace of England during the absence of prince Edward. He expired on the 16th of November, 1272, aged sixty-six, having reigned fifty-six years and twenty days. His decease happening in the night, John Kirkeby delivered the royal seal the next morning to Peter of Winchester, keeper of the wardrobe, the archbishop of York, and the rest of the council.² By the only will king Henry ever made, queen Eleanor having been appointed regent of England, she caused the council to assemble at the new Temple on the 20th of November, the feast of St. Edmund martyr and king, where, by her consent³ and appointment, and the advice of Robert Kilwardby, archbishop of Canterbury, the earl of Gloucester, and the chief peers and prelates of the realm, her eldest son, prince Edward, was proclaimed king of England, by the style and title of Edward I.

The remains of king Henry, royally robed and crowned, were, according to his own desire, placed in the old coffin in which the body of Edward the Confessor had originally been interred, and buried near the shrine of that monarch in Westminster-abbey. The knights-Templars, with the consent of queen Eleanor, his widow, undertook the care and expense of his funeral, which was very magnificent.⁴ They raised a

¹ The care of the wards of the crown was occasionally granted to the queen.

² Stowe.

³ Speed. Nicolas's Chron. Hist.

⁴ Harrison's Survey.

sumptuous monument to his memory, which was afterwards richly inlaid with jasper and precious stones, brought from the Holy Land by his son, Edward I., for that purpose. His recumbent statue is in fine preservation,—a noble work of art. Stowe gives the following translation of his Latin epitaph:—

The friend of pity and alms-deed,
Henry the Third whilome of England king,
Who this church brake, and after, at his meed,
Again renewed into this fair building,
Now resteth here, which did so great a thing.”

The pope addressed a pastoral letter of condolence to Eleanor on the death of the king her husband: it is written jointly to her and king Edward, whom he felicitates on his accession, and requests Eleanor to give him the letter on his return. One of the first things that occupied the attention of the royal widow was, the refounding St. Katherine's hospital, for a master, a chaplain, three sisters, ten bedewomen, and six poor scholars; she having previously dissolved the original establishment of Matilda of Boulogne, on account of misgovernment.

Soon after his return, Edward I. was forced to rectify a wrong committed by his mother, which was much in the style of her former acts of rapacity. She had persuaded king Henry before his death, to grant her the custody of London-bridge for six years. The citizens had cause to complain of her unjust management of this trust, for they declared, in their supplication to the king, “the said lady queen taketh all the tolls, and careth not how the bridge is kept.”¹ The very first patent granted by Edward I. in the first year of his reign, is the concession of her dower to his royal mother. This document, which is still preserved among the patent rolls in the Tower, is entituled,—“Ample assignation of a dowry to Alianora, queen of England, mother of the king.” There are also patents granted to her in the eighth and eighteenth years of the reign of Edward I.

Eleanor lost her husband and both her daughters in one year; for scarcely had the tomb closed over the mortal remains of her royal lord, ere she was called upon to mourn the death of her eldest daughter, Margaret, queen of Scotland. This lady had come to pay her mother a dutiful visit of condolence on the death of the king her father, and died in England in the thirty-third year of her age, and the twenty-second of her marriage, leaving only one daughter, who was married to Eric, king of Norway. The death of the queen of Scotland was followed by that of her sister, the duchess of Bretagne, who came, with her lord, to witness the coronation of her royal brother Edward, and died very unexpectedly a few days afterwards, in the thirtieth year of her age, greatly lamented by her illustrious consort, and by her mother, queen

¹ Stowe.

Eleanor. Matthew of Westminster says she was a princess of great beauty and wit.¹

Queen Eleanor and Edward I. preserved a great regard for the duke of Bretagne after the decease of lady Beatrice. There is a letter in the second volume of the *Fœdera*, from Eleanor, during her widowhood, to the king her son, in which she appears to take a lively interest in the welfare of her son-in-law.

It is probable that Eleanor was suffering from some kind of sickness in the year 1275, for we find in the *Fœdera* a protection granted by Edward I. "to master William, the Provençal *physico* to the queen-mother, whom the said queen had procured to come to her from beyond seas." It is especially provided, in this protection, "that the Provençal physician is to be left in quiet at all times and places, save that he is to be answerable for any debts that he may contract in this country." It has been generally asserted that Eleanor of Provence entered the nunnery of Ambresbury soon after the coronation of her son, Edward I.; but this does not appear to have been the case, for several of her precepts and letters are dated from Waltham, Guildford, Lutgershall, and other places.² She retired to Ambresbury as a residence in 1280, having made up her mind to embrace a religious life; but delayed her profession till she could obtain leave from the pope to retain her rich dower as queen-dowager of England.³ The following letter from queen Eleanor to her son, king Edward, was written in the eleventh year of her widowhood:

"Aliahora, by the grace of God, queen of England, to our dear son the king, health and our blessing.

"We have sent your prayer to the king of France, that he may lend his aid in purchasing our share of the land of Provence. We have done the letter for you which you sent to us, and we pray you to hear it read, and if it please you, have it sealed; and if not, that you would be pleased to command it to be amended, and sent forthwith to your aunt, my lady of France. We also entreat you that you would send to mestre Bonet, your clerk, that he would show and advance this request in the court of France as much as he can. We commend you to God.

"Given at Waltham, 8th day of July, 1282."

The four younger sons of queen Eleanor, Richard, John, William, and

¹ There is a letter in the first volume of the *Fœdera*, from Blanche, duchess of Bretagne, the mother-in-law of this princess, addressed to Henry III., in which there is affectionate mention made of Beatrice and her eldest son. This letter affords one of the earliest specimens of familiar correspondence between royal personages in the middle ages. "Sire, I pray you that you will be pleased to inform us of your state, which may our Lord of his grace make always good; for know, my dear lord, that I have great joy at all times in having good news of you.—Know, sire, that my lady Beatrice, your dear daughter, and ours, is still sick of her fever, but is much better, God be thanked, and her physicians tell us that her fever cannot last long.—I pray you, my dear lord if we have any thing in our parts that you would like me to send, to inform me

for know, sire, that I shall have very great joy if I can do any thing for you. And know, sire, that Arthur is good and very beautiful, God be thanked! Our Lord have you in his care." This letter is dated 1265, and is written in old French. There is also a letter in Latin, from the young duchess Beatrice to the king her father, on the same page of the *Fœdera*, written at the time of this illness, which she says is "a quartan fever or ague," and she entreats her father "not to distress himself on account of her indisposition." She had six children by the duke of Bretagne, with whom she lived happily twelve years. She was buried in the church of the Grey Friars.

² Rymer, vol. ii

³ T. Wikes. *Annals of Waverley*.

Henry, all died before the king their father; so that, of her nine children, two sons only were surviving at the time she retired to Ambresbury. Her son, king Edward, visited her there, when he was on his march to Wales; and she showed him a man who said he had received his sight through the miraculous interposition of the late king Henry III., in consequence of having offered up prayers at his tomb. Edward, whose sound judgment taught him to regard the legend with the contempt its falsehood merited, "entreated his mother not to bestow her patronage on a base impostor, whom a prince of his father's piety and justice would certainly rather have punished with loss of speech for his hypocrisy than restored to sight, had he indeed possessed the power of doing either."¹

The following letter to the king, her son, shows how keen a regard the royal recluse had to her own interest, and the jealous vigilance with which she watched the proceedings of her Provençal kindred:—

"Eleanor, by God's grace queen of England, to our dear son Edward, by the same grace king of England, health and our blessing.

"Know, sweet son, that we have understood that a marriage is in agitation between the son of the king of Sicily and the daughter of the king of Germany; and if this alliance is made, we may be disturbed in the right that we have to the fourth part of Provence, which thing would be great damage to us, and this damage would be both ours and yours. Wherefore we pray and require you, that you will specially write to the said king, that since Provence is held from the empire (and his dignity demands that he should have right done to us about it), he will regard the right we have, and cause us to hold it. Of this thing we especially require you, and commit you to God."²

The marriage never took place. Eleanor was at this time much harassed in mind regarding her native dominions, for, in another letter to her son, she complains that "Our sister Margaret, my lady of France, has been trespassing where she ought not in Provence." Queen Eleanor constantly received the tenderest attention and respect from her son, king Edward, who regarded her with great attention; and once, when he was going to France to meet the king his cousin, on a matter of the greatest importance, and had advanced as far as Canterbury on his journey, receiving intelligence of the sudden and alarming illness of his mother, he instantly gave up his French voyage, and hastened to her.

The long-delayed profession of the royal widow took place in the year 1284, when, says her eloquent contemporary, Wikes, "she deposed the diadem from her head and the precious purple from her shoulders, and with them all worldly ambition." Matthew of Westminster records her profession in these words:—"That generous virago, Ælianora, queen of England, mother of the king, took the veil and religious habit at Ambresbury, on the day of the translation of St. Thomas, archbishop of Canterbury, having obtained leave of the pope to keep possession of her dower in perpetuity, according to her wish." Two young princely pro-

¹ M. West. T. Wikes.

² Letters of Royal and Illustrious Ladies, vol. i. p. 41.

bationers in the early flower of their days, Mary, fifth daughter of Edward I., and Eleanor, daughter of the deceased duchess of Bretagne, approached the altar with their world-weary grandame, queen Eleanor, and demanded permission to devote themselves to a religious life through her persuasions as it was supposed; they were veiled at the same time and place with her.

After queen Eleanor's profession, her uncle Philip, earl of Savoy, applied to her and her son, king Edward, requesting them to choose from among his nephews a successor to his dominions, as he was himself childless, and distracted by the intrigues and quarrels of the rival claimants.¹ There is a long letter in the *Fœdera* on this subject, addressed jointly to Eleanor, the queen-mother, and king Edward, her son, by the dying earl, in which he entreats them to decide for him, and "declares that his bishops and nobles are willing to recognise whomsoever they may think proper to appoint for his heir."

Queen Eleanor was, in the following year, named as executor to Philip of Savoy's last will and testament, jointly with her son, king Edward. The testator, with many compliments to "the wisdom, prudence, affection, and more than that, the good faith and probity of the queen and her son, commits the disposal of all his personal property to be by them divided between all his nephews and nieces."² It appears that Amadeus, the son of the deceased Thomas of Savoy, earl of Flanders, was the sovereign chosen by queen Eleanor and her son, king Edward, to succeed to the dominions of her dying uncle.

When Eleanor's life was fast ebbing away, and she lay moaning with pain on her sick-bed, it is recorded that she gave excellent counsel to her son, regarding a very perplexing affair which had just happened at his court. Edward had given refuge to a state-prisoner, who had escaped from the Châtelet in Paris. This Frenchman was a literary character, named Thomas de Turbeville. It turned out that Turbeville was in reality a spy, a clerk of the king's council having intercepted a letter, in which the ungrateful man described the best place for seizing king Edward, and taking him prisoner to France. Turbeville, being fully convicted of treason, was condemned to be executed; "but," says Piers of Langtoft, from whom we draw the story, "he had dread to die," and sent the king word that he was willing to confess who had instigated the crime, as several great men at court were implicated in the attempt. Thomas was therefore respited, till the king's pleasure was known. The dutiful monarch was watching by the bedside of his aged mother when the message was delivered, "that a confession regarding accomplices, usually extorted by torture, was voluntarily offered by Thomas, surnamed Troubletown," the literal interpretation of the name of Turbeville. But the dying queen-mother seeing, perhaps, the things of this

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. II

² *Ibid.*

world by the light of that which was approaching, offered advice full of wisdom on the subject:—

“ At Ambresbury the king with his moder was,
When to him came tiding of Troubletown Thomas.
They told him a deal Thomas would say to him,
To warn him full well which were his traitors grin.
His moder Eleanore abated her great bale,¹
‘ Son,’ said she, ‘ never more trow the traitor’s tale:
Traitors such as he for hate will make a lie,
And through each word will be vengeance and felony.
Son, on my blessing, trow you not his saw,
But let him have ending as traitor by law.’ ”

Edward took this wise advice, and Turbeville died without his confession being required,—a proceeding which saved the king from many tormenting suspicions regarding the fidelity of his servants.

Among the royal letters preserved with the Tower records, occurs another from “ the Ladye of gay Provence,” after she had become the humble nun of Ambresbury. The queen-mother was, nevertheless, still a power which was invoked by her order when their privileges were in danger. The great convent of the Benedictines at Fontevraud, of which Ambresbury was a branch, had entreated their royal penitent to prefer the following petition to her son. The original is written in Norman French, and the style is *naïve* and familiar, like all this queen’s other epistles.

ELINOR, QUEEN-DOWAGER, TO EDWARD I.²

“ To the most noble prince and our dearest son Edward, by God’s grace king of England, lord of Ireland, and duke of Guienne, Elinor, humble nun of the order of Fontevraud, of the convent of Ambresbury, health and our blessing.

“ Sweetest son, our abbess of Fontevraud has prayed us that we would entreat the king of Sicily to guard and preserve the franchises of her house, which some people wish to damage and because we know well that he will do much more for your prayer than he will for ours (for you have better deserved it), we pray you, good son, that for love of us you will request and specially require this thing from him, and that he will command that the things which the abbess holds in his lordship may be in his guard and protection, and that neither she nor hers may be molested or grieved.

‘ Good son, if it please you, command that the billet be hastily delivered. We wish you wealth in the sweet Jesus, to whom we commend you.’ ”

Charles, king of Sicily, possessing a portion of Provence in right of Beatrice, queen Eleanor’s sister, the widely-spreading dependencies of Fontevraud in that country felt some apprehension lest this rapacious prince should not prove good lord to them. Hence the application made to the royal votaress, who was veiled in their great English convent.

Eleanor’s next epistle to her son bears a more general interest than the conventual supplication: it is an entreaty that the mother of one of the royal wards may see her son. The original is in Norman French.

¹ Ceased from moaning with pain.

² Letters of Royal and Illustrious Ladies, vol. i. p. 52.

"To the most noble prince and her very dear son Edward, by God's grace king of England, lord of Ireland, and duke of Aquitaine, Elinor, humble nun of the order of Fontevraud, of the convent of Ambresbury, wishes health and her blessing.

"Sweetest son, we know well how great is the desire that a mother has to see her child when she has been long away from him, and that dame Margaret Neville, companion [consort] of master John Giffard, has not seen for a long time past her child, who is in the keeping of dame Margaret de Weyland, and has a great desire to see him.

"We pray you, sweetest son, that you will command and pray the aforesaid Margaret de Weyland, that she will suffer that the mother may have the solace of her child for some time, after her desire.

"Dearest son, we commend you to God. Given at Ambresbury the fourth day of March."¹

The heart which prompted this pretty simple appeal, however purified from the vanities of the world, evidently retained its human sympathies. The charities of Eleanor, too, were exemplary: every Friday she distributed from her convent 5*l.* in silver among the poor.² It ought to be remembered, for the better appreciation of this conduct, that the destitute in those days had no support but conventual alms.

Eleanor of Provence survived the king her husband nineteen years. She died at the nunnery of Ambresbury, June 24th, during the absence of her son in Scotland. Thomas Wikes thus records the particulars of her death and burial, in his Latin chronicle: "The fleeting state of worldly glory is shown by the fact, that the same year carried off two English queens, wife and mother of the king, both inexpressibly dear to him. The nuns of Ambresbury not being able to sepulture the queen-mother with sufficient magnificence, had her body embalmed, so that no corruption ensued, and in a retired place reverentially deposited it, till Edward returned from his Scottish campaign. On the king's return, he summoned all his clergy and barons to Ambresbury, where he solemnly completed the entombing of his mother, on the day of the Nativity of the Blessed Mary, in her conventual church, where her obsequies were reverently celebrated. But the heart of his mother king Edward carried with him to London,—indeed, he brought there the hearts of both the queens; and on the next Sunday, the day of St. Nicholas, before a vast multitude, they were honourably interred, the conjugal heart in the church of the Friars Preachers, and the maternal heart in that of the Friars Minors,³ in the same city."

In the parliamentary rolls is a pitiful petition from the converted Jews, patronised "by dame Alianor, companion of king Henry III.," setting forth, "That their converts had been promised two hundred and two pounds and fourpence from the exchequer for their sustenance, which had not been received by them; and that the poor converts prayed their lord, king Edward I., to grant the same, seeing that the said poor converts prayed indefatigably for the souls of the late king Henry and

¹ Letters of Royal and Illustrious Ladies, vol. i. p. 59.

² Chron. Lanercost, quoted *ibid.*

³ Commonly called the Minories. Those

authors are mistaken who say she is buried in St. Edward's chapel; there is no memento of her in Westminster-abbey

the queen Eleanor, his companion, on whom God have mercy ; therefore, they hope the said sum may be paid by the treasurer for the sustenance of the converts. For God's sake, sire, take pitie!" is the concluding sentence of this moving supplication

Queen Eleanor survived to see the conquest of Wales, and the contract of marriage between her grandson, Edward of Caernarvon, the heir of England, and her great-granddaughter Margaret, the heiress of Scotland and Norway, through which a peaceful union of those realms with England, Ireland, Wales, Aquitaine, and Ponthieu was contemplated ; an arrangement which promised to render her descendants the most powerful sovereigns in Europe.

ELEANORA OF CASTILE,

SURNAMED THE FAITHFUL,

FIRST QUEEN OF EDWARD I.

THE marriage of the infanta donna, Eleanora of Castile, with prince Edward, heir of England, happily terminated a war which her brother, king Alphonso, surnamed "the Astronomer,"¹ was waging with Henry III., on account of an obsolete claim the Castilian monarch laid to the province of Gascony. Alphonso had invaded Guienne, but, contrary to his usual fortune, Henry III. had the best of the contest, and the royal Castilian was glad to make overtures for peace. Henry, who had not the least gall of bitterness in his composition, and was always more willing to promote a festival than continue a fray, luckily recollected that Alphonso had a fair young sister to dispose of, whose age would just suit his heir, prince Edward. He therefore despatched his private chaplain, the bishop of Bath, with his secretary, John Mansel, from Bourdeaux, to demand the hand of the young infanta, as a pledge of her brother's placable intentions. These ambassadors speedily returned with don Alphonso's consent, inscribed in a scroll sealed with gold.² Alphonso stipulated that the English prince should come to Burges, to receive the hand of his bride, five weeks before Michaelmas-day, 1254; otherwise the contract should be null and void. The stipulation was not unreasonable, for both the mother and grandmother of the bride had been long engaged to English princes who had broken their troth.

The king of Castile was but half-brother to the young donna Eleanora. She was the daughter of Ferdinand III. of Castile, by Joanna, countess of Ponthieu, who had been many years before contracted to Henry III., king of England. Joanna inherited Ponthieu from her grandmother,—that princess Alice of France, whose repudiation from Richard Cœur de Lion, in the preceding century, had involved Europe in war. Eleanora,

¹ He was the celebrated royal philosopher who invented the Alphonsine tables of astronomy. His countrymen call him, 'Il Sablo,' or, 'the Wise.'

² Preserved in the Chapter-house at Westminster.

as the sole descendant of these princesses, was heiress-presumptive to their provinces, which the royal widow of Castile, her mother, retained in her own possession. When the preliminaries of the marriage were settled, the queen of England, Eleanor of Provence, set out for Bourdeaux with her son, prince Edward; and from thence travelled across the Pyrenees with him to Burgos, where they arrived August 5th, 1254, within the time limited by the "royal Astronomer." A stately festival was held in the capital of Castile, in honour of the nuptials of the young infanta with the heir of England. At a tournament given by king Alphonso, the prince received knighthood from the sword of his brother-in-law. Edward was just fifteen, and the princess some years younger,¹ at the time of their espousals.

After the chivalric festivities at Burgos had ceased, queen Eleanor recrossed the Pyrenees, accompanied by her son and young daughter-in-law. King Henry waited at Bourdeaux to receive his son's bride. He had prepared so grand a festival for the reception of the young infanta, that he expended three hundred thousand marks on her marriage-feast, to the indignation of his English peers. When one of them reproached him for this extravagance, the king replied, in a dolorous tone: "Oh! for the head of God say no more of it, lest men should stand amazed at the relation thereof!"²

Henry settled on the prince, his heir, all the Aquitanian domains inherited from Eleanor, his grandmother; he likewise created him prince of Wales, with an exhortation to employ his youth in conquering the principality, of which he had, rather prematurely, assumed the title, together with that of Guienne. One thousand pounds per annum was the dower settled on the young Eleanora, in case the prince should die before his father. Prince Edward and his bride returned to Guienne after this costly festival, in 1254. The young princess accompanied the royal family to Paris: she was lodged in the Temple, where Henry III. gave that celebrated banquet to St. Louis, mentioned in the preceding biographies as "the feast of kings." Henry ordered a suite of rooms to be fitted up for his daughter-in-law in the castle of Guildford; his directions particularly specify that her chamber is to have glazed windows, a raised hearth, a chimney, a wardrobe, and an adjoining oratory, or oriel.

When Henry III. was preparing to invade Scotland, to avenge the affronts his daughter had received in the autumn of 1255, he was apprized that the infant don Sancho, archbishop-elect of Toledo (half-brother to Eleanora), with don Garcias Madinez, were on their way to England. They were lodged in the new Temple: the walls of their apartments were hung from their travelling stores, by their attendants, with silken tapestry, and the floors covered with rich carpets,—the first time such luxuries

¹ She is mentioned by all chroniclers as a very young girl. Piers of Langtoft, her contemporary, speaks of her as a child. Her age seems about ten at this period. ² M. Paris.

were ever seen in England. The Spanish visitors were the *avant-couriers* of young Eleanora, who came for the first time to England the beginning of October. She landed at Dover, with a great retinue but a very scanty wardrobe.¹ She was not accompanied by her husband: her father-in-law, Henry III., sent her one hundred marks to purchase what she needed; he likewise sent her a handsome palfrey. He charged Reginald de Cobham, castellan of Dover, to receive her, lodge her at the castle with all honour, and escort her in person to London, requesting she would tarry at Canterbury on the road, and celebrate the feast of St. Edward. He sent her, very providently, for that purpose a silver alms-dish and two gold brooches, with several silken palls or coverlets, as offerings at the shrine of Saint Thomas, and other shrines on her road.² Eleanora arrived in London on Sunday, October 17, 1255. The king, his nobles, the lord mayor and citizens, went out in solemn procession to meet her, and the city was hung with coloured cloth wherever she passed. When she arrived at Westminster, she found her apartments, through the care of her brother the archbishop, hung with costly tapestry, "like a church; and carpeted after the Spanish fashion."³ This was the first time tapestry had been seen in England devoted to any use but adorning a church on high festivals. Though the citizens had received the Spanish princess "with songs, music, and other joyful devices," they soon began to be offended at such luxury; the Spaniards in the train of the young Eleanora were viewed as invidiously as the Provençal attendants of her mother-in-law. They affirmed that Eleanora's countrymen were the very refuse of mankind, hideous in their persons, and contemptible in their dress and manners: among their other iniquities, they kept few horses and many mules. Thus the national prejudices on Eleanora's first arrival in England were strongly against her: not only did they revile the connexions of the young princess, but they pronounced the characters of her husband's household to be of the worst description,—Matthew Paris affirms, "that prince Edward's train often robbed pack-horses and merchants who travelled with money; and that the prince himself was cruel, and so rapacious as to be deemed scarcely honester than his men,"—a character in curious coincidence with the traditions regarding his descendant Henry V., when prince of Wales. Edward came to England about a month after the arrival of his young spouse, landing from Guienne November 29.⁴

Prince Edward and his young bride passed over to Bourdeaux in 1256; and while Eleanora was completing her education, the young prince led the wandering life of a knight-errant, "haunting tournaments" wherever they were given. He was at Paris, tilting at a very grand jousting-match, in 1260, when news was brought him of the violent dissensions between

¹ *Liber de Antiquis Legibus*, MS. Harl.
² 630, edited by Botfield.

³ Close Rolls of Henry III.

⁴ Botfield's *Manners and Household Expenses of England*, lxi.

the English barons and his father, which led to the fearful civil war that convulsed England for more than three years. During the whole of that disastrous era his young princess resided in France, either with queen Marguerite of France, his aunt, or with her own mother at Ponthieu.

When the heroic efforts of prince Edward had freed his father and restored him to his throne, and the country breathed in peace after the dreadful strife at Evesham, the royal ladies of England ventured to return. On the 29th of October, 1265, Eleanor of Provence, queen of England, with her daughter-in-law, Eleanora of Castile, landed at Dover,¹ where they were received by Henry III. and Prince Edward; from thence they were escorted to Canterbury, where the royal party was magnificently entertained by the archbishop.

Prince Edward had left his wife an unformed girl; she was now a lovely young woman of twenty, to whose character the uncertainty of fortune had assuredly given a favourable bias. The prince conveyed his restored wife to St. John's, Smithfield, after a magnificent welcome by the citizens. Eleanora afterwards removed to the Savoy-palace,² which had been originally built by count Peter of Savoy, her husband's uncle, and afterwards purchased by Eleanor of Provence, as a London "inn" or residence for the younger branches of her family. This was the abode of Eleanora of Castile when she attended the court at Westminster, but her favourite residences were the castle of Windsor, and her own dower-castle of Guildford.

The memory of Eleanora's court at Guildford is preserved in one of the oldest of the English historical ballads, 'Adam o'Gordon.' It is the history, versified, of prince Edward's encounter with the Provençal outlaw in a remarkable pass between Farnham and Alton, now intersected by the railroad; but is almost as wild and lonely as when the gallant heir of England chastised the fugitive champion from Evesham, for issuing from his tower at Selborne, and lying in wait to rob his Farnham lieges of meal and malt. Adam o'Gordon was lord of Selborne (the Selborne of the beloved naturalist, Gilbert White). His fierce combat, his generous pardon of the Gordon, were incidents that occurred during Eleanora's residence at Guildford-castle; and to his princess the heir of England brought the man he had conquered, both in mind and person

"Prince Edward hath brought him to Guildford-tower,
Ere that summer's day is o'er,
He hath led him to the secret bower³
Of his wife, fair Eleanore.
His mother, the 'ladye of gay Provence,'
And his sire the king were there;
Oh, scarcely the Gordon dared advance
In a presence so stately and fair!

¹ Wikes.² Grafton. Stowe.

Private boudoir.

But the prince hath kneeled at his father's feet,
 For the Gordon's life he sues;
 His princess so fair hath joined in the prayer,
 And how can king Henry refuse:
 Can he his own dear son withstand,
 So dutiful, brave, and true,
 And the loveliest lady in all the land¹
 Kneeling before him too?

"My children arise," the old king said,
 And a tear was in his eye,
 He laid his hand on the prince's head,
 And he blessed him fervently:
 'With a joyful heart I grant your prayer,
 And I bid the Gordon live;
 Oh! the happiest part of a monarch's care,
 Is to pity and to forgive.'
 'Then spake the queen so fair and free:
 'The Gordon I will make
 The steward of my royal house,
 For these dear children's sake.'"

If Gordon had not been a countryman of the queen, he would not have met with such sympathy from her and the princess. And plenty more robberies did the gay Gordon afterwards perpetrate on the men of Farnham and Alton, studying at Selborne, not natural history, but the best plans for pouncing on sacks of meal and malt, not even sparing the goods of the bishop of Winchester.²

The eldest son of Eleanora of Castile was born at Windsor the year after her return to England; he was named John, after his great-grandfather, king John, of evil memory. In the succeeding year, 1266, Eleanora gave birth at Windsor to a princess named Eleanora, and the year after to prince Henry. The beauty of these children, and their early promise, so much delighted their royal grandfather, that he greatly augmented the dower of the mother.

Prince Edward took up the cross in 1269, and his virtuous princess resolved to share the perils of his Syrian campaign. Before she departed from England, she accompanied her mother-in-law in a grand progress to various shrines. During the royal progress to Northampton, the princess Eleanora made a pilgrimage to Dunstable, in company with queen Eleanor, and offered at the shrine of St. Peter an altar-cloth of gold brocade, as a thanksgiving for the health of her children. On her return, she assisted at a magnificent convocation of the barons of England in Westminster-hall, where they swore fealty, and kissed the hand of her little son, prince John, and recognized him as his father's successor, in case of the death of Edward in the ensuing crusade. In vain did the ladies of Eleanora represent to her the hardships and dangers ever attendant on a crusade; for death on the Asiatic coast threatened in many forms beside

¹ Eleanora of Castile.

² The Rev. R. Milford, rector of Brightwell, Berks, has localised this historical event delightfully from Rishanger, added to his own knowledge of the country.

the sword. The princess replied in words that well deserve to be remembered and noted: "Nothing," said this admirable lady, "ought to part those whom God hath joined; and the way to heaven is as near, if not nearer, from Syria as from England, or my native Spain."

A contemporary historian has left us a very graphic portrait of the husband of Eleanora at this period of his life. "He was a prince of elegant form and majestic stature, so tall that few of his people reached his shoulder. His ample forehead and prominent chest added to the dignity of his personal appearance. His arms were most agile in the use of the sword, and his length of limb gave him a firm seat on the most spirited horses. His hair was light before his eastern campaigns, but became dark in middle life. His left eyebrow had a slightly oblique droop, giving a shade of resemblance to his father's face, in whose portrait this defect is very strongly marked. The speech of Edward was sometimes hesitating, but when animated, was passionately eloquent." Here is an anecdote that casts some light upon his character: "Hawking one day on a river, he saw one of his barons not attending to a falcon that had just seized a duck among the willows. Prince Edward upbraided him for his neglect; and the noble tauntingly replied, 'It was well for him that the river parted them.' Stung by the remark, the prince plunged into the stream, though ignorant of its depth; and having with difficulty reached the opposite side, pursued the noble lord with his drawn sword, who, seeing escape hopeless, turned round his horse, flung off his cap, and advancing to Edward, threw himself on his mercy, and offered his neck to the blow. This submission disarmed the prince; he sheathed his sword, and quietly rode home with the offender." His disposition, which Eleanora of Castile had the sole merit of softening and reforming, was naturally a fiery one, but generous when opposition ceased.

Much has been said regarding the conjugal fidelity of prince Edward. But previously to his Syrian campaign, he was impetuous and wilful in character, and far from a faultless husband. He had inspired the earl of Gloucester with mad jealousy, who not only accused him of criminal intimacy with his countess, but declared that he, the earl of Gloucester, had been poisoned by the agency of prince Edward and the faithless spouse. It is to be feared that this lady was a great coquette, as she had previously been exercising her powers of fascination on the old king, according to the curious letter in the Wakefield tower, recently discovered, from Marguerite, queen of France, expressing uneasiness, for her sister's sake, at the intimacy between Henry III. and the countess.¹ The scandal regarding prince Edward's attention to her had commenced before the return of Eleanora to England, but its effects convulsed the court with broils, till the princess left it and all its turmoils, in the spring of 1270.

¹ Fourth Report of the Records. It is among the collection of the Royal Letters in the Wakefield tower.

At this time she bade farewell to the two lovely boys she never saw again, and sailed for Bourdeaux, where she superintended the preparations for the crusade campaign.¹

Edward sailed from Portsmouth about a month later, and met his consort at Bourdeaux : they proceeded to Sicily, where they sojourned during the winter, with the expectation that St. Louis, the king of France, would unite in the crusade. Soon after their arrival, tidings were brought of the death of St. Louis, at Tunis, and the discomfiture of his army. The king of Sicily, who was brother to St. Louis, and husband to Edward's aunt, endeavoured to persuade his royal guests to give up their crusading expedition ; whereupon prince Edward struck his breast, and exclaimed with energy, "*Sangue de Dieu !* if all should desert me,² I would lay siege to Acon, if only attended by Fowen, my groom !"

The following spring, Edward and Eleanora arrived at Ptolemais. The prince made an expedition as far as Nazareth,³ and put all the garrison to the sword ; and when the Saracens came to the rescue, he engaged the infidel army, and defeated them with great slaughter. He won another battle, June, 1271, at Cahow, and thus terminated his first and second campaigns. He returned to Cyprus for the winter, and, being reinforced by the Cypriots, undertook the siege of Acre the succeeding summer, still attended by his faithful Eleanora.

The emir of Joppa, who was the Saracen admiral, pretending that he was desirous of becoming a Christian convert, sent a messenger several times with letters to the prince of England. This envoy was one of the agents of the Old Man of the Mountains, who kept a band for secret murders, called "assassins." After the cunning fanatic had created confidence in Edward's mind by frequent visits, he was introduced into the royal chamber, bringing letters, for the fifth time, from the emir. The prince was indisposed from the heat of the climate, and was lying on his bed bareheaded, wearing only a white vest. The assassin presented some letters to read, written on purpose to please the Christian prince. They were alone in the apartment, because the negotiation touched the life and honour of the admiral of Joppa ; therefore, secrecy was imperatively needful. The assassin pretended that he had another paper to deliver, but he drew out with it a poniard, and aimed a blow at the side of the prince. Fortunately, Edward perceived the treachery, and, suddenly raising his arm, received the blow upon it. His assailant endeavoured to reiterate the stroke, but Edward, who seems not yet to have risen from his recumbent posture, felled him to the ground with a kick on the breast ; again the traitor returned to the attack, but the prince gave him the final blow with a footstool. The attendants, hearing the scuffle, came running in, and the prince's harper, or minstrel, beat

¹ *Id.* of West.² W. Rishanger. M. Paris.³ Knolles' 'History of the Turks.'

out the assassin's brains ; whereat the prince sternly reproached him, asking, " What was the use of striking a dead man ? "

After some days, the prince's wounded arm began to show unfavourable symptoms, and the flesh blackening, exhibited signs of mortification ; in-somuch, that all about him began to look heavily upon each other. " Why whisper ye thus among yourselves ? " said the prince ; " what see ye in me ? Tell the truth, and fear not. " Then the master of the Temple recommended incisions, which would be exquisitely painful. " If suffering, " said the prince to the surgeon brought to him by the master of the Temple, " may again restore my health, I commit myself to you : work on me your will, and spare not. " ¹

Eleanora was by his bedside at this dreadful crisis ; she lost her firmness, and bewailed, with a passion of tears, the anguish about to be inflicted on her husband. Edward, with his usual decision of character, cut short the agony of his wife, by bidding his brother Edmund, and his favourite knight *John de Vesci*, carry the princess out of the room. They took her in their arms and bore her from the apartment, she shrieking and struggling all the time, till her brother-in-law told her, " That it was better that she should scream and cry, than all England mourn and lament. " ² The surgical operation was effectual ; in fifteen days Edward was able to mount his horse, though his health was long in a precarious state. He always attributed his final recovery to the tender care and attention of Eleanora ; but if there had been any truth in the story of her sucking the poison from his wound, ³ the narrators of the scene, who have entered into its details so minutely, would not have forgotten the circumstance.

While yet in ill-health, prince Edward made his will. ⁴ With philosophy rare at his era, he leaves his body to be buried wherever his executors please. To his principal executor, his brother-in-law and fellow-crusader, John, duke of Bretagne, he leaves the guardianship of his children, if he should die before they come of age. He provides for the dowry of his dear wife, Eleanora, but does not leave her either guardian to the realm in reversion, or to her children. Scarcely was the prince recovered from his wound, when Eleanora brought into the world an infant princess, named Joanna, and called from the place of her birth, Joanna of Acre. ⁵ The next remarkable event that happened at Acre, while Eleanora

¹ Walter Hemmingford's Chronicle.

² Knighton and Hemmingford.

³ The story is quoted by Camden, but only as recorded by Sanctius, a Spanish historian, who lived a hundred and fifty years after the siege of Acre, and who introduced it in a comment he wrote on the works of Roderigo Toletus. This author does not bear the weight of Walter Hemmingford, who mentions Eleanora, but does not allude to this event.

⁴ Sir Harris Nicolas. *Testamenta Vetusta*. Edward left no other will.

⁵ This princess is the first instance of a misalliance in the royal house of Plantagenet. After the death of her first husband she stole a match with one of his retainers, Ralph Mortimer, called by some authors his groom, but he was in reality his squire. Joanna was, in 1306, forgiven by her father, on account of the valour her second husband had shown in the Scottish wars.

remained there with her royal lord, was, that a pope was chosen, in a manner, out of their household. Theobald, archbishop of Liege, who attended the royal pair on their crusade, was in his absence elected to the papal throne, which he ascended under the name of Gregory X. This pontiff had been the tutor of prince Edward.

The army of the prince being reduced by sickness, want, and desertion, he considered that it was useless to tarry longer in Syria. Leaving behind him a reputation not inferior to that of his great uncle, Cœur de Lion, Edward turned his back most reluctantly on the Holy Land, and with his princess and her infant daughter arrived safely at Sicily, where heavy tidings awaited them. The news first reached them that prince John, their promising heir, whose talents were unequalled for his years, had died August 1, 1272. Scarcely had the princess and her husband received this intelligence, when they heard of the death of their second son, prince Henry; and a third messenger brought the news to Messina that king Henry III. was dead, and that prince Edward was now Edward I. of England. The firmness and resignation with which Eleanora and Edward bore the loss of their promising boys surprised every one at the Sicilian court; but when the prince heard of the death of his royal sire, he gave way to a burst of anguish so bitter, that his uncle, Charles of Anjou, king of Sicily, who was in company with him, astonished at his manner of receiving intelligence that hailed him king, asked him "How it was that he bore the loss of both his sons with such quiet resignation, and abandoned himself to grief at the death of an aged man?" Edward made this memorable answer: "The loss of infants may be repaired by the same God that gave them; but when a man has lost a good father, it is not in the course of nature for God to send him another."¹

From Sicily, queen Eleanora accompanied her royal husband to Rome, where they were welcomed and magnificently entertained by their friend, pope Gregory X. England, happy in the permanent settlement of her ancient representative government, now, for the first time, firmly established since the reign of St. Edward, enjoyed such profound tranquillity, that her young king and queen were able to remain more than a year in their continental dominions. During this time the queen gave birth to another heir,² more beautiful and promising than either of his deceased brethren. The queen named him, after her beloved brother, Alphonso, a name which sounds strangely to English ears; but had this prince lived to wear the crown of his great father, it would in all probability, have

¹ The husband of his mother's sister. Charles was not likely to be troubled with much sensibility, for while St. Louis was bitterly weeping for the death of their mutual brother, the count of Poitou, slain in their crusade, Charles, who was on ship-board, amused himself with playing at tric-trac all day long. When the king of France was informed of this hard-hearted way of spending

the hours of mourning, he came softly behind his brother in the heat of his game, and seizing his backgammon board, threw men, dice, and money into the sea. The humor with which the lord de Joinville (who saw the incident) relates this anecdote is irresistible.

² He was born Nov. 23, 1272. Paulus Emilus.

become as national to England as the names of Edward or George.¹ At this juncture the life of Edward was preserved, in a manner that he considered almost miraculous. As he was sitting with his queen on a couch, in their palace at Bourdeaux, a flash of lightning killed two lords who were standing directly behind them, without injuring the royal pair.²

Edward, with his queen, made a progress homeward through all his French provinces, tilting at tournaments as he went. Passing through Paris, he did homage to the king of France for Aquitaine and its dependencies, before he returned to assume the English crown.³ The king and queen landed at Dover, August 2, 1273. All preparations had been made for their speedy coronation, which took place on the 19th of the same month. They were received in London with the utmost exultation. The merchants, enriched by peaceful commerce with the rich wine provinces of the South, showered gold and silver on the royal retinue as they passed under the windows of the Chepe. Both houses of parliament assembled to welcome and do honour to their constitutional king and his virtuous consort.

At the coronation of Edward and Eleanora, preparations were made for the exercise of the most profuse hospitality; the whole areas of the Palace-yards, old and new, were filled with wooden buildings,⁴ open at the top, to let out the smoke of cooking. Here, for a whole fortnight, were prepared successions of banquets, served up for the entertainment of all comers, where the independent franklin, the stout yeoman from the country, and the rich citizen and industrious artisan from the metropolis alike found a welcome, and were entertained gratuitously. Good order was general, and every one delighted with this auspicious commencement of the new reign. Edward and Eleanora were crowned by the hands of Robert Kilwardby, archbishop of Canterbury. One of the most extraordinary features of this coronation is recorded in an old black-letter manuscript chronicle:⁵ "King Edward was crowned and anointed as right heir of England, with much honour and worship, with his virtuous queen; and after mass the king went to his palace, to hold a royal feast among all the peers that had done him honour and worship. And when he was set at his meat, king Alexander of Scotland came to do him service, and to worship with a *quentyse*,⁶ and a hundred knights with him, horsed and arrayed. And when they were alight off their horses, they let their horses go whither they would, and they that could catch them had them to their own behoof. And after that came sir Edmund, the king's brother, a courteous knight and a gentleman of renown, and the earl of Gloucester. And after them came the earl of Pembroke and the earl of Warren, and each of them led a horse by their hand, and a hun-

¹ Alphonso is an abbreviation of Ildefonso, native Iberian saint.

² M. Paris. ³ Walsingham and Wikes.

⁴ Ancient chronicle, quoted by Carte.

⁵ Preserved by Sir Robert Cotton.

⁶ A quaint device, or ingenious invention.

dred of their knights did the same. And when they were alight off their horses, they let them go wherever they would, and they that could take them had them still at their liking."

The coronation of Edward and Eleanora had been graced by the presence of the king of Scotland and the duke of Bretagne, but Llewellyn, prince of Wales, absented himself; upon which the king of England sent him a sharp message, to know wherefore he did not tender homage at the late coronation of himself and queen? Llewellyn refused to acknowledge that any homage was due: he was a victorious prince, for, taking advantage of the recent civil wars in England, he had reconquered all the territory which the Norman predecessors of Edward I. had wrested from the Welsh. The first mischance that befell the Welsh was the capture of the bride of Llewellyn,¹ coming from France; her vessel was seized by the Bristol merchantmen, who carried her prisoner to king Edward. This prince had not yet learned to behave with cruelty to women. The young damsel, though the daughter of Simon de Montfort, his mortal foe, whom he had slain in battle, was at the same time the child of his aunt, Eleanor Plantagenet. He received her with the courtesy of a kinsman, and consigned her to the gentle keeping of his queen, with whom she resided at Windsor-castle.² Nor was Eleanor de Montfort the only one of Edward the First's kinswomen to whom the queen gave kindness and protection. A letter of hers has lately been found among the tower records. It is addressed to Robert Burnell, her husband's private secretary: it was prompted by her friendship for Constance, the widow of the unfortunate Henry, son of Richard, earl of Cornwall, Henry III.'s brother. The servants of Constance had been injured or aggrieved.³

"Eleanora, by God's grace queen of England, lady of Ireland, and duchess of Aquitaine, to lord Robert Burnell sends love greeting.

"We require and affectionately entreat you to give counsel and assistance in this affair, that the transgression injuriously committed against the bearer of these presents, the servant of the lady Constance our cousin (which master John Clavell will show you), may be reasonably redressed. For the confidence which we have in your benevolence is the cause why we so often direct to you our prayers on behalf of our friends. And do you, for love of us, give such diligence in this affair, that we may henceforth be bound to you by special favour.

"Given at Guildford, xliii. day of October."

The war with Wales lasted till 1278, when Llewellyn, finding it impossible to recover his bride by force of arms, submitted to the required homage, and queen Eleanora brought the lady Eleanor Montfort to Worcester, where king Edward bestowed his kinswoman upon Llewellyn, giving her away with his own royal hand; while his amiable queen supported her at the altar of Worcester cathedral, and graced the nuptial feast of prince Llewellyn with her presence. The prince and

¹ Walsingham. Powell's Welsh Chronicles.

² Milles' Catalogue of Honour. Wikea

³ Ibid.

princess of Wales afterwards accompanied the king and queen to Westminster,¹ with a great retinue of discontented Snowdon barons.

Edward I. received from one of the dignitaries of the Temple, in France, a chessboard and chessmen made of jasper and crystal, which present he transferred to his queen, a circumstance which leads us to the conclusion that she was skilled in the noble game. An accident that happened to the prince proves that he was a chess-player. One day, when he was playing at chess at Windsor with a knight, the prince suddenly, from an impulse, rose from his game without any motive or decided purpose which he could define, even to himself; the next moment, the centre stone of the groined ceiling above him fell on the very spot where he had been sitting. From this accident he believed himself to be under the special protection of Providence, and reserved for some great purpose.

Eleanora of Castile was a patroness of literature.² In the curious library of St. Geneviève, in Paris, there is a treatise of religion called 'Hierarchy,' translated from Latin into French by John de Pentham, at her request and under her patronage.³ Eleanora likewise paid forty shillings to Richard du Marche, for illuminating a psalter and two tablets with miniature pictures.⁴ The return of the royal pair was hastened by another Welsh war; for the fair bride of Llewellyn died after bringing him a living daughter,⁵ and the prince urged by the songs of the bards, and the indignation of his subjects regarding his homage, suddenly invaded England. The ambiguous words of a prophecy of Merlin, asserting that a prince born in Wales should be the acknowledged king of the whole British island, was the stimulus that led to a war, terminating in the death of the brave Llewellyn. The gold coronet of the unfortunate prince, taken from his head by Lord Mortimer after the fatal skirmish at Builth, was offered by prince Alphonso, the eldest son of queen Eleanora and Edward I., at the shrine of Edward the Confessor

¹ The prince of Wales did homage in Westminster-hall. According to Carte, the Snowdon barons who accompanied Llewellyn to England, were quartered at Islington, where they were anything but comfortable, taking great offence at the fare provided for them. They could neither drink the wine nor the ale of London; neither mead nor Welsh ale could be obtained; the English bread they refused to eat, and all London could not afford milk enough for their daily diet. They were indignant at the staring of the Londoners when they walked in the streets in their outlandish garb, and even suspected that the English took them for savages. "No," cried they in chorus, "we will never again visit Islington, excepting as conquerors." Droll as the association of ideas may be between the Welsh bards and Islington, the name of

that harmless suburb was their constant refrain till Edward silenced them in death.

² Botfield, quoted in his *Compotus of Eleanora of Castile*.

³ Warton is the authority for this fact, which, from my own inspection of the literary curiosities in that extraordinary library, is doubtless true; but Warton gives the name of the work barbarously, calling it 'Jerarchie.' The volume belonged to the Friars Minors of Southampton.

⁴ B. Botfield.
⁵ This child, whose name was Guendolen, was brought to Edward a captive in her cradle: she was reared and professed a nun in the convent of Sempringham with her cousin Gladis, the only daughter of prince David, brother to Llewellyn, which prince was executed by Edward.—Piers Langtoft mentions his personal acquaintance with these royal votaresses.

The unsettled state of Wales needed the constant presence of king Edward to keep down the spirit of the people; and queen Eleanor, who had followed him in all his Welsh campaigns, kept her court at Rhuddlan-castle in the summer of 1283. Here her sixth daughter, the princess Isabella, was born a native of Wales.¹ Early in spring, 1284, Edward carried his queen to his newly-built castle of Caernarvon, a stronghold he had just finished to awe the insurgents of the principality. This truly royal fortress, according to the antiquary Pennant, appears at present, in its external state, precisely as when queen Eleanor first entered the stupendous gateway so many centuries ago. The walls are studded by defensive round towers; they have two principal gates, the east facing the Snowdon mountains, the west commanding the Menai. The entrance to the castle is very stately beneath a noble tower, on the front of which appears the statue of the great Edward,² finely carved from the life, drawing a dagger with a stern air, as if menacing his unwilling subjects. This entrance had four portcullisses, and every requisite of strength. To this mighty castle Edward brought Eleanor, at a time when her situation promised an increase to the royal family. The Eagle tower, is at a prodigious height from the ground at the farthest end, and could only be approached by a drawbridge supported on masses of opposing rock. Every one who beholds it is struck with its grand position: one of the portals of Caernarvon Castle is still, by the tradition of the district, called "queen Eleanor's gate." Most of the Snowdon barons still held out, and the rest of the principality was fiercely chafing at the English curb. This consideration justifies the tradition which points out a little dark den, built in the thickness of the walls, as the chamber where the faithful queen gave birth to her son Edward. The chamber is twelve feet in length and eight in breadth, and is without a fireplace.³ Its discomforts were somewhat modified by hangings of tapestry, of which some marks of tenters still appear in the walls.⁴ Queen Eleanor was the first person who used tapestry as garniture for walls in England, and she never needed it more than in her dreary lying-in chamber at Caernarvon.⁵ The prince was born April 25th, when fires were not indispensable in a small, close cham-

¹ Stowe.

² His noble portrait, engraved by Vertue in Carte, is taken from this statue.

³ Pennant and Boswell.

⁴ It was the primitive office of the grooms of the chamber to hang up the tapestry, which was always carried in progress with the royal baggage, and sent forward with the purveyor and grooms of the chamber; so that the queen found the stone walls of her sleeping chamber in comfortable order for her reception.

⁵ Among the memorials of queen Eleanor's

sojourn at Caernarvon-castle, the cradle of her infant son is still shown. It is hung by rings and staples to two upright pieces of wood, like a cot; it is of rude workmanship, yet with much pretence to ornament, having many mouldings, though the nails are left rough. It is made of oak, and is in length three feet two inches, its width one foot eight inches at the head, and one foot five at the feet; it has rockers, and is crowned by two birds,—whether doves or eagles antiquaries have not yet decided.—Boswell's *Antiquities*.

ber. As a soldier's wife, used to attend her lord in all campaigns from Syria to Scotland, the queen had, in all probability, met with far worse accommodations, than in the forlorn chamber in Caernarvon-castle. The queen provided a Welsh nurse for her infant:¹ she thus proved her usual good sense, by complying with the prejudices of the country.

Edward I. was at Rhuddlan-castle, negotiating with the despairing magnates of Wales, when news was brought him by Griffith Lloyd, a Welsh gentleman, that the queen had made him father of a living son of surpassing beauty. The king was transported with joy; he knighted the Welshman on the spot, and made him a magnificent donation of lands.² The king hastened directly to Caernarvon, to see his Eleanora and her boy; and three days after, the castle was the rendezvous of all the chiefs of North Wales, who met to tender their final submission to Edward I., and to implore him, as their lord-paramount, to appoint them a prince, who was a native of their own country, and whose native tongue was neither French nor Saxon, which they assured him they could not understand.³ Edward told them he would immediately appoint them a prince, who could speak neither English nor French. The Welsh magnates, expecting he was a kinsman of their own royal line, declared they would instantly accept him as their prince, if his character was void of reproach; whereupon the king ordered his infant son to be brought in and presented to them, assuring the assembly that "he was just born a native of their country that his character was unimpeached; that he could not speak a word of English or French; and that if they pleased, the first words he uttered should be Welsh." The fierce mountaineers little expected such a ruler: they had, however, no alternative but submission, and, with as good a grace as they might, kissed the tiny hand which was to sway their sceptre, and vowed fealty to the babe of the faithful Eleanora.⁴

The queen soon changed her residence to her magnificent palace of Conway-castle, where all the elegancies of an age further advanced in luxury than is generally supposed, were assembled round her. Many traces of her abode at Conway exist: among others, her state bed-chamber retains some richness of ornament: it opens on a terrace commanding a beautiful view. Leading from the chamber is an arched recess, called by tradition "queen Eleanora's oriel;" it is raised by steps from the floor, and beautifully adorned with painted glass windows. Here the queen of England, during her *levée*, or rising, sat to receive the ladies qualified to be presented to her, while her tirewoman combed

¹ There is an entry in the household book of Edward II. of twenty shillings, which the king presented to Mary of Caernarvon, his nurse, for coming all the way from Wales to see him.

² Pennant's Wales.

³ Speed.

⁴ Stowe minutely details this disputed incident, the authenticity of which is not only supported by the local traditions of North Wales, but by the giant authority of Selden.

and braided those long tresses¹ which are the glory of a Spanish donna, and which her statues show Eleanora of Castile to have possessed. A poem, contemporary with this queen, minutely describes these state dressing-rooms.²—

"In her oriel there she was,
Closed well with royal glass;
Filled it was with imagery,
Every window by and by."

The August following the birth of prince Edward saw the death of prince Alphonso, the heir of England,—an event which deeply afflicted his mother. The same year brought calamity to her brother, king Alphonso X. of Castile,³ who was the most extraordinary person of his time; but wrapping himself up in his mathematical studies in the latter part of his reign, his son, Sancho the Brave, deposed him. This event was a source of great grief to Eleanora, for her royal brother was tenderly beloved by her; she had named her favourite child after him, and now, in his reverse of fortune, she urged her royal lord to interfere with her nephew Sancho⁴ for the restoration of her brother. The interposition was in vain, for the learned Alphonso died in confinement.

The death of king Alexander of Scotland, in 1285, opened a new prospect for still further aggrandizing the progeny of queen Eleanora. The heiress of Scotland, the princess Margaret of Norway, great-niece to Edward I., was, by the consent of the nobles of Scotland, solemnly betrothed to Edward of Caernarvon, prince of Wales, and every prospect promised that the island crowns would be happily united in the persons of the infant son of Eleanora and the little queen of Scotland. After this pacification of the whole island, the king and queen resided three years in Aquitaine. Eleanora there gave birth to her seventh and eighth daughters, twins, the princesses Beatrice and Berengaria.

When the queen returned to England, she was urged to devote her fourth daughter, the princess Mary, to the cloister. Her reluctance to relinquish this child is noted by most chroniclers, and produced more than one pathetic epistle from dignitaries of the church on the impropriety of "withholding from heaven a chosen lamb from her numerous flock."⁵ Among the other admirable qualities of Eleanora, we find free-

¹ This custom, derived from the middle ages, was continued in France till the Revolution. The word 'levee,' still used at our court, is derived from it.

² Pennant.

³ This king, surnamed *El Sabio*, employed the most learned men, not only Europeans, but Arabs and Jews, to assist him in constructing the celebrated Alphonsine tables, so long the standard of astronomical calculations, showing, withal, some glimpses of the light afterwards cast on science by Galileo and Sir Isaac Newton. Alphonso paid his

learned assistants forty thousand crowns for their services; a benefaction instantly resented by his combative subjects, who took their monarch and his astronomers for conjurors, and were infuriated that a king should bestow treasure on any peaceful profession.—See *Atlas Géographique*. Alphonso pursued his studies in quiet when imprisoned, consoling himself by deeming his subjects fools.

⁴ Many papers on this subject appear in the *Fœdera*.

⁵ There are innumerable grants recorded in

dom from the prejudices of her era. She kept a happy medium between the bold infidelity of her philosophic brother, Alphonso, the mathematician,¹ and the superfluous devotion of the middle ages. The princess Mary was, however, veiled at the age of ten years, at Ambresbury, 1289. The year after her profession, the queen added a ninth daughter, the princess Blanche, to her family. Eleanora reared and educated her numerous train of beautiful princesses in a retired angle of Westminster-palace, to which was given, on account of their residence there, the appellation of "the Maiden-hall."² Three of the queen's elder daughters were married, or betrothed, in 1290. The princess royal, Eleanora, was affianced to Alphonso, prince of Arragon; this prince died soon after, when she married the duke of Barr. The next sister, Joanna of Acre, in her eighteenth year, renowned for her beauty and high spirit, was married with great pomp at the monastery of the knights of St. John, Clerkenwell, to the premier peer of England, Gilbert the Red, earl of Gloucester. A few weeks later, queen Eleanora assisted at a still state-lie ceremony, when her third daughter, Margaret, then fifteen, wedded, at Westminster-abbey, John, the second duke of Brabant. The king, it has been observed, was subject to violent fits of rage in the earlier periods of his life. At the nuptials of his daughter Margaret, having given one of his esquires a rap with his wand, without just cause,³ he paid him 13*l.* 6*s.* 8*d.* as compensation, whether for the indignity or the injury is not noted.

The plate used in the queen's household will prove that the court of Eleanora had attained a considerable degree of luxury; it was the work of Ade, the king's goldsmith; and the description of the rich vessels furnished by this member of the goldsmith's company has been brought to light by modern research. Thirty-four pitchers of gold and silver, for holding water or wine; ten gold chalices, of the value of 140*l.* to 292*l.* each; ten cups of silver gilt, or silver white, some with stands of the same, or enamelled; more than one hundred smaller silver cups, value from 4*l.* to 118*l.* each; also cups of jasper, plates and dishes of silver, gold salts, alms-bowls, silver hanapers or baskets; cups of benison, with holy sentences wrought thereon; enamelled silver jugs, adorned with effigies of the king in a surcoat and hood, and with two effigies of queen Eleanora. It is generally supposed that Tom Coryate, of queer memory, introduced the use of forks from Italy, so lately as the time of James I. But our Provençal Plantagenet queens did not feed with their

the *Fœdera* to the nun-princess. Her father grants the forests of Savernake, and other woodlands, for fire for her chamber; the port of Southampton is taxed for oil for her lamp and for wine for her table.

¹ Alphonso is said to have declared, "that he could have devised a better way of ordering the movements of the celestial bodies:"

this speech led to his deposition. The fact is, he was not satisfied with his own astronomical tables, and foresaw subsequent improvements.

² Brayley and Britton's *Palace of Westminster*, 114. This portion of the old palace was destroyed by fire a little time after the queen's death.

³ Botfield.

fingers, whatever their English subjects might do, since in the list of Eleanora's plate occurs a pair of knives with silver sheaths, enamelled with a *fork* of crystal, and a silver fork¹ handled with ebony and ivory. In the list of royal valuables were likewise combs and looking-glasses of silver-gilt, and a bodkin of silver in a leather case; five serpents' tongues, set in a standard of silver; a royal crown set with rubies, emeralds, and great pearls; another with Indian pearls; and one great crown of gold, ornamented with emeralds, sapphires of the East, rubies, and large Oriental pearls. This seems to have been Eleanora's state crown, used at the coronation feast. Above all, there is a gold ring with a great sapphire, wrought and set by no other hand than that of St. Dunstan.

Eleanora's royal lord was not always cross and savage at wedding festivities, given to rap heads with his wand,² or throw coronets behind the fire, a freak in which he afterwards indulged. More than one merry scene took place with the king and the queen's ladies, with whom this mightiest of the Plantagenets now and then indulged in romping. There is an old custom, still remembered in Warwickshire, called "heaving." On Easter-Monday, the women servants of every household clamorously enter the chamber or sitting-room of the master of the family, or any "stranger beneath his roof," and, seating him in a chair, lift him therein from the ground, and refuse to set him down till he compounds for his liberty by a gratuity. Seven of queen Eleanora's ladies, on the Easter-Monday of 1290, unceremoniously invaded the chamber of king Edward, and seizing their majestic master, proceeded to "heave him" in his chair, till he was glad to pay a fine of fourteen pounds to enjoy "his own peace," and be set at liberty.³ The queen went to her palace at Waltham, when the king spied her laundress, Matilda of Waltham, among the lookers-on in the courtyard while the hounds were coupling and the gallant hunters mounting, most likely for the Londoners' Easter-hunt. King Edward, in a merry mood, wagered a fleet hunter that Matilda could not ride hunting with them, and be in at the death of the stag. She accepted the bet, mounted the horse, and rode with such success, that Edward was fain to redeem his good steed for forty shillings.⁴ A large Spanish ship came that summer to Portsmouth, from which the queen was supplied with some of her native fruits. She bought one frail of Seville figs, one of raisins, a bale of dates, two hundred and thirty pomegranates, fifteen citrons, and seven oranges.⁵

The autumn of the year 1290 brought threatening clouds to the prosperity of the island kingdoms, and the royal family of queen Elea-

¹ See Record Commission, p. 78, where forks are enumerated among the items of Edward the First's domestic utensils.

² Wardrobe-book of Edward I., fol. 456.

³ *Ibid.*

⁴ MS. in the Tower, quoted by B. Botfield, Esq., in his learned work, 'Manners and Household Expenses of England,' xlviii.

⁵ *Ibid.*

nora. The little queen, Margaret of Scotland, was to be sent this year from Norway to Scotland, and thence, by agreement, to the court of England, that she might be educated under the care of the admirable queen of Edward I. The bishop of St. Andrew's wrote to king Edward, that a report was spread of the young queen's death¹ on her homeward voyage. Edward, who had already sent the bishop of Durham and six regents to take possession of Scotland, in the names of Edward of Caernarvon and Margaret of Norway,² was startled into prompt action at these alarming tidings. He took a hasty farewell of his beloved queen, and charged her to follow him with all convenient speed.

Edward had not entered Scotland when the fatal news reached him that Eleanora, the faithful companion of his life, in travelling through Lincolnshire to join him previously to his entering Scotland, had been seized with an autumnal fever at Hardeby, near Grantham. It seems, by existing documents,³ that the queen's illness was lingering, but did not take a fatal character until a few days before the king was summoned. Her wardrobe-book notes the payment of one mark to Henry of Montpelier, for syrup and other medicines bought at Lincoln, October 28, for the queen at Hardeby. Master Leopardo, Eleanora's household physician, was likewise in attendance on her, besides a leech in the service of the king of Arragon. The queen rewarded them in her will: to Leopardo she gave twenty marks, and to the Arragonese leech twelve and a half; for she left an elaborate will, containing legacies to the various persons who attended on her in her last sickness. Her two damsels, Joanna and Isabella de Camville,⁴ were munificently dowered by her. Many payments of five, ten, or twenty marks are paid them towards their marriages, and sometimes for care concerning the queen's soul; from all which it may be fairly concluded they were the queen's attendants in her illness. Their mother likewise received twenty pounds, as arrears of a salary from Easter to Michaelmas. Her humbler servants were not forgotten: she left a legacy to William, her tailor, and to the cook of her daughter, the princess Eleanora, for services performed.

Ambition, at the strong call of conjugal love, for once released its grasp on the mighty heart of Edward. In comparison with Eleanora, dead or dying, the coveted crown of Scotland was nothing in his estimation. He turned southward instantly when the fatal news of her danger reached him; but though he travelled with the utmost speed, he arrived too late

¹ She died at the Orkneys, it is supposed of the fatigue of a very stormy voyage, being driven to those islands by violent weather, October 1290.—See Walsingham. Her death

was the greatest national calamity that ever befell Scotland. An elegant female poet Miss Holford, says,—

"The north wind sobs where Margaret sleeps,
And still in tears of blood her memory Scotland steeps."

² Act. Pub. and Buchanan.

³ Wardrobe-book of Edward I., fol. 18, b. 47.

⁴ Daughters of Sir Robert de Camville.—

B. Botfield.

to see her living once more : his queen had expired, November 29th, at the house of a gentleman named Weston. She died, according to our calculation, in the forty-seventh year of her age.

The whole affairs of Scotland, however pressing they might be, were obliterated for a time from the mind of the great Edward, by the acute sorrow he suffered for the death of Eleanora;¹ nor, till he had paid the duties he considered due to her breathless clay, would he attend to the slightest temporal business. In the bitterest grief he followed her corpse in person, during thirteen days, in the progress of the royal funeral from Grantham to Westminster. At the end of every stage the royal bier rested, surrounded by its attendants, in some central part of a great town, till the neighbouring ecclesiastics came to meet it in solemn procession, and to place it before the high altar of the principal church. At every one of these resting-places the royal mourner vowed to erect a cross in memory of *chère reine*, as he passionately called his lost Eleanora. Thirteen of these splendid monuments of his affection once existed ; those of Northampton and Waltham² still remain, models of architectural beauty. The ceremony of marking the sites for these crosses is thus described by the chronicler of Dunstable : “ Her body passed through Dunstable, and rested one night, and two precious cloths were given us, and eighty pounds of wax. And when the body of the queen was departing from Dunstable, her bier rested in the centre of the market-place, till the king’s chancellor and the great men then and there present had marked a fitting place where they might afterwards erect, at the royal expense, a cross of wonderful size—our prior being there present, and sprinkling holy water.”

The principal citizens of London, with their magistrates, came several miles on the north road, clad in black hoods and mourning cloaks, to meet the royal corpse and join the solemn procession. The hearse rested, previously to its admission into Westminster-abbey, at the spot now occupied by the statue of Charles I., which commanded a grand view of the abbey, the hall, and palace of Westminster. The king, in his letter to the abbot of Cluny, desires prayers for the soul of her “ whom living he loved, and whom dead he shall never cease to love.” Yet, as the great expenses of crosses erected, her funeral,³ and her beautiful tomb and statue, were paid by her executors, there is some reason to suppose her own funds discharged the costs. It is needful to explain the use of these crosses : they were places of the field or out-door preaching of the ancient church ; likewise, sustenance for the poor was distributed from them, according to the means of their several endowments.

They buried queen Eleanora in Westminster Abbey, at the feet of her father-in-law, December 10, 1290. Her elegant statue, reclining on

¹ Walsingham and Speed.

² Waltham-cross was built where Eleanora’s corpse turned from the high north

road, to rest for the night at Waltham-abbey, which is situated about a mile from the spot.

³ *Fœdera*, vol. I.

an altar-shaped tomb, was cast in bronze by an artist patronised by Henry III. and Edward I., supposed to be the celebrated Pietro Cavallini, but his name is now certified¹ as master William Torell, a native statuary. The munificent Edward paid the artist for this statue, and that of his father Henry III., more than 1700*l.* of our money: likewise for a rich cover to enclose his queen's statue, which was richly gilt: gold florins were purchased for the gilding, and it was only exhibited on solemn days. He built his furnace to cast the queen's statue in St. Margaret's churchyard. Torell certainly produced a work of which any modern artist might be justly proud. We feel, while gazing upon it, that it possesses all the reality of individual resemblance. The countenance of Eleanora is serenely smiling; the delicate features are perfect, both in form and expression. The right hand held a sceptre, now broken away; the left is closed over something pendant from the neck by a string, supposed to be a crucifix, likewise destroyed. Her head is crowned with a magnificent circlet, from which her hair falls in elegant waves on her shoulders. The queen of Edward I. must have been a model of feminine beauty.² No wonder that the united influence of loveliness, virtue, and sweet temper should have inspired in the heart of her renowned lord an attachment so deep and true.

The king endowed the abbey of Westminster with many rich gifts, for dirges and masses to commemorate his beloved queen. Wax-lights perpetually burnt around her tomb, till the Reformation extinguished them three hundred years afterwards, and took away the funds that kept them alight. "She hath," says Fabyan, "two wax tapers burning upon her tomb both day and night, which hath so continued syn the day of her burying to this present."³ The tomb itself is of grey Petworth marble, and is designed in a style corresponding with the rich memorial-cross of Waltham, especially the lower range of shields, on which are seen embossed the towers of Castile and the purple lions of Leon, with the bendlets of Ponthieu. Various paintings by Walter de Durham once adorned the canopy and the base, of which some faint traces alone remain. Round the metal table on which the statue reposes is a verge, embossed with Saxon characters, to this effect:—"Here lies Alianor, wife to king Edward, formerly queen of England, on whose soul God for pity have grace! Amen." This is at present the sole

¹ See the accounts of queen Eleanora's executors, edited by B. Botfield, Esq.

² See portrait of Eleanora of Castile, vol. i. Illustrated edition of this work.

³ The tomb of Henry III. is richly inlaid with curious and precious stones, which his son Edward I. brought with him from Syria for that purpose. Its splendour may be noticed by those who walk in the abbey beneath St. Edward's chapel. Fortunately most of this beautiful mosaic of curious stones is per-

fect on the outside of the chapel, which is placed at an inconvenient height for the operations of the pickers and stealers who often visit that stately fane; therefore, this memento of our great king's filial piety still remains in a tolerable state of preservation. "Edward I. reserved some of his precious store to adorn the statue of his beloved wife, for round the neck are cusps, where a carcanet has been fixed, but it has been wrenched off and stolen."—Pennant.

epitaph of Eleanora of Castile; but before the Reformation the hearse-tablet hung near the tomb, on which were some funeral verses in Latin, with an English translation by some ancient rhymester,¹ transcribed here, not for their beauty, but their historical character :—

"Queen Eleanora is here Interred, a royal virtuous dame,
Sister unto the Spanish king, of ancient blood and fame;
King Edward's wife, first of that name, and Prince of Wales by right,
Whose father Henry, just the third, was sure an English wight.
He craved her wife unto his son; the prince himself did goe
On that embassage luckily, himself with many moe.
This knot of linked marriage the king Alphonso liked,
And with his sister and this prince the marriage up was striked.
The dowry rich and royal was, for such a prince most meet,
For Ponthien was the marriage gift, a dowry rich and great;
▲ woman both in counsel wise, religious, fruitful, meek,
Who did increase her husband's friends, and 'larged his honour eke.

LEARN TO DIE!"

Her heart was enclosed in an urn, and deposited in the church of the Black Friars, London: round it a rich picture was painted or enamelled. The nine beautiful crosses, raised to the memory of Eleanora of Castile by her sorrowing widower, were all the work of English artists.² Charing-cross is the most frequently named by the inhabitants of the metropolis, although the structure itself has vanished from the face of the earth. Yet every time Charing-cross is mentioned, a tribute is paid unconsciously to the virtues of Edward the First's beloved queen, for the appellation is derived from the king's own lips, who always spoke of her, in his French dialect, as the *chère reine*. Thus the words "Charing-cross" signify the "dear queen's cross,"³ an object that was always seen by the royal widower in his egress and regress from his palace of Westminster. This anecdote is corroborated by Edward's personal habits, who certainly, like his ancestors, spoke French in his familiar intercourse.⁴ Our sovereigns had not yet adopted English as their mother-tongue. Although Edward and his father spoke English readily, yet their conversation in domestic life was chiefly carried on in French. Foreigner as she was, Eleanora of Castile entirely won the love and good-will of

¹ A tradition is extant, that Skelton (poet-laureate to Henry VIII.) translated the Latin epitaphs into English, while he was a sanctuary-man under the protection of abbot Islip, who had the translations hung on tablets near the tombs.—Brayley's Historical Perambulator.

² See payments to Alexander, the designer. — Botfield's Executors' Accounts of the Queen's Expenses, lxxxiv. William of Suffolk cast the smaller images at Blackfriars; Richard of Stowe built Lincoln-cross; John of Battle, Northampton, Stratford, Dunstable, and St. Alban's; Waltham, Roger de Crundell; Charing, Richard de Crundell; Cheap-side, Michael of Canterbury.

³ Malcolm's London. Wilkinson's Londinium Rediviva. In the accounts published by Botfield of Eleanora's executors, Charing-cross is frequently mentioned, and its progress minutely traced: it is spelt variously, but at last settled as *Crucem de la Charynge*. Malcolm was a practical matter-of-fact antiquarian, not likely to give a romantic derivation; yet we own that the expression *la Charynge*, in the mixed language of the executors' Computus, raises a supposition that the word 'Charing' simply meant to express the ring or carriage-drive where the cars went round, while their masters were attending the royal levees at Westminster-palace.

⁴ Holinshed.

her subjects. Walsingham thus sums up her character: "To our nation she was a loving mother, the column and pillar of the whole realm; therefore, to her glory, the king her husband caused all those famous trophies to be erected, wherever her noble corse did rest; for he loved her above all earthly creatures. She was a godly, modest, and merciful princess: the English nation in her time was not harassed by foreigners, nor the country people by the purveyors of the crown. The sorrow-stricken she consoled as became her dignity, and she made them friends that were at discord." The common people have not dealt so justly by her: the name of this virtuous woman and excellent queen is only known by them to be slandered by means of a popular ballad, called 'The Fall of Queen Eleanora, wife to Edward I. of England, who for her pride sank into the earth at Queenhithe, and rose again at Charing-cross, after killing the Lady Mayoress.' Some faint traces of the quarrels between the city of London and Eleanor of Provence regarding Queenhithe had been heard by the writer of this ballad, who has ignorantly confounded her with her daughter-in-law, the spotless Eleanora of Castile.

Civilization made rapid advances under the auspices of a court, so well regulated as that of Eleanora of Castile. Wales, in particular, emerged in some degree from its state of barbarism. The manners of the Welsh were so savage at the time when Eleanora kept her court in North Wales, that her royal lord was forced to revive an ancient Welsh law, threatening severe punishments on any one "who should strike the queen, or snatch anything out of her hand." The English had little reason to pride themselves on their superiority. Although there was no danger of their beating the queen in her hall of state, they had pelted her predecessor from London Bridge.

Sculpture, architecture, and casting in brass and bronze were not only encouraged by king Edward and his queen, but brought to great perfection by the English artists whom they patriotically employed. Carving in wood, an art purely English, now richly decorated both ecclesiastical and domestic structures. Eleanora of Castile first introduced the use of tapestry as hangings for walls: it was a fashion appertaining to Moorish luxury, and adopted by the Spaniards. The coldness of our climate must have made it indispensable to the fair daughter of the South chilled with the damp stone-walls of English Gothic halls and chambers. In the preceding centuries, tapestry was solely worked to decorate altars, or to be displayed as pictorial exhibitions, in solemn commemoration of great events, like the Bayeux tapestry of Matilda of Flanders. The robes worn by the court of Eleanora of Castile were graceful; the close under-gown, or kirtle, was made high in the neck, with tight sleeves and a train, over which an elegant robe with full fur sleeves was worn. The ugly gorget, an imitation of the helmets of the knights, executed in

white cambric or lawn, out of which was cut a visor for the face to peep through, deformed the head-tire of some of the ladies of her court, and is to be seen on the effigy (otherwise most elegant) of Aveline, countess of Lancaster, her sister-in-law. But Eleanora had a better taste in dress; no gorget hides her beautiful throat and fine shoulders; her ringlets flow on each side of her face, and fall on her neck from under the regal diadem. The ladies of Spain are celebrated for the beauty of their hair, and we see by her statues that Eleanora did not conceal her tresses. The elegance and simplicity of the dress adopted by this lovely queen, might form a model for female costume in any era.

To Eleanora of Castile England owes the introduction of the famous breed of sheep for which Cotswold has been so famous. A few of these animals were introduced, by the care of the patriotic queen, from her native Spain; and they had increased to that degree in about half a century, that their wool became the staple riches of England. Anthony Bec, bishop of Durham, having obtained possession of Eltham-palace, originally a royal demesne, after building superbly there, bequeathed it with its improvements to queen Eleanora.¹ The last time the name of Eleanora of Castile appears in our national records is in the parliamentary rolls, and from Norman French we translate the following supplication:—"The executors of Oliver de Ingram pray to recover before the king's auditors three hundred and fifty marks, owed by dame Alianore, late queen and companion to our lord king Edward I., and the said executors show, that though our lord the king had given command to have it paid, it is not yet done; therefore they humbly crave that he will be pleased to give a new order for that same, on account of the health of the soul of the said queen Alianore, his companion." By this document we learn, from the best authority, that creditors, at that era, considered they kept a detaining hold on the souls even of royal debtors. Moreover, in the same parliament the prioress and her nuns of St. Helen present a pathetic petition to the king, representing "how earnestly they have prayed for the soul of madame the queen, late companion to king Edward; and they hope for perpetual alms for the sustenance of their poor convent in London, in consideration of the pains they have taken."²

Eleanora of Castile left several living daughters and one son. Only four of her daughters were bestowed in marriage. The princess-royal was united, in 1292, to the duke of Barr. Afterwards the king paid Husso de Thornville, valet of the count of Barr, for bringing him news of the birth of her eldest son, the enormous sum of fifty pounds! But this boy was the next heir to England after Edward of Caernarvon, for Edward I. settled the succession on the daughters of Eleanora of Castile;³

¹ Hist. of Eltham Palace.

² Par. Rolls.

first on the countess of Barr and her progeny, then on Joanna of Acre, and all the seven princesses then alive, in succession.

Isabella,¹ the sixth daughter of king Edward and Eleanora of Castile, was married at Ipswich (the year before her father's wedlock with Marguerite of France) to the count of Holland. Some circumstances connected with the wedding of the princess Isabella had put the royal widower of Eleanora of Castile in a violent fit of anger, for he threw the bride's coronet behind the fire; a freak which would never have been known, if the keeper of his privy-purse² had not been obliged to account for the outlay of the money "to make good a large ruby and an emerald lost out of the coronet, when the king's grace was pleased to throw it behind the fire." A strange stormy scene, lost in the dimness of time, is assuredly connected with this incident, which occurred at Ipswich, January 18, 1297. It is doubtful if the young bride ever left England: two years afterwards her lord died, and she was left a widow, childless. She afterwards married the earl of Hereford, Humphrey de Bohun.

Edward I. survived most of his beloved Eleanora's children. The countess of Barr preceded him to the tomb, not long after the birth of her second son in 1298. Joanna of Acre died soon after her father, and the countess of Hereford survived him but four years. The nun-princess, and the unfortunate Edward II., were the only individuals that reached the term of middle life out of the numerous family that Edward I. had by Eleanora of Castile.

¹ The entries in the household-book of Edward I., 1298, preserve some of the particulars of this marriage: "To Maud Mukejoy, for dancing before Edward, prince of Wales, 'n the king's hall at Ipswich, two shillings. To sir Peter Champrent, in lieu of the bridal bed of the countess of Holland, the king's daughter, which he ought to have had as his

fee when she married the earl of Holland at Ipswich, twenty marks. To Reginald Page, to John the vidulator, and Fitz-Simon, minstrels, for making minstrelsy the day of the marriage of the king's daughter, the countess of Holland, fifty shillings each."

² Wardrobe-book of Edward I.

MARGUERITE OF FRANCE,

SECOND QUEEN OF EDWARD I.

THE early death of the brave son and successor of St. Louis, king Philip le Hardi, left his youngest daughter, the princess Marguerite, fatherless at a very tender age. She was brought up under the guardianship of her brother, Philip le Bel, and carefully educated by her mother, queen Marie, a learned and virtuous princess, to whom Joinville dedicated his immortal memoirs. Marguerite early showed indications of the same piety and innate goodness of heart which, notwithstanding some superfluity of devotion, really distinguished the character of her grandfather.

If Marguerite of France possessed any comeliness of person, her claims to beauty were wholly overlooked by contemporaries, who surveyed with admiration the exquisite persons of her elder brother and sister, and sur-named them, by common consent, Philip le Bel and Blanche la Belle. The eldest princess of France was full six years older than Marguerite, and was, withal, the reigning beauty of Europe when Edward I. was rendered the most disconsolate of widowers by the death of Eleanora of Castile. If an historian may be believed, who is so completely a contemporary that he ceased to write before the second Edward ceased to reign, Marguerite was substitute^d, in a marriage-treaty commenced about 1294, by Edward for the beautiful Blanche, with a diplomacy unequalled for craft since the days of Leah and Rachel.

It has been seen, that grief in the energetic mind of Edward I. assumed the character of intense activity; but after all was done that human ingenuity could contrive, or that the gorgeous ceremonials of the Romish church could devise, of funeral honours to the memory of the *chère reine*, his beloved Eleanora, the warlike king of England sank into a morbid state of melancholy. His contemporary chronicler emphatically says,—

“ His solace all was reft sith she was from him gone,
On fell things he thought, and waxed heavy as lead,
For sadness him o’ermastered since Eleanor was dead.”

A more forlorn widowhood no pen can portray than is thus described by the monk Piers. Nevertheless, it is exceedingly curious to observe

how anxious Edward was to ascertain the qualifications of the princess Blanche. His ambassadors were commanded to give a minute description, not only of her face and manners, but of the turn of her waist, the form of her foot and of her hand; likewise '*sa façon*,'—perhaps dress and demeanour. The result of this inquisition was, that Blanche was perfectly lovely, for, to use the words which describe her, "a more beautiful creature could not be found." Moreover, sire Edward, at his mature age, became violently in love from report of the charms of Blanche la Belle. The royal pair began to correspond, and the damsel admonished him by letter "that he must in all things submit to her brother, king Philip." In truth, the extreme wish of king Edward to be again united in wedlock with a fair and loving queen induced him to comply with conditions too hard even for a young bride to exact, whose hand, waist, and foot were perfect as those possessed by Blanche la Belle. Philip demanded that Gascony should be given up for ever, as a settlement on any posterity Edward might have by his beautiful sister. To this our king agreed; but when he surrendered the province, according to the feudal tenure,¹ to his *suzerain*, the treacherous Philip refused to give it up, or let him marry his eldest sister; and just at this time the name of Marguerite, the youngest sister of Blanche, a child of little more than eleven years of age, is found in the marriage-treaty between England and France.

The consternation of the king's brother, Edmund of Lancaster, when he found the villanous part Philip le Bel meant to play in the detention of the duchy of Guienne, is very apparent. His letter to king Edward assumes the style of familiar correspondence, and proves at the same time that earl Edmund was with his consort at the French court, negotiating the royal wedlock. "After," says earl Edmund, "my lord and brother had surrendered, for the peace of Christendom, this territory of Gascony to the will of France, king Philip assured me, by word of mouth, that he would agree to the aforesaid terms; and he came into my chamber, where the queen *my* wife² was, with monsieur Hugh de Vere, and master John de Lacy, and he brought with him the duke of Burgundy, and there he promised, according to the faith of loyal kings, that, in reality, all things should be as we supposed. And on this faith we sent master John de Lacy to Gas-

¹ This ceremony, as narrated by Piers of Langtoft, is exceedingly like the surrender of a modern copyhold.

"Edward without reserve sal give Philip the king
The whole of Gascony, without disturbing.
After the forty days holding that jeofnunt,
Philip without delays sal give back the tenement
To Edward and to Blanche, and the heirs that of them come.
To that ilk *scribe* Edward set his seal.
That the gift was perfect, and with witnesses leal."

² The dowager of Navarre, queen Blanche, mother to Jane, wife of the king of France, was married to Edmund of Lancaster.

sony, in order to render up to the people of the king of France the *seisin* of the land, as afore agreed. And the king sent the constable of France to receive it. And when these things were done, we came to the two queens,¹ and they prayed the king of France that he would forthwith give safe-conduct to my lord the king, to come and receive again his land and fortresses according to his covenant. And the king of France, in secret, in the presence of queen Jane, told me "he was grieved that he must return a hard answer before the council, but, nevertheless, he meant to fulfil all he had undertaken." And forthwith he declared before his said council, 'that he never meant to restore the territory of which he had just been given full seisin.'²

Earl Edmund evidently concludes his letter in a great fright, lest Philip le Bel should persist in his cheating line of conduct; but he makes a serious exhortation to his brother not to let *small* causes break the compact. His letter is accompanied by a treaty of marriage, in which is inserted, not the name of the beautiful princess Blanche, but that of the child Marguerite. A fierce war immediately ensued, lasting from 1294 to 1298, during which time Edward, who at sixty had no time to lose, was left half married to Blanche; for, according to Piers of Langtoft, who seems intimately acquainted with this curious piece of secret history, the pope's dispensation had already been granted.³

It was not till the year 1298 that any pacific arrangement took place between Edward and the brother of Blanche. The treaty was then renewed for Marguerite, who had grown up in the mean time. The whole arrangement was referred to the arbitration of the pope, who decreed "that Guienne was to be restored to the right owner; that Edward I. should marry Marguerite; and that she should be paid the portion of fifteen thousand pounds left her by king Philip le Hardi, her father." This sum Piers verily believes Philip le Bel meant to appropriate to his own use. Piers does not say why the younger sister was substituted instead of Blanche,⁴ but he seems to insinuate, in these lines, that she was the better character:—

"Not dame Blanche the sweet,
Of whom I now spake;
But dame Marguerite,
Good withouten lack."

"Now," says a Latin poem⁴ descriptive of the Scottish war, "the

¹ Jeanne of Navarre, the queen of France, and her mother, queen Blanche, dowager of Navarre, wife of Lancaster.

² The facts stated by Piers are most satisfactorily confirmed by Wikes. Likewise by the learned researches of Sir Harris Nicolas. See a Latin poem preserved in the City archives.—Chronicle of London, p. 132.

³ It was because the beautiful Blanche had the prospect of being empress. Blanche, daughter of Philip le Hardi, and sister to Philip

le Bel, married Rodolphus, duke of Austria, eldest son to the emperor Albert I. Her husband was afterwards king of Bohemia. This marriage was arranged between king Philip and Albert. The young lady, who had accompanied her brother, was betrothed at Toul, in Lorraine, in the spring of 1299.—Du Fresne's Notes to Memoirs of Joinville.

⁴ Songs of the Scottish Wars. Political Songs of England, Camden Society.

king returns that he may marry queen Marguerite, the flower of France. When love buds between great princes, it drives away bitter sobs from their subjects."

Marguerite was married to Edward at Canterbury, by Robert de Winchelsea, September the 8th, 1299. "On Tuesday, the day of Our Lady's nativity, in the twenty-seventh year of the king, arrived dame Meregrett, the daughter of king Philip, at Dover, and proceeded the following day to Canterbury; and the present Thursday after, came Edward, king of England, into the church of the Trinity of Canterbury, and espoused the aforesaid Meregrett, queen of England, of the age of twenty years."¹ The young queen was endowed by her warlike bridegroom with her dower at the door of Canterbury cathedral; in conformity with a very ancient custom, whereby royal brides of England demanded and received a formal investiture of lands and other endowments from their consorts in the face of the whole congregation, assembled to witness the settlement,² as well as the nuptial rite.

"Philip for that May
Made providence ready;
With folk of good array
To Dover came she."

Among "the folk of good array," sent by Philip for the accommodation of "the May," his sister, we find by the wardrobe book of Edward I. that there were three ladies of the bedchamber, and four noble demoiselles, or maids of honour. Among these attendants are two French, Agnes de la Croise, to whom was paid ten marks: and Matilde de Val, one hundred shillings. Two ladies were sent from England to wait on the young queen; these were the lady Vaux and the lady Joanna Fountayne: each received 10*l*. Our chroniclers speak much of the goodness of Marguerite of France, and she seems to have deserved the respect and affection of her royal lord. At the time of her marriage with the king of England, her niece Isabella, the young daughter of king Philip, was solemnly betrothed to her stepson, Edward of Caernarvon.

The public entry of queen Marguerite into London did not take place until a month after her wedlock. "On Sunday before the day of St. Edward, came queen Marguerite from the Tower to Westminster: the earls of Savoy and Bretagne, the mayor of London and his aldermen, and a train of three hundred burgesses of the city, were in her suite. Two conduits were in Cheap, which jetted wine; while cloths of gold hung from all the windows, greeted her first view."

¹ De Antiquis Legibus Liber. — Camden Society, edited by Thomas Stapleton, Esq., F.A.S.

² There is a trace of this good custom in the marriage-service in our liturgy, where the church kindly makes the bridegroom

endow his bride with all his worldly goods, ay, and long after the Reformation, give her a handful of silver and gold as earnest, — a promise which the practical working of secular law virtually reverses.

The stormy aspect of the times did not afford the royal bridegroom leisure to attend to the coronation of Marguerite. King Edward had very little time to devote to his bride; for, to his great indignation, all his barons, taking the opportunity of his absence, thought proper to disband themselves and disperse their feudatory militia, leaving their warlike king but the shadow of an army to pursue the advantages he had gained by the sanguinary battle of Falkirk. In less than a week the royal bridegroom departed with fiery speed to crush, if possible, the noble efforts the Scotch were making for their freedom. He left London the Wednesday after his marriage. The queen, while her husband was thus engaged, remained in London, and resided chiefly at the Tower. The suite of apartments where the queens of England had previously kept their state at Westminster having been lately destroyed by fire, the royal palace of the Tower was, in fact, the only metropolitan residence at which Marguerite could sojourn. Before her abode was settled at the Tower, king Edward took the precaution of issuing his royal mandate to the civic authorities, in which, after informing them,¹ "that his beloved companion the queen would shortly sojourn in the Tower of London, he enjoins that no petitioner from the city should presume to approach that spot, lest the person of the queen be endangered by the contagion being brought from the infected air of the city." For during the summer succeeding the queen's bridal, her court at the Tower was placed almost under quarantine, owing to the breaking out of a pestilence, remarkable for its infectious nature. From the writings of Gaddesden, court physician at this time, it appears this was the smallpox, imported by Edward the First's crusade from Syria.

After this summer, queen Marguerite spent the principal part of her time, like her predecessor, Eleanor of Castile, following the camp of king Edward; and when the ferocious contest he was carrying on in Scotland made her residence in that kingdom too dangerous, she kept court in one of the northern counties. Edward set out with his queen and his eldest son in April, 1300, and taking his route through Lincolnshire, crossed the Humber into Yorkshire, and left the queen at Cawood from whence she used to make hunting excursions to Brotherton, a village on the banks of the Wherfe, in Yorkshire. Here that prince was born from whom the noble family of Howard is directly descended, and in whose right the head of that house bears the honour of "earl marshal of England." So keen a huntress was the young wife of Edward I., that she was eagerly following the chase in the forest that then lined the banks of the river Wherfe, when symptoms occurred which forced her to seek in haste the first roof she could reach. It was in a house at Brotherton, traditionally pointed out for centuries, that her first-born son, Thomas, afterwards duke of Norfolk, saw the light, June 1, 1300

¹ Order dated from Carlisle, June 23th.

Very different from the tedious and unhealthy ceremonies to which the queens of France and England were subjected before and after this era. However, neither the fine vigorous prince nor his young mother were the worse for her healthy exercise.¹

“ When the king heard say she had so well *farrn* [fared],
Thither he went away to see her and her bairn.
The queen, with her son, at Cawood leaves he,
And oft he came on Ouse her to y-see.”²

The queen's court was kept at Cawood while her husband made his murderous campaigns in Scotland. When winter put an end to war, the king and queen commenced their homeward progress circuitously, from one hunting-seat to another, until they gained Westminster-palace. Thence the queen came to Woodstock for her accouchement in the summer of the succeeding year, for she had given birth to her second son, Edmund, August 5th, 1301. The nun-princess Mary, daughter of Edward I. and Eleanor, came from her cloister to bear her stepmother company after she had taken to her chamber. On her recovery, the queen went, with that princess, on a pilgrimage of thanksgiving to the shrine of Becket, at Canterbury, where she made rich offerings: her eldest boy was named after the saint. Then the queen returned, by devious progress, to Cawood-castle, in the north, a magnificent pile of feudal grandeur, being a country-seat belonging to the archbishopric, seven miles from York.

Many cares irritated the king in the opening of the next year, and his young French queen was soon found to be the best intercessor between him and the objects of his wrath. Her luckless stepson, Edward, prince of Wales, needed her good offices more than any one else. A burglary had been committed at the treasure-tower, Westminster-abbey, when jewels, plate, and 100,000*l.*, all the king's ready cash, were mysteriously stolen Feb., 1302-3. The prince and his roystering gentlemen were suspected of the deed; and the royal frown grew darker on young Edward from day to day, until the bishop of Chester, king's treasurer, venturing to reprove Gilbert de Clare and Piers Gaveston, as his instigators in lawless pranks, the prince insulted the prelate so grossly that king Edward forbade his son to approach the court, wheresoever it might be, within fifteen leagues. Queen Marguerite, who had ever been kind to the prince, was now his only hope. His sister Isabel, countess of Hereford, was always near their royal stepmother—and to her he wrote, imploring her good offices. Isabel responded by rehearsing the reports of their royal father's unmitigated anger. But the prince of Wales told his sister, in reply, “not to listen to the chatter of people in those parts; his case was not so bad with their royal father as supposed, who had every right to punish him as he pleased, and as he meant entire submission, it would not be for long, if the queen would

¹ Year-book of Edward I.

² Piers of Langtoft

intercede." Queen Marguerite then received from him a letter, written in the midst of his disgrace. The prebend of Ripon, in the king's gift, fell; the prince wanted it for an ecclesiastic, son of a Windsor baker, who had been his tutor, and was now keeper of his wardrobe, waiting for church preferment. "To the queen, health. Very dear lady, as we neither can nor dare request on our own behalf our lord the king, concerning that or any of our other needs, *as you know, my lady*; therefore, my lady, we pray your highness to be pleased to help us as if in your own behalf, my lady—that for *your* sake Walter Renaud may be advanced to the prebend of Ripon. Very dear lady, the Lord preserve you, and keep you with his power for ever."¹ Marguerite certainly obtained this first step of preferment for the Windsor baker's son (who was a worthless wretch). After rapid preferment, he became archbishop of Canterbury quickly; but in the end turned traitorously on his royal pupil, while the bishop of Chester was afterwards found the most loyal of subjects to poor Edward in his direst distress.

King Edward, considering Scotland subdued from sea to sea, and as completely prostrate as the principality of Wales, sent for his young queen to behold his triumph, and to keep Christmas at Dunfermline.² Piers of Langtoft declares there was much danger in her journey; for though Scotland was apparently subdued, the woods and highways swarmed with armed men, who would not come in and submit to the conqueror. Thus irreverently does that time-serving historian sing of a hero, whose memory has been consecrated by the justice of more modern ages. Speaking of the danger of the royal Marguerite's journey to Dunfermline, he says,—

"By that the war was ent [ended], winter was dree,
To Dunfermline he went, for rest will he there.
For the queen he sent, and she did dight her cheer
From Cawood she went to Dunfermline to fare.
But the lord of Badenoch, Fraser, and Wallace
Lived at thieves' law, and robbed all the ways.
They had no sustenance the war to maintain,
But lived upon chance, and robbed aye between."

Scotland, at the time when queen Marguerite kept her court, the Christmas of 1304, at High Dunfermline,³ seemed to lie bleeding at the feet of Edward; every fortress had surrendered, excepting Stirling-castle, from whose unconquered heights the royal lion of Scotland still floated in the national banner. Marguerite and Edward kept their royal state at Dun-

¹ Abstracted from letters of Edward, prince of Wales, Chapter-house, Westminster. Edited and translated from French and Latin by W. H. Blaaup, Esq.—Sussex Arch. vol. ii.

² For seven years, at this 'uncture, the courts of King's-bench and the Exchequer were held at York, to be near the royal court—Walsingham.

³ Among the scanty notices of the residence

of the queen's court at Dunfermline, there is in the household-book of Edward I. a payment of forty shillings to John, the young son of John the bailiff, as boy-bishop in the chapel of Dunfermline; and forty shillings to Nicholas, the valet of the earl of Ulster, for bringing the news of the defeat of Sir Simon Fraser and William Wallace, by Latimer, Seagrave, and Clifford.

fermline until the last fatal wound was supposed to be inflicted on Scotland, by the treacherous capture of Wallace and the fall of Stirling. Leaving Lord Segrave commander at Dunfermline, Edward and his queen commenced their celebrated triumphal progress homeward to England. Whether Edward brought Wallace in chains with him in this triumphal progress¹ cannot be precisely determined, but his cruel execution was the commencement of the high festivities held by Edward and his young queen at Westminster, to celebrate the conquest of unhappy Scotland.²

As the summer of 1305 advanced, Edward I. ordered his son to confine himself to Windsor (old) castle and park, and, following the bishop of Chester's advice, deprived Clare and Gaveston of their offices, allowing his son only two gentlemen, and their intercourse with him was to be very distant. Then the prince became very dull, and applied to queen Marguerite for her intercession, through his sister Isabel, thus:—"Right glad are we of the good health of our lord the king, our father, and of my lady the queen, and of yours; we entreat and request you especially to beg my lady the queen, our dear mother, to beg the king to be pleased to grant us two more valets to dwell with us, that is to say, Gilbert de Clare and Perot de Gaveston; for if we had these two with the others we have, we should be much relieved from the anguish which we have endured and yet daily suffer from the restrictions at the pleasure of our lord the king. Very dear sister, may our lord preserve you."

Queen Marguerite could not do all that her stepson craved. He returns her thanks that he was permitted to live, as usual, with his valets (grooms of the chamber) Hausted and Weston, but still urges her to effect the restoration of "Gilbert and Perot." His august sire did not intend to take away "the anguish of his restrictions" by allowing these boon companions access to the Windsor seclusion.

While the atrocious execution of Wallace was perpetrated, queen Marguerite and her court were making preparations for the grandest tournament ever celebrated in England since, the days of king Arthur's round table; and the queen, having effected reconciliation between her royal lord and his penitent heir on New-year's day, 1306, this tournament was held at Westminster-palace, where prince Ed-

¹ A tradition of Carlisle exists, which points out the arch of the castle gateway as the spot where Wallace passed a night manacled in his cart, during his bitter progress through England. This circumstance favours the supposition that he was brought in the royal train, and that room could not be found in the castle to lodge the distinguished prisoner.

² We here subjoin the commencement of a song of malignant triumph, sung by the English, to commemorate the savage and unjust murder of this hero. We only disencumber the lines of their uncouth spelling.

It is a specimen of English verse in the year 1305.—From the Harleian MSS., fol. 61, Brit. Museum.

"With fetters and with gyves
Wallace was y drawn
From the Tower of London,
That many might know;
In a kirtle of borrel [coarse cloth]
Selcouth wise
Through Chepe,

And a garland on his head of the newest guise."

ward received knighthood, and was invested with the principality of Wales. "Thomas de Frowick, goldsmith, of London, prayed king Edward for the payment of 22*l.* 10*s.* for a circlet of gold made for Marguerite, queen of England, to wear on the feast of St. John the Baptist." This goldsmith had previously made a rich crown for the queen, and by the orders of the king left his bill with John de Cheam and his fellows, who had neglected it; and being injured by the delay, he prays the king, in 1306, "for God's sake, and the soul of his father, king Henry, to order payment." He is answered, "that he may take his bill to the king's exchequer, adding to it the charge for certain cups and vases which he had likewise made, and the clerk of the exchequer should pay him 440*l.* in part of his bill." Thus we find that queen Marguerite was provided with a splendid state crown though she was never crowned,—a ceremony prevented by the poverty of the finances. Marguerite is the first queen since the Conquest who was not solemnly crowned and anointed.

Queen Marguerite's beautiful sister, Blanche, duchess of Austria, had died at the close of 1305. Early in 1306, prayers for her soul were commanded by king Edward to be solemnly made by the archbishop of Canterbury, because, "she was the dear sister of his beloved consort, queen Marguerite." The king certainly bore no malice for the perfidy of his former love, being doubtless convinced that he had changed for the better.

The king's state ship was called, in compliment to the queen, 'The Margaret of Westminster;' not a ship of war, but a sort of royal yacht, in which the king made his voyages when he went to the continent. The queen allowed her chief minstrel, who was called "Guy of the Psaltery," a stipend of 28*s.*; he received *bouche* of court (or board at court), and had the use of three horses when the queen was in progress. Guy of the Psaltery often received gratuities from king Edward, who was, as well as his young queen,¹ a lover of music and the fine arts, and frequently encouraged their professors, as may be seen by these articles of his expenditure: "To Melioro, the harper of Sir John Mautravers, for playing on the harp while the king was bled, 20*s.*; likewise to Walter Luvel, the harper of Chichester, whom the king found playing on his harp before the tomb of St. Richard, at Chichester cathedral, 6*s.* 8*d.*" While music and sculpture had attained some degree of perfection in England at this time, other arts and sciences were in a strange state of barbarous ignorance. The earliest notice of medical practice is to be found, at this era, in the Latin work of Gaddesden, physician at the court of queen Marguerite. This learned doctor, describing his treatment of prince Edward in the smallpox, thus declares his mode of practice: "I ordered the prince to be enveloped in scarlet cloth, and that his bed and all the furniture of his chamber should be of a bright red colour; which practice

¹ Household-book of Edward I., pp. 7—95.

not only cured him, but prevented his being marked." More by good luck than good management; assuredly, it may be supposed that Gaddesden wished to stare the red inflammation of the smallpox out of countenance by his glare of scarlet reflections! He adds, in his *Rosa Anglorum*, that "he treated the sons of the noblest houses in England with the red system, and made good cures of all." In this childish state was the noble art of healing at the court of Marguerite.

About this time "twenty-six pieces of dimity were given out from the king's wardrobe-stores to cover queen Marguerite's feather bed,¹ and cushions for her charrette." Instead of finding the national rolls and records burdened with notices of oppressive exactions made by the queen-consort, as in the case of Eleanor of Provence, it is pleasant to observe that Marguerite's charitable kindness pervades these memorials—seen by few, and by still fewer appreciated. In the Exchequer rolls exist many precepts from the queen, ordering that debtors for fines due to her may be pardoned their debts, and more than one petition "that debtors of her dear lord the king may have time extended, or be excused."² One of these royal supplications is curious, and proves that the queen and her two little sons, Thomas and Edmund, prevailed on king Edward to pardon their dear friend the lady Margaret Howard³ a debt owed by that lady to the crown. As prince Thomas, the eldest son of queen Marguerite, was only six years old, and the infant Edmund much younger, it may be judged who prompted the young petitioners, and how the queen must have made the caresses of her infants work on the heart of their great father. "To the honourable father in God, Walter, bishop of Chester, treasurer to our lord, king, and father, Edmund, son of the king, salutes in great love. As our dear lady, madame the queen, has required, we would that you would grant to our good friend *ma dame* Marguerite, late wife of monsieur Robert Hereward, the remission of her debt. Written at Northampton, June 15."

Marguerite of France is not the first instance of a queen-consort of England who ventured to stand between a Plantagenet king in his wrath and his intended victims, only her intercession had to occur very often. Godfrey de Coigners "had committed the heavy transgression and malefaction of making the coronal of gold that crowned the king's rebel and enemy, Robert de Brus, in Scotland, and had secretly hidden and retained this coronal till a fitting occasion; but these treasonable doings had since been discovered, and convicted by the king's council." No doubt, Godfrey the goldsmith would have been dealt with according to the tender mercies shown to Wallace and Fraser, if he had not found a friend in queen Marguerite; "For," says Edward I., "we pardon him solely at the intercession

¹ Wardrobe-book, 34 Edward I.

² Household-book of Edward I.

³ The name is spelled 'Hereward' in the French; the order was sent by the queen to

the barons of the Exchequer. *Madox's History of the Exchequer.* The debt was some copyhold fine.

of our dearest consort, Marguerite, queen of England."¹ The citizens of Winchester were likewise deeply indebted to queen Marguerite, whose beneficent interference relieved them from the terrible consequences of king Edward's displeasure. To the mayor of Winchester had been confided the safe keeping of Bernard Pereres, a hostage of some importance, whom the citizens of Bayonne had delivered to the king as a pledge of their somewhat doubtful loyalty. Bernard made his escape. On which king Edward sternly commanded his sheriff of Hampshire to seize upon the city of Winchester, and to declare its liberties void,—thus reducing the free citizens to the state of feudal vassals. The mayor he loaded with an enormous fine of three hundred marks, and incarcerated him in the Marshalsea till it was paid. In despair, the Winchester citizens appealed to the charity of queen Marguerite. She recollected that, when she was first married, she had been received at Winchester with the most affectionate demonstrations of loyalty; moreover, she remembered that her husband had given her a charter, which entitled her to all the fines levied from the men of Winchester. Armed with this charter she went to her loving lord, and claimed the hapless mayor and his fine as her personal property. She then remitted half the fine, took easy security for the remainder, and set the mayor at liberty; nor did she cease pleading with her consort, till he had restored to Winchester the forfeited charters.²

Queen Margaret retired to Winchester, where she was deservedly beloved, when she gave birth to a princess,—her third, but the king's sixteenth child. The infant was called Eleanora, after Edward's first queen and his eldest daughter, likewise deceased: she died in a few months. Marguerite certainly attended her royal lord on his last northern expedition, for the Lanercost chronicle expressly declares, "that the king came to Lanercost-monastery, October 1st, 1306, very sick and infirm, accompanied by his queen Marguerite; and that they staid there four days, when the royal pair paid a visit to Carlisle-castle for three days;³ but the king's health daily declining, they returned to Lanercost, spent the Christmas there, and dwelt with the monks till February 28th. There are some indications that the queen was with the royal warrior when he lay on his death-bed; for, lingering on till summer, he was advancing to invade Scotland, but before he reached the border he fell ill, at Burgh-on-Sands. He survived a few days, till the Prince of Wales came up with the remaining forces time enough to receive his last commands, which breathed implacable fury against the Scots. The dying warrior, moreover, commanded his son "to be kind to his little brothers Thomas and Edward, and, above all, to treat with respect and tenderness his mother, queen Marguerite." Edward expired July 7th, 1307;

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*. ² Milner's *History of Winchester*, from the Trussell MS.

³ Probably to meet his parliament, summoned to assemble at Carlisle that year. The

king, in consideration of the great trouble given to the monks of Lanercost by this royal residence, presented them with some grants of land.

while he remained unburied, 100*l.* was paid by his treasurer, John de Tunford, for the expenses of the royal widow.¹

The chroniclers of England record no fault or folly of queen Marguerite : nothing exists to contradict the assertion of Piers, that she was "good withouten lack," and a worthy successor to Eleanor of Castile. Like Adelicia of Louvaine, the queen of Henry I., Marguerite kept a chronicler to record the actions of her great lord. He was named "John o'London," (not a very distinctive appellation); but as we have given a personal sketch of Edward in his youth, we add a portrait of him in advanced life, drawn under the superintendence of his royal widow :—"His head spherical (this is the second instance in which we quote from the chroniclers of the middle ages the form of the head); his eyes round, gentle and dove-like when pleased, but fierce as a lion's and sparkling with fire when he was disturbed; his hair crisp or curling, his nose prominent and raised in the middle; his chest broad, his arms agile, his limbs long, his feet arched, his body firm and fleshy, but not fat. He was so strong and active, that he could leap into his saddle by merely putting his hand on it. Passionately fond of hunting, he was engaged with his dogs and falcons when not in war. He was seldom ill, and neither lost his teeth, nor was his sight dimmed with age. He was temperate; never wore his crown after the coronation, thinking it a burden; he went about in the plain garments of a citizen, excepting on days of festival."—"What could I do more in royal robes, father, than in this plain gabardine?" said Edward once to a bishop, who remonstrated with him on his attire as unkingly.²

How so elegantly proportioned a man as Edward I. came to be sur-named Longshanks, has been a question to all writers since the opening of the stone sarcophagus in Westminster-abbey, when the body of this great warrior and legislator was found of just and fine proportions, without any undue length of legs: his stature was six feet two inches, from skull to heel. It appears that the insulting epithet, "Longshanks," was a *sobriquet* given by an incensed enemy, and was derived from a satirical song sung by the Scots when Edward laid siege to Berwick, being his first step in his ambitious invasion of Scotland.³ Edward is said to have been so incensed at this song, that when he had stormed Berwick he put every living soul to the sword, to the number of four thousand persons. Before Berwick he displayed the fine horsemanship for which he was noted, as Piers sings,

"What did king Edward? Peer he had none like;
Upon his steed Bayard, first he won the dyke."

Besides this steed "Bayard," another, called "Grey Lyard," is celebrated in the barons' wars as one on which he ever "charged forward;" likewise

¹ Issue Rolls.

² Camden's Remains.

fended it *orpedly* [manfully], and they set on

³ "They that were within the toune, de- fire king Edward's ships, and sang a scorn,—

"What meaneth king Edward, with his long-shanks,
To win Berwick and all our unthanks."

his horse "Ferraunt," "black as a raven, on whose back, though armed in proof, sire Edward could leap over any chain, however high."¹ No chevalier of his day was so renowned for noble horsemanship as this most accomplished monarch. Yet it is certain that all which finally remained to him from his ambitious wars in Scotland, was the insulting *sobriquet* of Longshanks.

The original MS. of the queen's chronicler, John o'London, is a great curiosity. It is written in Latin on vellum, very finely and legibly penned, and ornamented with initial letters, illuminated with gold and colours—the centres of the most of these are unfinished, but the manuscript itself is a fragment. The description of Edward's person is accompanied by an odd representation of his face, in the midst of an initial letter. The features bear the same cast as the portraits of the king: there is the small haughty mouth, the severe penetrating eyes, and the long straight nose. The king is meant to be shown in glory, but the head is surrounded with three tiers of most suspicious-looking flames: however, such as it is, it doubtless satisfied the royal widow, to whom the work was dedicated. "The noble and generous matron, Margareta, by the grace of God queen of England, invites all men to hear these pages." The plan of the oration is to describe the doleful bewailings of all sorts and conditions of persons for the loss of the great Edward. Of course the lamentation of the royal widow holds a distinguished place in the *commemoratio*. It commences thus: "The lamentable commemoration of Margareta, the queen. Hear, ye isles, and attend my people, for is any sorrow like unto my sorrow? Though my head wears a crown, joy is distant from me, and I listen no more to the sound of my cithera² and organs. I mourn incessantly, and am weary of my existence. Let all mankind hear the voice of my tribulation, for my desolation on our earth is complete." The queen's chronicler proceeds to paraphrase the lament for Saul and Jonathan; at length he remembers the royal Marguerite by adding, "At the foot of Edward's monument, with my little sons, I weep and call upon him. When Edward died all men died to me." These lamentations for a husband more than seventy, from a widow twenty-six, seem a little exaggerated; yet the after-life of the royal Marguerite proved their sincerity. Her native historians mention her with bitterness, because they say that her aged spouse prevailed on her to write in her familiar letters false intelligence to her brother, the king of France, with whom he was at war. Marguerite's deceitful information caused Philip le Bel to lose some towns in Flanders, to the great indignation of the French. Possibly the queen was herself intentionally misinformed by her husband.

Although queen Marguerite appeared in public earlier than was usual for the etiquette of royal widowhood in the fourteenth century,

¹ Piers Langtoft. Meaning the chains used, in defensive warfare, to guard gates and drawbridges.

² Harp.

it was in obedience to the dying commands of her royal lord. Soon after her husband's death she went to Boulogne with her stepson, Edward II., and assisted at his marriage with her niece Isabella.¹ At the birth of Edward III., queen Marguerite was present: her name is recorded as one of the witnesses of that event. This was according to the ancient customs of England, her sons being next in succession to Edward II. While she lived, her niece, queen Isabella, led a virtuous and respectable life. Marguerite did not survive to see the infamy of this near relative, or the domestic wretchedness of her stepson, with whom she had always lived on terms of affection and amity. Marguerite is the first queen of England who bore her arms with those of her husband in one scutcheon; her seal is affixed to the pardon of John de Dalyeng, which pardon she had procured of Edward II., in the ninth year of his reign.²

Queen Marguerite's principal residence was Marlborough-castle, on the borders of the forest of Savernake; it was there she died, at the early age of thirty-six, on the 14th of February, 1317. King Edward the Second's household-book has the following entry relative to this event: "Sent by the king's order, to be laid upon the body of the lady Marguerite, late queen of England, by the hands of John de Hausted at Marlborough, the 8th of March, two pieces of Lucca cloth." Also at the place of its final destination, the Grey Friars,' various other pieces of Lucca cloth were to be laid on her body, at the expense of the king. She was interred at the Grey Friars' church, the magnificent structure she had principally founded:³ her body was buried before the high altar, wrapped in the conventual robe of the Franciscans. The splendid monument raised to the memory of this beneficent woman was destroyed through the avarice of sir Martin Bowes, lord mayor, in the reign of queen Elizabeth: when the Grey Friars' church was made parochial, he, to the indignation of the antiquary, Stowe, sold queen Marguerite's tomb and nine others of royal personages, together with a number of grave-stones, for 50*l*. Her monumental effigy was lost owing to this avaricious destruction.

The features of Marguerite are delineated with minute distinctness in the statuette which represents her on the tomb of her great-nephew, John of Eltham. The cast of countenance which may be observed in most of the descendants of St. Louis (Louis IX.) is particularly marked in his grand-daughter Marguerite; it does not form a beautiful face, although uniting energy and good expression. The nose is large, long, and straight, but instead of keeping the Grecian facial line, it

¹ Montfauçon.

² The seal is of red wax, with the lions of England on the right side, and her own fleurs-de-lis on the left. They are emblazoned on a shield, and not on a lozenge.—See Sandford, p. 120.

³ Stowe. She began the choir in 1306, and finished it in her widowhood. She left by will 100 marks to this church—that of Christ-Church, near Newgate. Part of Marguerite's original building is the cloister of the school.

slants forward and hangs over a short upper lip. The style of face is familiar in the portraits of Francis I. and Louis XI., where it is exaggerated to ugliness. It is seen in the statue of Louis IX., in the crypt of St. Denis: the holy king of France is no beauty, but has the most sensible and good-natured expression possible. His granddaughter, the second queen of our great Edward I., is here represented as a royal widow, but not as a professed *religieuse*; she wears the gorget wimple, and the French widow's veil over it, surmounted by a rich open crown of fleur-de-lis, placed on a circlet of gems; she has her royal mantle on her shoulders, and a loose robe beneath, belted round with a splendid band studded with jewels. Such was her appearance at the marriage of Edward II. with her niece Isabella, and on state festivals at their courts.

Marguerite left her two sons joint-executors to her will. Edward II. empowered his dearest brothers, "Thomas, earl of Norfolk, earl-marshal, and Edmund of Woodstock, co-executors by the testament of our mother of good memory, Marguerite, late queen of England, to execute the said testament; and to have all goods and chattels that belonged to the said queen, and all her corn on her manors, whether housed or growing green in the earth, from the 14th day of February last, when she died, 1318. They are to receive all debts due to the queen-dowager, and pay what she owes, according to her will."¹ The troubles of the reign of Edward II. prevented the debts of the widow of his father from being paid, as we find the following petition concerning them. In 1337, reign of Edward III., there is a petition to parliament² from Thomas, earl of Norfolk, marshal of England, and executor of the testament of queen Marguerite, his mother, praying, "that the king will please to grant, of his good grace, that the debts of the deceased queen may be forthwith paid by his exchequer, according to the order of king Edward II., whom God assoil." Queen Marguerite is the ancestress of all our English nobility bearing the great name of Howard: the honours of her son, Thomas Plantagenet, earl-marshal, were carried into this family by his descendant, lady Margaret Mowbray, marrying Sir Robert Howard. The Howards, through this queen, unite the blood of St. Louis with that of the mightiest of the Plantagenet monarchs. The heiress of her second son, Edmund, married first Sir Thomas Holland, and then Edward the Black Prince: through her, this queen was ancestress of the nobility who bore the name of Holland, which family became extinct in the wars of the roses.

¹ Parliamentary Rolls

Ibid.

ISABELLA OF FRANCE,

SURNAMED THE FAIR,

QUEEN OF EDWARD II.

CHAPTER I.

SINCE the days of the fair and false Elfrida, of Saxon celebrity, no queen of England has left so dark a stain on the annals of female royalty as the consort of Edward II., Isabella of France. She was the eleventh queen of England from the Norman conquest; and with the exception of Judith, the consort of Ethelwulph, a princess of higher rank than had ever espoused a king of England. She was the offspring of a marriage between two sovereigns,—Philip le Bel, king of France, and Jane, queen of Navarre. Three of her brothers, Louis le Hutin, Philip le Long, and Charles le Bel, successively wore the royal diadem of France.

Isabella was only four years old when her fatal wedlock with Edward of Caernarvon was determined, the preliminaries for that alliance forming a clause in the treaty negotiated between her father and Edward I. for the marriage of that monarch to her aunt, Marguerite of France.¹ It was agreed, at the same time, that the king her father was to give Isabella a marriage-portion of eighteen thousand pounds, and that she was to succeed to the dower which Edward I. settled on his bride as queen of England. The pope's dispensation for matrimony to be contracted between Edward, prince of Wales, and Isabella of France was published in the year 1303. The ceremonial of their betrothment was then solemnized in Paris, according to the usual forms. The earls of Lincoln and Savoy, as the procurators of the royal suitor, asked the lady Isabella in marriage for the prince of Wales, of her august parents, Philip, king of France, and Jane, queen of Navarre, whose consent having been given, père Gill, archbishop of Narbonne, repeated to the little princess the words in which the prince of Wales desired to plight her his troth; whereupon she placed her hand in that of the archbishop, in token of her assent, on condition that all the articles of the treaty were duly performed.² Isabella, who was born in 1295, was then in her ninth year.

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*. vol. ii. p. 928.² *Ibid*.

Edward I. was so desirous of this alliance, that among his death-bed injunctions to his heir he charged him, on his blessing, to complete his engagement with Isabella. This was, in truth, the only command of his dying sire to which Edward II. thought proper to render obedience. Such was his haste to comply with a mandate which happened to be in accordance with his own inclination, that before the obsequies of his deceased king and father were performed, he despatched the bishops of Durham and Norwich, with the earls of Lincoln and Pembroke, to the court of France, to appoint a day for the solemnization of his nuptials. His ambassadors' reports of the charms of his intended bride made so lively an impression on the mind of Edward II., that he is reproached by the chroniclers of his reign, with having lost the kingdom of Scotland, through his impatience to secure his prize.¹ Treating every consideration of political expediency with lover-like contempt, he hastened to the fulfilment of his contract with the royal beauty. There was the less cause for such unseasonable promptitude, since the fair Isabella had scarcely completed her thirteenth year.

Great preparations were made at Westminster-palace for the reception of the young queen. The royal apartments, which had been burnt down in the preceding reign, and rebuilt, were completed and furnished; the gardens were new turfed and trellised, the fish-ponds were drawn and cleaned, and a sort of pier jutting into the Thames, called "the queen's bridge," was repaired. The royal ship called 'The Margaret of Westminster' was, with her boats and barges, newly painted and beautified. Various butteries and wardrobes were constructed in the vessel, not only by the command, but according to the device of the king himself, for his expected queen's accommodation.² After appointing his recalled favourite, Piers Gaveston, guardian of the realm, Edward sailed, early on Monday morning, January 22, 1308, accompanied by his royal step-mother, queen Marguerite, to meet his bride. He landed at Boulogne, where Isabella had already arrived with her royal parents.

The next day, being the festival of the Conversion of St. Paul, the nuptials of Isabella and her royal bridegroom were celebrated, in the cathedral of Boulogne, with peculiar magnificence. Four sovereigns, and as many queens, graced the bridal with their presence. These were the king and queen of France, the parents of the bride; Marie, queen-dowager of France, her grandmother; Louis, king of Navarre, her brother,—to whom queen Jane, their mother, had resigned the kingdom she inherited; the king and queen of the Romans; the king of Sicily; and Marguerite, queen-dowager of England, Isabella's aunt. The arch-duke of Austria was also present, and the **most** numerous assembly of princes and nobility that had ever met together on such an occasion. The

¹ Annals of St. Augustin. Walsingham. Rapin.

² Brayley and Britton's Palace of Westminster. pp. 114—117.

dowry of the bride was provided from the spoils of the hapless knights-templars. Like most ill-gotten gains, this money by no means prospered in the spending.

The beauty of the royal pair, whose nuptials were celebrated with this extraordinary splendour, excited universal admiration; for the bridegroom was the handsomest prince in Europe, and the precocious charms of the bride had already obtained for her the name of *Isabella the Fair*.¹ Who, of all the royal and gallant company, witnesses of these espousals, could have believed their fatal termination? or deemed that the epithet of "*she-wolf of France*" could ever have been deserved by the bride? High feasts and tournaments were held for several days after the espousals, at which the nobility of four royal courts assisted. These festivities lasted nearly a fortnight. Edward and Isabella were married on the 25th of January, they embarked for England on the 7th of February, and landed at Dover the same day. There is in the *Fœdera* a copy of the summonses that were sent to Alicia, the wife of Roger Bigod, earl of Norfolk, the countess of Hereford, and other noble ladies, by the regent Piers Gaveston, in the king's name, appointing them to be at Dover on the Sunday after the Purification of the Virgin Mary, to receive the newly-wedded queen, and attend her on her progress to Westminster.²

The king and queen remained at Dover two days, where Piers Gaveston came to receive them. The moment the king saw him, he flew to him, fell on his neck, and called him "*brother*,"³—conduct which greatly displeased the queen and her uncles. From Dover the royal party proceeded to Eltham, where they remained till the preparations were completed for the coronation. Two of Isabella's uncles,⁴ Charles, count of Valois, and Louis de Clermont, count of Evreux, the duke of Brabant, with the grand-chamberlain of France, and many other nobles, came as guests to the coronation. This ceremonial was postponed till Quinquagesima Sunday, February 25th, one month after the nuptials of the king and queen. The letter, addressed by king Edward to his nobles, in which "he commands their attendance with their consorts at Westminster, to assist at the coronation solemnity of himself and his consort, Isabella, queen of England," is the first royal summons in which the wives of the peers of England are included.⁵

The young queen's outfit was magnificent. She brought with her to England two gold crowns, ornamented with gems, a number of gold and silver drinking-vessels, golden spoons, fifty silver porringers, twelve great silver dishes, and twelve smaller ones. Her dresses were made of gold and silver stuff, velvet, and shot taffety. She had six dresses of green cloth from Douay, six beautifully marbled, and six of rose scarlet, besides many costly furs. She had 419 yards of linen for the bath alone:

¹ Froissart.² Rymer's *Fœdera*.³ *Ibid.*⁴ *Ibid.*⁵ *Ibid.* vol. iii. p. 59.

she was likewise endowed with six dozen coifs,—probably nightcaps. She brought tapestry for her own chamber, figured in lozenges of gold, with the arms of France, England, and Brabant. The king of France, on the occasion of his daughter's nuptials, made his royal son-in-law a profusion of costly presents, such as jewels, rings, and other precious articles, all of which Edward immediately bestowed on his favourite, Piers Gaveston, whose passion for finery was insatiable.¹ Such conduct was peculiarly calculated to excite the displeasure of a young girl; and Isabella naturally resented this improper transfer of her father's munificent gifts, which she regarded as part of her dower and heir-looms to her descendants. The nobles encouraged by the anger manifested by the young queen against the haughty favourite, told the king "that unless Gaveston were banished from the court, they would not attend the approaching coronation." Edward, alarmed at an intimation which he knew amounted to a threat of withholding their oaths of allegiance, promised that everything should be arranged to their satisfaction at the parliament that was to meet directly after his inauguration.

At the coronation fresh discords were engendered. Thomas, earl of Lancaster, the son of Edward's uncle, Edmund Crouchback, bore "*curtana*," or the sword of mercy, and Henry of Lancaster, his brother, the royal rod surmounted with the dove. But the indignation of the nobles exceeded all bounds, when it was found that the king had assigned the envied office of bearing St. Edward's crown to his unpopular favourite, who, on this occasion, was dressed more magnificently than the sovereign himself. This gave such offence to one of the earls of the blood-royal,² that nothing, but consideration for the feelings of the young queen restrained him from slaying Gaveston within the sacred walls of the abbey. The archbishop of Canterbury being absent from the realm at that period, the king and queen were consecrated and crowned by the bishop of Winchester.³

So great was the concourse of spectators at this coronation, that many serious accidents occurred, through the eager desire of the people to obtain a sight of the beautiful young queen; and a knight, Sir John Bakewell, was trodden to death. Gaveston had taken upon himself the whole management of the coronation ceremonial; and either his arrangements were made with little judgment, or his directions were perversely disobeyed, for it was, from the beginning to the end, a scene of the most provoking confusion and disorder. It was three o'clock before the consecration of the king and queen was over; and when we consider the

¹ M. of West.

² Milles' Catalogue of Honour and Treasury of True Nobility. Carte.

³ The king's first offering was a pound of gold, fashioned in the likeness of a king holding a ring in his hand. His second was eight ounces of gold, in the form of a pilgrim put-

ting forth his hand to take the ring, or rather, we should think, to give it; for this device represented the legend of Edward the Confessor receiving the ring from St. John the Evangelist in Waltham-forest, from whence Havering-Bower derived its name.

shortness of the winter days, we cannot wonder at the fact stated, that though there was abundance of provisions of every kind, there was not a morsel served up at the queen's table before dark.¹ The lateness of the dinner-hour appears to have excited the indignation of the hungry nobles more than any other of Gaveston's misdeeds that day. The banquet was, moreover, badly cooked, and when at last brought to table, ill-served, and few of the usual ceremonies were observed. In short, all classes were dissatisfied and out of humour, especially the queen, on whom many slights were put, but whether out of accident or wilful neglect is not stated. The French princes and nobles returned home, in a state of great exasperation at the affronts which they considered their princess had received; and Isabella herself sent a letter to the king her father, full of complaints of her lord and his all-powerful favourite, Gaveston.² This had the effect of inducing Philip le Bel to strengthen the party of the discontented barons against Gaveston with all his influence, and gave an excuse to the French party for commencing those intrigues, which terminated so fatally for Edward II.

The English crown, owing to the wars in Scotland, was at that time in great pecuniary distress: it is certain that the king was unable either to pay his coronation expenses, or to maintain his household. As for his young queen, she was wholly without money, which caused her great uneasiness and discontent. It is possible, that if Isabella had been of an age more suitable to that of her husband, and of a less haughty temper, her beauty and talents might have created a counter-influence to that of the Gascon favourite, productive of beneficial effects; but the king was in his three-and-twentieth year, and evidently considered a consort who was only entering her teens as entitled to a very trifling degree of attention, either as a queen or a wife. Isabella was, however, perfectly aware of the importance of her position in the English court; and even had she been as childish in mind as she was in age, she was too closely allied in blood to the great leaders of the disaffected peers of England,—Thomas, earl of Lancaster, and his brother, Henry, earl of Derby, to remain quiescently in the background. Blanche of Artois, the queen-dowager of Navarre, was Isabella's maternal grandmother;³ consequently, her sons, by her second marriage with Edmund, earl of Lancaster, were half-uncles to the young queen, and resolutely determined to act as her champions against Piers Gaveston, who was now allied to the royal family by his marriage with Margaret of Gloucester, the daughter of Edward's sister, Joanna of Acre.⁴

Gaveston was not only the Adonis of the English court, but remark-

¹ Carte. Walsingham.

² Ibid.

³ Milles' Catalogue of Honour. Brookes. Speed, &c. &c.

⁴ The barons were exasperated at this marriage, which made the favourite Edward's

nephew; yet the earl of Gloucester, who was certainly the person whom it more nearly concerned, as he was the young lady's brother, appeared perfectly satisfied, and remained Gaveston's firm friend.

able for his knightly prowess, graceful manners, and sparkling wit. It was the latter qualification which rendered him peculiarly displeasing to the English nobles, whom he was accustomed to deride and mimic, for the amusement of his thoughtless sovereign; nor was the queen exempted, when he was disposed to display his sarcastic powers.¹ The sins of the tongue are those which more frequently provoke a deadly vengeance than any other offence; and Gaveston's greatest crime appears to have been the fatal propensity of saying unforgivable things in sport. Isabella's father secretly incited the English barons to a combination against the favourite, which compelled the king to promise to send him beyond seas. This engagement Edward deceitfully performed, by making him viceroy of Ireland, which country he ruled with great ability. The queen's pecuniary distresses were then brought before the lords;² and as they found there was no money in the treasury to furnish her with an income befitting her station, the revenues of Ponthieu and Montreuil were appropriated to her use. The king having specified his wish, "that his dearest consort, Isabella, queen of England, should be honourably and decently provided with all things necessary for her chamber; and all expenses for jewels, gifts, and every other requisite."

During the first year of Isabella's marriage with Edward II., her father, Philip le Bel of France, acquired some degree of ascendancy in the councils of the nation. There are several letters in Rymer's *Fœdera* from Edward to his father-in-law, in which he condescends to explain his conduct with regard to Gaveston to that monarch, and weakly solicits his mediation with his turbulent barons. The following year Gaveston returned to England, to attend a tournament at Wallingford. The magnificence of his retinue, and the great number of foreigners by whom he was surrounded, served to increase the jealous displeasure of the barons. Gaveston, according to his old practice, retaliated their hostility with scornful raillery, and on this occasion bestowed provoking *sobriquets* on the leaders of the feud against him. The earl of Pembroke, who was dark, thin, and sallow-complexioned, he called "Joseph the Jew;" the earl of Warwick, who foamed at the mouth when angry, "the wild boar of Ardenne;" and the earl of Lancaster, from his affecting a picturesque style of dress, "the stage player;"³ and in like manner he characterized the rest of the party, either from their peculiarities or defects. These insults were not only treasured up against a fearful day of reckoning, but had the effect of stirring up such a storm in the court, as made the throne of his royal master totter under him. The queen, her uncle the earl of Lancaster, and all the baronage of England, made common cause against Gaveston; and Edward, not daring to oppose so potent a combination, sent his favourite to Guienne; but at parting lavished on him all the jewels of which he was possessed, even to the

¹ Walsingham,² Curte.³ Walsingham

rings, brooches, buckles, and other trinkets, which the queen had at various times presented to him as tokens of regard.

In the year 1312, to the great displeasure of the queen and her party, Edward recalled Gaveston, and made him his principal secretary of state,¹ placing all the affairs of the realm under his control. This unpopular minister was accused of leading the king into a reckless course of dissipation, very offensive and injurious to the queen. Isabella, not being of a temper to bear her wrongs in silence, angrily remonstrated with Gaveston; on which he so far forgot the respect due to her high rank, as to make a contemptuous reply; and when she passionately complained to the king of the affront she had received from his insolent favourite, Edward treated it as a matter of little importance. It appears evident that, at this period, Isabella was only considered by him as a petulant child.² Less perilous, however, would it have been to offer slights and provocations to a princess of more advanced age and mature judgment, for Isabella vented her indignant feelings by sending an eloquent detail of her wrongs to her father the king of France, to whom she wrote bitter complaints of her royal husband's coldness and neglect, describing herself "as the most wretched of wives, and accusing Gaveston of being the cause of all her troubles, by alienating king Edward's affection from her, and leading him into improper company."

King Edward's letters, at the same period, to the father of his queen, are written in the most slavish style of prostration,³ and he constantly applies to him for counsel and assistance in his internal troubles, apparently unconscious that his "dearest lord and father," as he calls the treacherous Philip, was the secret agitator by whom his rebel peers were incited to disturb his dreams of pleasure. It is remarkable, that Isabella's name is mentioned but once in Edward's letters to the king her father, and then merely to certify "that she is in good health, and will (God propitious) be fruitful."⁴ It was not, however, till the fifth year of Isabella's marriage with Edward II. that any well-grounded hope existed of her bringing an heir to England; and the period at which this joyful prospect first became apparent, was amidst the horrors of civil war.

The earl of Lancaster, at the head of the malcontent barons, took up arms against the sovereign in the year 1312, in order to limit the regal authority, and compel Edward to dismiss Piers Gaveston from his councils. Isabella accompanied her lord and his favourite to York, and shared their flight to Newcastle, where, not considering either Gaveston or himself safe from the victorious barons, who had entered York in triumph, Edward, in spite of all her tears and passionate entreaties to the contrary, abandoned her, and took shipping with Gaveston for

¹ Walsingham. Rapin.

² Rymer's Fœdera.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.

Scarborough.¹ The forsaken queen, on the advance of the confederate barons, retired to Tynemouth. During her residence at Tynemouth-castle, Isabella employed her time in charity and alms-deeds: of this, most interesting evidence appears in the royal household-book for 1312:—"October 9.—To little Thomeline, the Scotch orphan boy, to whom the queen, being moved to charity by his miseries, gave food and raiment to the amount of six-and-sixpence." We find, by another entry, that Isabella's good work did not stop with feeding and clothing the poor destitute creature: "To the same orphan, on his being sent to London to dwell with Agnes, the wife of Jean, the queen's French organist; for his education, for necessities bought him, and for curing his maladies, fifty-two shillings and eightpence."

While the queen remained disconsolate at Tynemouth, Lancaster, who had got possession of Newcastle, sent a deputation to his royal niece, "with assurances of her safety," explaining, "that their sole object was to secure the person of the favourite." The king, meantime, having left Gaveston in the strong fortress of Scarborough,² proceeded to levy forces in the midland counties for his defence. The indignation of the men of the north of England had, however, been so greatly excited at his neglect and desertion of the queen, while in a situation which required more than ordinary sympathy and tenderness, that they rose *en masse* to storm her adversary in his retreat. Gaveston, who was destitute of provisions or the means of standing a siege, surrendered to the confederate lords, on condition of being safely conducted to the king, and allowed free communication with him previously to his trial before the parliament. In violation of the articles of this treaty, which the earl of Lancaster and the rest of the confederate barons had solemnly sworn to observe, Gaveston was brought to a sham trial and beheaded at Blacklow-hill, near Warwick, on a spot which, in memory of the tragedy committed there, is called Gaveshead.

The barons enjoyed the extreme satisfaction of ransacking the baggage of the luckless favourite, where they found many of the crown jewels, some articles of gold and silver plate belonging to the king, and a great number of precious ornaments, which had been presented to the king by queen Isabella, his sisters, and other persons of high rank. There is a minute list of these valuables in Rymer's *Fœdera*, and the catalogue is indeed likely enough to have excited the indignation of the jealous peers, who, on the green hill-side, sat in relentless judgment on the man whom the king delighted to honour.³ Notwithstanding her avowed

¹ Guthrie.

² Guthrie. Gaveston was taken very ill at Newcastle; for there is an entry in the household book of Edward II.,—"To master William de Bromtoft, a physician for his attendance on Sir Piers de Gaveston, during his illness at Newcastle-upon-Tyne, two pounds."

³ Among other frivolous charges that were brought against Gaveston by the associate barons, he was accused of being "the son of a witch," and of having obtained his influence over the mind of his sovereign by the practice of sorcery. His mother had been actually burnt for sorcery in Guienne.

hostility against Gaveston, there is no reason to suppose that Isabella was in the slightest degree implicated in his murder, though his misconduct to her was one of the principal grounds of accusation used by the earl of Lancaster against him.

When Edward received the tidings of the tragic fate of the companion of his childhood, he was transported with rage and grief, and declared his intention of inflicting a deadly vengeance on the perpetrators of the outrage. He sullenly withdrew from London to Canterbury, but finally joined the queen at Windsor, where she was awaiting the birth of their first child.¹ This auspicious event took place on the 13th day of November, at forty minutes past five in the morning, in the year 1312,² when Isabella, then in the eighteenth year of her age and the fifth of her marriage, brought into the world the long-desired heir of England, afterwards that most renowned of our monarchs, Edward III., surnamed of Windsor, from the place of his birth.

The gloom in which the king had been plunged ever since the death of Gaveston, yielded to feelings of paternal rapture at this joyful event, and he testified his satisfaction by bestowing on John Lounges, valet to the queen, and Isabel his wife, twenty pounds, and settled the same on them as an annual pension for life. Scarcely less delighted were Isabella's uncle, the count of Evreux, and the French nobles who were then sojourning in England, at the birth of the royal infant, who was remarkable for his beauty and vigour. They entreated the king to name the young prince Louis, after the heir of France and the count of Evreux; but the idea was not agreeable to the national feelings of the English in general, and it was insisted by the nobles that he should receive the name of his royal father and his renowned grandfather, Edward. Four days after his birth he was baptized with great pomp in the old chapel of St. Edward, in the castle of Windsor.³

Isabella's influence, after this happy event, was very considerable with her royal husband, and at this period her conduct was all that was prudent, amiable, and feminine. It was through her mediation that a reconciliation was at length effected between king Edward and his barons, and tranquillity restored to the perturbed realm. Before the amnesty was published, queen Isabella visited Aquitaine in company with her royal husband; from thence they went to Paris, where they remained at the court of Philip the Fair nearly two months, enjoying the feasts and pageants which the wealthy and magnificent court of

¹ Walsingham.

² Rymer's *Fœdera*.

³ The ceremony was performed by Arnold, cardinal priest, and the royal babe had no less than seven godfathers; namely, Richard, bishop of Poitiers; John, bishop of Bath and Wells; William, bishop of Worcester; Louis, count of Evreux, uncle to the queen; John, duke of Bretagne and earl of Richmond;

Aymer de Valence, earl of Pembroke; and Hugh De Spencer; but there is not the name of one godmother recorded. A few days after his birth, his fond father granted to his dearly-prized heir, his new and blameless favourite, the county of Chester, to be held by him and his heirs for ever; also the county of Flint.—Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. iii.

France provided for their entertainment. Plays were represented on the occasion, being Mysteries and Moralities for amusement and admonition, entitled 'The Glory of the Blessed, and the Torments of the Damned.' The king of France, on their return, conducted them to Pontoise. A fire broke out in the chamber of the royal guests one night, and both Edward and Isabella escaped with difficulty from the flames in their night dresses: all their property and clothes were destroyed in the conflagration.¹

Through the earnest entreaties of the queen, the long-delayed pardon to the insurgent barons was published by king Edward, October 13th, 1313, without any exceptions; and the royal deed of grace expressly certifies, "that this pardon and remission is granted by the king, through the prayers of his dearest companion, Isabella, queen of England."² The parliament met amicably, and the barons solemnly made their submission on their knees to the sovereign in Westminster-hall, before all the people.³ Soon after, the earl of Warwick, the most active agent in the death of Gaveston, dying suddenly, it was industriously circulated by his friends that he had been taken off by poison. The barons mistrusted the king: the only link that kept them and their sovereign from a fresh rupture was the queen, who at that period conducted herself so prudently as to enjoy the confidence of all parties. The year 1314 commenced with a temporary separation between the royal pair, on account of the renewal of the Scottish wars. Stirling, so appropriately designated by the chroniclers of that stormy period "*Striveling*," was besieged by king Robert the Bruce, and the English garrison demanded succour of their laggard sovereign. Edward at last took the field in person, only to meet with a disgraceful overthrow at Bannockburn, which the national pride of his subjects never could forgive.

The disastrous Scotch campaign was followed by the most dreadful famine ever known in England, which lasted for nearly three years. King Edward endeavoured to lower the enormous price of provisions by various statutes, but without effect, as the public misery was not caused by monopoly but by dearth, which was felt even in his own palace; for on St. Lawrence's-eve, 1314, it was with difficulty that bread could be procured for the sustentation of the royal family.⁴ The king and queen kept their court at Westminster during the Whitsuntide festival of 1317; and on one occasion, as they were dining in public in the great banqueting-hall, a woman in a mask entered on horseback, and riding up to the royal table, delivered a letter to king Edward, who, imagining that it contained some pleasant conceit or elegant compliment, ordered it to be opened and read aloud for the amusement of his courtiers; but, to his great mortification, it was a cutting satire on his unkingly propensities, setting forth in no measured

¹ *History of Paris*, by Dulaure. ² *Rymer's Fœdera*. ³ *Walsingham*. ⁴ *Ib. De la Moor*.

terms all the calamities which his misgovernment had brought upon England. The woman was immediately taken into custody, and confessed that she had been employed by a certain knight. The knight boldly acknowledged what he had done, and said, "That supposing the king would read the letter in private, he took that method of apprising him of the complaints of his subjects." The unpopularity of the king at this period tempted an impostor of the name of John Deydras, a tanner's son, to pretend that he was the true son of Edward I., who had been changed by his former nurse for him who so unworthily filled the throne of that mighty sovereign. Deydras, having no evidence to support this assumption, was hanged for his treasonable attempt to excite sedition.¹

The following year Robert Bruce laid siege to Berwick. Queen Isabella accompanied her lord into the north, and while he advanced to Berwick, she, with her young family, took up her abode at Brotherton, the former residence of her late aunt, queen Marguerite. This was a place of apparent security, as it was nearly a hundred miles from the scene of war; yet she was exposed to a very great peril while residing there, in the year 1319, during the absence of the king, in consequence of a daring attempt of earl Douglas to surprise her in her retreat, and carry her off into Scotland. The monk of Malmesbury gives the following account of this adventure: "Douglas marched into England at the head of 10,000 men with great secrecy, and nearly arrived at the village where queen Isabella and her children resided, when one of his scouts fell into the hands of the archbishop of York, the king's counsellor, who threatening him with torture, the man promised him, if they would spare him, to confess the great danger their queen was in. The ministers laughed his intelligence to scorn, till he staked his life that, if they sent scouts in the direction he pointed out, they would find Douglas and his host within a few hours' march of the queen's retreat. Alarmed by the proofs given by the man, they collected all their retinue, and all the men-at-arms York could furnish, and marched on a sudden to the queen's residence with the tidings of her great danger: they removed her to York, and afterwards, for the greater security, she was taken to Nottingham." It was affirmed that Bruce had bribed Lancaster to contrive this diversion from the siege of Berwick.

During the absence of king Edward in this disastrous campaign, his queen was brought to bed of her second son, prince John, at Eltham-palace, an event that appears to have been very pleasing to her royal lord, for there is the following entry in his household book: "To Sir Eubulo de Montibus, for bringing the first news to the king of the happy delivery of queen Isabella of her son John of Eltham, 100*l*."—"The queen sent her valet, Goodwin Hawtayne, with letters to the bishop of Norwich and the earl of Lancaster, requesting them to come

¹ Walsingham.

to Eltham to stand sponsors for her son John; Hawtaynes travelling expenses were sixteen shillings. John de Fontenoy, clerk of the queen's chapel, recived one piece of Turkey cloth, and one of cloth of gold, for arraying the font in which the lord John, son of the king, was baptized at Eltham, 30th August. To Stephen Taloise, the queen's tailor, was given five pieces of white velvet for the making thereof a robe against the churching of the queen, after the birth of her said son." Isabella, as soon as she was able to travel with safety, went to meet her royal consort in the north of England. The household-book of that year records a reward given by king Edward to the queen's messenger who brought the first tidings of her arrival at York, September 27. The queen sent costly presents to the new pope John, of copes embroidered with large pearls, bought of Katherine Lincoln, and a cope embroidered by Rosia de Burford. To the same pope queen Isabella sent a present of an incense-boat, a ewer, and a gold buckle set with divers pearls and precious stones, value 300*l*. About this time Robert le Messager was tried by jury and convicted of speaking irreverent or indecent words against the king; but the queen interested herself to prevent his punishment, by inducing the archbishop of Canterbury to become his surety for future good behaviour.¹

Edward II., in 1316, bestowed a considerable benefaction on Theophania de St. Pierre, his queen's nurse: besides fifty pounds sterling money, he gives this person, whom he calls lady of Bringuencourt, lands in Ponthieu.² In the household-books of Thomas Lancaster, Stowe found that 92*l*. had been presented by that prince to his royal niece's nurses and French servants. Isabella obtained from the king her husband a grant of the escuage belonging to him for the army of Scotland due from the knights' fees.

The birth of the princess Eleanora took place in 1318. The household-book notes the king's gift of 333*l*. "to the lady Isabella, queen of England, for her churching-feast, after the birth of the lady Eleanora." And there are notices of money thrown over the heads of various brides and bridegrooms, as they stood at the altar; also for money given by the orders of the king at the chapel doors. The royal pair were present at marriages, at Havering Bower, Woodstock, and Windsor. Several other entries afford amusing information, respecting the manners and customs of Edward the Second's court:—Vanne Ballard, for pieces of silk and gold tissue of fustian, and of flame-coloured silk, for making cushions for the charrettes of the queen and her ladies. To Robert le Fermor (the closer), boot-maker, of Fleet-street, for six pairs of boots, with tassels of silk and drops of silver gilt, price of each pair five shillings, bought for the king's use. Griffin, the son of Si. Griffin of Wales, was selected as one of the companions of the young

¹ Madox, *Hist. Exchequer*.

² Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. iii.

prince Edward, afterwards Edward III., at Eltham, by order of the king.

When the king and queen kept *Twelfth-night*, their presents were magnificent: to "the king of the Bean," in one instance, Edward gave a silver-gilt ewer, with stand and cover; and another year, a silver-gilt bowl to match, as New-year's gifts. To William Salblaster, valet of the Count of Poitiers, for bringing to the king bunches of new grapes at Newborough, 28th of October, 10s. Queen Isabella's chaplain was entitled to have the queen's obligatory money, of the value of *seven-pence*, redeemed each day of the year, except on the assumption of the Virgin, when the queen offered gold. To Dulcia Withstaff, mother of Robert, the king's fool, coming to the king at Baldock, at Christmas, 10s. To William de Opere, valet of the king of France, for bringing the king a box of rose-coloured sugar at York, on the part of the said king, his gift, September 28th, 2*l.* 10s. To the lady Mary, the king's sister, a nun at Ambresbury, the price of fifteen pieces of tapestry, with divers coats of arms, bought of Richard Horsham, mercer of London, and given to the lady Mary on her departure from court home to Ambresbury, 26*l.* To Sir Nicholas de Becke, Sir Humphrey de Luttlebury, and Sir Thomas de Latimer, for dragging the king out of bed on Easter morning, 20*l.*¹

The local histories of Peterborough record, that Edward and Isabella put an end to a furious dispute between the abbot and the town, as to who should be at the cost of repairing the broken bridge, by sending word that they and their son, prince John, intended to take up their lodgings at the abbey. This intimation caused the abbot to repair it in a hurry, for the passage of the royal pair and their retinue. The queen was presented with twenty pounds by the town, and cost the abbot, in presents and entertainments, more than four hundred pounds. On another occasion she quartered her eldest son Edward, and the two princesses her daughters, with their attendants, on the abbot for eight weeks, which entailed an enormous expense on the community. In 1321 the storm gathered among the lords-marchers, which led to fresh civil wars, and brought Isabella and Roger Mortimer into personal acquaintance;² after which Isabella exchanged the lovely character of

¹ Madox.

² King Edward had married his new favourite, the young De Spencer, to his great-niece Eleanor, one of the coheirresses of his nephew Gilbert de Clare, earl of Gloucester, who had been the most potent among the lords-marchers of Wales, and a sort of lord-paramount over them all. The warlike Mortimers, during the long minorities of the two last earls of Gloucester, had taken the lead among the Marchers; and now the king's favourite assumed a sort of supremacy on the Welsh borders, in right of his wife, and prevailed on the king to resume the grants of some of his late nephew's castles which he had given to the Mortimers.

Those fierce chiefs flew to arms with their Marchmen, and in the course of a few nights perpetrated many thousand pounds' worth of mischief on lady De Spencer's inheritance. The leaders of this exploit were lord Roger Mortimer of Chirk, and his nephew and heir, lord Roger Mortimer of Wigmore, who had been the ward and pupil of Gaveston. The revolt ended in the surrender of the Mortimers, and their committal to the Tower. The extraordinary influence the younger Mortimer exercised over the destiny of the queen, requires these few words of explanation as to the origin of this rebellion.

a peace-maker for that of a vindictive political agitator, and finally branded her once-honoured name with the foul stains of adultery, treason, and murder.

On the 13th of October, 1321, the queen set out on a pilgrimage to the shrine of St. Thomas à Becket at Canterbury, and proposing to pass the night at her dower castle of Leeds, in Kent, of which Bartholomew Badlesmere, one of the "associated barons," was castellan, she sent her marshal and purveyors before her to announce her intention, and to order proper arrangements to be made for her reception.¹ Badlesmere was absent at that time, and being deeply involved in the treasonable designs of the earl of Lancaster, had charged his lady to maintain the castle, though it was a royal demesne. Lady Badlesmere, feeling some mistrust of the real object of Isabella in demanding admittance for herself and train, replied with great insolence to the royal messengers, "that the queen might seek some other lodging, for she would not admit any one within the castle without an order from her lord." While the dispute was proceeding between the lady Badlesmere and the harbingers, the queen and her train arrived at the castle-gates and were received with a volley of arrows, which slew six of the royal escort, and compelled the queen to retreat with precipitation, and seek other shelter for the night.¹

The queen complained bitterly to the king of the affront she had received, and entreated him to avenge the murder of her servants, and the insolence of lady Badlesmere in presuming to exclude her from her own castle. Badlesmere had the folly to write the most insulting letter to the queen, in reply to the complaints that had been addressed to him of his wife's conduct, expressing his entire approval of what she had done. This conduct was aggravated by the fact, that Badlesmere held the high station of steward to the royal household before Edward gave him the appointment of castellan of Leeds. The whole transaction implies some previous personal quarrel with the queen. Hitherto Isabella had been on the most amicable terms with the barons; but as neither Lancaster nor any of the associates thought proper to express any reprobation of the disrespect with which she had been treated by their confederate, she determined to be revenged on all, and represented to the king, that if he raised an army for the purpose of besieging Leeds-castle, he would eventually be enabled to use it for the extension of his kingly power.² The king would willingly have temporized; but the haughty spirit of Isabella would not permit him to delay becoming the minister of her vengeance. Edward published his manifesto, setting forth the contempt with which "his beloved consort Isabella, queen of England, had been treated by the family of Bartholomew Badlesmere, who had insolently opposed her in her

¹ Walsingham De la Moor.² Ibid.³ Rapin.

desire of entering Leeds-castle, and that the said Bartholomew Badlesmere had by his letters approved of this misconduct of his family in thus obstructing and contumeliously treating the queen; for which cause, a general muster of all persons between the ages of sixteen and sixty was called to attend the king in an expedition against Leeds-castle."¹

A large force, of which the Londoners formed a considerable portion, was quickly levied, for the queen was the darling of the nation, and all were eager to avenge even the shadow of a wrong that was offered to her. The lady Badlesmere, who was undoubtedly a notable virago, treated the royal threats with contempt, and with her seneschal, Walter Colepepper, defied both the king and his army when they appeared beneath the walls of Leeds-castle, which was well stored with provisions, and she confidently relied on receiving prompt relief from the associate barons. In this, however, she was disappointed; for the earl of Lancaster had no intention to come to a rupture with the queen, his niece, so the castle was compelled to surrender at discretion on the last day of October. Immediate vengeance was taken by the king on the seneschal Walter Colepepper, who, with eleven of the garrison, were hanged before the castle-gates, for the assault on the queen and her servants.² Lady Badlesmere was committed to the Tower of London as a state-prisoner, and was threatened with the same fate that had been inflicted on her agents; but it does not appear that she suffered any worse punishment than a long and rigorous imprisonment. With all their faults, there is no instance of any monarch of the Plantagenet line putting a lady to death for high treason.

Flushed with his success at Leeds, king Edward recalled his banished favourites, the two De Spencers, whose counsels quite accorded with the previous persuasions of the queen to use the military force he had levied for the reduction of Leeds-castle, for the purpose of repressing the power of the associate barons.³ Isabella was so deeply offended with the barons, as the allies of the Badlesmeres, that she not only refused to employ her influence in composing the differences between them and the king, but did everything in her power to influence his mind against them. Lancaster was taken at the battle of Boroughbridge, where the sovereign fought in person against the associate barons, March 16th, 1322. He and ninety-five of his adherents, were conducted as prisoners to Pontefract-castle, where the king sat in judgment upon him, with a small jury of peers, by whom he was sentenced to lose his head. The queen, who, for greater security had retired to the Tower to await her accouchement, was not aware of her uncle's sentence till after his execution, which took place only a few hours after his doom was pronounced.⁴

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. iii.

² Walsingham. *Rapin*.

³ *Ibid*.

⁴ Bartholomew Badlesmere, the primary

cause of the war, was taken at Stowe Park, the seat of his nephew, the bishop of Lincoln, and ignominiously hanged at Canterbury.

It was at this agitating period that Isabella gave birth to her youngest child, the princess Joanna, who was called, from the place of her nativity, Joanna de la Tour. Some time before the birth of this infant, the two Mortimers, uncle and nephew, having been taken in arms against the king, were brought to the Tower as state-prisoners, under sentence of confiscation of their great estates and death.¹ Roger Mortimer, Lord of Chirk, the uncle, died of famine, through the neglect or cruelty of his gaolers in failing to supply him with the necessaries of life, it has been said, soon after his capture. Roger Mortimer, the nephew, was in the pride and vigour of manhood, and possessed of strength of constitution and energy of mind to struggle with any hardship to which he might be exposed. The manner in which he contrived, while under sentence of death in one of the prison lodgings of the Tower of London, to create so powerful an interest in the heart of the beautiful consort of his offended sovereign, is not related by any of the chroniclers of that reign. It is possible, however, that Isabella's disposition for intermeddling in political matters, might have emboldened this handsome and audacious rebel to obtain personal interviews with her, under the colour of being willing to communicate to her the secrets of his party. He was the husband of a French lady, Jane de Joinville, the heiress of Sir Peter Joinville, and was in all probability only too well acquainted with the language that was most pleasing to the ear of the queen, and the manners and refinements of her native land, which in civilization was greatly in advance of the bellicose realm of England. Mortimer was reprieved through the good offices of some powerful intercessor, and the king commuted his sentence of death into perpetual imprisonment in the Tower. This occasioned some astonishment, when it was remembered that Mortimer was the first who had commenced the civil war by his fierce attack on the lands of Hugh De Spencer, who was his sworn foe, and who at this very time had regained more than his former sway in the councils of king Edward; but at that period the influence of the queen with her royal husband was paramount to any other.²

The following precept was addressed by king Edward to his treasurer and the barons of the Exchequer, for the supply of his own and the queen's wardrobe:—

"We command that ye provide sixteen pieces of cloth for the apparelling of ourselves and our dear companion, also furs, against the next feast of Christmas, and thirteen pieces of cloth for corsets for our said companion and her damsels, with naping linen³ and other things of which we stand in need against the said feast.⁴

The king and Isabella spent their Christmas together, and it is probable that she availed herself of that opportunity of obtaining, not only so

¹ Walsingham, &c. De la Moor.

² *Ibid.*

³ Table-linen.

⁴ Rot. Edw. II. 47

unconscionable an allowance of cloth for her corsets, but a reprieve from death for Mortimer.

In the succeeding year, 1323, we find the tameless border chief, from his dungeon in the Tower, organizing a plan for the seizure, not only of that royal fortress, but Windsor and Wallingford. Again was Mortimer condemned to suffer death for high treason, but through the agency of Adam Orleton, and Bec, bishop of Durham, he obtained a respite.¹ On the 1st of August, the same year, Gerald Alspaye, the valet of Segrave, the constable of the Tower, who was supposed to be his confederate, gave the men-at-arms a soporific potion in their drink provided by the queen; and while the guards were asleep, Mortimer passed through a hole he had worked in his own prison into the kitchen of the royal residence, ascended the chimney, got on the roof of the palace, and from thence to the Thames' side by a ladder of ropes. Segrave's valet then took a sculler and rowed him over to the opposite bank of the river, where they found a party of seven horsemen, Mortimer's vassals, waiting to receive him. With this guard he made his way to the coast of Hampshire; from thence, pretending to sail to the Isle of Wight, the boat in reality conveyed the fugitives on board a large ship, provided by Ralf Botton, a London merchant, which was anchored off the Needles: this ship landed them safely in Normandy, whence they proceeded to Paris.² Edward was in Lancashire when he heard of the escape of Mortimer: he roused all England with a hue and cry after him, but sought him chiefly in the Mortimers' hereditary demesnes,—the marches of Wales.

Meantime, the queen commenced her deep-laid schemes for the ruin of Mortimer's enemies, the De Spencers, whom she taught the people to regard as the cause of the sanguinary executions of Lancaster and his adherents, though her own impatient desire of avenging the affronts she had received from lady Badlesmere had been the means of exasperating the sovereign against that party. Now she protested against all the punishments that had been inflicted, and was the first who pretended to regard Lancaster as a martyr and a saint. The two De Spencers had succeeded in obtaining the same sort of ascendancy over the mind of the king that had been once enjoyed by Gaveston; they were his principal ministers of state, and presumed to curtail the revenues of the queen. No one had ever offended her without paying a deadly penalty. She scrupled not to brand the De Spencers with all the accusations she had formerly hurled at Gaveston, charging them with having deprived her of the love of her royal husband.³ A fierce struggle for supremacy between her and the De Spencers, during the year 1324, ended in the discharge of all her French servants, and the substitution of an inade-

¹ Leland's Collectanea.

² Rymer. Bayley's History of the Tower.

³ Walsingham. De la Moor

quate pension for herself, instead of the royal demesnes which had been settled on her by the king.¹ Isabella wrote her indignant complaints of this treatment to her brother, Charles le Bel, who had just succeeded to the throne of France, declaring, "that she was held in no higher consideration than a servant in the palace of the king her husband," whom she styled a *griple miser*,² a character which the thoughtless and prodigal Edward was very far from deserving. The king of France, exasperated by his sister's representations of her wrongs, made an attack on Guienne, which afforded an excuse to the De Spencers for advising king Edward to deprive the queen of her last possession in England,—the earldom of Cornwall. The king resumed this grant in a peculiarly disobliging manner, giving the queen to understand "that he did not consider it safe to allow any portion of his territories to remain in her hands, as she maintained a secret correspondence with the enemies of the state."³

The feuds between the royal pair proceeded to such a height that Isabella denied her company to her lord,⁴ and he refused to come where she was.⁵ She passionately charged this estrangement on the De Spencers, and reiterated her complaints to her brother. King Charles testified his indignant sense of his sister's treatment, by declaring his intention of seizing all the provinces held by king Edward of the French crown, he having repeatedly summoned him in vain to perform the accustomed homage for them. Edward was not prepared to engage in a war for their defence, and neither he nor his ministers liked the alternative of a personal visit to the court of the incensed brother of queen Isabella, after the indignities that had been offered to her.⁶ In this dilemma, Isabella herself artfully volunteered to act as mediatrix between the two monarchs, provided she might be permitted to go to Paris to negotiate a pacification. Edward, who had so often been extricated from his political difficulties by the diplomatic talents of his fair consort, was only too happy to avail himself of her offer.⁷

It has been asserted by many historians, that queen Isabella privately withdrew to France with her son, the prince of Wales, to claim the protection of her brother, Charles le Bel, against the king her husband, and his ministers the De Spencers; but a careful reference to those authorities which may be called the fountain-heads of history—the Record rolls of that reign—will satisfactorily prove that she was sent as an accredited envoy from the deluded Edward, to negotiate this treaty with her royal brother. Froissart, who purposely veils the blackest traits of Isabella's character, her profound hypocrisy and treachery, represents her as flying from the barbarous persecutions of her husband and the De Spencers, like some distressed queen of romance, and en-

¹ Walsingham. Rapin. Speed. ² De la Moor. Speed. ³ Walsingham. Rapin.

⁴ De la Moor.

⁵ Froissart.

⁶ Carte. Rapin.

⁷ Ibid.

gaging, by her beauty and eloquence, all the chivalric spirits of France and Hainault to arm for the redress of her wrongs. He has succeeded in giving just such a colour to her proceedings as would be least offensive to her son, Edward III., with whom, for obvious reasons, the whole business must have been a peculiarly sore subject.¹

The propriety of the queen undertaking the mission to the court of France was debated, first in the council, and afterwards in the Parliament, assembled to consider the affairs of Guienne, when it was agreed that any expedient was better than pursuing the war.² A hollow reconciliation was effected between Isabella and the De Spencers, who were delighted at the prospect of her departure from England, and she parted from her husband, apparently on terms of confidence and goodwill. Isabella sailed for France in the beginning of May, attended by the lord John Cromwell and four knights. She landed at Calais and proceeded to Paris, where the first fruit of her mediation was a truce between her brother and the king her husband. She then negotiated an amicable treaty, proposing the surrender of Guienne, already forfeited by the neglect of the feudal homage to the king of France, which was to be restored, at her personal instance, by her brother to the king of England, on condition of his performing the accustomed homage, and remunerating the king of France for the expenses of the war. This was to take place at a friendly interview between the two monarchs at Beauvais.³

The De Spencers, anticipating with alarm the great probability of the queen regaining her wonted ascendancy over the mind of her royal husband, dissuaded him from crossing to France, even when his preparations for the voyage were completed. Isabella, who was well informed of these demurs, and perfectly understood the vacillating character of her husband, proposed to him that he should invest their son, the prince of Wales, with the duchy of Guienne and the earldom of Ponthieu, and send him as his substitute to perform the homage for those countries to the king her brother,—king Charles having signified his assent to such an arrangement, in compliance with her solicitations. King Edward, far from suspecting the guileful intentions of his consort, eagerly complied; and the De Spencers, not being possessed of sufficient penetration to understand the motives which prompted the queen to get the heir of England into her own power, fell into the snare. On the 12th of September, 1325, prince Edward, attended by the bishops of Oxford, Exeter, and a splendid train of nobles and knights, sailed from

¹ It is to be remembered that Froissart, who, though a contemporary, was too young, at the time these events took place, to speak from his own knowledge, has followed what he calls the "true chronicle" of John le Bel, canon of St. Lambert of Liege, who was the

favourite counsellor and confessor of John of Hainault, the sworn champion of queen Isabella, of whose iniquities the sly ecclesiastic is a subtle palliator.

² Walsingham. Public Act.

³ Rymer's *Fœdera*.

Dover:¹ landing at Boulogne, he was joined by the queen his mother on the 14th, who accompanied him to Paris, where his first interview with the king his uncle took place in her presence, and he performed the act of feudal homage on the 21st at the Bois de Vincennes.²

CHAPTER II.

THE wording of the treaty negotiated between Isabella and her brother, the king of France, was couched in such ambiguous terms, as to leave considerable matter for dispute between king Edward and that monarch, even after the required homage had been performed by the heir of England for the fiefs held of the French crown. This difference, which regarded the province of Agenois, had been contrived by Isabella, to afford a plausible pretext for prolonging her stay in Paris. She was there joined by her paramour Mortimer, and all the banished English lords flocked round her. She held frequent councils and meetings with the declared enemies of king Edward's person and government, and altogether avoided the commissioners, by whose advice the king had appointed her to be guided.³ The English ambassadors were surprised and offended at the conduct of the queen, and the frivolousness of the pretences on which she from day to day delayed her departure from Paris. But Walter Stapleton, the loyal bishop of Exeter, whom she had endeavoured to draw into her conspiracy, withdrew to England, informed the king of her proceedings, and urged him to command her immediate return with the prince of Wales.⁴ King Edward wrote urgent letters and royal summonses to his consort and son for that purpose: his most peremptory orders were disregarded by Isabella, who asserted "that it was the intention of the De Spencers to cause her to be put to death, if she returned to England." The king of France, her brother, wrote to king Edward, "that he could not permit her to return to him, unless she were guaranteed from the evil that was meditated against her by her enemies the De Spencers."⁵

King Edward's manly and eloquent reply to this letter is preserved among the Close record-rolls of the nineteenth year of his reign. We translate it from the ancient French copy, printed in the fourth volume of Rymer's *Fœdera*:—

"It seems that you have been told, dearest brother, by persons whom you consider worthy of credit, that our consort, the queen of England, dare not return to us, being in peril of her life, as she apprehends, from Hugh le De Spencer. Certes, dearest brother, it cannot be that

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*.

² "Act made at the wood of Vincennes by Edward (son of Edward II.), in the presence of the queen his mother, and many grantees of England."

³ De la Moor. Walsingham.

⁴ MS. Lives of the Lord Treasurers, by Francis Thynne, Esq.; in the collection of Sir Thomas Phillipps, Bart., at Middle-hill.

⁵ De la Moor. Walsingham. Latin. Speed.

she can have fear of him, or any other man in our realm; since, *par Dieu!* if either Hugh or any other living being in our dominions sought to do her ill, and it came to our knowledge, we would chastise him in a manner that should be an example to all others; and this is, and always will be, our entire will, as long as, by God's mercy, we have the power. And, dearest brother, know certainly that we have never perceived that he has, either secretly or openly, by word, look, or action, demeaned himself otherwise than he ought in all points to do, to so very dear a lady. And when we remember the loving looks and words between them that we have seen, and the great friendship she professed for him before she crossed the sea, and the loving letters she has lately sent him, which he has shown to us, we have no power to believe that our consort can, of herself, credit such things of him; we cannot in any way believe it of him, who, after our own person, is the man, of all our realm, who, would most wish to do her honour, and has always shown good sincerity to you. We pray you, dearest brother, not to give credence to any one who would make you otherwise suppose; but to put your faith in those who have always borne true witness to you in other things, and who have the best reason to know the truth of this matter. Wherefore we beseech you, dearest brother, both for your honour and ours, but more especially for that of our said consort, that you would compel her to return to us with all speed; for, certes, we have been ill at ease for the want of her company, in which we have much delight; and if our surety and safe-conduct is not enough, then let her come to us on the pledge of *your* good faith for us.

"We also entreat you, dearly beloved brother, that you would be pleased to deliver up to us Edward, our beloved eldest son, your nephew; and that, of your love and affection to him, you would render to him the lands of the duchy (Acquitaine), that he be not disinherited which we cannot suppose you wish. Dearly beloved brother, we pray you to suffer him to come to us with all speed, for we have often sent for him, and we greatly wish to see him and to speak with him, and every day we long for his return. And, dearest brother, at this time the honourable father in God, Walter, bishop of Exeter, has returned to us, having certified to us that his person was in peril from some of our banished enemies, and we, having great need of his counsel, enjoined him on his faith and allegiance to return forthwith, leaving all other matters in the best way he could. We pray you, therefore, to excuse the sudden departure of the said bishop, for the cause before said.

"Given at Westminster, the first day of December" (1325).

Edward's letter to Isabella herself, on the same subject, is exceedingly temperate, but evidently written under a deep sense of injury, and with a formal courtesy very different from the friendly and confidential style in which he addresses her brother, as our readers will perceive:—

KING EDWARD TO QUEEN ISABELLA.

"LADY,

"Oftentimes have we informed you, both before and after the homage of our great desire to have you with us, and our grief of heart at your long absence; and as we understand that you do us great mischief by this, we will that you come to us with all speed, and without further excuses.

"Before the homage was performed, you made the advancement of that business an excuse; and now that we have sent by the honourable father, the bishop of Winchester, our safe-conduct to you, 'you will not come for the fear and doubt of Hugh le De Spencer!' Whereat we cannot marvel too much, when we recall your flattering deportment towards each other in our presence, so amicable and sweet was your deportment, with special assurances and looks, and other tokens of the firmest friendship, and also, since then, your very especial letters to him of late date, which he has shown to us.

"And certes, lady, we know for truth, and so know you, that he has always procured from us all the honour he could for you, nor to you has either evil or villany been done since you entered into our companionship; unless, peradventure, as you may yourself remember, once, when we had cause to give you secretly some words of reproof for your pride, but without other harshness: and, doubtless, both God and the law of our holy church require you to honour us, and for nothing earthly to trespass against our commandments, or to forsake our

company. And we are much displeased, now the homage has been made to our dearest brother, the king of France, and we have such fair prospect of amity, that you, whom we sent to make the peace, should be the cause (which God forefend) of increasing the breach between us by things which are feigned and contrary to the truth. Wherefore we charge you as urgently as we can, that ceasing from all pretences, delays, and excuses,¹ you come to us with all the haste you can. Our said bishop has reported to us that our brother, the king of France, told you in his presence, 'that, by the tenour of your safe-conduct, you would not be delayed or molested in coming to us as a wife should to her lord.' And as to your expenses, when it shall be that you will come to us as a wife should to her lord, we will provide that there shall be no deficiency in aught that is pertaining to you, and that you be not in any way dishonoured by us. Also, we require of you that our dear son Edward return to us with all possible speed, for we much desire to see him and to speak with him."²

King Edward, in conclusion, repeats to the queen the same observations on the sudden return of the bishop of Exeter, which our readers have seen in his letter to her brother, the king of France. Both letters are dated on the same day, December 1, 1325. His letter to the prince of Wales, dated the next day, is as follows:—

"VERY DEAR SON,

'As you are young and of tender age, we remind you of that which we charged and commanded at your departure from Dover, and you answered then, as we know with good will, 'that you would not trespass or disobey any of our injunctions in any point for any one.' And since that your homage has been received by our dearest brother, the king of France, your uncle, be pleased to take your leave of him, and return to us with all speed in company with your mother, if so be that she will come quickly; and if she will not come, then come *you* without further delay, for we have great desire to see you, and to speak with you, therefore stay not for your mother, nor for any one else, on our blessing.

"Given at Westminster, the 2nd day of December."

It is matter of regret that the replies to these most interesting letters have not been preserved among our national records; but the substance of them may be gathered from king Edward's urgent and touching appeals³ to the prince their son, and to her brother, the king of France:—

"EDWARD, FAIR SON,

We understand by your letters written in reply to ours, that you remember well the charge we gave you; among other things, not to contract marriage, nor to suffer it to be contracted for you, without our knowledge and consent; and also that at your departure from Dover you said, 'that it should be your pleasure to obey our commandments, as far as you could, all your days'

"Fair son, if thus you have done, you have acted wisely and well, and according to your duty, so as to have grace of God of us and all men; and if not, then you cannot avoid the wrath of God, the reproach of men, and our great indignation, for we charged you so lately and so strictly that you should remember well these things, and that you should by no means marry, nor suffer yourself to be married, without our previous consent and advice; for no other thing that you could do would occasion greater injury and pain of heart to us. And inasmuch as it seems you say 'you cannot return to us because of your mother,' it causes us great uneasiness of heart that you cannot be allowed by her to do that which is your natural duty, the neglect of which will lead to much mischief.

"Fair son, you know how dearly she would have been loved and cherished, if she had timely come according to her duty to her lord. We have knowledge of much of her evil doings, to our sorrow; how that she devises pretences for absenting herself from us, on ac-

¹ *Seusacum*, is the word used in the original.

² Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. iv.; from the Close rolls, 19th Edw. 11.

³ Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. i. p. 182.

count of our dear and faithful nephew,¹ H. le De Spencer, who has always so well and loyally served us, while you and all the world have seen that she openly, notoriously, and knowing it to be contrary to her duty, and against the welfare of our crown, has attracted to herself, and retains in her company, the Mortimer, our traitor and mortal foe, proved, attainted, and adjudged; and *him* she accompanies in the house and abroad in despite of us, of our crown, and the right ordering of the realm—him, the malefactor,² whom our beloved brother, the king of France, at our request banished from his dominions as our enemy! And worse than this she has done, *if* worse than *this* can be, in allowing you to consort with our said enemy, making him your counsellor, and you openly to herd and associate with him in the sight of all the world, doing so great a villany and dishonour both to yourself and us, to the prejudice of our crown, and of the laws and customs of our realm, which *you* are supremely bound to hold, preserve, and maintain. Wherefore, fair son, desist you from a part which is so shameful, and may be to you perilous and injurious in too many ways. We are not pleased with you, and neither for your mother, nor for any other, ought you to displease us. We charge you by the faith, love, and allegiance which you owe us, and on our blessing, that you come to us without opposition, delay, or any further excuse; for your mother has written to us, that if you wish to return to us she will not prevent it,³ and we do not understand that your uncle, the king, detains you against the form of your safe-conduct. In no manner, then, either for your mother, or to go to the duchy, nor for any other cause, delay to come to us. Our commands are for your good, and for your honour, by the help of God. Come quickly, then, without further excuse, if you would have our blessing, and avoid our reproach and indignation. It is our wish to order all things for the good of the duchy, and our other dominions, for our mutual honour and benefit. If John of Bretagne, and John de Cromwell, will come in your company, they will do their duty.

"Fair son, trespass not against our commands, for we hear much that you have done of things you ought not.

"Given at Lichfield, the 18th day of March."³

From the tenor of this letter, it is evident that Edward II. had been informed of his queen's clandestine and certainly most unconstitutional proceedings with regard to contracting their son, the youthful heir of England, in marriage, without his knowledge or the consent of Parliament. This was the more annoying to the king, because he was himself negotiating a matrimonial alliance between the prince of Wales and the infanta Eleanora of Arragon, long before the departure of the queen to the court of France. Matters were indeed so far advanced, that application had been made to the pope for a dispensation,⁴ when the whole scheme was traversed by her plighting the prince to the daughter of the count of Hainault. It seems that the bride's portion, which was paid in advance, was required by Isabella to support herself against her unhappy lord, to whom, however, she continued to hold out professions of her dutiful inclinations, as may be seen in his reply to the king of France:—

"DEAREST BROTHER,

"We have considered well your letters wherein you signify that you have spoken with good diligence to your sister, touching the things on which we have replied to you, and that she has told you, 'that it is her desire to be with us, and in our company, as a good wife

¹ King Edward bestows this appellation on the favourite, because he was the husband of his great-niece, the heiress of Gloucester.

² *Malefactors* is the word used in the original

French by the incensed king.

³ Rymer's *Fœdera*; from the Close rolls of the 19th year of Edward II.

⁴ See Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. iv.

ought to be in that of her lord; and that the friendship between her and our dear and faithful nephew, H. le De Spencer, was but feigned on her part, because she saw it was expedient for her support in past time, and to secure herself from worse treatment.' Certes, dearest brother, if she loved us she would desire to be in our company, as she has said. She who ought to be the mediatrix between us of entire and lasting peace, should not be the cause of stirring up fresh strife, as she has done, when she was sent to nourish peace and love between you and us, which we intended in all good faith when we sent her to you; but the thought of her heart was to devise that pretence for withdrawing from us. We have already shown you that what she has told you is, saving your reverence, not the truth, for never (so much as she has done against us) has she received either evil or villany from us, or from any other. Neither has she had any occasion 'for feints to support herself in times passed, nor to escape from worse,'¹ for never in the slightest instance has evil been done to her by him;² and since she has departed from us and come to you, what has compelled her to send to our dear and trusty nephew, H. le De Spencer, letters of such great and especial amity as she has been pleased to do from time to time? But truly, dearest brother, it must be as apparent to you as to us, and to all men, that she does not love us as she ought to love her lord; and the cause why she has spoken falsehoods of our nephew, and withdrawn herself from us, proceeds, according to my thoughts, from a disordered will."

Here king Edward passionately repeats the same observations respecting Isabella's shameless intimacy with Mortimer, of which he had made use in the preceding letter to the prince his son, and then proceeds,—

"If you wished her well, dearest brother, you would chastise her for this misconduct, and make her demean herself as she ought, for the honour of all those to whom she belongs. Then our son, dearest brother, is made also by his mother, your sister, the companion of our said traitor and foe, who is his counsellor in delaying his return, in our despite."

Some requests touching Guienne follow, and after repeating his entreaties for his son to be restored to him, king Edward concludes in the following words:—

"And that you will be pleased to do these things, dearest brother, for the sake of God, reason, good faith, and natural fraternity, without paying regard to the light pleasure of a woman, is our desire.

"Given at Lichfield, the 18th of March."

After this letter, Charles le Bel is said to have looked very coolly on his sister, and urged her to return, with her son, to her royal husband. Isabella had other intentions, having gone too far, she felt, to recede, without incurring in reality the perils which she had before pretended to dread. Her party in England had now, through the malignant activity of her especial agent, Adam Orleton, bishop of Hereford, become so strong, that about this time she received a deputation from the confederate barons, assuring her "that if she could only raise a thousand men, and would come with the prince to England at the head of that force, they would place him on the throne to govern under her guidance."³ Already she had secured the assistance of many young nobles

¹ The sentences, marked by commas, are evidently quotations from Isabella's representations.

² Hugh le De Spencer.

³ Walsingham. De la Moor. Froissart,

who were ready to engage in her cause.¹ The De Spencers had information of her proceedings, and, if, we may trust the assertions of Froissart, they circumvented her by the skilful distribution of counter-bribes among the ministers of the king of France, and even addressed their golden arguments to king Charles himself so successfully, that he withdrew his countenance from his royal sister, and forbade any person, under pain of punishment, to aid or assist her in her projected invasion of England.² Less partial historians, however, attribute this change in king Charles's politics to the scandal which his sister's conduct with regard to Mortimer excited in his court. The remonstrances contained in the following letter from king Edward had also, perhaps, some effect :—

" MOST DEAR AND BELOVED BROTHER,

" We would wish to remind you, that we have, at different times, signified to you by our letters how improperly your sister, our wife, has conducted herself in withdrawing from us and refusing to return at our command, while she so notoriously has attached to her company and consorts with our traitor and mortal enemy the Mortimer, and our other enemies there, and also makes Edward, our son and heir, an adherent of the same, our enemy, to our great shame, and that of every one of her blood; and if you wish her well, you ought, both for your own honour and ours, to have these things duly redressed."

After reiterating his earnest entreaties for the restoration of the prince, his son, "who is," he observes, "of too tender an age to guide and govern himself, and therefore ought to be under his paternal care," king Edward implores him to put his son in possession of the duchy for which he had performed the homage as stipulated, and that without dwelling too particularly on the wording of the covenant (which had evidently been designedly mystified by the contrivance of Isabella); he adds,—

" But these things are as nothing: it is the herding of our said wife and son with our traitors and mortal enemies that notoriously continues; insomuch, that the said traitor, Mortimer, was carried in the train of our son publicly to Paris at the solemnity of the coronation of our very dear sister, your wife, the queen of France, at the Pentecost just passed, to our great shame, and in despite of us. Dearest brother, you ought to feel for us, and so should all men of our estate, for much we are, and much we have been, grieved at the shameful despites and great injury which we have so long endured. Nay, verily, brother-in-law, we cannot bear it longer. The Holy Spirit have charge of you."³

In the month of June, 1326, king Edward made a last fruitless attempt to prevail on the prince, his son, to withdraw himself from the evil counsels and companions of the queen, his mother, and to return to him. The following is the letter he addressed to him :—

" EDWARD, FAIR SON,

" We have seen by your letters lately written to us, that you well remember the charges we enjoined you on your departure from Dover, and that you have not transgressed our com-

¹ Froissart.

² Ibid.

³ Rymer's *Fœdera*; from the Close rolls of the 19th year of Edward II.

mands in any point that was in your power to avoid. But to us it appears that you have not humbly obeyed our commands as a good son ought his father, since you have not returned to us to be under government, as we have enjoined you by our other letters, on our blessing; but have notoriously held companionship, and your mother also, with Mortimer, our traitor and mortal enemy who, in company with your mother and others, was publicly carried to Paris in your train to the solemnity of the coronation, at Pentecost just past, in signal despite of us, and to the great dishonour both of us and you; for truly he is neither a meet companion for your mother nor for you, and we hold that much evil to the country will come of it. Also we understand that you, through counsel which is contrary both to our interest and yours, have proceeded to make divers alterations, injunctions, and ordinances without our advice, and contrary to our orders. In the duchy of Guienne, which we have given you; but you ought to remember the conditions of the gift, and your reply when it was conferred upon you at Dover. These things are inconvenient, and must be most injurious. Therefore, we command and charge you, on the faith and love you ought to bear us, and on our blessing, that you show yourself our dear and well-beloved son as you have aforetime done; and, ceasing from all excuses of your mother, or any like those that you have just written, you come to us here with all haste, that we may ordain for you and your state as honourably as you can desire. By right and reason you ought to have no other governor than us, neither should you wish to have. Also, fair son, we charge you by no means to marry till you return to us, nor without our advice and consent; nor, for any cause, either go to the duchy, or elsewhere, against our will and command.

"P.S. Edward, fair son, you are of tender age; take our commandments tenderly to heart, and so rule your conduct with humility as you would escape our reproach, our grief and indignation, and advance your own interest and honour. Believe no counsel that is contrary to the will of your father, as the wise king Solomon instructs you. Understand certainly, that if you now act contrary to our counsel, and continue in wilful disobedience, you will feel it all the days of your life, and all other sons will take example to be disobedient to their lords and fathers."¹

Not only did the evil influence of Isabella prevent the paternal remonstrances of the royal writer from having a proper effect on the mind of her son, but she succeeded in persuading him that she was the object of the most barbarous persecution, both from the De Spencers and the king her husband. King Edward sent copies of his letters to the pope, and entreated his interference so effectually, that the pontiff addressed his censures to Charles le Bel on his detention of the queen of England from her royal consort, and charged him, under the penalty of excommunication, to dismiss both her and her son from his dominions. "When king Charles had read these letters," says Froissart, "he was greatly disturbed, and he ordered his sister to be made acquainted with their contents, for he had held no conversation with her for a long time; and commanded her to leave his kingdom immediately, or he would make her leave it with shame."² When the queen received this stern message from her brother, she was greatly troubled: for the French barons had already withdrawn themselves, either by their king's commands, or disgust at the infatuation of her conduct with regard to Mortimer, "and she had no adviser left but her dear cousin, Robert d'Artois;" and he could only assist her secretly, since the king, her brother, had not only said, but sworn, "that whoever should speak in behalf of his sister, the queen of England, should forfeit his lands, and be banished the realm." Robert of Artois had also discovered that a

¹ *Bymer's Fœdera*, vol. iv.; from the Close rolls of 19th Edward II. ² Froissart.

man was in agitation for delivering queen Isabella, the prince her son, the earl of Kent, and Sir Roger Mortimer, to king Edward, and came in the middle of the night to warn Isabella of the peril in which she stood. The queen was struck with consternation at this intelligence; he strongly urged her to enter the imperial territories, and to throw herself upon the protection of some of the independent German princes, especially William, count of Hainault, whose consort was Isabella's first cousin. The queen ordered her baggage to be made ready as secretly as possible, and having *paid everything*,—(a point of honesty recorded to her credit by Froissart,)—she quitted Paris with her son, and, accompanied by Mortimer, and likewise by her husband's brother the earl of Kent, who had been attached to the homage-deputation, and was at this time decidedly her partisan. After some days she came into the country of Cambray. When she found that she was in the territories of the empire, she was more at her ease; she entered Ostrevant, in Hainault, and lodged at the house of a poor knight, called Sir Eustace d'Ambreticourt,¹ who received her with great pleasure, and entertained her in the best manner he could; insomuch, that afterwards the queen of England and her son invited the knight, his wife, and all his children to England, and advanced their fortunes in various ways.

“The arrival of the queen of England was soon known in the house of the good count of Hainault, who was then at Valenciennes: Sir John, his brother, was likewise informed of the hour when she alighted at the house of the lord of Ambreticourt. This Sir John being at that time very young, and panting for glory like a knight-errant, mounted his horse, and accompanied by a few persons, set out from Valenciennes, and arrived in the evening to pay the queen every respect and honour.” The queen was at this time very dejected, and made a lamentable complaint to him of all her griefs; which affected Sir John so much, that he mixed his tears with hers, and said: “Lady, see here your knight, though every one else should forsake you, I will do every thing in my power to conduct you safely to England with your son, and to restore you to your rank, with the assistance of your friends in those parts; and I, and all those whom I can influence, will risk our lives on the adventure for your sake, and we shall have a sufficient armed force, if it please God, without fearing any danger from the king of France.”

The queen, who was sitting down and Sir John standing before her, would have cast herself at his feet; but he, gallantly interposing, caught her in his arms, and said,—“God forbid that the queen of England should do such a thing! Madam, be of good comfort to yourself and company, for I will keep my promise; and you shall come and see my brother and the countess his wife, and all their fine children, who will be rejoiced to see you, for I have heard them say so.” The queen

¹ Froissart.

answered: "Sir, I find in you more kindness and comfort than in all the world besides; and I give you five hundred thousand thanks for all you have promised me with so much courtesy. I and my son shall be for ever bound unto you, and we will put the kingdom of England under your management, as in justice it ought to be."¹

When Isabella quitted the castle of Ambreticourt, she told Sir Eustace and his lady "that she trusted a time would come when she and her son could acknowledge their courtesy." She then mounted her horse and set off with her train, accompanied by Sir John, who with joy and respect conducted her to Valenciennes. Many of the citizens of the town came forth to meet her, and received her with great humility. She was thus conducted to William, count of Hainault, who, as well as the countess, received her very graciously. Many great feasts were given on this occasion, as no one knew better than the countess how to do the honours of her house.² Queen Isabella remained at Valenciennes during eight days with the good count and his countess, Joanna of Valois. When she was preparing for her departure, John of Hainault wrote very affectionate letters to certain knights-companions, in whom he put great confidence, from Brabant and Bohemia, "beseeching them, by all the friendship there was between them, to arm in the cause of the distressed queen of England."³

The armament having assembled at Dort, the queen of England took leave of the count of Hainault and his countess, thanking them much for the honourable entertainment they had shown her, and she kissed them at her departure. Sir John with great difficulty obtained his lord and brother's permission to accompany Isabella. When he took leave of him he said,—“My dear lord and brother, I am young, and believe that God has inspired me with a desire of this enterprise for my advancement. I also believe for certain, that this lady and her son have been driven from their kingdom wrongfully. If it is for the glory of God to comfort the afflicted, how much more is it to help and succour one who is daughter of a king, descended from royal lineage, and to whose blood we ourselves are related!”

The queen, her son, and suite finally set off, accompanied by Sir John, and went that night to Mons, where they slept. They embarked at Dort, according to Froissart, whose account of their voyage and landing on the *terra incognita* between Orford and Harwich is so marvellous, that the simple matter-of-fact details of the chronicle of Flanders appear much more to the purpose: "The fleet was tossed with a great tempest, but made the port about noon, when the queen being got safely on shore, her knights and attendants made her a house with four carpets, open in the front, where they kindled her a great fire of the pieces of wreck, some of their ships having been beaten to pieces in the

¹ Froissart.² Ibid.³ Ibid.

tempest; meantime the Flemish sailors got on shore before midnight all the horses and arms, and then the ships that had survived the storm sailed (the wind being favourable) to the opposite coast. But the queen, finding herself ill at ease on the stormy sea-beach that night, marched at day-break, with banners displayed, towards the next country town, where she found all the houses amply and well furnished with provisions, but all the people fled." The advanced-guard, meantime, spread themselves over the country, and seized all the cattle and food they could get; and the owners followed them, crying bitterly, into the presence of the queen, who asked them "What was the fair value of the goods?" and when they named the price, she paid them all liberally in ready money. The people were so pleased with this conduct, that they supplied her well with provisions.

"Queen Isabella arrived at Harwich on the 25th of September, 1326,¹ on the domain of Thomas of Brotherton, the king's brother, who was the first that greeted her on her landing.² Then she was met and welcomed by her uncle, Henry of Lancaster, and many other barons and knights, and bishops, notwithstanding the king's proclamation commanding all men to avoid the queen's armament at its first landing." Her force consisted of two thousand seven hundred and fifty-seven foreign soldiers, well appointed, commanded by lord John of Hainault. Mortimer was the leader of her English partisans. As he was a husband, and the father of a numerous family, the question naturally occurs, what became of lady Mortimer while her husband devoted himself as *cavalière servente* to the queen Isabel in France? The king certainly displayed more than his usual lack of judgment in this matter. When Mortimer escaped to France, Edward seized poor lady Mortimer and her three daughters, and shut them in separate convents,³ greatly to the satisfaction of the guilty parties, who had nothing to do but to keep them there when they obtained power. If the aggrieved king had possessed common sense, he would have taken some pains to send lady Mortimer and her children to France, who might have proved embarrassing company to the queen.

The historian of Harwich declares that it was wonderful how the common people flocked to queen Isabella on her landing. Every generous feeling in the English character had been worked upon by her emissaries, who had disseminated inflammatory tales of the persecutions

¹ History of Harwich, by Silas Taylor.

² Speaking of this earl of Norfolk, Drayton, with his minute adherence to facts, says,—

"And being earl-marshal great upon the coast,
With bells and bonfires welcomes her on shore
And by his office gathering up an host,
Showed the great spleen that he to Edward bore."

³ These particulars are preserved in the Peerage for England, 3 vols 1711, published by E. Sanger, Post-office; and Collins, at the Black-boy, Fleet-street.

she had endured from the king her husband, and his barbarous ministers. It was asserted that she had been driven into a foreign land by plots against her life, and that she was the most oppressed of queens,—the most injured of wives. So blinding was the excitement which, at this crisis, pervaded all classes of the people, that the glaring falsehood of her statements, as to the cause of her quitting England, was forgotten; the improprieties of her conduct, which had excited the disgust of her own countrymen, and caused the king, her brother, to expel her with contempt from his dominions, were regarded as the base calumnies of the De Spencers. The facts that she came attended by her paramour, an outlawed traitor, and at the head of a band of foreign mercenaries, to raise the standard of revolt against her husband and sovereign, having abused her maternal influence over the mind of the youthful heir of England to draw him into a parricidal rebellion, excited no feeling of moral or religious reprobation in the nation. Every Plantagenet in England espoused her cause; but it is to be observed, that the king's younger brothers by the half-blood, Thomas of Brotherton and the earl of Kent, were Isabella's first cousins, being the sons of her aunt Marguerite of France, and that Henry of Lancaster was her uncle. The connexion of these princes with the blood-royal of France had ever led them to make common cause with queen Isabella. By them and by their party she was always treated as if she were a person of more importance than the king her husband.

When the alarming intelligence of the landing of the queen's armament reached the king, he was paralysed; and, instead of taking measures for defence, he immediately wrote pathetic letters to the pope and the king of France, entreating their succour or interference. He then issued a proclamation, proscribing the persons of all those who had taken arms against him, with the exception of queen Isabella, the prince her son, and his brother, the earl of Kent. It is dated September 28, 1326: in it he offers a thousand pounds for the head of the arch-traitor, Roger Mortimer. The queen, who had traversed England with great celerity, at the head of an increasing army, immediately published a reward of double that sum for the head of the younger De Spencer, in her manifesto from Wallingford, wherein she set forth that her motives in coming are to deliver the kingdom from the misleaders of the king.¹

The next attack on the king was from the pulpit at Oxford, where Adam Orleton, bishop of Hereford, having called the University together, in the presence of the queen, the prince of Wales, Roger Mortimer, and their followers, preached a sermon from the following text: "My head, my head aketh" (2 Kings iv. 19), in which, after explaining the queen's motive for appearing in arms, he with unpriestly ferocity con-

¹ *Fœdera.*

cluded with this observation : " When the head of a kingdom becometh sick and diseased, it must of necessity be taken off, without useless attempts to administer any other remedy."¹ The delivery of this murderous doctrine, in the presence of the wife and son of the sovereign thus denounced, ought to have filled every bosom with horror and indignation ; but such is the blindness of party rage, that its only effect was to increase the madness of the people against their unhappy king. That misjudging prince, after committing the custody of the Tower and the care of his second son, John of Eltham, to the young lady De Spencer, his niece, and the guardianship of the city of London to the faithful Stapleton, bishop of Exeter, left the metropolis, attended by the two De Spencers, the earls of Arundel and Hereford, his chancellor, Baldock, bishop of Norwich, and a few others of his adherents, and fled to Bristol, with the intent of taking refuge in Ireland. The departure of the king was the signal for a general rising of the Londoners, in which the bishop of Exeter immediately fell a sacrifice to the fury of the partisans of the queen and Mortimer. The head of that honest prelate was cut off, and presented to the queen at Gloucester, as an acceptable offering. " Six weeks afterwards," says Thynne, " the queen, forgetting all discourtesies, did (like a woman desirous to show that his death happened without her liking, and also that she revered his calling) command his corpse to be removed from the place of its first dishonourable interment under a heap of rubbish, and caused it to be buried in his own cathedral."² The lady De Spencer, intimidated by this murder, surrendered the Tower to the mob, who proclaimed prince John the custos of the city, and in the queen's name liberated the prisoners in all the gaols.

" The queen and all her company, the lords of Hainault and their suite, took the shortest road for Bristol, and in every town through which they passed were entertained with every mark of distinction. Their forces augmented daily until they arrived at Bristol, which they besieged. The king and the younger Hugh De Spencer shut themselves up in the castle : old sir Hugh and the earl of Arundel remained in the town, but these the citizens delivered up soon after to the queen, who entered Bristol, accompanied by Sir John Hainault, with all her barons, knights, and squires. Sir Hugh De Spencer, the elder, and the earl of Arundel, were surrendered to the queen, that she might do what she pleased with them. The children of the queen were also brought to her,—John of Eltham and her two daughters. As she had not seen them for a long time, this gave her great joy. The king and the younger De Spencer, shut up in the castle, were much grieved at what passed, seeing the whole country turned to the queen's party. The

¹ De la Moor.

² Thynne's MS. *Lives of the Lord Treasurers*; collection of Sir T. Phillippa.

queen then ordered old Sir Hugh and the earl of Arundel to be brought before her son and the barons assembled, and told them 'she should see that law and justice were executed on them, according to their deeds. Sir Hugh replied, 'Ah madam! God grant us an upright judge and a just sentence; and that if we cannot find it in this world, we may in another.'" He was instantly condemned to suffer a traitor's death; and although he was ninety years old, he was taken from the queen's presence, and hanged in his armour, within sight of the king and his son, who were in the castle. "Intimidated by this execution," continues Froissart, "they endeavoured to escape to the Welsh shore in a boat which they had behind the castle; but after tossing about some days, and striving in vain against the contrary winds, which drove them repeatedly back within a mile of the castle: Sir Hugh Beaumont, observing the efforts of this unfortunate bark, rowed out with a strong force in his barge, to see who was in it. The king's exhausted boatmen were soon overtaken, and the royal fugitive and his hapless favourite were brought back to Bristol, and delivered to the queen as her prisoners." According to other historians, Edward fled to Wales, and took refuge among the monks of Neath; but his retreat was betrayed by Sir Thomas Blunt, the steward of his household.

The queen and all the army set out for London. Sir Thomas Wager, the marshal of the queen's army, caused Sir Hugh De Spencer to be fastened on the poorest and smallest horse he could find, clothed with a tabard such as he was accustomed to wear, that is, with his arms, and the arms of Clare of Gloucester in right of his wife, emblazoned on his surcoat. Thus was he led in derision, in the suite of the queen, through all the towns they passed: he was announced by trumpets and cymbals, by way of greater mockery, till they reached Hereford, where she and her followers were joyfully and respectfully received, and the feast of All Saints was celebrated by them with great solemnity.

The unfortunate Hugh De Spencer would eat no food from the moment he was taken prisoner; and becoming very faint, Isabella had him tried at Hereford, lest he should die before he reached London. Being nearly insensible when brought to trial, his diabolical persecutors had him crowned with nettles;¹ but he gave few signs of life. His miseries were ended by a death, accompanied with too many circumstances of horror and cruelty to be more than alluded to here. He was executed at Hereford, in the stronghold of the power of Mortimer: the queen was present at his execution.² The earl of Arundel and two gentlemen named Daniel and Micheldene, were beheaded previously at Hereford, to gratify the vindictive feelings of Mortimer, who cherished an especial animosity against them. Baldock, the chancellor, though protected by

¹ Chronicle in Ieland, written by Sir W. Packington, treasurer to Edward the Black Prince.

² Michelet's Hist. of France.

his priestly vocation, as bishop of Norwich, from the axe and the halter, derived no good from "benefit of clergy," since he was consigned to the tender mercies of Adam Orleton, through whose contrivance he was attacked by the London mob with such sanguinary fury, that he died of the injuries he received on his way to Newgate.¹

Now the evil nature of Isabella of France blazed out in full view. Hitherto her beauty, her eloquence, and her complaints had won all hearts towards her cause; but the touchstone of prosperity showed her natural character. Much of the cruel and perfidious spirit which characterized the conduct of her father, Philip le Bel, in his ruthless dealings with the knights-templars, may be traced in her proceedings at this period. She was, however, the popular idol of the English just then: and, as long as the national delusion lasted, she could do no wrong. Flushed, but not satisfied with vengeance, Isabella set out for London, accompanied by her son, her doughty champion, Sir John of Hainault, her paramour Mortimer, her baronial partisans, and her foreign troops; while a motley levy of volunteers, who had accumulated on the road, followed in an almost interminable concourse. As they approached the metropolis, great crowds poured forth to welcome them. The queen was hailed as the deliverer of the country: the citizens presented costly gifts to her, and also to some of her followers. We may suppose that Mortimer was not forgotten.²

Previously to her quitting Bristol, the queen summoned a parliament, in the king's name, to meet at Westminster, December the 15th, "in which Isabella, queen-consort, and Edward, son of the king, the guardian of the realm, and the lords, might treat together." This writ was tested by the prince, as guardian; but a new summons was issued for the meeting of parliament at the same place, on January 7th, to treat with the king himself, *if he were present*, or *else* with the queen-consort and the king's son, guardian of the realm. The summons was tested by the king himself, at Ledbury, December 3, 1326. The parliament met, the misdemeanours of the sovereign were canvassed, his deposition was decreed, and his eldest son was elected to his office, and immediately proclaimed king in Westminster-hall by the style and title of Edward III. When the decision of her own faction was made known to Isabella, she burst into a passion of weeping;³ and these counterfeit tears so wrought upon the generous unsuspecting nature of her son, that he made a solemn vow not to accept the offered crown of England, unless it were his royal father's pleasure voluntarily to resign it to him.

Isabella had overacted her part; and her party were a little disconcerted at the virtuous resolution of the princely boy, as they had never dreamed of making the consent of the king to his own deposition a preliminary to the inauguration of his successor: but they found nothing

¹ Walsingham. De la Moor.² Rolls of Parliament. Brady.³ Walsingham.

less would satisfy the young Edward as to the lawfulness of his title to the throne. The king had already been compelled to resign the great seal to the delegates of his queen and parliament, at Monmouth-castle. Adam Orleton, the traitor-bishop of Hereford, was the person employed by the queen to demand it; and as the king quiescently resigned it to him, he was deputed, with twelve other commissioners, to require the fallen monarch to abdicate his royal dignity, by delivering up his crown, sceptre, and the rest of the regalia into their hands. The commissioners proceeded on their ungracious errand to Kenilworth-castle, where the king was kept as a state prisoner, but with honourable treatment, by his noble captor, Henry of Lancaster. Orleton was the spokesman,¹ and vented the insatiable malice of his heart in a series of the bitterest insults against his fallen sovereign,² under the pretence of demonstrating the propriety of depriving him of a dignity of which he had proved himself unworthy. Edward listened to the mortifying detail of the errors of his life and government, with floods of tears; and when Orleton enlarged on the favour shown him by the magnates of his kingdom, in choosing his son for his successor instead of conferring the crown on a stranger, he meekly assented, and withdrew to prepare himself for the resignation of the outward symbols of sovereignty.

De la Moor, the faithful servant of Edward II., gives a pathetic account of the scene in the presence-chamber at Kenilworth-castle, where the commissioners, in the presence of Henry Plantagenet, earl of Leicester, the earl of Lancaster's eldest son, were drawn up in formal array by Orleton, to renounce their homage to king Edward, and to receive his personal abdication of the royal dignity. After a long pause, the unfortunate prince came forth from an inner apartment, clad in mourning weeds, or, as the chronicler expresses it, "gowned in black," the late struggle of his soul being sufficiently denoted by the sadness of his features; but on entering the presence of his obdurate subjects, he sank down in a deep swoon, and lay stretched upon the earth as one dead. The earl of Leicester and the bishop of Winchester immediately flew to his assistance, and, raising him in their arms, with some tenderness supported him. After much trouble, they succeeded in restoring their unhappy master to a consciousness of his misery. "As piteous and heavy as this sight was," continues the chronicler, "it failed to excite the compassion of any other of the queen's commissioners. Scarcely, indeed, had the king recovered from his indisposition before the relentless Orleton, regardless of the agony he had inflicted, proceeded to a repetition of his cruel insults." The king gave way to a fresh paroxysm of weeping; and being much pressed for his decision, he at length replied, "He was aware that for his many sins he was thus punished, and therefore he besought those present to have compassion upon him in his

¹ De la Moor. Knighton.

Walsingham. Rapin.

adversity;" adding, "that much as he grieved for having incurred the hatred of his people, he was glad that his eldest son was so gracious in their sight, and gave them thanks for choosing him to be their king." The ceremony of abdication, in this instance, it seems, consisted chiefly in the king's surrender of the crown, sceptre, orb, and other ensigns of royalty for the use of his son and successor. Sir William Trussell, the same judge who pronounced sentence of death on the De Spencers, and other adherents of the king, and whose appearance among the commissioners of the queen and parliament had probably caused the king's swoon, pronounced the renunciation of homage.

The chief faults of Edward II. appear to have been errors of judgment and levity of deportment. He is accused of having made a party on the Thames in a returned fagot-barge, and of buying cabbages of the gardeners on the banks of the river, to make his soup,—a harmless frolic,¹ which might have increased the popularity of a greater sovereign. Edward was, however, too much addicted to the pleasures of the table, and is said to have given way to habits of intemperance. From an old French MS., we find that he paid Jack of St. Alban, his painter, for dancing on the table before him, and making him laugh excessively.² Another person he rewarded for diverting him by his droll fashion of tumbling off his horse. The worst charge of all is, that he was wont to play at chuck-farthing, a very unkingly diversion, certainly, and sufficient to disgust the warlike peers who had been accustomed to rally round the victorious banner of the mighty father of this grown-up baby.

Adversity appears to have had a hallowing influence on the character of Edward II.; and the following touching lines, written by him in Latin during his captivity, sufficiently denote that he was learned, and possessed reflective powers and a poetical imagination:—

"On my devoted head
Her bitterest showers,
All from a wintry cloud,
Stern Fortune pours.
View but her favourite,
Sage and discerning,

Graced with fair comeliness,
Famed for his learning;
Should she withdraw her smiles,
Each grace she banishes,
Wisdom and wit are flown,
And beauty vanishes."

As soon as the commissioners returned to London with the regalia, and signified the abdication of the late sovereign to the queen and the parliament, the prince of Wales was publicly proclaimed king on the 20th of January, 1326-7, and Walter, archbishop of Canterbury, preached a sermon in Westminster-abbey, preparatory to the coronation, taking for his text, not any verse from Scripture, but the words, *Vox populi vox Dei*. The queen judged it prudent to detain her sworn champion, Sir John de Hainault, and as many of his stout Flemings as he could

¹ De la Moor. Walsingham. Polydore Vergil.

² J. P. Andrews' Collections from the Chronicles.

induce to remain in her service, till after the coronation of the young king, who had completed his fifteenth year in the preceding November. Edward received knighthood from the sword of his cousin, the earl of Lancaster, assisted by Sir John Hainault, on this occasion.

"There was at this time," says Froissart, "a great number of countesses and noble ladies attendant on the queen Isabella. The queen gave leave to many of her household to return to their country-seats, except a few nobles whom she kept with her as her council. She expressly ordered them to come back at Christmas, to a great court which she proposed to hold. When Christmas came she held her court: it was very fully attended by all the nobles and prelates of the realm, as well as the principal officers of the great cities and towns. The young king Edward, since so fortunate in arms, was crowned with the royal diadem in Westminster, January 26th, 1327." The most remarkable feature at this coronation was the hypocritical demeanour of the queen-mother, Isabella, who, though she had been the principal cause of her husband's deposition, affected to weep during the whole of the ceremony.¹

Sir John de Hainault and his followers were much feasted, and had many rich jewels given them at the coronation. He remained during these grand feasts, to the great satisfaction of the lords and ladies who were there, until Twelfth-day. Then the king, by the advice of the queen, gave him an annuity of four hundred marks, to be held by him in fee, payable in the city of Bruges; and to the countess of Garennes, and some other ladies who had accompanied the queen Isabella to England, king Edward III. gave many rich jewels, on their taking leave. With a view of increasing the unpopularity of her unhappy lord, Isabella wrote to the pope on the last day of February, 1327, requesting him to canonize the beheaded earl of Lancaster, her uncle, whose virtues she greatly extolled.²

The parliament, immediately after the coronation, appointed a council of regency for the guardianship of the youthful sovereign and the realm, consisting of twelve bishops and peers. Among these were the king's two uncles, Thomas of Brotherton, earl-marshal, Edmund of Woodstock, earl of Kent, and the archbishops of Canterbury and York. The earl of Lancaster was appointed the president. The queen made no remonstrance against this arrangement; but, having military power in her own hands, she seized the government, and made Roger Mortimer (whom she had caused her son to create earl of March) her prime-minister, and Adam Orleton, her principal counsellor.³ This precious trio managed the affairs of the kingdom between them. Isabella, who had hitherto made profession of the most disinterested regard for the public

¹ Plançé's Hist. of Coronations.

² Brady's Hist, p. 138, and Appendix, No. 64, 66. Rapin, 397. ³ Walsingham. De la Moor.

good in all her actions, and had been hailed as a liberator and friend of the people, now threw off the mask, and, with the sanction of a parliament composed of her creatures, appropriated to herself two-thirds of the revenues of the crown. She also took occasion of an incursion of the Scots to recall her vowed champion, Sir John de Hainault, and his foreign troops, to strengthen her authority, under pretence of assisting in the defence of the realm. The arrival of these mercenaries was anything but agreeable to the Londoners. "The queen," says Froissart, "held a great court on Trinity-Sunday, at the house of the Black Friars; but she and her son were lodged in the city, where each kept their lodgings separate,—the young king with his knights, and the queen with her ladies, whose numbers were very considerable. At this court the king had five hundred knights, and dubbed fifteen new ones. The queen gave her entertainment in the dormitory, where at least sixty ladies, whom she had invited to entertain Sir John de Hainault and his suite, sat down to the table. There might be seen a numerous nobility, well served with plenty of strange dishes, so disguised that it could not be known what they were. There were also ladies most superbly dressed, who were expecting with impatience the hour of the ball, but they expected in vain. Soon after dinner the guests were suddenly alarmed by a furious fray, which commenced among the English archers and the grooms of the Hainault knights, who lodged with them in the suburbs. The Hainault knights, their masters, who were at the queen's banquet, hearing the bruit of the affray, rushed to their quarters. Those that could not enter them were exposed to great danger, for the archers, to the number of three thousand, shot both at masters and grooms." This fray effectually broke up Isabella's magnificent Sunday ball at Blackfriars.

Meantime the deposed sovereign, Edward II., continued to write from his prison the most passionate letters of entreaty to Isabella to be permitted to see her and their son. He was encouraged, perhaps, by the presents which (according to Walsingham) she occasionally sent him, of fine apparel, linen, and other trifling articles, accompanied by deceitful messages, expressing solicitude for his health and comforts, and lamenting that she was not permitted by parliament to visit him;¹ nothing was, however, further from the heart of Isabella than feelings of tenderness or compassion for her hapless lord. The moment she learned that her uncle, Henry of Lancaster, had relented from his long-cherished animosity against his fallen sovereign, and was beginning to treat him with kindness and respect, she removed him from Kenilworth, and gave him into the charge of the brutal ruffians, Sir John Maltravers and Sir Thomas Gurney, who had hearts to plan and hands to execute any crime for which their agency might be required:

¹ Walsingham. De la Moor. Rapin. Speed.

"Such tools the Tempter never needs
To do the savagest of deeds."

By this pair the royal victim was conducted, under a strong guard, first to Corfe-castle, and then to Bristol, where public sympathy operated so far in his favour, that a project was formed by the citizens for his deliverance. When this was discovered, the associate-traitors, Gurney and Maltravers, hurried him to Berkeley-castle, which was destined to be his last resting-place. On the road thither he was treated in the most barbarous manner by his unfeeling guards, who took fiend-like delight in augmenting his misery, by depriving him of sleep, compelling him to ride in thin clothing in the chilly April nights, and crowning him with hay, in mockery.¹

According to De la Moor, the queen's mandate for the murder of her royal husband was conveyed in a memorable Latin distich from the subtle pen of Adam Orleton, the master-fiend of her cabinet; it is capable, by the alteration of a comma, of being read with two directly opposite meanings:—

"Edwardum occidere nolite timere, bonum est
Edwardum occidere nolite, timere bonum est."

"Edward to kill fear not, the deed is good.
Edward kill not, to fear the deed is good."

Maurice de Berkeley, the lord of the castle, on the first arrival of the unhappy Edward, had treated him with so much courtesy and respect, that he was not only denied access to him, but deprived of all power in his own house. On the night of the 22nd of September, 1327, exactly a twelvemonth after the return of the queen to England, the murder of her unfortunate husband was perpetrated, with circumstances of the greatest horror. No outward marks of violence were perceptible on his person, when the body was exposed to public view, but the rigid and distorted lines of the face bore evidence of the agonies he had undergone, and it is reported that his cries had been heard at a considerable distance from the castle where this barbarous regicide was committed. "Many a one woke," adds the narrator, "and prayed to God for the harmless soul which that night was departing in torture."²

¹ De la Moor adds, with great indignation, that they made him shave in the open field, bringing him cold muddy water in an old helmet, from a stagnant ditch, for that purpose. On which the unfortunate Edward passionately observed, in allusion to the bitter tears which overflowed his cheeks at this wanton cruelty, "In spite of you, I shall be shaved with warm water." The excellence of Edward's constitution disappointing the systematic attempts of the queen's merciless agents, either to kill him with sorrow, or by broken rest, improper diet, and unwholesome air, they applied to Mortimer for fresh orders,

it being well known that the whole body of the Friars-preachers were labouring, not only for his deliverance, but his restoration to royal power. The influence of this fraternity was calculated to awaken the sympathies of every village in England in favour of their deposed sovereign, whose patience and meekness under his afflictions and persecutions had already pleaded his cause in every heart not wholly dead to the tender impulses of compassion.

² De la Moor, the faithful and affectionate servant of Edward II.

The traditions of that neighbourhood affirm that Edward II. had always expressed a wish that his mortal remains should repose in Gloucester cathedral, to which he had been a great benefactor ; but Isabella, dreading the sympathy of the people being excited by the spectacle of their murdered sovereign's funeral, caused it to be privately intimated to all whom she suspected of loyal affection for his memory, that she would take deadly vengeance on any one who should presume to assist in removing his body from Berkeley-castle. For some days terror of the vindictive queen and her paramour, Mortimer, so prevailed, that neither baron nor knight durst offer to bring the dead king to his burial. At last the abbot of Gloucester boldly entered the blood-stained halls of Berkeley with uplifted crosier, followed by his brethren, and throwing a pall emblazoned with his own arms and those of the church, over the bier, bade his people, " In the name of God and St. Peter, take up their dead lord, and bear him to his burial in the church, to which he had given so many pious gifts ; and so commenced the *Dirige*, no one ventured to interrupt, much less to withstand, the churchmen in performing the offices for the dead. Thus the courageous abbot triumphantly achieved his undertaking of conveying the body of his royal patron to Gloucester cathedral, where it was exposed to public view ; after which he solemnized the obsequies, and raised a stately monument to his memory. The marvellousness of vulgar superstition embellishes the tale with the romantic addition, that as the abbot was denied horses at Berkeley-castle to draw the hearse, he summoned to his assistance four wild harts from the forest, and by them it was conveyed to the cathedral. This legend is generally related to account for the figures of these animals, with which the royal shrine is decorated ; but as they were the cognizance of the abbot, their introduction is designed to perpetuate the memory of his covering the bier with his own pall, to place it under the protection of the church. Nor was this all our shrewd-witted abbot did ; for by the easy test of miracles performed at king Edward's tomb, he effected a complete reaction of public opinion in regard to the character of that unfortunate prince, and invested him with the posthumous honours of martyrdom,—and thus the first blow was struck at the popularity of Isabella. This was fighting her with her own weapons, too, for she and her party had succeeded in raising the indignation of the people against the king, by setting up the earl of Lancaster for a saint and martyr, through the fraudulent evidence of the miracles which they pretended had been wrought at his tomb. The fame of king Edward's miracles threw those of his former adversary quite into the shade, and proved not only a powerful political device, but a source of wonderful prosperity to the monks of Gloucester ; for so great was the influx of pilgrims who repaired from all parts of England to offer up gifts and prayers at the royal tomb, that for a season it became

a more fashionable place of devotional resort than either the shrines of St. Thomas à-Becket or Our Lady of Walsingham.¹

The public indignation, in that part of the country, was so greatly excited against the infamous instruments of the queen and Mortimer, that they were fain to make their escape beyond seas,² to avoid the vengeance of the people. Isabella endeavoured, by the marriage festivities of her son and his young queen, to dissipate the general gloom which the suspicious circumstances attending the death of her unhappy consort had occasioned. But so universal was the reaction of public opinion against her, that nothing but the despotism she had succeeded in establishing enabled her to keep possession of her usurped power.³ The pacification with Scotland gave great offence to the public, because Isabella bartered, for twenty thousand pounds, the claims of the king of England over Scotland, and Mortimer appropriated the money to his own use. By the same treaty, the regalia of Scotland, including the famous "black cross of St. Margaret," which had been one of the crown-jewels of their Anglo-Saxon kings was restored. Still more were they enraged, that without sanction of parliament, the queen concluded a marriage between the princess Joanna, an infant of five years old, and David Bruce, the heir of Scotland, who was about two years older. Isabella accompanied her young daughter to Berwick, attended by Mortimer, and in their presence the royal children were married at that town, July 12, 1328.⁴

It was observed that the two brothers of the late king, Thomas of Brotherton, and Edmund, earl of Kent, and Isabella's own uncle, the earl of Lancaster, with some other magnates, had withdrawn themselves from the national council, in utter indignation at her late proceedings, and of the insolence of her favourite Mortimer. They perceived, too late, that they had been made the tools of an artful, ambitious, and vindictive woman, who, under the pretence of reforming the abuses of her husband's government, had usurped the sovereign authority, and in one year committed more crimes than the late king and his unpopular ministers together had perpetrated during the twenty years of his reign.⁵ Moreover, the barbarous persecution and cruel death of their late sovereign made the princes recoil with horror at the idea of their having been, in some measure, accomplices in the guilt of the queen. Her favourite, Mortimer, even had the audacity, when the parliament met at Salisbury,

¹ Gloucester cathedral is said to have been indebted for its north aisle and transept, and many other details of elaborate richness, to the sudden tide of wealth which was thus brought into the ecclesiastical treasury by this ingenious piece of loyal priestcraft. The quaint antique hostelry, where the pilgrims bound to the shrine of king Edward at Gloucester were lodged, is still in existence, and well worthy the attention of antiquarian travellers.

² Three years afterwards, Gurney was

seized at Burgos by king Edward III.'s orders, and beheaded at sea on his voyage to England, in order to prevent, as it has been supposed, the disgrace which must have fallen on the queen-dowager, if her share in the murder of the late king, her husband, had been brought to light at his trial.

³ De la Moor. Walsingham.

⁴ The Scotch called their future queen, in derision, Joan Make-peace.

⁵ Walsingham. De la Moor. Knighton.

October 16, to enter the town at the head of an army; and, bursting into the room where the prelates were assembled, forbade them, under peril of life and limb, to oppose his interests. He then seized on the young king and queen, and carried them off to Winchester; and, far from paying any regard to the earl of Lancaster's complaints of the infringement of his office of guardian to the king's person, marched to Leicester, and plundered his domain there.¹

Isabella's cruelty, her hypocrisy, and the unnatural manner in which she rendered the interests of the young king, her son, subservient to the aggrandizement of her ferocious paramour, Mortimer, excited the indignation of all classes, and a strong party was organized, under the auspices of the Plantagenet princes, to deliver England from the tyranny of this modern Semiramis. The earl of Lancaster, who was by this time fully aware of the disposition of his vindictive kinswoman, perceived that he was intended for her next victim; on which he, with the brothers of the late king and their confederates, took up arms, and put forth a manifesto containing eight articles, all alarming to the guilty queen and Mortimer,—especially the first clause, which threatened inquiry into the unlawful augmentations of her dower, and the fifth, regarding the late king's death.² Aware of the impossibility of meeting such inquiries before parliament, Isabella urged the king, her son, to attack the malcontents, assuring him that the object of his uncle was to deprive him of the throne.

The interference of the archbishop of Canterbury prevented another civil war, and through his exertions a hollow pacification was effected. It was not, however, in the nature of Isabella to forgive any offence that had ever been offered to her; and it is to be observed, that her enmity had hitherto always proved fatal to every person who had been so unfortunate as to incur her ill-will. With the wariness of a cat she now examined the characteristic qualities of the members of the royal family, whom she determined to attack separately, since she had found them too strong to engage collectively. She commenced with the earl of Kent, who had, ever since the death of the king, his brother, suffered the greatest remorse for the part he had taken in the late revolution. Isabella, being aware of his state of mind, caused it to be insinuated to him that the late sovereign, his brother, was not dead, but a prisoner within the walls of Corfe-castle. A friar, whom the earl employed to inquire into the truth of this tale, on finding that every one in that neighbourhood confidently believed that the unfortunate Edward II. was living under very close restraint in the castle, endeavoured to obtain access to this mysterious captive: he was shown, at a distance, a person sitting at table, whose air and figure greatly resembled that of the deceased king, whom, indeed, he was meant to personate. The earl of

¹ Lingard.

² Knighton.

Kent, anxious to make reparation to his royal brother for the injuries he had done him, hastened to Corfe-castle, and boldly demanded of the governor "to be conducted to the apartment of Sir Edward of Caernarvon, his brother." The governor did not deny that king Edward was in the castle, but protested the impossibility of permitting any one to see him. The earl then prevailed on him to take charge of a letter for his illustrious prisoner. This letter was immediately conveyed to queen Isabella, who caused the earl to be arrested at Winchester, where the parliament was then assembled.¹ He was impeached of high treason before the peers. His own letter was the chief evidence produced against him, together with his confession, "that a certain friar-preacher of London told him he had conjured up a spirit, who assured him that his brother Edward was still alive; also, that Sir Ingram Barenger brought him a letter from the lord Zouche, requesting his assistance in the restoration of the late sovereign."² His arraignment took place on Sunday, March 13, 1329 (Isabella's sabbaths being no holidays), and he was condemned to die on the morrow. "All that day," say the chroniclers, "the king was so beset by the queen his mother, and the earl of March, that it was impossible for him to make any efforts to preserve his uncle from the cruel fate to which he had been so unjustly doomed."³ This murder, which was designed by Isabella as an intimidation to the princes of the blood-royal, had the effect of increasing the abhorrence in which she was now held throughout the kingdom. She further outraged public opinion by presenting the principal part of the estates of the princely victim to Mortimer's son, Geoffrey.⁴

The death of Charles le Bel without male issue having left Isabella the sole surviving child of Philip le Bel, her eldest son, Edward III., considered that he had the best claim to the sovereignty of France. The twelve peers of France decided otherwise, and gave, first the regency, and then (on the birth of the posthumous daughter of Charles le Bel) the throne, to Philip of Valois, the cousin of their late king. Edward was eager to assert his claim, as the nephew of that monarch, and the grandson of Philip le Bel; but his mother, deceived by overtures from France for a double marriage between her daughter Eleanor and the heir of Valois, and her second son and Philip's daughter, not only prevented

¹ Walsingham.

² Public Acts.

³ The executioner himself stole secretly away, and the earl of Kent waited on the scaffold at Winchester castle-gate from noon till five in the afternoon, because no one could be induced to perform that office. At length a condemned felon in the Marshalsea obtained his pardon, on the condition of decapitating the unfortunate prince.

⁴ After this execution, Mortimer augmented his own retinue considerably, and

affected all the pomp and consequence of princely rank. He had a hundred and eighty knights in his establishment, and never moved without a prodigious train of followers. He held so many round tables, (a species of festival peculiar to his family, in imitation of king Arthur's chivalric institution,) and assumed so much importance in his demeanour, that even his son Geoffrey called him, when speaking of him, "the king of folly." In fact, he exceeded Gaveston in foppery and the De Spencers in pride and cruelty.—Dugdale.

him from asserting his own claims, but compelled him, sorely against his will, to acknowledge those of his rival, by performing homage for the provinces held of the French crown.

Edward returned from his last conference with king Philip at Amiens, out of humour with himself, and still more so with his mother. The evil odour of her reputation was rife in France, and had been a source of deep mortification to him. Matters, which had been carefully kept from his knowledge in his own court, reached him through various channels when once beyond the limits of the thralldom in which she had held him. The murder of his royal father, the infamy of Isabella's life with Mortimer, her cruelty, falsehood, and rapacity, her lawless usurpation of the sovereign authority, were represented to him by his faithful friends. It is probable, that the horror and indignation which revelations like these were calculated to produce in the mind of the youthful monarch towards his guilty mother, caused him to meet her with unwonted coldness, for she appears to have taken the alarm, and endeavoured to strengthen her cause by secretly soliciting the support of the most powerful members of her own party.

Among the unsorted documents in the Tower, a letter has lately been discovered, addressed by Isabella to the earl of Hereford, lord high-constable of England, and nephew to her murdered lord, the late king, entreating him to attend the parliament about to meet at Nottingham, to which he had already been summoned in the name of the king, her son. This letter is familiar and confidential, and it is worthy of observation, that she complains of "trouble of heart," and appears to dread an approaching crisis:—

* ISABELLA, QUEEN-DOWAGER, TO HER NEPHEW, THE EARL OF HEREFORD.¹

"MOST DEAR AND BELOVED NEPHEW,

"We have well understood what you have sent us word by your letters, and as to our state we give you to know that we are even in *great trouble of heart*; but considering the condition we are in, we were in good health of body at the setting forth of this letter, which the Lord ever grant to you.

"Dearest nephew, we pray you that you will leave off all excuses, and come to the king our son in the best manner you can, and as he commands you more fully by his letters. For you well know, dearest nephew, if you come not, considering the necessity that now exists, it will be greatly talked of, and will be a great dishonour to you; wherefore make an effort to come at this time as hastily as you can, as you know, dearest nephew, that we shall ever be ready to counsel you, as well as we can, in all things that shall be to your honour and profit.

"Most dear and beloved nephew, our Lord have you in his keeping! Given at Nottingham, the 10th day of October."

Endorsed,—"*To come to the King.*"

A fortnight after the date of this letter, the parliament met at Nottingham. The insolent bearing of the queen-mother's paramour, Mortimer, at this period, is thus quaintly described by the chronicler from whom Stowe has taken his curious narrative of the events of one of the

¹ Letters of Royal and Illustrious Ladies, by M. A. E. Wood, vol. i. p. 64.

most dramatic passages in English history : " There was a parliament, where Roger Mortimer was in such glory and honour, that it was without all comparison : no man durst name him other than earl of March, and a greater route of men waited at his heels than on the king's person. He would suffer the king to rise to him ; and would walk with him equally, step by step, and cheek by cheek, never preferring the king, but would go foremost himself with his officers. He greatly rebuked the earl of Lancaster, cousin to the king, for that without his consent he appointed certain noblemen to lodgings in the town, asking, ' Who made him scold, to take up his lodgings close to the queen ? ' With which words the constable, being greatly *feared* [alarmed], appointed lodgings for the earl of Lancaster a full mile out of the town, where was lodged John Bohun, the earl of Hereford, lord high-constable of England ; by which means a great contention rose among the noblemen and the common people, who called Roger Mortimer ' the queen's *paragon*, and the king's master, who destroys the king's blood, and usurps the regal majesty.' "

King Edward had designed to occupy Nottingham-castle himself with his train, but the queen-mother forestalled him by establishing herself there beforehand, under the protection of Mortimer's followers, who constituted a strong military force. Every night she used the precaution of having the keys of the castle brought to her, and for greater security, placed them under her pillow.¹ The quarter where Isabella had taken up her abode was the strongest portion of the castle, called " the old tower," built on the top of a rock, accessible only by a secret subterranean passage from the meadows lying below it, through which ran a little rivulet called the Lyne, almost under the castle-rock. At the foot of this rock is a spring called " Mortimer's well," and a cavernous passage, still known by the name of " Mortimer's hole," through which he nightly ascended to the chamber of the queen-mother, who affected to pay a flimsy homage to public opinion, by sleeping in a part of the castle which had no apparent communication with his lodgings. Their nocturnal meetings were, however, more than suspected ; and one of the king's trusty friends, Sir William Montague, by application to Robert de Holland, the seneschal of the castle, to whom all secret corners of the same were known, obtained a clue, whereby their royal master and his companions would be able to follow the same track. King Edward considered that it would be a favourable time to strike a decisive blow for the vindication of his honour, and the establishment of his lawful authority, by the arrest of his mother's favourite, when the barons of England, to whom he was a greater source of offence than either Gaveston or De Spencer, were assembled for their duty in parliament. " On a certain night," pursues Stowe's authority, " the king and his

¹ Walsingham. Knighton. Carte.

friends were brought by torchlight through a secret way underground, beginning far from that castle, till they came even to the queen's chamber, which they by chance found open; they being armed with naked swords in their hands, went forward, leaving the king armed without the chamber door, lest his mother should espy him. They entered in, slew Sir Hugh Turpington, who resisted them, and to John Neville they gave a deadly wound. From thence they went to the queen-mother, whom they found with the earl of March, just ready to go to bed; and, having seized the said earl, they led him into the hall, the queen following, crying out, 'Fair son, have mercy on the gentle Mortimer!' for she knew her son was there, though she saw him not. She likewise entreated Montague and his people 'to do no harm to the person of Mortimer, because he was a worthy knight, her dear friend and well-beloved cousin.' No reply was made to her intercession, and Mortimer was hurried away, the castle locked on the queen, and all her effects sealed up. The next morning Roger Mortimer and his friends were led prisoners towards London. As soon as they appeared, the populace of Nottingham and the nobles of the king's party set up a tremendous shout, the earl of Lancaster, who was at that time blind, joining in the outcry, and making violent gesticulations for joy."¹

On his arrival in London, Mortimer was arraigned in the king's presence before the peers; and after the indictment which contained a list of his misdemeanours was read, by the king's command every one was asked what sentence should be awarded. They replied that he ought to suffer the same death as Sir Hugh De Spencer the younger, which sentence had neither delay nor mercy. This was instantly carried into effect. Mortimer was the first person executed at Tyburn, which was then known by the name of the Elms. His body hung on the gallows there two days and nights, by the especial order of the king; it was then taken down and buried in the Grey Friars' church, within Newgate, of which queen Isabella was a benefactress.² Sir Simon Burford and Sir John Deverel, who were taken at the same time with Mortimer in the queen's ante-chamber, at Nottingham-castle, were executed with him. They earnestly desired to unfold the particulars of the late king's murder, but were not permitted to do so, lest their disclosures should implicate the queen too deeply.

Isabella was spared the ignominy of a public trial through the intercession of the pope, John XXII., who wrote to the young king, exhorting him not to expose his mother's shame.³ After this, Edward attributed all her crimes to the evil influence of Mortimer, as may be seen in the royal declaration to parliament of the reasons which induced him to inflict the punishment of death on that great state-criminal. In the

¹ Stowe's Chronicle.

² Knighton. De la Moor. Walsingham. Stowe.

³ Raynold, iv. 413, quoted by Dr. Lingard, vol. iv. p. 14.

ninth article of this posthumous arraignment it is set forth that,—"The said Roger falsely and maliciously sowed discord between the father of our lord the king and the queen his consort, making her believe that if she came near her husband he would poignard her, or murder her in some other manner. Wherefore, by this cause, and by other subtleties, the said queen remained absent from her said lord, to 'the great dishonour of the king and of the said queen his mother, and great damage, perhaps, of the whole nation hereafter, which God avert.'"¹

One of the first acts of the emancipated monarch, after the gallant achievement by which he had rendered himself master of his own realm, was to strip the queen-mother of the unconscionable dower to which she had helped herself, and to reduce her income to 1000*l.* a-year. It was also judged expedient by his council to confine her to one of the royal fortresses at some distance from the metropolis, lest by her intriguing disposition she should excite fresh troubles in the realm. "The king soon after, by the advice of his council, ordered his mother to be confined in a goodly castle, and gave her plenty of ladies to wait upon her, as well as knights and squires of honour. He made her a handsome allowance, to keep and maintain the state to which she had been accustomed, but forbade her ever to go out or show herself abroad, except at certain times, and when any shows were exhibited in the court of the castle."²

Castle-Rising, in Norfolk, was the place where queen Isabella was destined to spend the long years of her widowhood. It was part of her own demesnes, having been lately surrendered to her by the widowed lady of the last baron of Montalt. This stately pile was built in 1176, by William Albin, husband to queen Adelicia, on a bold eminence surrounded by a high bank and deep vallum, like Norwich-castle. The walls were three yards thick; the keep was a large square tower, encompassed with a deep ditch and bold rampart, on which was a strong wall with three towers. Enough remains to show that Castle-Rising must have been almost an impregnable fortress.³ Froissart says, "the queen passed her time there meekly;" by which our readers are to understand that she neither devised plots nor treasons against the government of her illustrious son, Edward III., nor gave further cause for public scandal. To Sir John de Molins was committed the office of steward of her house-

¹ 4 Edward III., anno 1330; Par. Rolls, p. 53.

² We have here an allusion to the customs of those times when travelling shows were the only theatrical exhibition in use, and much encouraged by the magnates of the land. The courts of royal and baronial castles were built with galleries round them, for the convenience of the family witnessing these attractive spectacles: the principal hostels were built in a similar manner for the same purpose.

³ It now belongs to the hon. Mrs. Greville Howard, one of the descendants of the great Albin, the original founder. The remains of this castle, so noted for its historical reminiscences, have been, by the fine taste of the hon. colonel Howard, partly restored: the principal staircase has been repaired, and two rooms rendered habitable. In the course of the excavations, a Saxon church has been disinterred in a perfect state of preservation.

hold, an appointment which must have been peculiarly distasteful to the captive queen, since this knight was the first person who seized Mortimer in Nottingham-castle, and was rewarded, in consequence, with this post in her establishment.

It was rumoured that, during her long confinement, Isabella was afflicted with occasional fits of derangement.¹ It is asserted that these aberrations commenced in a violent access of madness which seized her while the body of Mortimer hung on the gallows. Her agonies were so severe, that, among the common people, the report prevailed for some months that she died at the time the body was taken down. These traditions lead us to conclude that for many months the populace did not know what had become of her. Her retired life, unconnected with conventual vows, must have strengthened the reports of her derangement, which was attributed to the horrors of conscience. She was in her six-and-thirtieth year when her seclusion at Castle-Rising commenced. The king her son, generally, when in England, visited her twice or thrice a-year,² and never permitted any one to name her in his presence otherwise than with the greatest respect. It is to be observed, that Edward's council, in regard to the petitions of certain individuals for the recovery of money due to them during her government, are by him referred to the advice of queen Isabella. Her name is carefully guarded from all reproach in the rolls of parliament, which, nevertheless, abound in disputes relative to her regency. A petition from the poor lieges of the forest of Macclesfield to king Edward declares, that "Madame, his mother, holds the forest as her heritage; and yet the bailiff of Macclesfield kills her venison, and destroys her wood." Isabella is not named as queen, but only as madame the king's mother. The king replies, "Let this petition be shown to the queen, that her advice may be learned thereon."

During the two first years of Isabella's residence at Castle-Rising, her seclusion appears most rigorous; but, in 1332, from various notations, the fact may be gathered that her condition was ameliorated. That year king Edward declared,³ "That, as his dearest mother had simply and spontaneously surrendered her dower into his hands, he has assigned her divers other castles and lands to the amount of 2000*l*." The same year this dower was settled, she was permitted to make a pilgrimage to the Lady shrine of Walsingham, not far from her residence in Norfolk. This is evidenced from the ancient Latin records of the corporation of Lynn, which is in the neighbourhood of Castle-Rising.

¹ Sir Winston Churchill mentions this tradition as a fact; Moreri hints at it. These reports are somewhat strengthened by the extravagant salary paid to her family physician at Rising-castle. In the *Fœdera* is a deed securing "100*l*. per annum to master Pontio de Courtrone, late physician to king

Edward II., and now to the queen-mother, Isabella; the bailiffs of Norwich are enjoined to pay him 50*l*. at Easter and at Michaelmas, as long as he lives, for his great services to the queen-mother."

² Froissart.

³ Caley's *Fœdera*, 835.

There is an entry of 20s. for bread sent to Isabella, queen-dowager, when she came from Walsingham; also 4*l.* for a cask of wine, 3*l.* 18s. 6*d.* for a piece of wax, 2*l.* for barley; and 3s. for the carriage of these purchases. King Edward restored to his mother, two years afterwards, the revenues of Ponthieu and Montrieul, which were originally the gift of her murdered lord. The same year, 1334, her son, John of Eltham, died in the bloom of life, and her daughter Eleanor was married to the duke of Gueldres. The records of Lynn contain the following notice, dated 1334: "The queen Isabella sent her precept to the mayor to provide her eight carpenters, to make preparations for the king's visit." In 1337, Edward III. again made some stay at Castle-Rising with his mother, and Adam de Riffham, of Lynn, sent him a present of wine on this occasion.¹ Once only have we evidence that Isabella visited the metropolis: this was in the twelfth year of her son's reign, when she is witness to the delivery of the great seal in its purse by king Edward to Robert de Burghersh, in the grand chamber of the bishop of Winchester's palace, in Southwark.

Parliament granted to Edward III. an aid of 30,000 sacks of wool; and by a writ, dated Feb. 27, 1343, the barons of the Exchequer were forbidden to levy any part from the lands and manors of the queen-mother, "because it was unreasonable that a person exempt and not summoned to parliament should be burthened with aids granted by parliament."² The same year Isabella received another visit from the king her son. On this occasion the Lynn records note that 11*l.* 13s. 10*d.* were expended for meat sent to "our lady queen Isabella." There is an item of 4*l.* 16s. 1*d.* paid by the corporation for a present sent to the household of our lord the king at Thorndenes, at his first coming to Rising; and 3*d.* for a horse sent by a messenger to Rising. The corporation, also, is answerable for 12*d.* given to William of Lakenham, the falcon-bearer at Rising; 4s. 3*d.* given to the messengers and minstrels of queen Isabella; 2s. 8*d.* for wine sent to the queen's maid; and a largess for the earl of Suffolk's minstrels. The corporation of Lynn, the same year, sent gifts of a pipe of wine and a barrel of sturgeon, costing together 9*l.* 12s. 9*d.*, to their lady queen Isabella; and, moreover, paid John, the butcher, money for conveying the said gifts to Castle-Rising. They sent to her treasurer and seneschal gifts of wine that cost 40*d.*, and presented 12s. to John de Wyndsore and other men of the king's family, when at Rising; 2*d.* was given to a servant looking for strayed horses from the castle; and 40*d.* to the steward of Rising, when he came to obtain horses for the use of king Edward. A barrel of sturgeon cost as much as 2*l.* 15s. The men of

¹ We have been favoured with these extracts by the hon. Mrs. Greville Howard; they are of historical importance, since they

set at rest all doubts regarding the fact of Isabella's residence at Castle-Rising.

² New Fodera, vol. II. p. 835.

Lynn note that they paid 11*l.* for four barrels sent at different times as gifts to the queen at Castle-Rising, and 20*s.* for two quarter-barrels of sturgeon sent by her servant Perote. The supply of herrings, as gifts from the men of Lynn, amounted to 6*l.*; and they sent her 103 quarters of wax, at a cost of 4*l.* 16*s.* 1*d.* In the eighteenth year of his reign, king Edward dates several letters to the pope from Castle-Rising.

A curious plan for the annoyance of king Edward was devised in the year 1348 by the French monarch, who proposed to make the queen-dowager of France and Isabella the mediators of a peace. They were to meet between Calais and Boulogne; but Edward was too wise to fall into the snare of attracting public attention to the guilty and degraded mother from whom his claims to the throne of France were derived. Isabella was not suffered to take any part in the negotiation: the succeeding documents prove that the treaty was completed by the duke of Lancaster and the count of Eu. King Edward granted, in the thirty-first year of his reign, safe conduct to William de Leith to wait on queen Isabella at her castle of Rising, he coming from Scotland, probably with news from her daughter, queen Joanna, who was then very sick.¹

Isabella died at Castle-Rising, August 22nd, 1358, aged sixty-three. She had chosen the church of the Grey Friars, where the mangled remains of her paramour Mortimer had been buried eight-and-twenty years previously, for the place of her interment. Carrying her characteristic hypocrisy even to the grave, she was buried with the heart of her murdered husband on her breast. King Edward issued a precept to the sheriffs of London and Middlesex, November 20th, to cleanse the streets from dirt and all impurities, and to gravel Bishopsgate-street and Aldgate, against the coming of the body of his dearest mother, queen Isabella; and directs the officers of his exchequer to disburse 9*l.* for that purpose. Isabella was interred in the choir of the Grey Friars', within Newgate, where a fine alabaster tomb was erected to her memory. She had given 62*l.* towards the building of this church. It was usual for persons buried in the Grey Friars' to be wrapped in the garment of the order, as a security against the attacks of the foul fiend. Queen Isabella was buried in that garment, and few stood more in need of such protection. It is a tradition, that she assumed the conventual garb at Castle-Rising. Perhaps Isabella, in the decline of life, had been admitted into the third order of St. Francis, instituted about twenty years before her death for lay-penitents who were not bound by conventual vows. That she made some pretence to piety may be inferred from the following list of her relics, for which Edward III. gave a receipt "to his beloved chaplain, Edmund de Rammersby, on behalf of

¹ Blomfield's *Norfolk*. Public Acts. *Walsingham*. Stowe's *London*. Pennant. *The Fædera* implies "that William de Leith was employed to request queen Isabella to act as mediatrix with king Edward, regarding the ransom of David, king of Scotland."

his mother, the first year of her imprisonment: Two crystal vases, containing minute bones, relics of the holy Innocents; one silver flask, containing relics of St. Sylvester; part of the side of St. Lawrence, enclosed in silver; and a joint of John the Baptist's little finger."¹

According to Blomfield, local tradition asserts that queen Isabella lies buried in Castle-Rising church, and that all the procession to the Grey Friars' in London was but an empty pageant. In confirmation of this assertion they point out a simple grey stone, with this inscription deeply cut,—

ISABELLA REGINA.

Antiquaries, however, are of opinion that this stone covers the grave of one of the officers or ladies who died in her service at Castle-Rising; but it is possible that she might have bequeathed her heart to her parish church, and that this inscription may denote the spot where it was interred.

An effigy of Isabella is to be seen, in perfect preservation, among the statuettes which adorn the tomb of her son, John of Eltham, at Westminster-abbey. The fashion which prevailed for about half a century, of surrounding tombs with effigies of the kindred of the deceased, has preserved the resemblances of two of our queens. It were vain to seek the portraits of Isabella and her aunt Marguerite elsewhere than on the monument of him, who was at the same time the younger brother of Edward III., son of queen Isabella, and great-nephew to Marguerite of France. Isabella's statuette stands at the left hand of the well-known effigy of her murdered lord, Edward II. These statuettes are wonderful works of art: they are carved out of the purest and finest alabaster, although five centuries of London atmosphere have dyed them of the hue of jet. Some great artist has designed them, for the ease of the attitudes, the flow of the draperies, and the individuality of the features, are beyond all praise. The side of the tomb opposite to St. Edward's chapel being protected by a strongly-carved oaken screen, they are as perfect as when they issued from the hands of the sculptor. Fortunately, on this side are arranged the English relatives of prince John; on the other, which was occupied by his French ancestors, the work of destruction has been nearly completed by the depredators who formerly devastated the abbey. Isabella's cast of features, though pretty, is decidedly Moorish, a circumstance easily accounted for by her Navarrese descent. She has a small crown at the top of the conventual hood; her widow's costume is much more rigid than that of the virtuous widow of Edward I.,—her aunt Marguerite. She wears the widow's barb high on her chin, and holds a sceptre in her right hand. But little of her low forehead is visible. In the original her mouth has a laughing

¹ Caley's *Fœdera*, p. 82E

expression, strangely at variance with her garb of woe, and with the tragic deeds that marked her career.

Isabella's virtuous daughter, Joanna, queen of Scotland, the faithful and devoted consort of the unfortunate David Bruce, survived her mother only a few days, and was interred in the church of the Grey Friars, within Newgate.¹ Some authors assert that on the same day London witnessed the solemn pageant of the entrance of the funeral procession of the two queens,—one from the eastern, and the other from the northern road; and that entering the church by opposite doors, the royal biers met at the high altar. After a separation of thirty years, the evil mother and the holy daughter were united in the same burial rite.

¹ Sped. Stowe's Annals. On the site of Christ-church school.

PHILIPPA OF HAINAULT,

QUEEN OF EDWARD III.

CHAPTER I.

THE happy union of the illustrious Philippa with her thrice-renowned lord had been previously cemented by mutual preference, manifested in the first sweet spring-time of existence, when prince Edward took refuge with his mother, queen Isabella, at the court of Hainault. "Count William of Hainault had at that time four daughters," says Froissart; "these were Margaret, Philippa, Joanna, and Isabel. The young prince, during his mother's residence in Hainault, paid more court and attention to Philippa than to any of the others, who also conversed with him more frequently, and sought his company oftener, than any of her sisters." This was in 1326, when prince Edward was in his fifteenth year, and the lady Philippa a few months younger. She was tall in stature, and adorned with the brilliant complexion for which the women of her country are celebrated.

A poet of her time has commemorated "her roseate hue and beauty bright;" and it can well be imagined that, without any claims to regularity of features, her early bloom was beautiful. The youthful lovers, after residing together in the palace of the count of Hainault, at Valenciennes, for about a fortnight, were separated. Edward embarked, with his mother and John of Hainault, on the dangerous expedition of invading his unfortunate father's kingdom, while his beloved was left in a state of uncertainty whether the exigencies of the state and the caprice of relatives would ultimately permit to be joined the hands of those whose hearts had already elected each other.

Although a decided affection subsisted between young Edward and Philippa, it was not considered in accordance with the royal etiquette of that era for the heir of England to acknowledge that he had disposed of his heart without the consent of the parliament and council. Queen Isabella undertook the arrangement of this affair, and soon led the public authorities to the decision that a daughter of the count of Hainault would

be the most desirable alliance for her son. The particular daughter of that family was not specified in the document requesting the dispensation of the pope; the words are, "to marry a *daughter* of that nobleman, William, count of Hainault, Holland, and Zealand, and lord of Friesland." Thus the lovers remained seven months after the coronation of Edward in a state of suspense.¹ The council at last gravely decided that Adam Orleton, the notorious bishop of Hereford, should visit the court of Hainault, and choose, among the daughters of the count, the young lady who seemed most worthy to be the queen of England. As the choice of the bishop and king fell on Philippa, the young king had certainly informed Adam Orleton, in confidence, which princess among the fair sisterhood was the elected lady of his heart. The proceedings of the bishop are thus narrated by our last rhyming chronicler, Hardyng:²—

"He sent forth then to Hainault, for a wife,
A bishop and other lords temporal.
Among them-*selves* our lords for high prudence,
Of the bishop asked counsel and sentence,
Which daughter of the five should be our queen?
Who counsell'd thus with sad avisement,
'We will have her with fairest form, I ween.'
To which they all accorded with one mind,
And chose Philippe that was full feminine,
As the wise bishop did determine.
But then among them-*selves* they laughed aye;
Those lords then said, 'Their bishop judged full sooth
The beauty of a lady.'"

This passage, among many others, will prove that personal beauty was considered by our ancestors as a most desirable qualification in a queen-consort. For this reason, these biographies are compelled by truth to dwell on the personal advantages possessed by our queens. The queens of England appear, with few exceptions, to have been the finest women of their time.

The young king, then about sixteen, sent to Sir John of Hainault, whom he well knew in England, "that he should love a niece of his more than any lady in the world, on his account, and therefore begged him to speed on the marriage treaty and dispensation;" for the near relationship of their mothers put the bride and bridegroom in the second degree of cousinship. Sir John gave them such sumptuous entertainment as would be tiresome

¹ The name of Philippa is not mentioned till the last instrument from Avignon was executed, dated Sept. 3, 1327.—*Fœdera*, vol. iv.

² Hardyng was a Lincolnshire man, a chronicler and an antiquary, brought up in the family of the earl of Northumberland, so famous in the deposition of Richard II. In his youth he acted as secretary to his lord, and was present at the battle of Shrewsbury. He is, therefore, nearly a contemporary, and,

as such, his authority is great. His age must have been extreme, as he lived through the whole of the reigns of the house of Lancaster; was pensioned by Henry VI. in 201. per annum, and finally presented his complete history to Edward IV.; he must then have been more than ninety. He mentions five daughters of Hainault: the eldest Sybilla, who had been contracted to Edward III. in his infancy and was dead at this time.

to relate. He most willingly complied with their requests, if the pope and holy church had no objection. Two of the knights and some able clerks were despatched to Avignon, where the pope and college consented most benignantly. On their return to Valenciennes, immediate preparations were made for the dress and equipage of a lady who was considered worthy to be the queen of England.

Edward III. was then at Nottingham. He charged¹ "his beloved Bartholomew de Burghersh, constable of Dover, to receive and welcome into his kingdom that noble person, William, count of Hainault, with the illustrious damsel Philippa, his daughter, and the familiars of the said count and damsel; and he charges all and singular his nobility and people of the counties through which the count, damsel, and familiars may pass, to do them honour and give them dutiful aid." It was necessary for the lady Philippa and her escort to travel across England to meet the royal bridegroom, who was then performing his warlike noviciate on the Scottish border, under the auspices of his mother and Mortimer, against the great Robert Bruce.

Philippa was married at Valenciennes by procuration, soon after the date of this instrument. She embarked for England at Wisant, landed at Dover with all her suite, and arrived in London December 23, 1327, with a retinue and display of magnificence in accordance with the great wealth of her country. She was escorted by her uncle, John of Hainault, and not by her father, as was expected. A solemn procession of the clergy introduced her into the city, and she was presented by the lord mayor and aldermen of London with a service of plate, worth 300*l.*, as a marriage gift—a benefaction prompted, most likely, by the gratitude of the citizens for a treaty of commerce established between England and the Low Countries in the preceding summer, when these nuptials were first publicly agitated. The king was still with his army in the north, York being his head-quarters; and though London was in an uproarious state of rejoicing at the arrival of the young queen, she set out immediately to meet her lord. But there were feastings and sumptuous entertainments in London for three weeks after her landing.

Philippa passed New-year's day at the abbey of Peterborough. She was escorted on her northern journey by the cousin-german of the king, John Bohun, earl of Hereford and Essex, and lord high-constable. An alarming riot occurred at the abbey owing to the tyranny of Hereford, who, when Philippa was about to depart, seized by violence on a little child, Godfrey de la Marck, under the protection of the abbot of Peter-

¹ *Fœdera*, vol. iv. Adam Orleton, who began the negotiation, had not the honour of finishing the treaty. He had at this time fallen into disgrace with Isabella and Mortimer, for accepting the rich bishopric of Winchester without the consent of the crown,

and pertinaciously refusing to pay a bribe high enough to satisfy the rapacity of the queen-mother. The astute priest considered she was too much in his power to need such consideration.—See the preceding biography

borough, and, claiming him as the son of one of his vassals, carried him off in the royal *cortège*.¹ No other adventures of the queen's bridal progress are recorded: the dismal season and bad roads made it tedious. The royal marriage did not take place until January the 24th, 1327-8, when the hands of Edward and Philippa were united at York minster. The magnificence of the espousals was heightened by the grand entry of a hundred of the principal nobility of Scotland, who had arrived in order to conclude a lasting peace with England, cemented by the marriage of the king's little sister, Joanna. The parliament and royal council were likewise convened at York, and the flower of the English nobility, then in arms, were assembled, round the young king and his bride. The royal pair kept Easter at York, and after the final peace with Scotland, they returned southward from Lincoln to Northampton, and finally settled in June, at the beautiful summer palace of Woodstock, which seems the principal abiding-place of Philippa while her young husband was yet under the tutelage of Mortimer and the queen-mother.

A dead silence is kept in all the public documents regarding the amount of Philippa's portion,—for reasons good, since the queen-mother had already spent it. As for the usual dower of the queens of England, the whole of its lands were possessed by the queen-mother; but by a deed, executed at Northampton,² May 5th, "the king," says the venerable father, Roger, bishop of Lichfield and Coventry, "had promised that 15,000*l.* per annum of lands should be settled on her." Queenborough was part of the young queen's dower; the Saxon kings had a strong castle there called Kyngborough, on a rising ground commanding a fine view over the Thames. Edward III. pulled down the ruins, and began a residence for his queen, meant to facilitate their frequent visits to her native country: he changed the name of the place to Queenborough, in compliment to her. Gibbon, the historian, claims for his ancestor Gibbon, king Edward's architect, the honour of designing and building Philippa's palace in the Isle of Sheppey. Nothing remains of it now, excepting a few crumbling walls just above the soil, some indications of the donjon, mount, and an old well.³

Isabella provided so richly for herself and her daughter-in-law, that she left her son, the sovereign of England, nearly penniless. After assisting at the marriage of his niece, Sir John of Hainault returned to his native country, laden with jewels and rich presents. Few of the Hainaulters who had escorted her to England stayed with queen Philippa; but among those who remained was a youth, named Sir Wantelet de Mauny,⁴ whose office was to carve for her. The coronation of the young queen did not take place till more than two years

¹ Bishop Patrick's Hist. of Peterborough, p. 41.

² *Fœdera*, vol. iv.

³ It was completely destroyed by Cromwell.

⁴ Froissart. This attendant of queen Philippa is Sir Walter Mauny, so celebrated as one of the first knights of the Garter.

after her marriage. The king, from his palace at Eltham, issued a summons, dated the 28th of February, 1330, "for his beloved and faithful Bartholomew de Burghersh to appear with his barons of the Cinque-ports, to do their customary duties at the coronation of his dearest queen Philippa, which takes place if God be propitious, the Sunday next to the feast of St. Peter, in the cathedral of Westminster."¹ It took place on that day with no particular splendour, for the rapacity of Isabella and Mortimer had absorbed all the funds provided to support the dignity of the crown. But the period of their sway drew near its close: the young lion of England had already manifested signs of disdain at the ignoble restraint in which he was held.

Parliament was summoned that spring at Woodstock, whither Philippa and her royal lord had retired after the coronation. A singular document² is dated from thence the succeeding April, in which the king informs his treasurer, "that his faithful and beloved Robert de Vere, being earl of Oxford, was hereditary chamberlain to the queens of England; at all coronations the ancestors of the earl had officiated in the same capacity, and that in consequence he claimed the bed in which the queen had slept, her shoes, and three silver basins,—one in which she washed her head,³ and two others in which she washed her hands. And the king desires that the earl may freely receive the basins and the shoes; but as for her bed, the treasurer is to pay the earl-chamberlain a hundred marks as a compensation for his claim thereon."

While the young king was yet under the dominion of his unworthy mother, his consort, Philippa, gave birth to her firstborn, afterwards the celebrated hero, Edward, surnamed the Black Prince. He first saw the light at the palace of Woodstock, June 15, 1330. The great beauty of this infant, his size, and the firm texture of his limbs, filled every one with admiration who saw him. Like that renowned queen-regent of France, Blanche of Castile, mother of St. Louis, Philippa chose to nourish her babe at her own bosom. It is well known that the portraits of the lovely young Philippa and her princely boy formed the favourite models for the Virgin and Child at that era.

In order to celebrate the birth of the heir of England, a grand tournament was proclaimed at London. Philippa and all the female nobility were invited to be present. Thirteen knights were engaged on each side, and the tournament was held in Cheapside, between Wood-street and Queen-street: the highway was covered with sand to prevent the horses' feet from slipping, and a grand temporary tower was erected, made of boarding, filled with seats for the accommodation of the queen and her ladies. But scarcely had this fair company entered the tower, when the scaffolding suddenly gave way, and all present fell to the

¹ Edward III. Patent rolls.

² *Fœdera*, vol. iv. p. 426.

³ 'Face' would be more likely, but the actual word is *capitis*.

ground with the queen. Though no one was injured, all were terribly frightened, and great confusion ensued. When the young king saw the peril of his wife he flew into a tempest of rage, and vowed that the careless carpenters who had constructed the building should instantly be put to death. Whether he would thus far have stretched the prerogative of an English sovereign can never be known, for his angelic partner, scarcely recovered from the shock of her fall, threw herself on her knees before the incensed king, and so effectually pleaded for the pardon of the poor men, that Edward became pacified, and forgave them.

In the decline of the year 1330, Edward III. shook off the restraints imposed upon him by his unworthy mother and her ferocious paramour. He executed justice on the great criminal Mortimer in the summary and hasty way in which he was always inclined to act when under the impulse of passion, and at a distance from his queen. No one can wonder that he was impatient to destroy the murderer of his father and of his uncle Edmund. Still this eagerness to execute sudden vengeance under the influence of rage, whether justly or unjustly excited, is a trait in the character of this mighty sovereign which appears in his youth, and which it is necessary to point out in order to develop the beautiful and nearly perfect character of his queen. No sooner were the reins of government in the hands of the young king, than he vigorously exerted himself for the reformation of the abuses for which the administration of Mortimer was infamous: many excellent laws were made, and others revived, to the great satisfaction of the English people. But, above all things, the king had the wisdom to provide a profitable occupation for the active energies of his people. "Blessed be the memory of king Edward III. and Philippa of Hainault, his queen, who first invented clothes," says a monastic chronicler. Start not, gentle reader; the English wore clothes before the time of this excellent queen. The grateful monk, by this invocation, merely means to imply that, by her advice, the English first manufactured *cloth*.¹ Philippa, young as she was, well remembered the sources of prosperity which enriched her own country. She established a manufacturing colony at Norwich in the year 1335; but the first steps towards this good work were commenced so early as the 3rd of July, 1331, within a few months of the assumption of power by the youthful king. A letter so dated, from Lincoln, is addressed to John Kempe of Flanders, cloth-weaver in wool, in which he is informed, "That if he will come to

¹ A more coherent notice of this great benefit to England is given by Fuller, who defines the difference between a pastoral and a manufacturing land in his usual impressive though quaint style. "The king, having married Philippa, the daughter of the earl of Hainault, began now to grow sensible of the great gain the Netherlands gat by our English wool, in memory whereof the duke of

Burgundy, a century after, instituted the order of the Golden Fleece, wherein indeed the fleece was ours, but the gold theirs, so vast was their emolument by the trade of clothing. Our king therefore resolved, if possible, to reduce the trade to his own countrymen, who as yet were ignorant, as knowing no more what to do with their wool than the sheep that bore it."

England with his servants and apprentices of his mystery, and with his goods and chattels, and with any dyers and fullers who may be inclined willingly to accompany him beyond seas, and exercise their mysteries in the kingdom of England, they shall have letters of protection and assistance in their settlement."¹

Philippa occasionally visited Kempe and the rest of her colony in Norwich. Nor did she disdain to blend all the magnificence of chivalry with her patronage of the productive arts. Like a beneficent queen of the hive, she cherished and protected the working bees. At a period of her life, which in common characters is considered girlhood, she had enriched one of the cities of her realm by her statistic wisdom. There was wisdom likewise in the grand tournaments she held at Norwich, which might be considered as exhibitions showing the citizens how well, in time of need, they could be protected by a gallant nobility. These festivals displayed the defensive class and the productive class in admirable union and beneficial intercourse, while the example of the queen promoted mutual respect between them. Edward III. did not often take part in these visits to Norwich, which were generally paid by the queen while her husband spent some days with his guilty and miserable mother at Castle-Rising, in Norfolk; a strong proof that he did not consider her a fit companion for Philippa. The house in which his queen usually sojourned was long pointed out by the grateful inhabitants of Norwich: its site is not forgotten at the present day.

As the most interesting comment on the lasting benefits conferred by the illustrious consort of the third Edward on Norwich, when she assisted its inhabitants to compete with her countrymen in the manufactures from which she knew the wealth and importance of those princely merchants were derived, we take leave to subjoin the testimony of a gentleman who contributed in no slight degree to the prosperity of the metropolis of our eastern counties, and whose school of design carried the fine arts in wool and silk to a degree of perfection which no foreign loom can surpass: "The citizens of Norwich are especially indebted to the good queen Philippa for her condescension in introducing and promoting manufactures, which for five centuries have furnished wealth and employment to a large portion of its inhabitants."² The dearly-purchased laurels of Cressy and Poitiers have faded to the mere abstract memory of the military prowess of the victorious Edward and his sons, regarding which no national benefit remains; while the fruits of Philippa's statistic practical wisdom continue to provide sources of wealth and national prosperity for generations yet unborn. It is likely that the establishment of the Flemish artists in England had some connexion with the visit that Jeanne of Valois, countess of Hainault, paid to her royal

¹ *Fœdera.* Kempe was the patriarch of the Norwich woollen manufactures.

² Letter of the late Edward Blakeley, Esq., shawl manufacturer and sheriff of Norwich.

daughter in the autumn of 1331. The mother of Philippa was a wise and good woman, who loved peace and promoted the peaceful arts. During her sojourn in England she further strengthened the beneficial alliance between England and the Low Countries, by negotiating a marriage between the king's sister, Eleanora, and the duke of Gueldres, which was soon after celebrated.

Edward III. commenced a furious war on Scotland in 1333. His faithful queen followed his campaign; but while the king laid siege to Berwick, Philippa was in some danger at Bamborough-castle, where she resided that summer: for Douglas, the valiant guardian of his young king, turned the tables on the English invader, and made a forced march, to lay fierce siege to Bamborough,¹ hoping that Edward, alarmed at the danger of his queen, would relinquish Berwick and fly to her assistance; but Edward knew too well the strength of "king Ina's castle broad and high," and the firm mind of his Philippa, to swerve from his designs on Berwick. Infuriated, however, by the attempt to capture his queen, he, in a sudden transport of passion, ordered the two sons of the governor of Berwick, who, unfortunately, were in his hands, to be put to death. Perhaps this atrocious deed would have been prevented if the just and gracious Philippa had been by the side of her incensed lord; but Philippa was closely besieged in Bamborough, and her danger exasperated her husband into an act really worse than any performed by his stern grandsire, Edward I. Berwick was won by the victorious king from the stunned and paralysed father, but by the murder of the hapless boys he for ever stained his chivalric name. Douglas and Edward joined battle not far from Berwick soon after, and the Scots were overpowered at the disastrous battle of Halidon-Hill. Edward, with his queen, afterwards triumphantly entered Berwick, which ever since remained annexed to the English crown.²

Edward and Philippa were in England during the winter of 1334. At the palace of Woodstock, on February the 5th, the queen brought into the world Elizabeth³ (likewise called Isabella), the princess-royal. The queen undertook another campaign in the succeeding spring. That year her father sent king Edward a present of a rich helmet, made of gold and set with precious stones, with a remonstrance against wasting his strength in Scotland, where there was no plunder to be got, when

¹ Guthrie, folio Hist.

² Edward Baliol invaded Scotland with the English army, having first sent a civil message to young king David, offering to secure to him the family estates of the Bruce if he would surrender to him his kingdom and his wife, the young sister of king Edward. To this modest request the Scotch council (for the gallant Douglas lost his life at Halidon) replied by sending their young king and

queen for safety to France, and preparing to defend their kingdom to the last gasp. Some authors declare that, after this conquest, Edward kept his Christmas at Roxburgh with his queen, but his government acts are dated in January at Wallingford.—Guthrie.

³ The names of Isabella and Elizabeth were synonymous in the middle ages, to the confusion of history and genealogy

the same expense would prosecute his claims on France. The queen this winter became the mother of a second princess, named Joanna. Philippa followed her lord to a third northern campaign. Her second son, William of Hatfield, was born in a village in Yorkshire, in the winter of 1336: this infant lived but a few weeks, and was buried in York Minster. In the absence of Edward, the Scotch war was prosecuted by his only brother, John, earl of Cornwall, with great cruelty; this young prince died at Perth, October the 5th, of a wound which he received in his ferocious attack on Lesmahago.

While Philippa resided in the north of England, a circumstance occurred which is an amusing instance of monastic etiquette. King Edward had returned from Scotland, and advanced as far as Durham, where he established his lodging in St. Cuthbert's priory, near the castle. The queen travelled from York to meet and welcome him. She supped in the priory; and, thinking it was no offence, retired to pass the night in her husband's apartment. Scarcely had she undressed, when the affrighted monks came to the door, and pathetically remonstrated against the infringement of the rules of their order, intimating "that their holy patron, St. Cuthbert, who during his life very sedulously eschewed the company of the fair sex, would be direfully offended if one of them slept beneath the roof of his convent, however high her rank might be." The pious Philippa, distressed at the idea of unwittingly offending St. Cuthbert, immediately rose from the bed in haste, fled in her night-dress to the castle, which was fortunately close by, and passed the night there by herself.¹

The gout and other maladies put an end to the existence of count William of Hainault, soon after he had formed a league against France with king Edward. With the wealthy father of his queen, Edward lost the liberal supplies with which he carried on his warfare. The English people chose always to be at war; but they expected their monarchs to find the cost out of their private revenues and feudal dues, which were certainly not sufficient for the purpose. Edward was reduced to extreme poverty, even in the commencement of his long war, and obliged to pawn his queen's crown at Cologne for 2,500*l.*, in the year 1339. Soon after the English people submitted, not to a tax *on* wool, but a tax *of* wool, and subscribed 30,000 packs of that commodity,² which, being sent down the Rhine to Cologne, redeemed Philippa's best crown from thralldom. During the whole of this reign the crown jewels were seldom out of pawn, notwithstanding the wealth that the infant manufacture of cloth was already drawing to the coasts of England. The

¹ History of the Cathedral of Durham. The priory is at present the residence of the dean. It seems that an especial licence from the pope was needful to permit ladies, even were they queens, to sleep in a monastery. In the Bodleian there is a licence, with the

leadon bull appendant, of pope Innocent IV., giving permission to Eleanor of Provence, queen of Henry III., to lodge in Cistercian convents of men - date, 1250.

² *Fœdera*.

prosperity that the queen's colony of Flemish artists had brought to Norwich had been felt so early as 1335, when Philippa paid that city a visit during her husband's progress to Castle-Rising. She was received by the grateful citizens with all the honours due to a public-benefactress. Her memory is yet revered in that city, which may be truly called the English Ghent.

As vicar of the empire, and head of the confederated league of Germany, Edward III. had his head-quarters, during several of the Flemish campaigns, at Antwerp and Ghent, where his queen kept her court. At Antwerp the third son of Philippa and Edward III., Lionel, duke of Clarence, was born November 29th, 1338. He inherited all the characteristics of his royal mother's Flemish race, and in due time grew to be nearly seven feet high, and was athletic in proportion. The queen returned with this infant Hercules to England in the autumn of 1339; and in the ensuing year king Edward paid a long visit to his unhappy mother in Norfolk, while queen Philippa went to Norwich, to visit her woollen manufactories. She found a vast number of Norwich people who, having been apprentices of Kempe and his followers, were establishing themselves in the profitable trades of weaving and dyeing. She was received with great joy, and favoured the citizens with her presence from February to Easter.¹ At the festivities of that season her royal lord held a grand tournament at Norwich where he tilted in person.

In the spring of the same year Philippa again sailed for the opposite coast, and established her court at Ghent. King Edward, in the meantime, cruised between England and Holland, where he had a fleet of upwards of three hundred ships. Philippa gave birth to her fourth son at Ghent, on Midsummer-day, 1340, at the very time that her warlike lord was fighting his great naval battle off Blankenburg. Next day the king landed at Sluys, impatient to embrace his queen and her infant, and cheer her with tidings of the greatest naval victory the English at that time had ever gained over the French. The prince born at this auspicious season was John of Gaunt, afterwards so renowned as duke of Lancaster.

The interference of the mother of Philippa about this time occasioned a temporary cessation of hostilities between France and England.² This princess, just as the belligerents were about to engage before Tournay, went to her son-in-law, and then to her brother, king Philip, and kneeling before them, implored them to make peace, and stop the effusion of Christian blood. The pacification thus effected by the mother of queen Philippa for awhile put a stop to this kindred warfare. The relationship between Edward's queen and king Philip was near; her mother was both his niece and name-child. The motives that prompted the mother of

¹ Hardyng.

² Froissart. Jeanne of Valois had retired into a convent after the death of her husband,

the count of Hainault. This retreat was fired by the troops of her brother, king Philip, in this war.

Philippa to interfere in this extraordinary manner between armies ready to engage are perfectly consistent with the spirit of the middle ages. Her kinsman, king Robert of Sicily, a royal astrologer, had cast the nativities of Philip and Edward, and declared that he foresaw the discomfiture of the king of France, if ever he fought against his rival. The letters of king Robert, alarming the sisterly fears of the countess Jeanne, induced her interference. At Tournay, Edward was endeavouring to provoke Philip into a personal combat. This excellent method of determining a succession-war Philip declined, because the cartel was not directed to the king of France. The whole English camp cried out at the cowardice of Philip; and a poet belonging to Edward, possessing more loyalty than Latin, wrote the following couplet,—

“ Si valeas, venias, Valois! depelle timorem
Non lateas; pateas; moveas. Ostende vigorem.”

Which may be rendered,

“ Valois, be valiant! vile fear can't avail thee;
Hide not, avoid not, let not vigour fall thee.”

Edward, who had himself sent a rhyming declaration of war to Philip, swore “these were valiant verses,” and caused them to be fastened to an arrow, and shot into Philip's encampment.

Both the mighty Edward and his faithful queen were literally in a state of bankruptcy at this juncture. She had given up her crown, and all the jewels she possessed, which her royal lord had pawned to the Flemish merchants; but his wants were still so great, that to raise a further sum he pawned the person of his valiant kinsman, the earl of Derby,¹ who actually gave himself up to personal restraint, while Edward stole away with his queen, and the child she nourished, to Zealand. Here he embarked with Philippa and the infant John of Gaunt, attended by a few servants. The ship was small, the weather stormy, and the royal passengers were in frequent danger of losing their lives: however, at midnight, December 2, 1340, they landed safely on Tower-wharf. Here the king found that three nurses, and the rest of the royal children, constituted the sole garrison of his regal fortress of the Tower: the careless constable, Nicholas de la Beche, had decamped that evening to visit a lady-love in the city, and his warders and soldiers, following his example, had actually left the Tower to take care of itself.² The great Edward, who, owing to the untoward state of his finances was not in the mildest of tempers, took possession of the fortress of his capital in a towering rage. As his return was wholly unexpected, the conster-

¹ Carte. Guthrie. Caley's *Fœdera*. He remained in prison, being detained by Matthew Concanen and Co., merchants of the firm of the Leopard. Edward obtained supplies of his parliament next year by declaring “that if he was not enabled to redeem his honour and his cousin, the earl of Derby, he would go to Flanders, and surrender his royal

person to his creditors.” In answer to this appeal, the commons granted the fleece of the ninth sheep and the ninth lamb throughout England: coin seemed to be as scarce with the subjects as with their royal master and mistress.

² Froissart

nation of constable de la Beche may be supposed, when he had concluded his city visit. It was well for the careless castellan that the gentle Philippa was by the side of her incensed lord at that juncture.

About this time, the heart of the mighty Edward swerved for awhile from its fidelity to Philippa; and had not the royal hero been enamoured of a lady of exemplary virtue, the peace of the queen might have been for ever destroyed. Sir William Montacute had been rewarded for the good service he did the king in the beginning of his reign, by the title of the earl of Salisbury. He had married the fair Katherine de Grandson,¹ and received the castellanship of Wark-castle, whither he had taken his countess, who lived in retirement away from the court. In the mean time, Salisbury had been captured in the French war. His castle in the north, which was defended by his countess and his nephew, was besieged in the second Scottish war by king David, 1342. Young Montacute, by a bold personal adventure, carried the news of the distress of the countess to king Edward, who was encamped near Berwick. At the approach of Edward, the king of Scots raised the siege of Wark. The royal hero's interview with Katherine the Fair follows, in the words of Froissart;—"The moment the countess heard of the king's approach, she ordered all the gates to be thrown open, and went to meet him most richly dressed, insomuch that no one could look at her but with wonder and admiration at her noble deportment, great beauty, and affability of behaviour. When she came near king Edward, she made her obeisance to the ground, and gave him thanks for coming to her assistance; and then conducted him into the castle, to entertain and honour him. Every one was delighted with her; but the king could not take his eyes off from her, so that a *fine spark of love* struck upon his heart, which lasted a long time, for he did not believe that the whole world produced any other lady so worthy of being beloved. They entered the castle hand in hand. The countess led him first to the hall, and then to the best chamber, which was very richly furnished, as belonging to so fine a lady. King Edward kept his eyes so fixed upon the countess, that the gentle dame was quite abashed. After he had sufficiently examined his apartment, he retired to a window, and, leaning on it, fell into a profound reverie.

"The countess left him to order dinner to be made ready, and the tables set, and the hall ornamented and set out; likewise to welcome the knights and lords who accompanied the king. When she had given all

¹ In Milles' Catalogue of Honour, the parentage of the countess of Salisbury is clearly traced. She was the daughter of William de Grandson, a Burgundian knight of imperial lineage, a favourite of Edmund, earl of Lancaster, who prevailed on Sibyl, heiress of lord Tregose of Wiltshire, to marry his friend. Grandson possessed nothing in the world but a handsome person and a very doubtful pedi-

gree, derived from the emperors of Constantinople. Katherine the Fair was the only child of this couple, and was endowed richly with her mother's wealth and her father's beauty. She bestowed both on the brave earl of Salisbury. Dugdale confirms this account by quoting charters, in which he calls the countess Katherine de Grandison.

the orders to her servants she thought needful, she returned with a cheerful countenance to king Edward, and said, 'Dear sir, what are you musing on? Such meditation is not proper for you, saving your grace. You ought rather to be in high spirits, having freed England from her enemy without loss of blood.' The king replied, 'Oh, dear lady! you must know that, since I have been in this castle, some thoughts have oppressed my mind that I was not before aware of; so that it behoves me to reflect; as I am uncertain what may be the event, I cannot withdraw my attention.'—'Dear sir,' answered the lady, 'you ought to be of good cheer, and feast with your friends, to give them more pleasure, and leave off pondering; for God has been very bountiful to you in your undertakings, so that you are the most feared and renowned prince in Christendom. If the king of Scotland have vexed you by the mischiefs he hath done in your kingdom, you will speedily be able to make reprisals in his dominions. Therefore come, if it please you, into the hall to your knights, for dinner will soon be served.'—'Oh, sweet lady!' said king Edward, 'there be other things which touch my heart, and lie heavy there, than what you talk of. For, in good truth, your beauteous mien and the perfections of your face and behaviour have wholly overcome me, and so deeply impress my heart, that my happiness wholly depends on meeting a return to my flame, which no denial from you can ever extinguish.'—'Oh! my dread lord,' replied the countess, 'do not amuse yourself by laughing at me and trying to tempt me, for I cannot believe you are in earnest as to what you have just said. Is it likely that so noble and gallant a prince as you are would ever think of dishonouring either me or my husband, a valiant knight, who has served you so faithfully, and who now lies in a doleful prison on your account? Certainly, sir, this would not redound to your glory; nor would you be the better for it, if you could have your wayward will.'

"The virtuous lady then quitted the king, who was astonished at her words. She went into the hall to hasten dinner; afterwards she approached the king's chamber, attended by all the knights, and said to him, 'My lord king, your knights are all waiting for you, to wash their hands; for they, as well as yourself, have fasted too long.' King Edward left his apartment and came to the hall, where, after he had washed his hands, he seated himself with his knights at the dinner, as did the lady also; but the king ate very little, and was the whole time pensive, casting his eyes, whenever he had the opportunity, on the countess. Such behaviour surprised his friends; for they were not accustomed to it, never having seen the like before in their king. They supposed it was his chagrin at the departure of the Scots without a battle. The king remained at the castle the whole day, without knowing what to do with himself. Thus did he pass that day and a sleepless night, debating the matter within his own heart. At daybreak he rose, drew out his whole

army, raised his camp, and made ready to follow the Scots. Upon taking leave of the countess, he said, 'My dear lady, God preserve you safe till I return! and I pray that you will think well of what I have said, and have the goodness to give me a different answer.'—"My gracious liege," replied the countess, 'God of his infinite goodness preserve you, and drive from your noble heart such villanous thoughts; for I am, and ever shall be, ready to serve you, but only in what is consistent with my honour and with yours.' The king left her, quite astonished at her answers." The love of king Edward wandered from queen Philippa but for a short time; yet it was owing to the high principles of Katherine the Fair that he never swerved into the commission of evil.¹

Queen Philippa, attired in the august robes of the new order of the Garter, and attended by the ladies whom the gallantry of king Edward associated with his knights,² assisted her royal lord in holding the first chapter at Windsor, on St. George's-day, 1343. The story that the origin of this order, the order of the Garter, took its rise from an accident that happened to the countess of Salisbury's dress when dancing with king Edward III., must be untrue, since we have seen that the knights of the Blue Garter were confederated by Cœur de Lion long before the countess was born; therefore the Garter was a part of the order that had been devised many years previously to the era of king Edward. But that the countess of Salisbury was considered the heroine of the newly-revived order, we have the express words of Froissart, as follows: "You have all heard how passionately king Edward was smitten with the charms of that noble lady, Katherine, countess of Salisbury. Out of affection to the said lady, and his desire to see her, he proclaimed a great feast, in August, 1343. He commanded all his own lords and knights should be there without fail, and he expressly ordered the earl of Salisbury to bring the lady his wife, with as many young ladies as she could collect to attend her. The earl very cheerfully complied with the king's request, *for he thought no evil*, and his good lady dared not say nay.

¹ Though he appears still to have cherished a chivalric and heroic attachment for the countess, he soon showed that he had resigned what she very properly told him were "villanous thoughts." In proof of this fact we find him, directly, making a two year's truce with the king of Scotland, one of the conditions of which was, "that king David should undertake a negotiation with his ally, the king of France, to exchange the earl of Moray, a prisoner of king Edward, for the earl of Salisbury," then in captivity in the dismal towers of the Châtelet.—Froissart.

² For several ages after the institution of the order of the Garter, every knight was accompanied by his lady, who was considered to belong to it. Sir Harris Nicolas, in his admirable work on the order of the Garter, fully proves that the ladies of the knights wore its badge. Several monuments still

exist where it may be seen. The monumental statue of lady Harcourt, at Stanton-Harcourt, displays the order of the Garter, with the celebrated motto on the left arm. She was born a Byron, and married Sir Robert Harcourt, elected knight of the Garter in 1463. The effigy of the duchess of Suffolk, granddaughter to Chaucer, at Ewelme church, has the garter and motto buckled round the left arm, not as an armlet, but as a bracelet. The lady Tankerville, whose statue was lately at St. Katherine's by the Tower, had the same noble badge on her left arm. If the ladies-companions of this noble order were restored according to the original institution of Edward III. and Philippa, how much splendour would such improvement add to the court of our fair queen! The Garter-robes of queen Philippa are charged in the wardrobe accounts.—Exchequer rolls.

She came, however, much against her will; for she guessed the reason which made the king so earnest for her attendance, but was afraid to discover it to her husband, intending by her conduct and conversation to make the king change his opinion." Froissart likewise adds, "that all the ladies and damsels who assisted at the first convocation of the order of the Garter came superbly dressed, excepting the countess of Salisbury, who attended the festival dressed as plainly as possible; she did not wish the king to admire her, for she had no intention to obey him *in any thing evil* that might tend to the dishonour of her dear lord." The repetition of the expression, "*any thing evil*," is certainly in allusion to the mysterious motto of the order.

Queen Philippa made her last visit to Norwich in the course of the same year, tradition says, accompanied by her son Edward, prince of Wales, who displayed his early prowess in chivalry by tilting at a tournament proclaimed at his mother's favourite East Anglian city. It is a matter still in dispute by the learned there, whether the queen lodged at the prior's country house at Trowse-Newton, or at the monastery in the Close. But after her expulsion by the monks of Durham from her lodging in their monastery, it is most likely she resided at the country-house, separately from her son or husband. She was entertained by the citizens of Norwich at an expense of 37*l.* 4*s.* 6½*d.*

Philippa kept the birthday of her mighty lord with great festivity at Woodstock, in the year 1345. Here, in that sylvan palace, where she had spent the first years of her happy wedlock, did she find herself, in middle life, surrounded by a train of beautiful children, at the head of whom was Edward, prince of Wales, then on the eve of winning his vast meed of renown. Philippa's *protégé*, Chaucer, has, in these elegant lines, described one lovely feature of the favourite retreat of his royal mistress. He speaks of a maple—

" . . . that is fair and green,
Before the chamber windows of the queen
At Woodstock."

CHAPTER II.

IN the first years of her marriage, queen Philippa had been the constant attendant on her husband in his campaigns: the annals of the year 1346 display her character in a more brilliant light, as the sagacious ruler of his kingdom and the victorious leader of his feudal militia. After the order of the Garter had been fully established, king Edward reminded his valiant knights and nobles that, with him, they made a vow to assist distressed ladies; he then specified that the countess de Montfort particularly required the aid of his chivalry, for her lord was held in cap-

tivity by Philip de Valois, in the towers of the Louvre, while the countess was endeavouring to uphold the cause of her infant son against the whole power of France, and signified his intention of giving her personal support, and leaving his queen regent of England during his absence.

On St. John the Baptist's-day the king took leave of queen Philippa, appointing the earl of Kent as her assistant in the government of England. The name of her young son, Lionel,¹ a child of eight years old, was associated with his mother in the regency. Philippa bade farewell to the darling of her heart, her son Edward, then in his sixteenth year. The young hero accompanied his royal sire, in order to win his spurs on the soil of France. It is not generally remembered that at the field of Cressy he was opposed to his mother's nearest connexions,—to her uncle Philip of Valois, and Sir John of Hainault. In the true spirit of a mercenary soldier, Sir John had left the service of his niece's husband, in whose employment he had spent the best part of his life, merely because the king of France gave him a higher salary! The first English military despatch extant was addressed to queen Philippa and her council by Michael Northbury, king Edward's warlike chaplain, containing a most original and graphic detail of the battle of Cressy. It is dated at the siege before the town of Calais, for the battle of Cressy was but an interlude of that famous siege.

It was now Philippa's turn to do battle-royal with a king. As a diversion in favour of France, David of Scotland advanced into England a fortnight after the battle of Cressy, and burned the suburbs of York. Philippa herself hastened to the relief of her northern subjects. Froissart has detailed with great spirit the brilliant conduct of the queen at this crisis: "The queen of England, who was very anxious to defend the kingdom, and in order to show she was in earnest about it, came herself to Newcastle-upon-Tyne. She took up her residence there to wait for her militia. On the morrow the king of Scots, with full forty thousand men, advanced within three short miles of the town of Newcastle, [Durham]: he sent to inform the queen that, 'If her men were willing to come forth from the town, he would wait and give them battle.' Philippa answered, 'That she accepted his offer, and that her barons would risk their lives for the realm of their lord the king.'"

The queen's army drew up in order for battle at Neville's-Cross. Philippa advanced, mounted on her white charger, among them, entreated her troops to do their duty well in defending the honour of their lord the king, and urged them "for the love of God to fight manfully." They promised her "that they would acquit themselves loyally to the utmost of their power, and perhaps better than if the king had been there in person." The queen then took her leave of them, and recommended

¹ This little boy represented the majesty of his mighty sire, sitting on the English throne when parliaments were opened.

them "to the protection of God and St. George." There is no vulgar personal bravado of the fighting woman in the character of Philippa. Her courage was wholly moral courage; and her feminine feelings of mercy and tenderness led her, when she had done all that a great queen could do by encouraging her army, to withdraw from the work of carnage, and pray for her invaded kingdom while the battle joined.

The English archers gained the battle, which was fought on the lands of lord Neville.¹ King David was taken prisoner on his homeward retreat, but not without making the most gallant resistance. "When the queen of England (who had tarried in Newcastle while the battle was fought) heard that her army had gained the victory, she mounted on her white charger, and went to the battle-field. She was informed on the way that the king of Scots was the prisoner of a squire named John Copeland, who had rode off with him, no one knew whither.² The queen ordered him to be sought out, and told 'that he had done what was not agreeable to her, in carrying off her prisoner without leave.' All the rest of the day the queen and her army remained on the battle-field they had won, and then returned to Newcastle for the night." Next day Philippa wrote with her own hand to John Copeland, commanding him to surrender the king of Scots to her. John answered in a manner most contumacious to the female majesty then swaying the sceptre of England with so much ability and glory. He replied to Philippa, that "He would not give up his royal prisoner to woman or child,³ but only to his own lord king Edward, for to him he had sworn allegiance, and not to any woman." There spoke the haughty spirit of feudality, which disdained to obey a female regent, although then encamped on a victorious field. The queen was greatly troubled at the obstinacy of this northern squire, and scarcely knew how to depend on the assurance he added, bidding her knight "tell the queen, she might depend on his taking good care of king David." Philippa wrote immediately to the king her husband, relating all that had occurred. When the king had read the queen's letter, he ordered John Copeland to come to him at Calais, who, having placed his prisoner in a strong castle in Northumberland, set out, and landed near Calais. When the king of England saw the squire, he took him by the hand, saying, "Ha! welcome, my squire, who by thy valour hast captured mine adversary, the king of Scots!" John Copeland fell on one knee, and replied, "If God, out of his great kindness

¹ The Saturday before Michaelmas-day, 1346, fifteen thousand Scots were slain. There is reason to suppose that where Froissart names Newcastle, the word should be Durham, since the English army certainly mustered in the bishop's park at Auckland, and Neville's-Cross itself is distant but one mile west of Durham.

² Knighton says he lodged him in the strong fortress of Bamborough. King David

was determined to provoke Copeland to kill him, knowing the miseries his captivity would cause his country. His resistance was terrific; he dashed his gauntlet on Copeland's mouth when called on to surrender, and knocked out several of his teeth. Copeland kept his temper, and succeeded in capturing him alive.

³ Philippa was associated with the young Prince Lionel in the regency.

has given me the king of Scotland, and permitted me to conquer him in arms, no one ought to be jealous of it; for God can, if he pleases, send his grace to a poor squire as well as to a great lord. Sire, do not take it amiss if I did not surrender king David to the orders of my lady queen, for I hold my lands of *you*, and not of *her*, and my oath is to you, and not to her, unless, indeed, through choice." King Edward answered, "John, the loyal service you have done us, and our esteem for your valour *is* so great, that it may well serve you as an excuse, and shame fall on all those who bear you any ill-will. You will now return home, and take your prisoner, the king of Scotland, and convey him to my wife; and by way of remuneration, I assign lands, as near your house as you can choose them, to the amount of 500*l.* a-year, for you and your heirs."¹

John Copeland left Calais the third day after his arrival, and returned to England. When he was come home, he assembled his friends and neighbours, and, in company with them, took the king of Scots and carried him to York, where he presented him, in the name of king Edward, to queen Philippa, and made such excuses that she was satisfied. And great magnanimity Philippa displayed in being content with the happy result. How many women would have borne an inextinguishable hatred to John Copeland for a far less offence than refusing obedience to a delegated sceptre! Philippa lodged David in the Tower of London: he was conducted, by her orders, in grand procession, through the streets, mounted on a tall black war-horse, that every one might recognise his person, in case of escape. Next day she sailed for Calais, and landed three days before All Saints.² The arrival of Philippa occasioned a stir of gladness in the besieging camp. Her royal lord held a grand court to welcome his victorious queen, and made a magnificent fête for her ladies. Philippa brought with her the flower of the female nobility of England, for many ladies were anxious to accompany her to Calais, in order to see fathers, husbands, and brothers, who were engaged at this famous siege.

While queen Philippa was encamped with her royal lord before Calais, the young count of Flanders, who had been kept by Edward in his army as a sort of hostage, ran away to the king of France, to avoid his marriage engagements with the princess-royal,—a circumstance which caused great grief and indignation to the queen and her family. But the conduct of the young lord of Flanders can scarcely excite wonder; for Edward III. was in a strong league with the count's rebellious subject, the brewer Von Artavelt, who, under pretence of reform, had overturned the government, and delivered up the count of Flanders to the king of England. Queen Philippa, too, had stood godmother to the son of Edward's democratic ally. "To this infant," says the chronicler, "she gave at the font

¹ Copeland was likewise made a knight-banneret; he was afterwards sheriff of Northumberland and warden of Berwick.

² October 29th.

her own name of Philip." Moreover, the states of Flanders betrothed the count to the eldest daughter of Edward without consulting his inclinations.¹ The young count at last requested an interview with the princess. What passed is not known, but the young couple seemed on the most friendly terms with each other; and the queen, supposing the charms of the young Isabella had captivated the heart of count Louis, with her usual generosity requested he might be left unguarded, fancying he would remain a willing prisoner. But the escape of the count followed soon after, to the great exasperation of Edward III. As Isabella afterwards made a love-match, the whole scheme had probably been concerted between her and her betrothed; for life, in the fourteenth century, was an acted romance.

Meantime, the brave defenders of Calais were so much reduced by famine as to be forced to capitulate. At first Edward resolved to put them all to the sword. By the persuasions of Sir Walter Mauny he somewhat relaxed from his bloody intentions. "He bade Sir Walter," says Froissart, "return to Calais with the following terms: 'Tell the governor of Calais that the garrison and inhabitants shall be pardoned excepting six of the principal citizens, who must surrender themselves to death, with ropes round their necks, bareheaded and barefooted, bringing the keys of the town and castle in their hands.' Sir Walter returned to the brave governor of Calais, John de Vienne, who was waiting for him on the battlements, and told him all he had been able to gain from the king. The lord of Vienne went to the market-place, and caused the bell to be rung, upon which all the inhabitants assembled in the town-hall. He then related to them what he had said, and the answers he had received, and that he could not obtain better conditions. Then they broke into lamentations of grief and despair, so that the hardest heart would have had compassion on them; and their valiant governor, lord de Vienne, wept bitterly. After a short pause, the most wealthy citizen of Calais, by name, Eustace St. Pierre, rose up and said, 'Gentlemen, both high and low, it would be pity to suffer so many of our countrymen to die through famine: it would be highly meritorious in the eyes of our Saviour if such misery could be prevented. If I die to serve my dear townsmen, I trust I shall find grace before the tribunal of God. I name myself first of the six.'

"When Eustace had done speaking, his fellow-citizens all rose up and almost adored him, casting themselves on their knees with tears and groans. Then another citizen rose up, and said he would be the second to Eustace; his name was John Daire: after him, James Wisant, who was very rich in money and lands, and kinsman to Eustace and John. His example was followed by Peter Wisant, his brother: two others² then offered themselves, which completed the number demanded by king

¹ Froissart.

² Eng'ish tradition declares that one of these was the young son of Eustace St. Pierre.

Edward. The governor, De Vienne, mounted a small horse, for it was with difficulty he could walk, and conducted them through the gate to the barriers. He said to Sir Walter, who was there waiting for him, 'I deliver up to you, as governor of Calais, these six citizens, and swear to you they were, and are at this day, the most wealthy and respectable inhabitants of the town. I beg of you, gentle sir, that of your goodness you would beseech the king that they may not be put to death.'—'I cannot answer what the king will do with them,' replied Sir Walter; 'but you may depend upon this, that I will do all I can to save them.' The barriers were then opened, and the six citizens were conducted to the pavilion of king Edward. When Sir Walter Mauny had presented these six citizens to the king, they fell upon their knees, and, with uplifted hands, said, 'Most gallant king! see before you six citizens of Calais, who have been capital merchants, and who bring you the keys of the town and castle. We surrender ourselves to your absolute will and pleasure, in order to save the remainder of our fellow-citizens and inhabitants of Calais, who have suffered great distress and misery. Condescend, then, out of your nobleness, to have compassion on us.'

"All the English barons, knights, and squires that were assembled there in great numbers, wept at this sight; but king Edward eyed them with angry looks, for he hated much the people of Calais, because of the great losses he had suffered at sea by them. Forthwith he ordered the heads of the six citizens to be struck off. All present entreated the king to be more merciful, but he would not listen to them. Then Sir Walter Mauny spoke: 'Ah, gentle king! I beseech you restrain your anger. Tarnish not your noble reputation by such an act as this! Truly the whole world will cry out on your cruelty, if you should put to death these six worthy persons.' For all this the king gave a wink to his marshal, and said, 'I will have it so;' and ordered the headsman to be sent for, adding, 'the men of Calais had done him such damage, it was fit they suffered for it.' At this the queen of England, who was very near her lying-in, fell on her knees before king Edward, and with tears said, 'Ah, gentle sir! sithence I have crossed the sea with great peril to see you, I have never asked you one favour; now, I most humbly ask as gift, for the sake of the Son of the blessed Mary, and as a proof of your love to me,—the lives of these six men' King Edward looked at her for some time in silence, and then said, 'Ah, lady! I wish you had been anywhere else than here. You have entreated in such a manner, that I cannot refuse you. I therefore give them you: do as you please with them.' The queen conducted the six citizens to her apartments, and had the halters taken from about their necks; after which she new clothed them, and served them with a plentiful dinner. She then presented each with six nobles, and had them escorted out of the camp in safety."

The French historians, who, from mortified national pride, have en-

deavoured to invalidate this beautiful incident, pretend to do so by proving, as an inconsistency in the character of Philippa, that she took possession, a few days after the surrender of Calais, of the tenements belonging to one of her *protégés*, John Daire. They have likewise impugned the patriotism of Eustace St. Pierre, because he remained in Calais as Edward's subject. But king Edward granted immunity to all those who swore allegiance to him and stayed in Calais; while those who chose expatriation, like John Daire, forfeited their tenements, which they certainly could not take with them.¹ Now Froissart has shown that Edward presented his Calaisian captives to his queen, to "do with them what she pleased." This transfer gave Philippa rights over their persons and property, which she used most generously in regard to the first, but retained her claims over the possessions in the town of those who refused to become subjects of her husband. The very fact, proved by deeds and charters, that Philippa became proprietress of John Daire's houses, greatly authenticates the statement of Froissart. It would have been pleasant to record that Philippa restored the value of John Daire's tenements; but biography, unlike poetry or romance, seldom permits us to portray a character approaching perfection. Truth compels us to display the same person, by turns, merciful or ferocious, generous or acquisitive, according to the mutability of human passion. The philosophic observer of life will see no outrage on probability in the facts, that Philippa saved John Daire's life one day, and took possession of his vacated spoils the next week.

"The king, after he had bestowed these six citizens on queen Philippa, called to him Sir Walter Manny and his two marshals, the earls of Warwick and Stafford, and said, 'My lords, here are the keys of Calais town and castle: go, and take possession.'" Directions were given for the castle to be prepared with proper lodgings for the king and queen. When this had been done, the king and queen mounted their steeds, and rode towards the town, which they entered with the sound of trumpets, drums, and all sorts of warlike instruments. The king remained in Calais till the queen was brought to bed of a daughter, named Margaret."

Three days before Edward and Philippa returned to England, the emperor Louis of Bavaria died, who had married Marguerite of Hainault, the queen's eldest sister, and towards the close of the same year, Edward was elected emperor of Germany,—an honour of which he very wisely declined the acceptance. At this time it was considered that the king and queen of England had reached the height of their prosperity; with

¹ Eustace was not a soldier, vowed to his banner, like the lord de Vienne, but a burgher, attached by many powerful ties to his town. He was firmly loyal to his prince while Philip could extend kingly protection to his lieges at Calais; but when Philip was forced to leave Calais to its fate, the same necessity obliged Eustace to transfer his ai-

legiance. Expatriation is not the bounden duty of a citizen.

² Froissart. The siege lasted from June, 1346, to August, 1347. Walsingham declares king Edward spared the people of Calais in life and limb,—an observation he would scarcely have made if the contrary had not been expected.

the exception of the trifling disappointment in the disposal of the hand of her eldest daughter, the year 1347 closed most auspiciously for Philippa and her warlike lord. But the military triumphs of England brought with them some corruption of manners. Chroniclers note that the jewels which once decorated the nobility of France were transferred to the persons of the English ladies, who, out of compliment to the queen's successful generalship, and the personal heroism of the valiant countess of Montfort, her kinswoman, began to give themselves the airs of warriors; they wore small jewelled daggers as ornaments at their bosoms, and their caps, formed of cambric or lawn, were cut like the aperture of a knight's helmet. But these objectionable caps brought their own punishment with them: hideously unbecoming they were. The church was preparing suitable remonstrances against these unfeminine proceedings, when all pride, whether royal or national, was at once signally confounded by the awful visitation of pestilence which drew near the shores of England, 1347. This pestilence was called emphatically, from its effects on the human body, "the black death." Every household in London was smitten, and some wholly exterminated: nor did Philippa's royal family escape, for the cruel pestilence robbed her of the fairest of her daughters.

The beauty and graces of the second daughter of Philippa, called the princess Joanna of Woodstock, were such as to be the themes of every minstrel: she was in her fifteenth year when Alphonso king of Castile demanded her in marriage for his heir, the infant Pedro, who afterwards attained an undesirable notoriety under the name of Pedro the Cruel. The princess had been nurtured and educated by that virtuous lady, Marie St. Pol, the widowed countess of Pembroke, to whose munificent love of learning Cambridge owes one of her noblest foundations.¹ As a reward for rearing and educating the young princess, king Edward gave the countess, her governess, the manor of Stroud, in Kent, with many expressions of gratitude, calling her "his dearest cousin Marie de St. Pol."² The fair Joanna was spared the torment of becoming the wife of the most furious man in Europe, by the more merciful plague of "the black death." The royal bride sailed for Bourdeaux at the latter end of the summer of 1348, while her father-in-law, the king of Castile, travelled to the frontier city, Bayonne, with the infant don Pedro, to meet her. King Edward's loyal citizens of Bourdeaux escorted the princess Joanna as far as Bayonne, in the cathedral of which city she was to give her hand to Pedro. On the very evening of her triumphal entry into Bayonne the pestilence, out of all the assembled multitudes, seized on the fair young Plantagenet as a victim: it terminated her existence in a few hours. Her Spanish bridegroom, and the king his father, followed her funeral

¹ This lady had been rendered a widow on her bridal-day, by her newly-wedded lord being killed at the tournament given in honour of his nuptials. The maiden widow

never married again, but devoted her great wealth to charity and the promotion of learning.

² *Foedera*, vol. v.

procession on the very day and hour that she was appointed to give her hand as a bride at the altar of that cathedral wherein she was buried.

The deep grief of the parents of Joanna is visible in the Latin letters written by Edward III. to the king of Castile, to don Pedro, and to the queen of Castile. If the Latinity of these letters will not bear the criticism of the classical scholar, they are, nevertheless, lofty in sentiment, and breathe an expression of parental tenderness seldom to be found in state-papers. "Your daughter and ours," he says to the queen of Castile, "was by nature wonderfully endowed with gifts and graces; but little does it now avail to praise them, or specify the charms of that beloved one, who is—oh, grief of heart!—for ever taken from us. Yet the debt of mortality must be paid, however deeply sorrow may drive the thorn, and our hearts be transpierced by anguish. Nor will our sighs and tears cancel the inevitable law of nature. Christ, the celestial spouse, has taken the maiden bride to be his spouse. She, in her innocent and immaculate years, has been transferred to the virgin choir in heaven, where, for us below, she will perpetually intercede."

The queen must have imagined that her royal and handsome progeny was doomed to a life of celibacy, for extraordinary accidents of one kind or other had hitherto prevented the marriages of her daughters. Her heroic son Edward had been on the point of marrying several princesses, without his nuptials ever being brought to a conclusion. A long attachment had subsisted between him and his beautiful cousin Joanna, daughter of his uncle, Edmund, earl of Kent, and the lady had remained unwedded till her twenty-fifth year, after divorce from the earl of Salisbury, to whom she had been contracted in her infancy. Queen Philippa had a great objection to her son's union with his cousin,¹ on account of the flightiness of the lady's disposition. After vainly hoping for the royal consent to her union with her cousin, Joanna gave her hand to Sir Thomas Holland; but still the Black Prince remained a bachelor.

After the grand crisis of the capture of Calais, Philippa resided chiefly in England. Our country felt the advantage of the beneficent presence of its queen. Philippa had in her youth established woollen manufactures: she now turned her sagacious intellect towards working the coal-mines in Tynedale,—a branch of national industry whose inestimable benefits need not be dilated upon. The mines had been worked, with great profit, in the reign of Henry III., but the convulsions of the Scottish wars had stopped their progress. Philippa had estates in Tynedale, and she had long resided in its vicinity during Edward's Scottish campaigns. It was an infallible result, that, wherever this great queen directed her attention, wealth and national prosperity speedily followed.

¹ Guthrie mentions the long celibacy of Joanna. "the fair maid of Kent," previously to her union with Holland. Froissart speaks of Philippa's objections to the marriage of

Edward with his cousin, and very freely enters into some scandalous stories regarding her.

Well did her actions illustrate her Flemish motto, *Iche wrude muche*, which obsolete words may be rendered, "I labour (or toil) much." Soon after her return from Calais she obtained a grant from her royal lord,¹ giving permission to her bailiff, Aland Strothere, to work the mines of Alderneston, which had been worked in the days of king Henry III. and Edward I. From this reopening of the Tynedale mines by Philippa proceeded our coal-trade, which, during the reign of her grandson, Henry IV., enriched the great merchant Whittington and the city of London.

The queen continued to increase the royal family. The princess Mary, who afterwards married the duke of Bretagne; William, born at Windsor, who died in his twelfth year; Edmund, afterwards duke of York; and Blanche, of the Tower,² were born before the surrender of Calais; Margaret, and Thomas of Woodstock, afterwards. Edward's presents to his queen on these occasions were munificent. One of his grants is thus affectionately worded:—"July 20. The king orders his exchequer to pay our Philippa, our dearest consort, five hundred pounds, to liquidate the expenses of her churching at Windsor."³ This was on occasion of the birth of prince William, Philippa's second son of that name.

Philippa did not disdain the alliance of the great English nobles: her objection to the union of Edward, her chivalric heir, with Joanna of Kent, arose solely from disapprobation of the moral character of that princess.⁴ Her next surviving son, Lionel, she not only united to an English maiden, but undertook the wardship and education of his young bride, as may be learned from this document:—"January 1, 1347-8. Edward III. gives to his dearest consort, Philippa, the wardship of the person of Elizabeth de Burgh, daughter to the deceased earl of Ulster (slain in Ireland), with her lands and lordships, until Lionel, yet in tender years, shall take the young Elizabeth to wife."⁵

Our queen was nearly as popular at Bristol as she was at Norwich. The Bristolians have carefully preserved several busts of her, sculptured in stone. One of considerable beauty, over the triforium of the cathedral, is the original of our portrait.⁶ As it only consists of the head and neck, of course the detail of the costume cannot be given, excepting of the peculiarly elegant crown, which is a low-pointed circlet, surmounted and enriched with flowers and foliage, apparently formed of gems. The easy folds of the waving hair flowing on the queen's shoulders have been struck out by a chisel of no common power: the expression of Philippa's

¹ Caley's *Fœdera*. To this grant is added a curious clause, giving permission to Robert de Veteriponte and his heirs to be called kings of Tynedale.

² Walsingham.

³ Caley's *Fœdera*.

⁴ Froissart, vol. xi.

⁵ Caley's *Fœdera*.

⁶ We have to return our grateful thanks

to the Rev. Mr. Carter, of Bristol-cathedral, not only for obtaining permission to copy this representation of our great queen Philippa in the meridian of her life, but for taking trouble and incurring expense in having an accurate cast made from the *triforium* head, and sending it to us. The portrait is in Vol. II. of the illustrated *Lives of the Queens of England*. Longmar & Co.

forehead is noble and candid, and that of her features pretty and sweet-tempered. Her age, in the beautiful original bust, does not appear more than twenty-two years.

A precept of Philippa, May 14, 1354, relating to her claims of queen-gold, establishes by practical proof that her worth of character was sterling, and not merely founded on the flattering tribute of the poets or historians she patronised,—such as Chaucer or Froissart. She desires therein “that her attorney in the exchequer, her dear clerk, Sir John de Eddington, should cause all the writs which have been filed from the search lately made by Sir Richard de Crèsevill to be postponed until the octaves of Easter next ensuing, to the end that in the meantime we and our council may be able to be advised which of the said writs are to be put in execution for our profit, and which of them are to cease to the relief of our people and to save our conscience. And we will that this letter be your warrant therefore.—Given under our privy seal at Westminster, the 14th day of May, in the reign of our very dear lord the king of England the twenty-fourth,” (1354).¹

A prouder day than that of Neville's-Cross was the 5th of May, 1357, when Edward the Black Prince landed at Sandwich, after the great victory of Poitiers, with his royal prisoner king John, and presented him to his mother after that glorious entry into London, where the prince tacitly gave John the honours of a *suzerain* by permitting him to mount the famous white charger on which he rode at Poitiers, and which was captured with him.² At the same time that the queen received her vanquished kinsman, her son presented to her another prisoner, who, young as he was, was far fiercer in his captivity than the king of France: this was Philip, the fourth son of king John, a little hero of fourteen, who had fought desperately by his father's side on the lost field, and had been captured alive with some difficulty, and not till he was desperately wounded.³ The first day of his arrival at the court of England he gave a proof of his fierceness, by starting from the table, where he sat at dinner with the king and queen and his father, and boxing the ears of king Edward's cup-bearer for serving the king of England before the king of France; “for,” he said, “though his father, king John, was unfortunate, he was the sovereign of the king of England.” Edward and Philippa only smiled at the boy's petulance, and treated him with indulgent benevolence; and when he quarrelled with the prince of Wales, at a game of chess, they most courteously decided the disputed move in favour of Prince Philip.

That renowned champion, sir Bertrand du Guesclin, was one of the

¹ Madox, Collect.

² The white horse, in the middle ages, was the sign of sovereignty. Giffard mentions the interesting fact, that this white steed was a captive as well as his master.—Hist. France.

³ Philippe le Hardi, duke of Burgundy. He was a prince of great integrity, and always faithful to his unfortunate nephew Charles VI.—Giffard.

prisoners of Poitiers. One day, when queen Philippa was entertaining at her court a number of the noble French prisoners, the prince of Wales proposed that Du Guesclin should name his own ransom, according to the etiquette of the times, adding, that whatever sum he mentioned, be it small or great, should set him free. The valiant Breton valued himself at a hundred thousand crowns. The prince of Wales started at the immense sum, and asked Sir Bertrand "How he could ever expect to raise such an enormous ransom?"—"I know," replied the hero, "a hundred knights in my native Bretagne, who would mortgage their last acre rather than Du Guesclin should either languish in captivity or be rated below his value: yea, and there is not a woman in France now toiling at her distaff, who would not devote a day's earnings to set me free, for well have I deserved of their sex. And if all the fair spinners in France employ their hands to redeem me, think you, prince, whether I shall bide much longer with you?" Queen Philippa, who had listened with great attention to the discussion between her son and his prisoner, now spoke:¹ "I name," she said, "fifty thousand crowns, my son, as my contribution towards your gallant prisoner's ransom; for though an enemy to my husband, a knight who is famed for the courteous protection he has afforded to my sex, deserves the assistance of every woman." Du Guesclin immediately threw himself at the feet of the generous queen, saying, "Ah, lady! being the ugliest knight in France, I never reckoned on any goodness from your sex, excepting from those whom I had aided or protected by my sword; but your bounty will make me think less despicably of myself." Philippa, as is usual in the brightest specimens of female excellence, was the friend of her own sex, and honoured those men most who paid the greatest reverence to women. Du Guesclin did not overrate his own ugliness to queen Philippa. He was dwarfish and corpulent, with the drollest broad face it is possible to imagine.

The most glorious festival ever known in England was that held at Windsor, in the commencement of the year 1358, for the diversion of the two royal prisoners, John, king of France, and David Bruce of Scotland. The round tower at Windsor, despite of the heavy expenses of war, was completed on purpose that the feast called the "Round table of the knights of the Garter" might be held within it. The captive kings of France and Scotland were invited to that feast as guests, and sat one on each side of Edward III.: king John and king David tilted at the lists. The interest of the ceremony was further enhanced by the fatal accident which befel the stout earl of Salisbury, who was killed in one of the encounters at the lists. Report says, that king John of France was still more cap-

¹ Giffard attributes this beautiful anecdote to Joanna, the wife of the Black Prince, and places the incident after the battle of Navarrete. We follow the authority of St. Pelaye,

in his 'History of Chivalry,' supported by several French historians. It is the subject of a spirited Breton ballad romance.

tivated with the beauty of lady Salisbury than king Edward had been, and as hopelessly, for that fair and virtuous woman retired into the deepest seclusion on the death of her lord.¹ After the Windsor festival, Edward placed king John in an irksome captivity, and prepared for the re-invasion of France.

Queen Philippa embarked with her husband, for the new campaign, on the 29th of October, 1359. All her sons were with the army, excepting the little prince, Thomas of Woodstock, who, at the redoubtable age of five years, was left guardian of the kingdom,² and represented the majesty of his father's person by sitting on the throne when parliaments were held. After Edward had marched through France without resistance, and (if the truth must be spoken) desolating, as he went, a bleeding and suffering country in a most ungenerous manner, his career was stopped, as he was hastening to lay siege to Paris, by the hand of God itself. One of those dreadful thunder-storms which at distant cycles pass over the continent of France,³ literally attacked the invading army, within two leagues of Chartres, and wreaked its utmost fury on the proud chivalry of England. Six thousand of Edward's finest horses, and one thousand of his bravest cavaliers, among whom were the heirs of Warwick and Morley, were struck dead before him. The guilty ambition of Edward smote his conscience: he knelt down on the spot, and spreading his hands towards the church of Our Lady of Chartres, vowed to stop the effusion of blood, and make peace on the spot with France. His queen, who wished well for the noble-minded king of France, held him to his resolution; and a peace, containing tolerable articles for France, was concluded at Bretigny. The queen, king Edward, and the royal family returned, and landed at Rye, 18th of May, ten days after the peace.

After the triumph of Poitiers, the king and queen no longer opposed the union of the prince of Wales with Joanna the Fair,⁴ although that princess was four years older than Edward, and her character and disposition were far from meeting the approval of the queen. Edward and Joanna were married in the queen's presence, at Windsor-chapel, October 10, 1361. After this marriage, king Edward invested his son with the duchy of Aquitaine, and he departed with his bride, in an evil hour, to govern that territory. Froissart, speaking of the farewell visit of the queen, says,—“I, John Froissart, author of these chronicles, was in the

¹ Dugdale. Milles. ² *Fœdera*, vol. vi.

³ It was considered that the accounts of this storm had been greatly exaggerated by the chroniclers, till one still more dreadful ravaged France in 1790, and hastened the French revolution by the famine it brought.

⁴ Joanna married the prince a few months after the death of her first husband; besides their nearness of kin, other impediments existed to their union; the prince had formed

a still stronger relationship with his cousin, according to the laws of the Roman Catholic church, by becoming sponsor to her two boys, and holding them in his arms at the baptismal font; above all, the divorce of Joanna from the earl of Salisbury was not considered legal. All these impediments were obviated by a papal bull, obtained some years after this marriage.—*Rymer's Fœdera*.

service of queen Philippa, when she accompanied king Edward and the royal family to Berkhamstead-castle, to take leave of the prince and princess of Wales on their departure for Aquitaine. I was at that time twenty-four years old, and one of the clerks of the chamber to my lady the queen. During this visit, as I was seated on a bench, I heard an ancient knight expounding some of the prophecies of Merlin to the queen's ladies. According to him, neither the prince of Wales nor the duke of Clarence, though sons to king Edward, will wear the crown of England, but it will fall to the house of Lancaster." This gives a specimen of the conversation with which maids of honour in the reign of queen Philippa were entertained,—not with scandal or fashions, but with the best endeavours of an ancient knight to tell a fortune or peep into futurity, by the assistance of the wizard Merlin.

King John, soon after the peace, took leave of the queen for the purpose of returning to France, that he might arrange for the payment of his ransom; he sent to England the young lord de Coucy, count of Soissons, as one of the hostages for its liquidation. During the sojourn of De Coucy in England, he won the heart of the lady Isabella, the eldest daughter of Edward and Philippa. After remaining some time in France, and finding it impossible to fulfil his engagements, king John returned to his captivity, and redeemed his parole and his hostages with this noble sentiment: "If honour were lost elsewhere upon earth, it ought to be found in the conduct of kings." Froissart thus describes the return of this heroic, but unfortunate sovereign:—"News was brought to the king, who was at that time with queen Philippa at Eltham (a very magnificent palace the English kings have seven miles from London), that the captive king had landed at Dover. King Edward sent off a grand deputation, saying how much the queen and he were rejoiced to see him in England, and this it may be supposed, all things considered, the king of France readily believed. King John offered at the shrine of Thomas à-Becket at Canterbury, on his journey; and taking the road to London, he arrived at Eltham, where queen Philippa and king Edward were ready to receive him. It was on a Sunday, in the afternoon: there were, between that time and supper, many grand dances and carols, at which it seems the young lord de Coucy distinguished himself by singing and dancing. I can never relate how very honourably the king and queen behaved to king John at Eltham. They afterwards lodged him with great pomp in the palace of the Savoy, where he visited king Edward at Westminster whenever he had a mind to see him or the queen, taking boat, and coming from Savoy-stairs by water to the palace." But king John's health was declining, and he died at the Savoy-palace the same year, 1364.¹

¹ Knowing his end approaching, king John had certainly surrendered his person, in hopes of saving his country the expense of his ransom.

A marriage soon after took place between the elegant De Coucy and the princess-royal. Although an emperor's nephew,¹ this nobleman could scarcely be considered a match for the daughter of Edward III.; but since the escape of her faithless betrothed, the count of Flanders, Isabella had entered into no marriage-contract, and was, at the time of her nuptials, turned of thirty. On occasion of the marriage festivals, king Edward presented his queen with two rich corsets, one embroidered with the words *Myn biddinye*, and the other with her motto, *Iche wrude muche*.² Prince Lionel at this time espoused the ward of queen Philippa, Elizabeth de Burgh, who brought, as dower, at least one-third of Ireland, with the mighty inheritance of the Clares, earls of Gloucester. Edward III. afterwards created Lionel duke of Clarence. This prince, through whose daughter, married to Edmund Mortimer, the line of York derived their primogeniture, was a handsome and courageous Flemish giant, mild-tempered and amiable, as persons of great strength and stature, by a beneficent law of nature, usually are. Lionel is rather an obscure though important person in English history. Here is his portrait, by the last of our rhyming chroniclers:—

“ In all the world there was no prince him like.
Of high stature and of all seemliness,
Above all men within the whole kingrike [kingdom]
By the shoulders might be seen, doubtless.
In hall was he maid-like for gentleness,
In other places famed for rhetoric,
But in the field a lion MARMORIKE !”

Death soon dissolved his wedlock. Elizabeth de Burgh, the duchess of Clarence, left a daughter but a few days old, in whose progeny the title to the English crown has centred. She was born and baptized at Eltham-palace, August 16th, the twenty-ninth year of her grandfather's reign.³ This motherless babe the queen Philippa adopted for her own, and became sponsor to her with the countess of Warwick, as may be seen in the Friar's Genealogy, when mentioning Lionel of Clarence:—

“ His wife was dead and at Clare buried,
And no heir had he but his daughter, faire
Philippe, that hight as chronicles specified,
Whom queen Philippe christened for his heir.⁴
The archbishop of York for her compeer;
Her godmother, also, was of Warwick countess
A lady likewise of great worthiness.”

¹ He was grandson to Leopold, duke of Austria, by Katherine, sister to the emperor Albert II.

² We owe this curious fact to Sir Harris Nicolas's excellent work on the order of the Garter. The language of the words has been disputed, but we beg leave to offer this fact to the consideration of philologists. If a Suffolk peasant of the coast opposite to Holland is asked “ what he did yesterday ?” when he had had a very hard day's work, he will

reply nearly in the same-sounding words in his East-Anglian dialect, viz., “ *I wrought much*.”

³ Appendix to the fourth Report of Records, p. 135: White-tower Record.

⁴ The lady Philippa of Clarence was married to Edmund Mortimer, earl of March, in the forty-third year of her grandfather's reign.—White-tower Record; fourth Report of Records, p. 135

John of Gaunt, the third surviving son of Philippa, married Blanche, the heiress of Lancaster : the princess Mary was wedded to the duke of Bretagne, but died early in life. Edmund Langley, earl of Cambridge, afterwards duke of York, married Isabella of Castile, whose sister his brother John of Gaunt took for his second wife. The youngest prince, Thomas of Woodstock, afterwards created Duke of Gloucester, married an English lady, the co-heiress of Humphrey de Bohun, constable of England. Margaret, the fifth daughter of Edward III., was given in marriage to the earl of Pembroke ; she was one of the most learned ladies of her age, and a distinguished patroness of Chaucer. That distinguished father of English poetry was honoured by the friendship of queen Philippa and her son, the duke of Lancaster.

Notwithstanding their great strength and commanding stature, scarcely one of the sons of Philippa reached old age ; even " John of Gaunt, time-honoured Lancaster," was only fifty-nine at his demise. The premature introduction to the cares of state, the weight of plate-armour, and the violent exercise in the tilt-yard, by way of relaxation from the severer toils of partisan warfare, seem to have brought early old age on this gallant brotherhood of princes. The queen had been the mother of twelve children ; eight survived her. The Black Prince and John of Gaunt were learned, elegant, and brilliant, and strongly partook of the genius of Edward I. and the Provençal Plantagenets. Lionel and Edmund were good-natured and brave, comely in features, and gigantic in stature. Thomas of Woodstock was fierce, petulant, and rapacious ; he possessed, however, considerable accomplishments, and is reckoned among royal and noble authors. He wrote a history of the 'Laws of Battle,' which is perspicuous in style ; he was the great patron of Gower the poet, who belonged originally to the household of this prince. The queen saw the promise of a successor to the throne of England in the progeny of her best-beloved son, Edward. Her grand son, Richard, was born at Bourdeaux, before she succumbed to her fatal malady.

Philippa had not the misery of living to witness the long pining decay of the heroic prince of Wales, the grievous change in his health and disposition, or the imbecility that gradually took possession of the once-mighty mind of her husband. Before these reverses occurred, the queen was seized with a dropsical malady, under which she languished about two years. All her sons were absent on the continent when her death approached, excepting her youngest, Thomas of Woodstock. The Black Prince had just concluded his Spanish campaign, and was ill in Gascony. Lionel of Clarence was at the point of death in Italy ; the queen's secretary, Froissart, had accompanied that prince when he went to be married to Violante of Milan. On the return of Froissart, he found his royal mistress was dead, and he thus describes her death-bed, from the detail of those who

were present and heard her last words: "I must now speak¹ of the death of the most courteous, liberal, and noble lady that ever reigned in her time, —the lady Philippa of Hainault, queen of England. While her son, the duke of Lancaster, was encamped in the valley of Tourneham, ready to give battle to the duke of Burgundy, this death happened in England, to the infinite misfortune of king Edward, his children, and the whole kingdom. That excellent lady the queen, who had done so much good, aiding all knights, ladies, and damsels, when distressed, who had applied to her, was at this time dangerously sick at Windsor-castle, and every day her disorder increased. When the good queen perceived that her end approached, she called to the king, and extending her right hand from under the bed-clothes, put it into the right hand of king Edward, who was oppressed with sorrow, and thus spoke: 'We have, my husband, enjoyed our long union in happiness, peace, and prosperity. But I entreat, before I depart, and we are for ever separated in this world, that you will grant me three requests.' King Edward, with sighs and tears, replied, 'Lady, name them: whatever be your requests, they shall be granted.'—'My lord,' she said, 'I beg you will fulfil whatever engagements I have entered into with merchants for their wares, as well on this, as on the other side of the sea: I beseech you to fulfil whatever gifts or legacies I have made, or left to churches wherein I have paid my devotions, and to all my servants, whether male or female: and when it shall please God to call you hence, you will choose no other sepulchre than mine, and that you will rest by my side in the cloisters of Westminster-abbey.' The king, in tears, replied, 'Lady, all this shall be done.' Soon after, the good lady made the sign of the cross on her breast, and having recommended to the king her youngest son, Thomas, who was present, praying to God she gave up her spirit, which I firmly believe was caught by holy angels and carried to the glory of heaven, for she had never done anything by thought or deed to endanger her soul. Thus died this admirable queen of England, in the year of grace 1369, the vigil of the Assumption of the Virgin, the 14th of August. Information of this heavy loss was carried to the English army at Tourneham, which greatly afflicted every one, more especially her son, John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster."

Philippa's words were not complied with to the letter; her grave is not by her husband's side, at Westminster-abbey, but at his feet. Her statue in alabaster is placed on the monument.² Skelton's translation of her Latin epitaph, hung on a tablet close by her tomb, is as follows:—

¹ Froissart, vol. iv, p. 20. Froissart wrote an elegy in verse on the death of his patroness, Queen Philippa, which has not been preserved.

² Stowe gives names to the numerous images which surround the tomb on the authority of an old MS. At the feet are the king of Navarre, the king of Bohemia, the

king of Scots, the king of Spain, and the king of Sicily. At the head, William count of Hainault, Philippa's father; John, king of France, her uncle's son; Edward III., her husband; the emperor, her brother-in-law; and Edward, prince of Wales, her son. On her left side are Joanna, queen of Scots, her sister-in-law; John, earl of Cornwall, her

"*Faire Philippe*, William Hainault's child, and younger daughter deare,
 Of roseate hue and beauty bright, in tomb lies hilled here;
 King Edward, through his mother's will and nobles' good consent,
 Took her to wife, and joyfully with her his time he spent.
 Her uncle John, a martial man, and eke a valiant knight,
 Did link this woman to this king in bonds of marriage bright
 This match and marriage thus in blood did bind the Flemings sure
 To Englishmen, by which they did the Frenchmen's wreck procure.
 This Philippe, dowered in gifts full rare and treasures of the mind,
 In beauty bright, religion, faith, to all and each most kind.
 A fruitful mother Philippe was, full many a son she bred,
 And brought forth many a worthy knight, hardy and full of dread;
 A careful nurse to students all, at Oxford she did found
 Queen's college, and dame Pallas' school, that did her fame resound.

The wife of Edward, dear
 Queen Philippe, lieth here.

LEARN TO LIVE."

Truth obliges us to divest queen Philippa of one good deed, which was, fact, out of her power to perform; she is generally considered to be the first foundress of the magnificent Queen's college, at Oxford. It was founded, indeed, by her chaplain,—that noble character, Robert de Eglesfield,¹ who with modesty equal to his learning and merits, placed it under the protection of his royal mistress, and called it her foundation, and the "college of the queen." Eglesfield took for the motto of Queen's college a Latin sentence, which may be translated,—"*Queens shall be thy nurses;*" and he recommended it to the protection and patronage of the queen-consorts of England.² In the course of history, rival queens will be found vying with each other in its support. Philippa herself, the consort of a monarch perpetually engaged in foreign war, and the mother of a large family, contributed but a yearly rent of twenty marks, to the sustenance of six scholar-chaplains, to be paid by her receiver. Queen Philippa's principal charitable donation was to the hospital of the nuns of St. Katherine by the Tower. She left donations to the canons of the new chapel of St. Stephen, which Edward III. had built as the domestic place of worship to Westminster-palace. Her portrait, on board, in lively colours, was found among some rubbish in a desecrated part of the beautiful cloisters of St. Stephen.³ It is far more personable than her monumental statue at Westminster-abbey, which was really taken when that deforming disease, the dropsy, had destroyed every remnant of Philippa's former beauty. The only shade of unpopularity ever cast on the conduct of Philippa was owing to the rapacity of her purveyors, after her children grew up. The royal family was numerous, and the revenues, impoverished by constant

brother-in-law; Joanna, princess of Wales, her daughter-in-law, and the duchesses of Clarence and Lancaster, the princess Isabella, and the princes Lionel, John, Edmund, and Thomas. On the right side of the tomb may be seen her mother, her brother, and his wife; her nephew, Louis of Bavaria; her uncle, John

of Hainault; her daughters, Mary and Margaret; and Charles duke of Brabant.

¹ History of the University of Oxford.

² Memoir of Eglesfield, in Hutchinson's Cumberland.

³ Crowle's Pennant's London, vol. viii.

war, were very slender; and therefore every absolute due was enforced, from tenants of the crown, by the purveyors of the royal household.

The damsels of the queen's bedchamber were pensioned by king Edward after her death, according to her request. He charges his exchequer "to pay during the terms of their separate lives, on account of their good and faithful services to Philippa, late queen of England,—first, to the beloved damsel, Alicia de Preston, ten marks yearly, at Pasche and Michaelmas; likewise to Matilda Fisher, to Elizabeth Pershore, to Johanna Kawley, ten marks yearly; to Johanna Cosin, to Philippa the Pycard¹, and to Agatha Liergin, a hundred shillings yearly; and to Matilda Radscroft and Agnes de Saxilby, five marks yearly." The name of Alice Perrers does not appear on this list of beloved damsels; but a little further on in the *Fœdera*, occurs this disgraceful grant. "Know all that we give and concede to our beloved Alice Perrers, late damsel of the chamber to our dearest consort Philippa deceased, and to her heirs and executors, all the jewels, goods, and chattels that the said queen left in the hands of Euphemia, who was wife to Walter de Heselarton, knight; and the said Euphemia is to deliver them to the said Alicia, on receipt of this our order." It is to be feared that the king's attachment to this woman had begun during Philippa's lingering illness, for in 1368 she obtained the gift of a manor that had belonged to the king's aunt, and in the course of 1360 she was enriched by the grant of several manors.² But we will not pursue this subject. During his youth and the brilliant maturity of his life, Philippa's royal partner was worthy of the intense and faithful love she bore him. He was not only a king, but a king among men, highly gifted in mind, person, and genius; "Edward III. was just six feet in stature, exactly shaped, and strongly made; his limbs beautifully turned, his face and nose somewhat long and high, but exceedingly comely; his eye sparkling like fire, his looks manly, and his air and movements most majestic. He was well versed in law, history, and the divinity of the times: he understood and spoke readily Latin, French, Spanish, and German."

Whilst the court was distracted with the factions which succeeded the death of the Black Prince, and John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster was suspected of aiming at the crown, a most extraordinary story was circulated in England, relating to a confession pretended to have been made by queen Philippa, on her death-bed, to William of Wykeham, bishop of Winchester,—"That John of Gaunt was neither the son of Philippa nor Edward III., but a porter's son of Ghent; for the queen told him that she brought forth, not a son, but a daughter at Ghent; that she

¹ Supposed to be Chaucer's wife. She was sister to Katherine Roet, the third wife of John of Gaunt. Her father was an attendant on Philippa, and employed in Guienne: he

was from the borders of Picardy,—hence the appellation of his daughter. *Fœdera*.

² Brayley and Britton's Westminster.

overlaid and killed the little princess by accident, and dreading the wrath of king Edward for the death of his infant, she persuaded the porter's wife, a Flemish woman, to change her living son, who was born at the same time, for the dead princess. And so the queen nourished and brought up the man now called duke of Lancaster, which she bare not; and all these things did the queen on her death-bed declare, in confession to bishop Wykeham, and earnestly prayed him, 'that if ever it chanceth this son of the Flemish porter affecteth the kingdom, he will make his stock and lineage known to the world, lest a false heir should inherit the throne of England.'"¹ The inventor of this story did not remember that, of all the sons of Philippa, John of Gaunt most resembled his royal sire in the high majestic lineaments and piercing eyes which spoke the descent of the Plantagenets from southern Europe. The portraits of Edward III., of the Black Prince, and of John of Gaunt, are all marked with as strong an air of individuality as if they had been painted by the accurate Holbein.

The close observer of history will not fail to notice that with the life of queen Philippa the happiness, the good fortune, and even the respectability of Edward III., and his family departed; and scenes of strife, sorrow, and folly distracted the court where she had once promoted virtue, and presided with well-regulated munificence.

¹ Archbishop Parker's Ecclesiastical History, and a Latin Chronicle of the reign of Edward III., printed in the *Archæologia*. John of Gaunt was a decided partisan of

Wickliffe, and this story seems raised by the opposite party for the purpose of undermining his influence with the common people.

ANNE OF BOHEMIA,

SURNAMED THE GOOD,

FIRST QUEEN OF RICHARD II.

THE ancestors of the princess Anne of Bohemia emanated from the same country as the Flemish Philippa; she was the nearest relative to that beloved queen whose hand was attainable, and by means of her uncle, duke Wenceslaus of Brabant, she brought the same popular and profitable commercial alliance to England. Anne of Bohemia was the eldest daughter of the emperor Charles IV., by his fourth wife, Elizabeth of Pomerania: she was born about 1367, at Prague, in Bohemia. The regency that governed England during king Richard the Second's minority, demanded her hand for the young king just before her father died, in the year 1380.

On the arrival of the English ambassador, Sir Simon Burley, at Prague the imperial court took measures which seem not a little extraordinary at the present day. England was to Bohemia a sort of *terra incognita* and, as a general knowledge of geography and statistics was certainly not among the list of imperial accomplishments in the fourteenth century the empress despatched duke Primislaus of Saxony on a voyage of discovery, to ascertain, for the satisfaction of herself and the princess, what sort of country England might be. Whatever were the particulars of the duke's discoveries,—and his homeward despatches must have been of a curious nature,—it appears he kept a scrutinizing eye in regard to pecuniary interest. His report seems to have been on the whole satisfactory, since in the *Fœdera* we find a letter from the imperial widow of Charles IV. to this effect; that “I, Elizabeth, Roman empress, always Augusta, likewise queen of Bohemia, empower duke Primislaus to treat with Richard, king of England, concerning the wedlock of that excellent virgin the damsel Anne, born of us; and in our name to order and dispose; and, as if our own soul were pledged, to swear to the fulfilment of every engagement.”

When the duke of Saxony returned to Germany, he carried presents

of jewels from the king of England to the ladies who had the care of the princess's education.¹ "The duke of Lancaster, John of Gaunt, would willingly have seen the king his nephew married to his daughter, whom he had by the Lady Blanche of Lancaster; but as the young lady was the king's cousin-german, they were considered to be too nearly related. Sir Simon Burley, a sage and valiant knight, who had been king Richard's tutor, and much beloved by the prince of Wales, his father, was deputed to go to Germany respecting the marriage with the emperor's sister. The duke and duchess of Brabant, from the love they bore the king of England, received his envoy most courteously, and said 'it would be a good match for their niece.' But the marriage was not immediately concluded, for the damsel was young; added to this, there shortly happened in England great misery and tribulation,"² from the calamitous insurrection of Wat Tyler.

Richard II. was the sole surviving offspring of the gallant Black Prince and Joanna of Kent. Born in the luxurious South, his first accents were formed in the poetical language of Provence, and his infant tastes linked to music and song,—tastes which assimilated ill with the manners of his own court and people. His mother and half-brothers, after the death of his princely father, had brought up the future king of England with the most ruinous personal indulgence, and unconstitutional ideas of his own infallibility. He had inherited more of his mother's levity than his father's strength of character; yet the domestic affections of Richard were of the most vivid and enduring nature, especially towards the females of his family, and the state of distress and terror to which he saw his mother reduced by the insolence of Wat Tyler's mob, was the chief stimulant of his heroic behaviour when that rebel fell beneath the sword of Walworth.

When these troubles were suppressed, time had obviated the objection to the union of Richard and Anne. The young princess had attained her fifteenth year, and was considered capable of giving a rational consent to her own marriage; and after sending a letter to the council of England, saying she became the wife of their king with full and free will, "she set out," says Froissart, "on her perilous journey, attended by the duke of Saxony and his duchess, who was her aunt, and with a suitable number of knights and damsels. They came through Brabant to Brussels, where the duke Wenceslaus and his duchess received the young queen and her company very grandly. The lady Anne remained with her uncle and aunt more than a month; she was afraid of proceeding, for she had been informed there were twelve large armed vessels, full of Normans, on the sea between Calais and Holland, that seized and pillaged all that fell in their hands, without any respect to persons. The report was current that they cruised in those seas, awaiting the coming

¹ Froissart.² *Ibid.*

of the king of England's bride, because the king of France and his council were very uneasy at Richard's German alliance and desirous of breaking the match. Detained by these apprehensions, the betrothed queen remained at Brussels more than a month, till the duke of Brabant, her uncle, sent the lords of Rousselans and Bousquehoir to remonstrate with king Charles V., who was her near relative, upon which king Charles remanded the Norman cruisers into port; but declared that he granted this favour solely out of love to his cousin Anne, and out of no regard or consideration for the king of England. The duke and duchess were very much pleased, and so were all those about to cross the sea. The royal bride took leave of her uncle and aunt, and departed for Brussels. Duke Wenceslaus had the princess escorted by one hundred spears. She passed through Bruges, where the earl of Flanders received her very magnificently, and entertained her for three days. She then set out for Gravelines, where the earl of Salisbury waited for her with five hundred spears, and as many archers. This noble escort conducted her in triumph to Calais, which belonged to her betrothed lord. Then the Brabant spearmen took their departure, after seeing her safely delivered to the English governor. The lady Anne stayed at Calais only till the wind became favourable. She embarked on a Wednesday morning, and the same day arrived at Dover, where she tarried to repose herself two days."

The young bride had need of some interval to compose herself, after her narrow escape from destruction. All our native historians notice the following strange fact, which must have originated in a tremendous ground-swell. "Scarcely," says the chronicler,¹ "had the Bohemian princess set her foot on the shore, when a sudden convulsion of the sea took place, unaccompanied with wind, and unlike any winter storm: but the water was so violently shaken and troubled, and put in such furious commotion, that the ship in which the young queen's person was conveyed was very terribly rent in pieces before her very face, and the rest of the vessels that rode in company were tossed so, that it astonished all beholders."

The English parliament was sitting when intelligence came that the king's bride, after all the difficulties and dangers of her progress from Prague, had safely arrived at Dover, December, 1381; it was then prorogued, but first funds were appointed, that with all honour the bride might be presented to the young king. On the third day after her arrival, the lady Anne set forth on her progress to Canterbury, where she was met by the king's uncle, Thomas, who received her with the utmost reverence and honour. When she approached the Blackheath, the lord mayor and citizens, in splendid dresses, greeted her, and, with all the ladies and damsels, both from town and country, joined her cavalcade, making so

¹ Quoted by Milles.

grand an entry into London, that the like had scarcely ever been seen. The goldsmiths' company (seven score of the men of this rich guild) splendidly arrayed themselves to meet, as they said, the "Cæsar's sister." Nor was their munificence confined to their own persons; they further put themselves to the expense of sixty shillings for the hire of seven minstrels, with foil on their hats and chaperons, and expensive vestures, to do honour to the imperial bride: two shillings further were dispensed "for potations for the said minstrels."¹ At the upper end of Cheapside was the pageant of a castle with towers, from two sides of which ran fountains of wine. From these towers beautiful damsels blew in the faces of the king and queen gold leaf: this was thought a device of extreme eloquence and ingenuity; they likewise threw counterfeit gold florins before the queen's horses' feet.

Anne of Bohemia was married to Richard II. January 14, 1381-2, in the chapel-royal of the palace of Westminster, the newly-erected structure of St. Stephen. "On the wedding-day, which was the twentieth after Christmas, there were," says Froissart, "mighty feastings. That gallant and noble knight, Sir Robert Namur, accompanied the queen, from the time when she quitted Prague till she was married. The king, at the end of the week, carried his queen to Windsor, where he kept open and royal house. They were very happy together. She was accompanied by the king's mother, the princess of Wales, and her daughter, the duchess of Bretagne, half-sister to king Richard, who was then in England soliciting for the restitution of the earldom of Richmond, which had been taken from her husband by the English regency, and settled in part of dower on queen Anne. Some days after the marriage of the royal pair they returned to London, and the coronation of the queen was performed most magnificently. At the young queen's earnest request, a general pardon was granted by the king at her consecration."² The afflicted people stood in need of this respite, as the executions, since Tyler's insurrection, had been bloody and barbarous beyond all precedent. The land was reeking with the blood of the unhappy peasantry, when the humane intercession of the gentle Anne of Bohemia put a stop to the executions. This mediation obtained for Richard's bride the title of "the good queen Anne;" and years, instead of impairing popularity, usually so evanescent in England, only increased the esteem felt by her subjects for this beneficent princess.

Grand tournaments were held directly after the coronation. Many days were spent in these solemnities, wherein the German nobles who had accompanied the queen to England displayed their chivalry, to the great delight of the English. Our chroniclers call Anne of Bohemia "the beauteous queen." At fifteen or sixteen a blooming German girl is a very pleasing object; but her beauty must have been limited to

¹ Herbert's *History of the City Companies.*

² Walsingham. Rymer's *Fœdera.*

stature and complexion, for the features of her statue are homely and undignified. A narrow, unintellectual forehead, a long upper lip, cheeks whose fulness increased towards the lower part of the face, can scarcely entitle her to claim a reputation for beauty. But the head-dress she wore must have neutralized the defects of her face in some degree. In the horned cap which constituted the head-gear of the ladies of Bohemia and Hungary, did the bride of Richard present herself to the astonished eyes of her female subjects. This cap was at least two feet in height, and as many in width; its fabric was built of wire and pasteboard, like a very wide-spreading mitre, and over these horns was extended glittering tissue or gauze. Monstrous and outrageous were the horned caps that reared their heads in England directly the royal bride appeared in one. The formidable novelties expanded their wings on every side; till, at church or procession, the diminished heads of lords and knights were eclipsed by their ambitious partners. The church declared they were "the moony tire" denounced by Ezekiel,—likely enough, for they had been introduced by Bohemian crusaders from Syria.

Queen Anne made some atonement for importing this hideous fashion by introducing the use of pins, such as are used at our present toilets. Our chroniclers declare that, previously to her arrival in England, the English fair fastened their robes with skewers,—a great misrepresentation, for even as early as the Roman empire the use of pins was known, and British barrows have been opened wherein were found numbers of very neat and efficient little ivory pins, which had been used in arranging the grave-clothes of the dead; and can these irreverent chroniclers suppose that English ladies used worse fastenings for their robes in the fourteenth century?

Side-saddles were the third new fashion brought into England by Anne of Bohemia: they were different from those used at present, which were invented or first adopted by Catherine de Medicis, queen of France. The side-saddle of Anne of Bohemia was somewhat like a bench with a hanging step, where both feet were placed. This mode of riding required a footman or squire at the bridle-rein of a lady's palfrey, and was chiefly used in processions. According to the fashion of the age, the young queen had a device, which all her knights were expected to wear at tournaments; but her device was, we think, a very stupid one, it was an ostrich, with a piece of iron in his mouth, symbolizing Austria.¹

At the celebration of the festival of the order of the Garter, 1384, queen Anne wore a robe of violet cloth, dyed in-grain, the hood lined

¹ Camden's Remains. It is possible this was not a device, but an armorial bearing, and had some connexion with the ostrich

plume the Black Prince took from Anne's heroic blind grandfather at Cressy.

with scarlet, and the robe with fur. She was attended by a number of noble ladies, who are mentioned "as newly received into the society of the Garter." They were habited in the same costume as their young queen.¹ The royal spouse of Anne was remarkable for the foppery of his dress: he had one coat estimated at thirty thousand marks. Its chief value must have arisen from the precious stones with which it was adorned. This was called apparel "broidered of stone." In this reign the shoes were worn with pointed toes of an absurd and inconvenient length. Camden quotes an amusing passage from a quaint work, "Their shoes and pattens are snowed and piked up more than a finger long, which they call 'cracowes,' resembling the devil's claws, which were fastened to the knees with chains of gold and silver; and thus were *they* garnished which were lyons in the hall and hares in the field." The recent discoveries of the marbles of Nineveh present us with effigies of cavaliers fighting the Assyrians dressed in boots with toes turned up like these cracowes.

Notwithstanding the great accession of luxury that followed Anne's marriage, "the daughter of the Cæsars" (as Richard proudly called his bride) not only came portionless to the English throne matrimonial, but her husband had to pay a very handsome sum for the honour of calling her his own: he paid to her brother 10,000 marks for the imperial alliance, besides being at the whole charge of her journey. The jewels of the duchy of Aquitaine, the floriated coronet, and many brooches in the form of animals were pawned to the Londoners, in order to raise money for the expenses of the bridal.

To Anne of Bohemia is attributed the honour of being the first in that band of princesses who were the nursing-mothers of the Reformation.² The Protestant church inscribes her name at the commencement of the illustrious list, in which are seen those of Anne Boleyn, Katherine Parr, lady Jane Grey, and Queen Elizabeth. A passage quoted by Huss, the Bohemian reformer, leads to the inference that Anne was used to read the Scriptures in her native tongue. "It is possible," says Wickliffe, in his work called the 'Threefold Bond of Love,' "that our noble queen of England, sister of the Cæsar, may have the gospel written in three languages,—Bohemian, German, and Latin: now, to hereticate her [brand her with heresy] on that account, would be Luciferian folly." The influence of queen Anne over the mind of her young husband was certainly employed by Joanna, princess of Wales, to aid her in saving the life of Wickliffe, when in great danger at the council of Lambeth in 1382.¹

¹ See Sir Harris Nicolas, 'History of the Order of the Garter.'

² Fox, the martyrologist, declares that the Bohemians who attended queen Anne first introduced the works of Wickliffe to John

Huss: count Valerian Krasinski, in his recent valuable 'History of the Reformation in Poland,' confirms this assertion from the records of his country

Joanna, princess of Wales, was a convert of Wickliffe, who had been introduced to her by his patron the duke of Lancaster, and she, aided by her daughter-in-law, swayed the ductile mind of king Richard to their wishes.¹ Soon after, the queen was separated from her husband by a war in Scotland. The most remarkable incident of his campaign was the murder of lord Stafford, by the king's half-brother, John Holland. Jealousy of the queen's favour, and malice against her adherents, appear to be the secret motives of this deed. Stafford was a peerless chevalier, adored by the English army, and, for his virtuous conduct, in high favour with Anne of Bohemia, who called him "her knight;" and he was actually on his way to London, with messages from the king to the queen, when this fatal encounter took place. The ostensible cause of the murder was likewise connected with the queen, as we learn from Froissart that the archers of lord Stafford, when protecting Sir Meles, a Bohemian knight then with the army, who was a friend of queen Anne, slew a favourite squire belonging to Sir John Holland; and to revenge a punishment which this man had brought upon himself, Sir John cut lord Stafford down without any personal provocation. The grief of the earl of Stafford, his entreaties for justice on the murderer of his son, and, above all, the atrocious circumstances of the case, wrought on king Richard to vow that an exemplary act of justice should be executed on John Holland (brother though he might be), as soon as he ventured from the shrine of Sir John of Beverley, whither this homicide had fled for sanctuary. In vain Joanna, princess of Wales, the mutual mother of the king and murderer, pleaded with Richard, after his return from Scotland, that the life of Sir John might be spared. After four days' incessant lamentation, the king's mother died on the fifth day at the royal castle of Wallingford. Richard's resolution failed him at this catastrophe, and, when too late to save his mother, he pardoned the criminal. The aggrieved persons in this unhappy adventure were the friends of the queen, but there is no evidence that she excited her husband's wrath.² The homicide who had occasioned so much trouble, departed on an atoning pilgrimage to Syria. He was absent from England during the life of queen Anne, and happy would it have been for his brother if he had never returned.

Anne of Bohemia, unlike Isabella of France, who was always at war with her husband's favourites and friends, made it a rule of life to love all that the king loved, and to consider a sedulous compliance with

¹ Wickliffe died at Lutterworth, in 1384, and when darker times arose, after the death of this beneficent queen, persecution found nought to vent its spite upon, excepting the insensible bones of the "evil parson of Lutterworth," as he was called, when his remains were exhumed and cast into the brook which runs near his village; but if Wickliffe had

lived in these days he could not have escaped being called a papist, for he was actually struck for death in the act of celebrating the mass at the altar of his village church; therefore, while living, he was never cut off from the communion of the church of Rome.

² Life of Wickliffe, Biogra. Brit.

* Froissart.

his will as her first duty. In one instance alone did this pliancy of temper lead her into the violation of justice; at the time the king's unworthy favourite, Robert de Vere, earl of Oxford, recently created duke of Ireland, repudiated unlawfully his wife of royal English blood, to marry one of her German attendants. "There were great murmurings against the duke of Ireland," says Froissart; "but what injured him most was his conduct to his duchess, the lady Philippa, daughter of the lord de Coucy, a handsome and noble lady; for the duke was greatly enamoured with one of the queen's damsels, called the landgravine.¹ She was a tolerably handsome, pleasant lady, whom queen Anne had brought with her from Bohemia. The duke of Ireland loved her with such ardour, that he was desirous of making her, if possible, his duchess by marriage. All the good people of England were much shocked at this, for his lawful wife was granddaughter to the gallant king Edward and the excellent queen Philippa, being the daughter of the princess Isabella. Her uncles, the dukes of Gloucester and York, were very wroth at this insult."

The first and last error of Anne of Bohemia was the participation in this disgraceful transaction, by which she was degraded in the eyes of subjects who had manifested great esteem for her meek virtues. The offensive part taken by the queen in this transaction was, that she actually wrote with her own hand an urgent letter to Pope Urban, persuading him to sanction the divorce of the countess of Oxford, and to authorize the marriage of the faithless husband with her own maid of honour, as she styles the duchess of Ireland. Whether she were princess or peasant, she had no right to appropriate another woman's husband. The queen was scarcely less culpable in aiding and abetting so nefarious a measure, to the infinite injury of herself, and of the consort she so tenderly loved. There was scarcely an earl in England who was not related to the royal family: the queen, by the part she took in this disgraceful affair, offended every one allied to the royal house of Plantagenet.²

The storm of popular indignation fell in its fury on the head of the unfortunate Sir Simon Burley, who went to Prague in solemn embassy, regarding the queen's marriage. This unfortunate knight had been foredoomed by his persecutors. The earl of Arundel had previously expressed an opinion to king Richard, that Sir Simon de Burley deserved death. "Didst thou not say to me in the time of *thy* parliament, when we were in the bath behind the white-hall, that Sir Simon de Burley

¹ The *Fœdera* names her the landgravine of Luxembourg, a title, it is said, which never existed. The king gives a safe-conduct to this "landgravissa" to come to England, with all her jewels, chamber furniture, and valuables sent by the empress for the use of his dearest queen, the empress having appointed

the landgravissa her daughter's lady of the bedchamber.

² After all, the divorce was not carried into effect, for in the year 1389 there is a letter of safe-conduct from king Richard to his dearest cousin Philippa, wife to Robert de Vere.

deserved to be put to death on several accounts? And did not I make answer, 'I know no reason why he should suffer death?' and yet you and your companions traitorously took his life from him!" Such was the accusation by king Richard, when Arundel stood on his trial to pay the bitter debt of vengeance that Richard had noted against him, as the cause of his tutor's death. The king earnestly entreated the duke of Gloucester to spare him, but was told he must consent to the execution of his favourite if he meant to keep his crown. The queen on her knees seconded her royal husband's prayer for three hours. But it was in vain that the queen of England humbled herself to the very dust, in hopes of saving her faithful friends. She pleaded to the earl of Arundel vainly for the life of John Calverley, one of her squires.¹ All the answer she could get was this, "Pray for yourself and your husband, for that is the best thing you can do, and let this request alone;" and all the importunities used could not save Calverley's life.² Indeed, the duke of Gloucester and his colleagues established a reign of terror, making it penal for any person to testify fidelity to the king or queen, or to receive their confidence. The duke of Ireland fled to the Low Countries, from whence he never returned during his life.

After the queen returned to London from Bristol, the proceedings of that parliament commenced which has been justly termed by history "the Merciless." The queen's servants were the principal objects of its vengeance, the tendency to Lollardism in her household being probably the secret motive.

The trial of Sir Simon Burley was a bitter sorrow to the queen,—perhaps her first sorrow; and as it appears that the expenses of her journey from Germany being left unpaid by the government during the king's minority, ultimately led to the disgrace of her friend, the queen must have considered herself as the innocent cause of his death. While the executions of Sir Simon Burley and many others of the king's adherents were proceeding in London, 1387, Richard and his queen retired to Bristol, and fixed their residence in the castle. A civil war commenced, which terminated in the defeat of the royal troops at Radcot-bridge, near Oxford, by the duke of Gloucester and young Henry of Bolingbroke. It was the queen's mediation alone that could induce Richard to receive the archbishop of Canterbury, when he came to propose an amnesty between the king and his subjects: two days and nights did Richard remain inflexible; till at last, by the persuasion of Anne, the archbishop was admitted to the royal presence. "Many plans," says Froissart, "were proposed to the king; at last, by the good advice of the queen, he restrained his choler, and agreed to accompany the archbishop to London."

The intermediate time, from the autumn of 1387 to the following

¹ Lingard

² Parliamentary Records.

spring was spent by the young king and queen in restraint. Eltham and Shene were the favourite residences of Richard and Anne, and in these palaces they chiefly sojourned at this time. The favourite summer palace of Anne was named, from the lovely landscape round it, Shene: tradition says, that Edward the Confessor, delighting in the fair scenery, called it by that expressive Saxon word, signifying every thing that is bright and beauteous. The king had, during this interval attained his twenty-second year; and his first question, on the meeting of his parliament, was, "How old he was?" And when they named the years he had attained, he declared that his ancestors were always considered of age much earlier, and that the meanest of his subjects were of age at twenty-one; he therefore determined to shake off the fetters that controlled him. The scene was followed by a sort of re-coronation in St. Stephen's chapel, where the nobility renewed their oaths to him; and it was particularly observed that he kissed those with affection whom he considered as his adherents, and scowled on those who had been the leaders in the late insurrections.

The king was always exceedingly attached to his uncle, the duke of Lancaster; but he had a strong wish to rid himself of his turbulent and popular cousin Henry, the eldest son of that duke, who was born the same year as himself, and from infancy was his rival in popularity. On one occasion Henry had threatened the life of the king in the presence of the queen. "Thrice have I saved his life!" exclaimed king Richard. "Once my dear uncle Lancaster (on whom God have mercy) would have slain him for his treason and villany; and then, O God of paradise! all night did I ride to preserve him from death: once, also, he drew his sword on me, in the chamber of queen Anne."¹ King Richard soon after bestowed on the duke of Lancaster the sovereignty of Aquitaine, probably with the design of keeping the son of that prince at a distance from England. The queen held a grand festival on this occasion. Part of the high ceremonial consisted in the queen's presenting the duchess of Lancaster the gold circlet she was to wear as duchess of Aquitaine, while Richard invested his uncle with the ducal coronet; but the investiture was useless, for the people of Aquitaine refused to be separated from the dominion of England.

The king's full assumption of the royal authority was celebrated with a splendid tournament, over which queen Anne presided, as the sovereign lady, to bestow the prize,—a rich jewelled clasp to the best tenant or holder of the lists, and a rich crown of gold to the best of the opponents. Sixty of her ladies, mounted on beautiful palfreys, each led a knight by

¹ This fray must have taken place in the year 1390, since Henry of Bolingbroke withdrew at that period from England, in order to carry arms against some unconverted tribes in the borders of Lithuania, with whom the

Teutonic knights were waging a crusade warfare.—Speed. Count Valerian Krasinski declares that the plain where the English prince encamped in Lithuania is still pointed out by the peasants.

a silver chain to the tilting ground at Smithfield through the streets of London, to the sound of trumpets, attended by numerous minstrels. In this order they passed before queen Anne, who had already arrived with her ladies : " they were placed in open chambers,¹ richly decorated." The queen retired at dusk to the bishop of London's palace at St. Paul's, where she held a grand banquet, with dancing both before and after supper. During the whole of the tournament the queen lodged in the palace of the bishop of London.

The queen's good offices as a mediator were required, in the year 1392, to compose a serious difference between Richard II. and the city of London. Richard had asked a loan of a thousand pounds from the citizens, which they peremptorily refused. An Italian merchant offered the king the sum required ; upon which the citizens raised a tumult, and tore the unfortunate loan-lender to pieces, which outrage was followed by a riot, attended with bloodshed. Richard declared " that as the city did not keep his peace, he should resume her charters," and actually removed the courts of law to York. In distress, the citizens applied to queen Anne to mediate for them. Fortunately, Richard had no other favourite at that time than his peace-loving queen, " who was," say the ancient historians, " very precious to the nation, being continually doing some good to the people ; and she deserved a much larger dower than the sum settled on her, which only amounted to four thousand five hundred pounds per annum." The manner in which queen Anne pacified Richard is preserved in a Latin chronicle poem, written by Richard Maydeston, an eye-witness of the scene :² he was a priest attached to the court, and in favour with Richard and the queen.

Through the private intercession of the queen, the king consented to pass through the city, on his way from Shene to Westminster-palace, on the 29th of August. When they arrived at Southwark the queen assumed her crown, which she wore during the whole procession through London : it was blazing with various gems of the choicest kinds. Her dress was likewise studded with precious stones, and she wore a rich carcanet about her neck ; she appeared, according to the taste of Maydeston, " fairest among the fair," and from the benign humility of her gracious countenance, the anxious citizens gathered hopes that she would succeed in pacifying the king. During the entry of the royal pair into the city their processions were separate. At the king's approach to London-bridge he was greeted by the lord mayor and other authorities, who were followed by a vast concourse of men, women, and children, every artificer bearing some symbol of his craft. Before the Southwark

* They were temporary stands erected at Smithfield, in the same manner as on racing courses in the present times.

² Maydeston's narrative is fully confirmed

by a letter from Richard, in the *Foedera*, wherein he declares, " he was reconciled to the citizens through the mediation of his dear wife the queen."

bridge-gate, the king was presented with a pair of fair white steeds, trapped with gold cloth, figured with red and white, and hung full of silver bells,—"steeds such as Cæsar might have been pleased to yoke to his car."

Queen Anne then arrived with her train, when the lord mayor Venner presented her with a small white palfrey, exquisitely trained, for her own riding. The lord mayor commenced a long speech with these words:—"O generous offspring of imperial blood, whom God has destined worthily to sway the sceptre as consort of our king!" He then proceeded to hint "that mercy and not rigour best became the queenly station, and that gentle ladies had great influence with their loving lords: moreover, he entered into a discussion on the merits of the palfrey presented to her by the city; he commended its beauty, its docility, and the convenience of its ambling paces, and the magnificence of its purple housings." After the animal had been graciously accepted by the queen, she passed over London-bridge to its portal on the city side; but some of her maids of honour, who were following her in two waggons, or charrettes,¹ were not quite so fortunate in their progress over the bridge. Old London bridge was, in the fourteenth century, and for some ages after, no such easy defile for a large influx of people to pour through; though not then encroached upon by houses and shops, it was encumbered by fortifications and barricades, which guarded the drawbridge-towers in the centre, and the gate towers at each end. In this instance the multitudes rushing out of the city, to get a view of the queen and her train, meeting the crowds following the royal procession, the throngs pressed on each other so tumultuously, that one of the charrettes containing the queen's ladies was overturned,—lady rolled upon lady, one or two were forced to stand for some moments on their heads, to the infinite injury of their horned caps, all were much discomposed by the upset, and, what was worse, nothing could restrain the laughter of the rude, plebeian artificers; such a reverse of horned caps did not happen without serious inconvenience to the wearers, which Maydeston very minutely particularizes. At last the equipage was righted, the discomfited damsels replaced, and their charrette resumed its place in the procession.

As the king and queen passed through the city, the principal thoroughfares were hung with gold cloth and silver tissue, and tapestry of silk and gold. When they approached the conduit at Cheapside, red and white wine played from the spouts of a tower erected against it; the royal pair were served "with rosy wine smiling in golden cups," and an angel flew down in a cloud, and presented to the king, and then to the queen, rich gold circlets worth several hundred pounds. Another conduit of wine played at St. Paul's eastern gate, where was stationed a

¹ These conveyances were neither more nor less than benched wagons, which were kept for the accommodation of the queen's maids

of honour: the charrettes were very gaily ornamented with red paint, and lined with scarlet cloth throughout.

band of antique musical instruments, whose names alone will astound modern musical ears. There were persons playing on tympanies, monochords, cymbals, psalteries, and lyres; zambucas, citherns, situlas, horns, and viols. Our learned Latinist dwells with much unction on the melodious chorus produced by these instruments, which, he says, "wrapt all hearers in a kind of stupor." No wonder!

At the monastery of St. Paul's, the king and queen alighted from their steeds, and passed through the cathedral on foot, in order to pay their offerings at the holy sepulchre of St. Erkenwald. At the western gate they remounted their horses, and proceeded to the Ludgate. There just above the river bridge,—which river, we beg to remind our reader: was that delicious stream now called Fleet-ditch,—was perched "a band of celestial spirits, who saluted the royal personages, as they passed the Flete-bridge, with enchanting singing and sweet psalmody, making, withal, a pleasant fume by swinging incense-pots; they likewise scattered fragrant flowers on the king and queen as they severally passed the bridge." And if the odours of that civic stream, the Fleet, at that time by any means rivalled those which pertain to it at present, every one must own that a fumigation was appointed there with great judgment.

At the Temple barrier, above the gate, was the representation of a desert inhabited by all manner of animals, mixed with reptiles and monstrous worms, or, at least, by their resemblances; in the background was a forest: amidst the concourse of beasts, was seated the holy baptist John,¹ pointing with his finger to an *agnus Dei*. After the king had halted to view this scene, his attention was struck by the figure of St. John, for whom he had a peculiar devotion, "when an angel descended from above the wilderness, bearing in his hands a splendid gift, which was a tablet studded with gems, fit for any altar, with the crucifixion embossed thereon." The king took it in his hand and said, "Peace to this city! for the sake of Christ, his mother, and my patron St. John, I forgive every offence."

Then the king continued his progress towards his palace, and the queen arrived opposite to the desert and St. John, when lord mayor Venner presented her with another tablet, likewise representing the crucifixion. He commenced his speech with these words: "Illustrious daughter of imperial parents! Anne,—a name in Hebrew signifying 'grace,' and which was borne by her who was the mother of the mother of Christ,—mindful of your race and name, intercede for us to the King: and as often as you see this tablet, think of our city and speak in our favour." Upon which the queen graciously accepted the dutiful offering of the city, saying, with the emphatic brevity of a good wife who knew her influence, "Leave all to me."

¹ The Temple was then in possession of the Hospitallers of St. John

By this time the king had arrived at his palace of Westminster, the great hall of which was ornamented with hangings more splendid than the pen can describe. Richard's throne was prepared upon the King's-bench, which royal tribunal he ascended, sceptre in hand, and sat in great majesty when the queen and the rest of the procession entered the hall. The queen was followed by her maiden train. When she approached the king, she knelt down at his feet, and so did all her ladies. The king hastened to raise her, asking,—“What would Anna? Declare, and your request shall be granted.”

The queen's answer is perhaps a fair specimen of the way in which she obtained her empire over the weak but affectionate mind of Richard; more honeyed words than the following, female blandishment could scarcely devise: “Sweet!” she replied, “my king, my spouse, my light, my life! sweet love, without whose life mine would be but death! be pleased to govern your citizens as a gracious lord. Consider, even, to-day, how munificent their treatment! What worship, what honour, what splendid public duty, have they at great cost paid to thee revered king! Like us, they are but mortal, and liable to frailty. Far from thy memory, my king, my sweet love, be their offences; and for their pardon I supplicate, kneeling thus lowly on the ground.” Then, after some mention of Brutus and Arthur, ancient kings of Britain,—which no doubt are interpolated flourishes of good master Maydeston, the queen concludes her supplication by requesting, “that the king would please to restore to these worthy and penitent plebeians their ancient charters and liberties.”—“Be satisfied, dearest wife,” the king answered; “loath should we be to deny any reasonable request of thine. Meantime, ascend and sit beside me on my throne, while I speak a few words to my people.”

He seated the gentle queen beside him on the throne. The king then spoke, and all listened in silence, both high and low. He addressed the lord mayor: “I will restore to you my royal favour as in former days, for I duly prize the expense which you have incurred, the presents you have made me, and the prayers of the queen. Do you henceforth avoid offence to your sovereign, and disrespect to his nobles. Preserve the ancient faith; despise the new doctrines unknown to your fathers; defend the catholic church, the whole church, for there is no order of men in it that is not dedicated to the worship of God. Take back the key and sword; keep my peace in your city, rule its inhabitants as formerly, and be among them my representative.”¹

No further differences with the king disturbed the country during the life of Anne of Bohemia. It is probable, that if the existence of this beloved queen had been spared, the calamities and crimes of Richard's future years

¹ This reconciliation cost the city 10,000*l*. From some allusions in the king's speech there is reason to suppose that the riot had been imputed to the Wickliffites.

would have been averted by her mild advice. Yet the king's extravagant generosity nothing could repress: the profusion of the royal household is severely commented upon by Walsingham and Knighton. Still their strictures seem invidious: nothing but partisan malice could blame such hospitality as the following in a time of famine: "Though a terrible series of plagues and famine afflicted England, the king retrenched none of his diversions or expenses. He entertained every day six thousand persons, most of whom were *indigent* poor. He valued himself on surpassing in magnificence all the sovereigns in Europe, as if he possessed an inexhaustible treasure: in his kitchen alone, three hundred persons were employed; and the queen had a like number to attend upon her service."¹

While Richard was preparing for a campaign in Ireland, which country had revolted from his authority, his departure was delayed by a terrible calamity—the loss of his beloved partner. It is supposed she died of the pestilence then raging throughout Europe, as her decease was heralded by an illness of but a few hours. Froissart says, speaking of the occurrences in England: "At this period the lady Anne, queen of England, fell sick, to the infinite distress of king Richard and all her household. Her disorder increased so rapidly, that she departed this life at the feast of Whitsuntide, 1394. The king and all who loved her were greatly afflicted at her death. King Richard was inconsolable for her loss, as they mutually loved each other, having been married young. This queen left no issue, for she never bore a child."

Anne of Bohemia died at her favourite palace of Shene: the king was with her when she expired. He had never given her a rival; she appears to have possessed his whole heart, which was rent by the most acute sorrow at the sudden loss of his faithful partner, who was, in fact, his only friend. In the frenzy of his grief, Richard imprecated the bitterest curses on the place of her death; and, unable to bear the sight of the place where he had passed his only happy hours with this beloved and virtuous queen, he ordered the palace of Shene to be levelled with the ground.² The deep tone of Richard's grief is apparent even in the summons sent by him to the English peers, requiring their attendance, to do honour to the magnificent obsequies he had prepared for his lost consort. His letters on this occasion are in existence, and are addressed to each of his barons in this style:—

"VERY DEAR AND FAITHFUL COESIN,³

"Inasmuch as our beloved companion, the queen (whom God has hence commanded), will be buried at Westminster, on Monday, the third of August next, we earnestly entreat that you

¹ Walsingham.

² The apartments where the queen died were actually dismantled, but Henry V. restored them.

³ The style of this circular will prove the mistake of those who declare that king Henry IV. first adopted that form of royal address which terms all earls the king's

(setting aside all excuses) and repair to our city of London the Wednesday previous to the same day, bringing with you our very dear *kinswoman*, your consort, at the same time.

"We desire that you will, the preceding day, accompany the corpse of our dear consort from our manor of Shene to Westminster; and for this we trust we may rely on you, as you desire our honour, and that of our kingdom.

"Given under our privy seal at Westminster, the 10th day of June, 1394."

From this document it is evident that Anne's body was brought from Shene in grand procession, the Wednesday before the 3rd of August, attended by all the nobility of England, male and female; likewise by the citizens and authorities of London,¹ all clothed in black, with black hoods; and on the 3rd of August the queen was interred. "Abundance of wax was sent for from Flanders for flambeaux and torches, and the illumination was so great that nothing was seen like it before, not even at the burial of the good queen Philippa: the king would have it so, because she was daughter of the emperor of Rome and Germany."² The most memorable and interesting circumstance at the burial of Anne of Bohemia is the fact, that Thomas Arundel, afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury, who preached her funeral sermon, in the course of it greatly commended the queen for reading the holy Scriptures in the vulgar tongue.³

Richard's grief was as long-enduring as it was acute. One year elapsed before he had devised the species of monument he thought worthy the memory of his beloved Anne, yet his expressions of tenderness regarding her pervaded his covenant with the London artificers employed to erect it. He took, withal, the extraordinary step of having his own monumental statue made to repose by that of the queen, with the hands of the effigies clasped in each other: they are in gilded bronze. Some plunderers tore off the crown when the venerable abbey church was made a stable for the steeds of Cromwell's troopers at the death of Charles I. The loss of the head-dress gives a certain degree of forlornness to the resemblance of Anne of Bohemia. She, who used to appear in a horned cap half a yard in height, is forced to present herself with no other ornament than her own dishevelled tresses. Her robe has been very curiously engraved by the artist, with her device of ostriches and her husband's Plantagenet emblem of the open pods of the broom plant, which are arranged on her dress so as to form elegant borders.

consins; yet the authority is no less than that of Blackstone. This circular of his predecessor was not confined to earls.

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera* contains a circular from the king to the citizens nearly similar to the above.

² Froissart.

³ Rapin, vol. i. 701. There is a great contradiction between Rapin and Fox, when alluding to this funeral sermon. Fox, in his dedication of the Anglo-Saxon Gospels to queen Elizabeth, in 1571, uses these words:—

"Thomas Arundel, archbishop, at the funeral

oration of queen Anne in 1394, did avouch, as Polydore Vergil saith, that she had the gospels with divers expositors, which she sent unto him to be verified and examined." This is the direct contrary to Rapin's assertion; yet the whole current of events in Richard II.'s reign strongly supports the assertion of the early reformers that Anne of Bohemia was favourably inclined to them. Certain it is that her brother, king Wenceslaus of Bohemia (though no great honour to the cause), encouraged the Hussites in her native coun-
try.

The skirts of her dress approach the form of the farthingale, which seems originally a German costume. The tomb of Anne was commenced in 1395; the indentures descriptive of its form are to be found in the *Fœdera*. The marble part of the monument was consigned to the care of Stephen Loat, citizen and mason of London, and Henry Yevele, his partner.

In the document alluded to above, occur these words:—"And also inscriptions are to be graven about the tomb, such as will be delivered proper for it." The actual inscription is in Latin; the sentiments are tender and refined, and the words are said to be composed by the king himself: it enters into the personal and mental qualifications of Anne, like one who knew and loved her:—

"Under this stone lies Anna, here entombed,
Wedded in this world's life to the second Richard.
To Christ were her meek virtues devoted,
His poor she freely fed from her treasures;
Strife she assuaged, and swelling feuds appeased:
Beauteous her form, her face surpassing fair.
On June's seventh day, thirteen hundred ninety-four,
All comfort was bereft, for through irremediable sickness
She passed away into eternal joys."

Richard departed for Ireland soon after the burial of Anne; but his heart was still bleeding for the loss of his queen: although her want of progeny was one of the principal causes of the troubles of his reign, he mourned for her with the utmost constancy of affection. Frequently, when he was in his council-chamber at Dublin, if anything accidentally recalled her to his thoughts, he would burst into tears, rise, and suddenly leave the room.¹

The people of England likewise deeply regretted this benignant and peace-loving queen, and long hallowed her memory by the simple yet expressive appellation of "Good Queen Anne."

¹ Burton's Irish History.

ISABELLA OF VALOIS,
SURNAMED THE LITTLE QUEEN,
SECOND QUEEN-CONSORT OF RICHARD II.

THE union of Isabella of Valois with Richard II. presented an anomaly to the people of England unprecedented in their annals. They saw with astonishment an infant, not nine summers old, sharing the throne as the chosen queen-consort of a monarch who had reached his thirtieth year. Richard, whose principal error was attention to his own private feelings in preference to the public good, considered that, by the time this little princess grew up, the lapse of years would have mellowed his grief for the loved and lost Anne of Bohemia: he could not divorce his heart from the memory of his late queen sufficiently to give her a successor nearer his own age.

Isabella of Valois was the daughter of Charles VI. of France and Isabeau of Bavaria,—that queen of France afterwards so notorious for her wickedness; but at the time of the marriage of Richard II. with her little daughter, queen Isabeau was only distinguished for great beauty and luxurious taste in dress and festivals. Charles VI. had already experienced two or three agonizing attacks of inflammation on the brain, which had yielded, however, to medical skill, and he was at this time a magnificent, prosperous, and popular sovereign. Isabella, the eldest child of this royal pair, first saw the light in the Louvre-palace, at Paris, 1387, November 9th. She was the fairest of a numerous and lovely family, the females of which were remarkable for the beauty lavished on them by the hand of nature. The queen of France was the daughter of a German prince and an Italian princess; she was renowned for the splendour of her large dark eyes, and the clearness and brightness of her complexion,—charms which were transmitted to her daughters in no common degree. Isabella had three brothers (who were successively dauphins) and four sisters,—Joanna, duchess of Bretagne; Marie, a nun; Michelle, the first duchess of Philip the Good, of Burgundy; and Katherine the Fair, the queen of Henry V. of England. These royal ladies inherited their father's goodness without his malady,

and their mother's beauty without her vices. The princess Isabella was precocious in intellect and stature, and every way worthy of fulfilling a queenly destiny. Unlike her sisters, Michelle and Katherine, who were cruelly neglected in their infant years, she was the darling of her parents and of the court of France. Isabella is no mute on the biographical page: the words she uttered have been chronicled, and though so young, both as the wife and widow of an English king, research will show that her actions were of some historical importance.

"The king," says Sir John de Grailly (a courtly informant of Froissart), "is advised to marry again, and has had researches made every where, but in vain, for a suitable lady. He has been told that the king of Navarre has sisters and daughters, but he will not hear of them. The duke of Gloucester has likewise a grown-up girl, who is marriageable, and well pleased would he be if his royal nephew would choose her; but the king says 'she is too nearly related, being his cousin-german.' King Richard's thoughts are so bent on the eldest daughter of the king of France, he will not hear of any other: it causes great wonder in this country that he should be so eager to marry the daughter of his adversary, and he is not the better beloved for it. King Richard has been told 'that the lady is by far too young, and that even in five or six years she would not be the proper age for a wife.' He replied pleasantly, 'that every day would remedy the deficiency of age, and her youth was one of his reasons for preferring her, because he should educate her and bring her up to his own mind, and to the manners and customs of the English; and that, as for himself, he was young enough to wait for her.'"

Froissart was staying at Eltham-palace when the parliament met to debate the marriage in the beautiful Gothic hall.¹ While they were walking on the terrace, Sir Richard Sturry, one of the king's household, gave him this information:—"The king made the archbishop of Canterbury speak of the business of his marriage. In the debate it was agreed that the archbishop of Dublin, the earl of Rutland, and the earl-marshal, with twenty knights and forty squires of honour, should wait on the king of France, and propose a treaty of marriage between him and the princess Isabella. The Sunday after the departure of the embassy, Richard II. was at leisure to receive the presentation-copy of the poesies prepared for him by Sir John Froissart. 'I presented it to him in his chamber, for I had it with me, and laid it on his bed.' From this passage it would appear that the king received him before he had risen. 'He took it, and looked into it with much pleasure. He ought to have been pleased, for it was handsomely written and illuminated, and bound in crimson velvet, with ten silver-gilt studs, and roses of the same in

¹ The refined taste of the late princess Sophia Matilda led to the recent restoration of this noble relic.

the middle, with two large clasps of silver gilt, richly worked with roses in the centre.' The king asked me, 'Of what the book treated?' I replied, 'Of love.' He was pleased with the answer, and dipped into several places, reading parts aloud remarkably well, for he read and spoke French in perfection. He then gave it to one of his knights, Sir Richard Credon,¹ to carry it to his oratory, and made me many acknowledgments for it, especially one hundred nobles in a silver goblet. When the English embassy arrived at Paris, they were lodged near the Croix du Tiroir. The king of France resided at the Louvre, and the queen and her children at the hôtel de St. Pol, on the banks of the Seine; and to please the English lords, their request was granted to visit the queen and her family, and especially the little princess, whom they were soliciting to be bestowed as the wife of their king, as they were impatient to behold her. This had been at first refused, for the French council excused themselves by observing, 'That she was as yet but eight years; how could any one know how a young child would conduct herself at such an interview?' She had, however, been carefully educated, as she proved when the English nobles waited upon her; for "when the earl-marshal dropped upon his knee, saying, 'Madam, if it please God, you shall be our lady and queen;' she replied instantly, and without any one prompting her, 'Sir, if it please God, and my lord and father, that I be queen of England, I shall be well pleased thereat, for I have been told I shall then be a great lady.' She made the earl-marshal rise, and, taking him by the hand, led him to queen Isabeau, her mother, who was much pleased at her answer, as were all who heard it. The appearance and manners of this young princess were very agreeable to the English ambassadors, and they thought among themselves she would be a lady of high honour and worth."²

Just before the young Isabella arrived in England, the duke of Lancaster thought fit to give his princely hand to Katherine Rouet, who had been governess to his daughters, and was already mother to those sons of the duke so celebrated in English history as the Beauforts. Serious were the feuds this misalliance raised in the royal family. "When the marriage of the duke of Lancaster was announced to the ladies of royal descent in England, such as the duchess of Gloucester and the countess of Arundel (who was a Mortimer of the line of Clarence), they were greatly shocked, and said, 'the duke had disgraced himself by marrying a woman of light character, and as she would take rank as second lady in the kingdom, the young queen would be dishonourably accompanied by her; but, for their parts, they would leave her to do the honours of the court alone, for they would never enter any place where she was. They themselves would be disgraced if they permitted such a base-born duchess, who had been mistress to the duke, both before

¹ This knight was probably the author of Creton's *Metrical Chronicle*.

² Froissart.

and after his marriage with the princess Constance, to take precedence of them, and their hearts would burst with grief were it to happen.' Those persons of the royal family who were the most outrageous on the subject were the duke and duchess of Gloucester." Thus was the court of king Richard in a state of ferment with the discontents of the princesses of the house of Plantagenet, just at the time when he required them to assemble for the purpose of receiving his infant bride. While these ladies were settling their points of precedence, the princess Isabella was espoused in Paris by the earl-marshal, as proxy for his royal master. "She was from that time," says Froissart, "styled the queen of England. And I was at the time told it was pretty to see her, young as she was, practising how to act the queen."¹

The king of France sent to England the count St. Pol, who had married Richard's half-sister, Maud Holland, surnamed "the Fair." King Richard promised his brother-in-law that he would come to Calais and have an interview with the king of France, when his bride was to be delivered to him; and if a peace could not be agreed upon, a truce for thirty or forty years was to be established. King Richard crossed the sea to Calais, while the king of France, his queen, and the young princess advanced as far as St. Omer, where they remained till the treaty of peace assumed some hopeful form. It was, however, in vain that the French strove to soften the opposition of the duke of Gloucester by flattering attentions and the handsome presents they offered him. He accepted the presents, "but the same rancour remained in his breast, and in spite of every thing, when the peace was mentioned, his answers were as crabbed and severe as ever. It was observed that he pointed out the rich plate of gold and silver to his friends, observing 'that France was still a very rich country, and that peace ought not to be made,'"—a remark more worthy of a bandit than a royal guest. The king of England at last contrived to discover the means of allaying this bellicose disposition in his uncle: the bribe was enormous, considering the duke's constant exhortations in regard to economy in the government. The king was forced to promise his patriotic uncle fifty thousand nobles on his return home, and to create his only son, Humphrey, earl of Rochester, with a pension of two thousand nobles per annum. After the application of such unconscionable bribes, no impediments remained to the peace and marriage, which were concluded without the restoration of Calais being insisted on by France. The two kings left their lodgings on the point of ten o'clock, October 27, 1395;² they proceeded on foot to a certain space which had been fixed on for their meeting, and which was surrounded by four hundred French and as many English knights, brilliantly armed, who stood with drawn swords. These knights were so marshalled, that the two kings passed between their

¹ Froissart.² Ibid.

ranks, conducted in the following order: the dukes of Lancaster and Gloucester supported the king of France, while the dukes of Berri and Burgundy, uncles of the French king, conducted king Richard, and thus they advanced slowly through the ranks of the knights; and when the two kings were on the point of meeting, the eight hundred knights fell on their knees and wept for joy,"—a unanimity of feeling very remarkable in eight hundred knights.

"King Richard and king Charles met bare-headed, and, having saluted, took each other by the hand, when the king of France led the king of England to his tent, which was handsome and richly adorned; the four dukes took each other by the hand, and followed them. The English and French knights remained in their ranks, looking at each other with good humour, and never stirred till the whole ceremony was over. When the two kings entered the tent, holding each other by the hand, the dukes of Orleans and Bourbon, who had been left in the tent to welcome the monarchs, cast themselves on their knees before them: the kings stopped, and made them rise. The six dukes then assembled in front of the tent, and conversed together; meantime the kings went into the tent and conferred *solus*, while the wine and spices were preparing. The duke of Berri served the king of France with the comfit-box, and the duke of Burgundy with the cup of wine. In like manner was the king of England served by the dukes of Lancaster and Gloucester. After the kings had been served, the knights of France and England took the wine and comfits, and served the prelates, dukes, princes, and counts; and after them, the squires and other officers of the household did the same to all within the tents, until every one had partaken of the wine and spices; during which time the two monarchs conversed freely.

"Dinner-tables were laid out: that for the kings was very handsome, and the sideboard was covered with magnificent plate. The two kings were seated by themselves, the king of France at the top of the table, and the king of England below him, at a good distance from each other. They were served by the dukes of Berri, Burgundy, and Bourbon: the last entertained the two monarchs with many gay remarks, to make them laugh, and those about the royal table, for he had much drollery; and, addressing the king of England, said,—'My lord king of England, you ought to make good cheer, for you have had all your wishes gratified. You have a wife, or shall have one, for she will speedily be delivered you.'—'Bourbonnois,' replied the king of France, 'we wish our daughter were as old as our cousin of St. Pol,¹ though we were to double her dower, for then she would love our son of England much more.' The king of England, who understood French well, noticed

¹ This young lady was niece to king Richard, the daughter of ~~Maud~~ Holland, surnamed the Fair. She was probably the beauty of that festival.

these words, and, immediately bowing to the king of France, replied,— ‘Good father-in-law, the age of our wife pleases us right well. We pay not great attention respecting age, as we value your love; for we shall now be so strongly united, that no king in Christendom can in any way hurt us.’”

When dinner was over, which lasted not long, the cloth was removed, the tables carried away, and wine and spices brought. After this the young bride entered the tent, attended by a great number of ladies and damsels. King Charles led her by the hand, and gave her to the king of England, who immediately rose and took his leave. The little queen was placed in a very rich litter, which had been prepared for her; but of all the French ladies who were there, only the lady de Coucy went with her, for there were many of the principal ladies of England in presence, such as the duchesses of Lancaster, York, Gloucester, and Ireland,¹ the lady of Namur, the lady Poynings, and many others, who all received queen Isabella with great joy. When the ladies were ready, the king of England and his lords departed with the young princess; and, riding at a good pace, arrived at Calais.

On the Tuesday, which was All Saints'-day, the king of England was married by the archbishop of Canterbury in the church of St. Nicholas, of Calais, to the lady Isabella. Great was the feasting on the occasion; “and the heralds and minstrels were so liberally paid, that they were satisfied.” Richard renounced at this marriage (to the indignation of the duke of Gloucester) all claims to the crown of France in right of Isabella or her descendants.² The dukes of Orleans and Bourbon came to Calais to visit the king and queen of England two days after the marriage; and on the morrow they went back to St. Omer, where the king and queen of France waited for them. That same Friday morning king Richard and queen Isabella, having heard an early mass and drunk some wine, embarked on board the vessels that had been prepared for them. With a favourable wind, in less than three hours they arrived at Dover. The queen dined at the castle, and slept the next night at Rochester. Passing through Dartford, she arrived at the palace at Eltham, where the nobles and their ladies took leave of the king and queen, and went to their homes.

The young queen's entry into London is thus noted by our chroniclers:—“The young queen Isabella, commonly called ‘the Little,’ (for she was not eight years old) was conveyed from Kennington, near to Lambeth-palace, through Southwark, to the Tower of London, Nov. 13th, when such a multitude of persons went out to see her, that on London-bridge nine persons were crushed to death, of whom the prior of Tiptree was one, and a matron of Cornhill another.”³ The queen slept

¹ The widow of Robert de Vere.² Froissart.³ Stowe.

one night at the Tower, and the next day was conducted in high pomp to Westminster, where king Richard was waiting in his palace to receive her. This day the Londoners made very rich presents to the queen, which were most graciously accepted.

The portion of Isabella was considerable, consisting of 800,000 francs in gold, to be paid in yearly instalments. She brought with her a wardrobe of great richness. Among her garments was a robe and mantle, unequalled in England, made of red velvet embossed with birds of goldsmiths' work, perched upon branches of pearls and emeralds. The robe was trimmed down the sides with miniver, and had a cape and hood of the same fur: the mantle was lined with ermine. Another robe was of murrey-mezereon velvet, embroidered with pearl roses. She had coronets, rings, necklaces, and clasps, amounting to 500,000 crowns. Her chamber-hangings were red and white satin, embroidered with figures of vintages and shepherdesses. Her jewels were afterwards a matter of political controversy between England and France.

Windzor was the chief residence of the royal child, who was called queen-consort of England.¹ Here her education proceeded, under the superintendence of the second daughter of Engelraud de Coucy; and here the king, whose feminine beauty of features and complexion somewhat qualified the disparity of years between a man of thirty and a girl of ten, behaved to his young wife with such winning attention, that she retained a tender remembrance of him long after he was hurried to prison and the grave. The visits of Richard caused some cessation from the routine of education; while his gay temper, his musical accomplishments, his splendour of dress, and softness of manners to females, won the young heart of Isabella.

The king had expended prodigious sums on the royal progress to France, and on the marriage and pompous entry of the little queen. These debts had now to be liquidated; and a struggle soon commenced between the king and the popular party concerning the supplies. From the earliest period of her sojourn in England there was more probability that Isabella would share a prison than a throne. The duke of Gloucester's object was the life-long incarceration of the harmless little queen: "He invited the earl of March² to come and visit him at Pleshy. There he unbosomed to him all the secrets of his heart, telling him that certain influential persons had elected him as king of England, resolving that king Richard and his queen were to be deposed and forthwith confined in prison, where they were to be maintained with ample provision during their lives; and he besought his nephew 'to give due considera-

¹ Stowe's London Chronicle expressly says the young queen was crowned on the 8th of January. No particulars are cited of this coronation.

² It will be remembered that this prince

was the heir-presumptive to the throne, the grandson of Lionel of Clarence. A deep obscurity rests on the characters and conduct of the princes of the blood of the line of Mortimer in general history.

tion to this project, which was supported by the earl of Arundel, the earl of Warwick, and many of the prelates and barons of England.' The earl of March was thunderstruck at hearing this proposal from his uncle; but, young as he was, he concealed his emotion." The duke of Gloucester, observing the manner of his nephew, entreated that he would keep his discourse very secret. This Mortimer promised to do, and faithfully kept his word; but, honourably resolving to flee from such strong temptation to his integrity and loyalty, he craved leave of king Richard to visit his Irish domains.¹

"The count de St. Pol had been sent into England by the king of France, in order to see his daughter, and learn how she was going on. The king consulted him, and his uncles Lancaster and York, on the danger that threatened him and his young consort. 'My good uncles,' said he, 'for the love of God, advise me how to act. I am daily informed that your brother, the duke of Gloucester, is determined to seize and confine me for life in one of my castles, and that the Londoners mean to join him in this iniquity. Their plan is, withal, to separate my queen from me, who is but a child, and shut her up in some other place of confinement. Now, my dear uncles, such cruel acts as these must be prevented.' The dukes of Lancaster and York saw that their nephew was in great anguish of heart, and they knew that what he said was strictly true, but they replied to this effect: 'Have a little patience, my lord king. We know well that our brother Gloucester has the worst temper of any man in England. He talks frequently of things he cannot execute, and neither he nor his abettors can break the peace which has been signed, nor succeed in imprisoning you in any castle. Depend on it, we will never suffer it, nor that you should be separated from the queen.'

"By these words the two dukes calmed king Richard's mind; but they left the king's household with their families, the duke of Lancaster taking with him his duchess, who had for some time been the companion of the young queen of England. The king's servants very frequently represented to him the danger of remaining in their offices. 'Be assured, dear sir, that as long as the duke of Gloucester lives there will never be any quiet for your court, nor for England. Besides, he publicly threatens to confine you and your queen. As for the queen, she need not care: she is young, and the beloved child of the king of France; the duke of Gloucester dare not hurt her, but many evils will he bring on you and on England.' These representations sank deeply in the mind of king Richard, and soon after led to his uncle's violent death."

Whatever were the ill intentions of the duke of Gloucester against the king and his unoffending little queen, the treacherous manner in

¹ He was made lord deputy (viceroy) of Ireland.

which king Richard lured his uncle to destruction must revolt all minds, for every tie of hospitality and social intercourse was violated by him. This, his first step in guilt, was followed by the illegal execution of the earl of Arundel. Richard's conscience was not accustomed to cruelty; and after the death of Arundel his sleep was broken, and his peace was gone. He used to awake in horror, exclaiming "that his bed was covered with the blood of the earl."

The peace of the court was soon broken, recriminating accusations between Henry of Bolingbroke, heir to John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster, and the earl-marshal, who had been created duke of Norfolk, were bandied. In the true spirit of the age, they appealed to wager of battle, and actually presented themselves in the lists at Coventry, when the king parted them by throwing down his warder, and finished the scene by sentencing Mowbray, duke of Norfolk, to banishment for life, and Henry to exile for seven years. While Richard's affairs remained in this unsettled state, the English court was thrown into consternation by the death of the heir-presumptive of the kingdom, Roger Mortimer, who was at that time lord deputy of Ireland. There was a strong attachment between Richard and his chivalric heir: the king passionately bewailed him, and resolved to make an expedition to Ireland, to quell the rebellion that ensued on the death of his viceroy. Just before the departure of king Richard for his Irish campaign, he proclaimed throughout his realm that a grand tournament would be held at Windsor by forty knights and forty squires, all clad in green, bearing the young queen's device of a white falcon. They maintained the beauty of the virgin queen of England against all comers. Isabella herself, attended by the noblest ladies and damsels of the land, was present, and dispensed the prizes.

King Richard commenced his march to Ireland, May, 1399: he tarried some hours at Windsor-castle, on his road to the western coast, in order to bid his young queen farewell before he departed for Ireland. Although only twelve years of age, Isabella had grown tall and very lovely; she was rapidly assuming a womanly appearance. The king seemed greatly struck with the improvement in her person, and the progress she had made in her education. Yet he had sent to dwell with her witnesses, whose deep grief and mournful habiliments for the loss of a husband and father could have told their young queen, even if their lips dared not speak, that the king had stained his hands with kindred blood. According to Froissart, Richard II. had sent the widowed duchess of Gloucester and her daughters to reside with Isabella at Windsor,—apparently under some species of restraint.

Before king Richard left Windsor-castle he discovered that considerable reforms were required in his consort's establishment. The lady de Coucy, his cousin-german, was the queen's governess and principal lady

of honour; but, on his arrival at Windsor, it was represented to him that this lady took as much state upon her as if she had been in the situation of her mother, the princess-royal of England, or even the queen herself. In fact, the extravagance of the lady de Coucy knew no bounds; "for," said the king's informer, "she has eighteen horses at her command. But this does not suffice; she has a large train belonging to her husband, and in his livery, whenever she comes and goes. She keeps two or three goldsmiths, two or three cutlers, and two or three furriers constantly employed, as much as you and your queen. She is also building a chapel that will cost 1400 nobles." Exasperated at this extravagance, the king dismissed the lady de Coucy from her office in the queen's establishment: he paid all the debts she had incurred, and commanded her to leave the country forthwith,—an order she certainly disobeyed, as will afterwards be seen. In the place of this lady, Richard appointed the widowed lady Mortimer,¹ who was his own niece Eleanor. To her he gave the precious charge of his fair young consort.

The scene of Richard's parting from Isabella was Windsor church. He had previously assisted at a solemn mass, and indulged his musical tastes by chanting a collect; he likewise made a rich offering. On leaving the church he partook of wine and comfits at the door with his little consort; then lifting her up in his arms he kissed her repeatedly, saying, "Adieu, madame! adieu, till we meet again." The king immediately resumed his march to Bristol, and embarked on his ill-timed expedition to Ireland.

Henry of Bolingbroke landed with hostile intentions at Ravenspur, in Yorkshire, July 4, the same summer, during Richard's absence. His invasion had an immediate effect on the destination of the little queen Isabella; the regent York hurried her from the castle of Windsor to the still stronger fortress of Wallingford, where she remained while England was lost by her royal lord, and won by his rival Henry of Bolingbroke.

After landing at Milford-Haven on his return from Ireland, king Richard took shelter among the Welsh castles still loyal to him. Here he might have found refuge till a reaction in his favour in England gave hopes of better times; but the king's luxurious habits made the rough living at these castles intolerable to him. Indeed, as the chronicler, De Marque, declares, "they were totally unfurnished, and that Richard had to sleep on straw during his sojourn in Wales. He endured this inconvenience for five or six nights; but, in truth, a farthing's worth of victuals was not to be found at any of them. Certes, I cannot tell the misery of the king's train, even at Caernarvon. He then returned to Conway, where he bewailed his absence from his wife,

¹ The whole of this passage is drawn from the MS. of the Ambassadors. Lady Mortimer was Eleanor Holland.

of whom he was very fond." The following seems a little poem composed by him in his tribulation: "My mistress and my consort, accursed be the man who thus separateth us! I am dying of grief because of it. My fair sister, my lady, and my sole desire! since I am robbed of the pleasure of beholding thee, such pain and affliction oppresses my whole heart, that I am oftentimes near despair. Alas, Isabel! rightful daughter of France! you were wont to be my joy, my hope, my consolation. And now I plainly see, that through the violence of fortune, which hath slain many a man, I must be deprived of you; whereat I often endure so sincere a pang that day and night I am in danger of bitter death. And it is no marvel, when I from such a height have fallen so low, and lose my joy, my solace, and my consort."¹

Henry of Bolingbroke, it is said, gained possession, by a *coup-de-main*, of 700,000*l.*, the treasury of the unfortunate Richard. With amazing celerity Henry traversed England, attended by sixty thousand Londoners and other malcontents, who had been disgusted with Richard's despotic government. With this disorderly militia, Henry presented himself before the gates of Flint-castle, where Richard and a few faithful knights remained on the defensive. Here he boldly demanded an audience with the king, who agreed to admit him, and eleven others, to pass the wicket of the castle.² Henry spoke aloud, without paying any honour or reverence to the king, asking, "Have you broken your fast?" The king answered, "No; it is yet early morn. Why do you ask?"—"It is time you should breakfast," replied Henry, "for you have a great way to ride."—"What road?" asked the king. "You must wend to London," said Henry; "and I advise you to eat and drink heartily, that you may perform the journey more gaily."—"Well," said the king, "if that is the case, let the tables be covered."

When this was done, the king washed his hands, and seated himself at table. He saw the whole country, from the windows of the castle, was covered with men-at-arms. On rising from the table, he asked his cousin who they were? "For most part Londoners," was the answer. "And what do they want?" inquired the king. "They want to take *you*," replied Henry, "and carry you prisoner to the Tower; and there is no pacifying them unless you yield yourself my prisoner." The king did so, promising to do whatever he should advise. His officers surrendered likewise to Henry, who, in the presence of the eleven that accompanied him, received the king and his attendants as prisoners.

"I heard," says Froissart, "of a singular circumstance that happened just then, which I must mention. King Richard had a greyhound, named Math, beautiful beyond description, who would not notice or follow any one but the king. Whenever Richard rode abroad, the grey-

¹ *Archæologia*, from the MS. of a French gentleman, an attendant on Richard, translated by the rev. Mr. Webbe.

Froissart.

hound was loosed by the person who had the care of him: and that instant he ran to caress his royal master, by placing his two fore-feet on his shoulders. It fell out, that as the king and his cousin, Henry of Bolingbroke, were conversing in the court-yard of Flint-castle, when their horses were preparing, the greyhound Math was untied, but instead of running as usual to king Richard, he passed him and leaped to Henry's shoulders, paying him every court, the same as he used to his own master. Henry, not acquainted with this greyhound, asked the king the meaning of his fondness? 'Cousin,' replied Richard, 'it means a great deal for you, and very little for me.'—'How?' said Henry; 'pray explain it.'—'I understand by it,' said the unfortunate king, 'that this my favourite greyhound, Math, fondles and pays his court to you this day as king of England, which you will be, and I shall be deposed, for that the natural instinct of the creature perceives. Keep him, therefore, by your side; for lo! he leaveth me, and will ever follow you.' Henry treasured up what king Richard had said, and paid attention to the greyhound Math, who would no more follow Richard of Bourdeaux, but kept by the side of Henry, as was witnessed by thirty thousand men.¹

The attendants of king Richard have chronicled the humiliations and sufferings of their royal master, on this pilgrimage of sorrow and degradation, with a more indignant pen than that of Froissart, declaring that, to grieve and break the spirit of the royal captive, his fine-spirited horses were taken from him, and he was compelled to perform every stage on sorry, miserable jades, not worth ten shillings. This was a deep mortification, since among the king's luxuries he had indulged an expensive taste for noble and costly steeds. The king attempted to escape at Lichfield, where he dropped from a window of the tower in which he slept; but was perceived, and brought by force into Lichfield castle again. As far as Coventry, parties of the king's faithful Welshmen pursued Henry of Bolingbroke's army, and harassed its rear. They were instigated and led by Richard's beloved squire and minstrel, Owen Glendower, who, from the hour when his royal patron became the prisoner of "aspiring Bolingbroke," vowed and maintained a life-long enmity against the supplanter of his king.²

The young queen found herself in the power of the usurper almost simultaneously with her unfortunate husband. Directly the news arrived that Richard had surrendered himself, the garrisons of the royal castles of Windsor and Wallingford yielded to Henry of Bolingbroke.

Froissart.

² Among the most beautiful of the Welsh melodies still exists the well-known air, "Sweet Richard." Tradition declares this melody was composed by Glendower about this time, as a tribute of regret to his unfor-

tunate prince; it was afterwards sung and played in the many risings in favour of Richard, with the same powerful effect that the celebrated Jacobite airs had on the partisans of the house of Stuart.

The young Isabella, it is said, met her luckless husband on the road, during his sad pilgrimage towards the metropolis as a captive to Henry. Their meeting and parting were tender and heart-breaking. Shakspeare has made use of this tradition with beautiful effect.

In the midst of these changes, the young queen was hurried from place to place with little rest. From Wallingford she was carried by the popular party to Leeds-castle, in Kent, where she was placed under the care of the widowed duchess of Ireland; who, having been wronged by king Richard and his late queen, was not supposed to be extremely favourable to the cause of the imprisoned monarch. As lady de Coucy was sister to the duchess, she certainly obtained access to the queen again, notwithstanding her dismissal by king Richard; for she was at Leeds-castle when the insurgent Londoners took umbrage at her vicinity to the queen of Richard, and one of their leaders thus addressed her:—"Lady, make instant preparations of departure, for we will not suffer you to remain longer here. Take care, on saying farewell to queen Isabel, that you show not any tokens of anger at our dismissing you; but tell her that your husband and daughter in France have sent to entreat your return. This we advise you to do, if you regard your life. You must ask no questions and make no remarks to the queen, on anything that is going on. You will be escorted to Dover, and embarked in the passage-boat for Boulogne." The lady de Coucy, alarmed at these menaces, and knowing those who made them to be cruel and full of hatred, replied, "That in God's name she would do as they directed."

After this dismissal, none in the young queen's service were supposed to be partial to king Richard. A new retinue was formed for her, of ladies, damsels, and varlets, who were strictly enjoined never to name Richard to her.¹ It is asserted by all French chroniclers that the heart of the young Isabella was devoted to Richard: "for he had behaved so amiably to her that she loved him entirely." While, by a cruel policy, her youthful mind was torn with the pangs of suspense and the pain of parting from her native attendants, Richard was conveyed from Shene by night and lodged secretly in the Tower, with such of his friends and ministers as were peculiarly obnoxious to the Londoners.

After enduring many mortifications at the Tower, king Richard offered to resign the crown to Henry of Bolingbroke, who immediately replied, "It is necessary that the three estates of the realm should hear this proposition; and in three days the parliaments will be collected, and can debate on the subject." So far his rejoinder was made with moderation and propriety, but he added,—“The people want to crown me; for the common report in the country is, that I have a better right to the crown than you. This was told our grandfather, king Edward, of happy memory, when he educated you, and had you

¹ Froissart, and MS. of the Ambassades.

acknowledged heir to the crown; but his love was so strong for his son, the prince of Wales, nothing could make him alter his purpose. If you had followed the example of the prince, you might still have been king; but you have always acted so contrary, as to occasion the rumour to be generally believed throughout England that you were not the son of the prince of Wales, but of a priest or canon. As for me, I will give you my protection, and will guard and preserve your life through compassion, as long as I shall be able."¹ For two hours did Henry thus converse, continuing to reproach the king with all the wrong he had ever been guilty of in the whole course of his life, especially with the deaths of Gloucester and Arundel. He then re-entered his barge, and returned to his house, and on the morrow renewed his orders for the assembling of parliament.

Richard's faithful attendant, Creton, and the manuscript of the Ambassadors, portray the unfortunate king, at intervals, with the lion-like desperation of the Plantagenets awakened in his breast. Sometimes the thoughts of his young wife, a prisoner like himself, and perhaps in equal danger, gave rise to tempests of rage, before whose sway the insolence of the usurper seems to have quailed, when in his presence. The dukes of York and Aumerle, and Henry, now called duke of Lancaster, went to the Tower, and sent the young earl of Arundel² to bid the king come to them out of his privy chamber. When this message was delivered to Richard, he replied, "Tell Henry of Lancaster from me, I shall do no such thing; if he has ought to say, let him come to me."

On entering the king's apartment, none showed any respect to him but Henry, who took off his cap, and, saluting him respectfully, said, "Here is our cousin, the duke of Aumerle, and our uncle the duke of York, who wish to speak to you." Richard answered, "Cousin, they are not fit to speak to me." "But have the goodness to hear them," said Henry. Upon which Richard uttered an oath, and exclaimed, turning to York,³ "Thou villain! what wouldst thou say to me! And thou, traitor of Rutland! thou art neither good nor worthy to speak to me, nor to bear the name of duke, earl, or knight. Thou, and the villain thy father, foully have ye betrayed me;⁴ in a cursed hour were ye born; by your false counsel was my uncle Gloucester put to death!" Aumerle replied to the king, "That he lied," and threw down his bonnet at his feet: upon which Richard exclaimed, "I am king and thy lord; and will continue king, and be greater lord than I ever was, in spite of all my enemies!" Upon this, Henry imposed silence on Aumerle.

Richard then, turning with a fierce countenance to Henry of Lancaster, asked "Why he was in confinement? and why under a guard

¹ Froissart.

² Whose father Richard had put to death.

³ Richard had left him regent of England.

Aumerle had just surrendered the loyal city of Bristol, the last hope of the unfortunate king to Henry without a struggle.

of armed men? Am I your servant, or am I your king? What do you mean to do with me?" Henry replied, "You are my king and my lord; but the council of the realm have determined that you are to be kept in confinement till the decision of parliament." The king then swore a deep oath, and said, "Let me have my wife." "Excuse me," replied Henry; "it is forbidden by the council that you should see queen Isabel." Then the king in wrath walked about the room, breaking into passionate exclamations and appeals to Heaven, called them "false traitors," offered to fight "any four of them," threw down his bonnet as a gage, spoke "of his father's and his grandfather's fame, and his reign of twenty-one years." Henry of Lancaster then fell on his knees, and besought him "to be quiet till the meeting of parliament."

Before the meeting of parliament, this burst of spirit had subsided in deep despondency. Stowe declares that Richard's abdication took place in Westminster-hall; and that, by a singular coincidence, this ceremony was the first solemnized in that building since its new erection by him. The parliament waited, sitting in Westminster-hall, the termination of the following scene, which took place at Richard's prison in the Tower. Henry rode to the Tower with a selected number of prelates, dukes, earls, and knights, and dismounted in the court-yard: while king Richard, royally robed, with the sceptre in his hand and the crown on his head, entered the hall in the Tower, but without supporters on either side, which was his usual state. He then addressed the company as follows: "I have reigned king of England, duke of Aquitaine, and lord of Ireland, about twenty-two years; which royalty, lordship, sceptre, and crown, I now freely and willingly resign to my cousin, Henry of Lancaster, and entreat of him, in the presence of you all, to accept of this sceptre." He then tendered the sceptre to Henry of Lancaster, who took it and gave it to the archbishop of Canterbury. King Richard next raised up his crown with both his hands from his head, and placing it before him, said,—“Henry, fair cousin, I present and give to you this crown, with which I was crowned king of England, and with it all the rights dependent on it.” Henry of Lancaster received the royal diadem, and delivered it over to the archbishop.

Thus was the resignation accepted. The king was led back to the apartments in the Tower from whence he had been conducted. The two jewels (the crown and sceptre) were safely packed up and given to proper guards, who placed them in the treasury of Westminster-abbey until they should be needed.¹

¹ Froissart. This narrative is in perfect unison with the ancient laws and customs of England, which ordained that St. Edward's

crown and regalia should be in the keeping of the abbot of Westminster

The news of the restraint in which the young queen of England was held had been carried by some merchants of Bruges to the coast of France, together with the account of the deposition of her husband. But when the lady de Coucy arrived, who had been attached to the household of Isabella, the whole truth was known. Directly she alighted at the hotel of her lord at Paris, the king of France sent there to hear news of his daughter: he was so much shocked at the ill-tidings she told of Isabella and her husband, that though his health had been good for some time, his agitation, on hearing of his daughter's reverse of fortune, brought back his fits of frenzy. The duke of Burgundy said, "The marriage of king Richard with Isabella was unadvised, and so I declared when it was proposed. Since the English have imprisoned king Richard, they will assuredly put him to death; for they always hated him, because he preferred peace to war. They will as certainly crown Henry of Lancaster." This prediction of the queen's uncle proved true. During the last days of September, Henry of Lancaster was recognised by the majority of the assembled parliament as king; and was magnificently crowned in October.

While this revolution was effected, the young queen was removed to Sunninghill; there she was kept a state prisoner, and sedulously misinformed regarding the events that had befallen her husband. The last hopes of king Richard had ended in despair when his cousin Aumerle had yielded the loyal city of Bristol, and his brother-in-law Huntingdon given up Calais, and sworn fealty to Henry IV. This fealty, however, only lasted six weeks. A plot was set on foot, headed by Aumerle, Huntingdon, and Salisbury, for killing Henry IV., at a tournament they were about to give at Windsor. Henry, whose health soon broke under the anxieties which beset the crown of thorns he had assumed, was sick at Windsor-castle. There was a spiked instrument concealed in his bed, for the purpose of destroying him when he lay down to rest; its introduction, says the monk of Evesham, "was attributed to one of the young queen's servants."

Richard's doom was now sealed. He was put on board a small ship by night, and taken down the Thames, landed on the coast of Yorkshire, and hurried to Pontefract-castle; meantime, the confederate lords flew to arms, and, dressing up king Richard's chaplain, Maudelain,¹ in royal robes, proclaimed that the deposed king had escaped from his gaolers. The young queen Isabella took an extraordinary part in this movement for the restoration of her husband. When the earls of Kent and Salisbury came with their forces to Sunninghill, where she was abiding, they

¹ He was exceedingly like Richard, and supposed to be an illegitimate son of one of the royal family; he was implicated in the illegal execution of the duke of Gloucester.

He had adhered to Richard with the utmost fidelity, from his landing in Wales till his capture at Flint.

told her "They had driven the usurper Bolingbroke from Windsor to the stronghold of the Tower, and that her husband had escaped, and was then in full march to meet her at the head of a hundred thousand men." Overjoyed at this news, the young queen put herself at their disposal. She took great pleasure in ordering the badges of Henry IV. to be torn from her household, and replaced by those of her royal husband; in which "harmless spite," says Hayward, "the queen Isabel took the utmost satisfaction." A proclamation was likewise issued in her name, declaring "that she did not recognise Henry of Lancaster as king." The queen then set out with her brother-in-law, the earl of Kent, and his allies, on their march to Wallingford and Abingdon. Full of joyful hope, Isabel expected every hour to meet her king triumphant at the head of a loyal army. She was with the barons when they entered the fatal town of Cirencester; but, amid the mysterious darkness which shrouds the termination of this insurrection, we lose sight of the actual manner in which the young queen was recaptured by Henry IV. Let fortune have declared for whatever party it might, disappointment alone was in store for the heart of Isabella, since the Richard, whom she hoped to meet, was but a counterfeit tricked out in royal robes to deceive the common people. The chiefs of the insurrection were betrayed by the mayor of Cirencester, and their summary execution followed in a few hours. Isabella was too young to be punished for her share in this rebellion, excepting by close restraint. She was sent, after quiet was restored, strictly guarded, to the palace of Havering-atte-Bower; and this appears to have been her place of residence during the tragical events that succeeded the insurrection, in which she took a very decided part, considering her tender age.

These transactions occurred at the end of January and the beginning of February, 1400: when the insurrection was subdued, it became a favourite topic of conversation between the knights and lords of Henry's bedchamber, who always concluded by observing on the impossibility that Henry IV. should reign peaceably while Richard II. was suffered to exist. The wily king gave no intimation that he heard these colloquies. After an abortive invasion by the count de St. Pol, Richard's brother-in-law, the king's flatterers and temptors beset him more than ever. "Yet," says Froissart, emphatically, "the king of England made no reply; but, leaving them in conversation, went to his falconers, and placing a falcon on his wrist, forgot all in feeding him." Froissart is far too courtly to acknowledge that so accomplished a knight as Henry of Lancaster ordered this foul murder; but other historians do not allow that Henry forgot all while feeding his falcon.

There are so many circumstantial details in the narrative of old Fabian concerning the death of Richard II. that there is little doubt of

its being the true history of the murder of the unhappy king. Froissart has given the opening or prologue of the tragedy; but the following relation, gathered from Fabyan and others, tells the manner in which it was played out:—King Henry, sitting one day at table, in a sighing manner said, “Have I no faithful friend who will deliver me of one, whose life will be my death, and whose death my life?” This speech was much noted of the hearers, especially by one Sir Piers¹ of Exton. This knight left the court, and, with eight persons more, went suddenly to Pontefract-castle; whither being come, he called before him the squire who was accustomed to wait on Richard at table, giving him a charge “that the king should eat as much as he would, for that now he should not long eat,” an observation proving that his food had been circumscribed. A plentiful dinner was served up to Richard, but without the usual ceremony of tasting the dishes before he commenced his meal. He asked the reason, and was told that new orders had been given by king Henry to that effect. “The devil take Henry of Lancaster and thee together!” exclaimed the king in a passion, striking the man with a carving-knife. “On that word, in rushed Sir Piers Exton with eight tall men, every man having a weapon in his hand. Richard, perceiving them, put the table back from him, and stepping up to the man next him, wrung the weapon out of his hand (a brown-bill), and therewith right valiantly defended himself; so that, in conclusion, four of them he slew outright. Sir Piers, amazed thereat, leaped upon the chair where king Richard usually sat (some authorities say it was a curiously-carved stone-chair): while the king was fiercely striving for conquest with the four surviving ruffians, and chasing them round the chamber, he passed near to the chair whereon Sir Piers had gotten, who with a pole-axe smote him on the back of the head, and, withal, ridded him of his life in an instant.”

Thus, battling like a champion of proof, in the full exercise of mighty energies awakened by despair, fell the son of the Black Prince, at the early age of thirty-two: he died instantly, in the triumphant flush of victory against fearful odds. The gallantry of his death seems, in the minds of his combative nobles, to have absterged the stain of illegitimacy, with which his rival had basely taunted him. “Richard of Bordeaux, when dead, was placed on a litter covered with black cloth, and a canopy of the same. Four black horses were harnessed to it, and four varlets in mourning conducted the litter, followed by four knights, dressed also in mourning,” Sir Piers being doubtless one of the knights, and the varlets the worthy survivors of Richard’s eight assailants. “They thus paraded the streets, at a foot’s pace, till they came to the Chepe, which is the greatest thoroughfare in the city, and there they halted for upwards of two hours. More than twenty thousand persons

¹ There was a lord mayor, one of Richard’s opposers, called Sir Thomas Exton.

came to see king Richard, who lay in the litter, his head on a black cushion,¹ and his face uncovered."

Thus was queen Isabella left a widow in her thirteenth year. The death of her royal lord was concealed from her a considerable time but she learned the murderous manner of it soon enough to reject, with horror, all offers of union with the heir of Lancaster. Young as she was, Isabella gave proofs of a resolute and decisive character: traits of firm and faithful affection were shown by this youthful queen, which captivated the minds of the English, and caused her to be made the heroine of many an historical ballad,—a species of literature the people of the land much delighted in at that time. The young widow remained in a state of captivity at Havering-Bower, while her royal father in France was labouring under a long and dolorous fit or insanity, brought on by anxiety for his daughter's fate. The French council of regency demanded the immediate restoration of the young queen; but Henry IV. would not hear of it, answering, "That she should reside in England like other queen-dowagers, in great honour, on her dower; and that if she had unluckily lost a husband, she should be provided with another forthwith, who would be young, handsome, and every way deserving of her love. Richard of Bourdeaux was too old for her, but the person now offered was suitable in every respect; being no other than the prince of Wales."² Yet she was inflexible in her rejection of Henry, prince of Wales, and mourned her murdered husband in a manner exceedingly touching, as all who approached her, French or English, bore witness.³ In reply to Henry IV.'s proposition, the French regency declared "that during the grievous illness of their lord king Charles, they could not give away his eldest daughter without his consent." Therefore months passed away, and the maiden queen-dowager still continued a mourning widow in the bowers of Havering. It is recorded that king Henry and his princely heir did, in that interval, all in their power to win her constant heart from the memory of Richard; but in vain. She was just of the age to captivate the fancy of an ardent young prince like Henry of Monmouth; nor can there exist a doubt, by the extreme pertinacity with which he wooed the widow of his cousin, that she was beloved by him. Reports were afloat that Richard was alive. History still retains them; but too much stress has been laid on the fact, that Richard's skull was found entire, when his tomb was examined in Westminster-abbey. Let the antiquaries consult medical authorities, and they will find that instant death may ensue from a concussion on the brain, without the bone of the head being broken: and how easy it was, if the king had, indeed, been only stunned, for his assassins, to compress his mouth and nostrils, so that

¹ Froissart, Hayward, and Brayley. The black cushion is mentioned by another witness; it was probably to conceal any accidental effusion of blood.

² Froissart

³ Monstrelet.

the return of respiration was prevented. The assertion of Richard the Second's escape from the bloody towers of Pontefract is annihilated by the efforts of Henry IV. to gain the hand of Isabella for his son. "Would Henry," asks an historical antiquary, "have been so desirous for the marriage of his heir with the widow of Richard, had he not been certain, beyond all doubt, that her husband was dead?" He would not surely have promoted a marriage which would have illegitimated the heirs of Lancaster.

When Charles VI. recovered his senses, he sent the count d'Albret to inquire into the situation of Isabella. King Henry and his council were at Eltham, where the French ambassador was splendidly entertained by him. He told Henry he had been sent by the king and queen of France to see the young queen their daughter. Henry IV. replied, "We no way wish to prevent you from seeing her; but you must promise, on oath, that neither yourself, nor any of your company, speak to her any thing concerning Richard of Bourdeaux. Should you do otherwise, you will greatly offend us and the whole country, and remain in peril of your lives while here." Not long after this, the earl of Northumberland carried count d'Albret to Havering-atte-Bower, where Isabella then resided. She was attended by the duchess of Ireland, the duchess of Gloucester, her two daughters, and other ladies and damsels as companions. The earl introduced the French embassy to the young queen, who conversed some time with them, asking eagerly many questions after her royal parents. They kept the promise they had made, by never mentioning king Richard, and returned to London after a short interview. At Eltham, on their way home, they dined with king Henry, who presented them with some rich jewels. When they took leave, he said, amicably, "Tell those who sent you that the queen shall never suffer the smallest harm, or any disturbance, but shall keep up a state and dignity becoming her birth and rank, and enjoy all her rights; for, young as she is, she ought not to be made acquainted with *all* the changes that happen in this world."¹

The council of Henry IV., meantime, anxiously deliberated on the destination of the young queen.² It came at last to the decision, that Isabella had no right to claim revenue as queen-dowager of England; but that, as no accommodation could be effected by the marriage with the prince of Wales, she ought to be restored to her friends directly, with all the jewels and paraphernalia that she brought with her. But on this point a grand difficulty arose, for Henry IV. had seized the little queen's jewels, and divided them among his six children, the prince of Wales having the greatest share. The king wrote to his council, declaring "that he had commanded his son and other children to give up the jewels of their dear cousin queen Isabella, and that

¹ Froissart.² Minutes of the Privy Council, edited by Sir Harris Nicolas.

they were to be sent to London." But intention and performance are very different matters: "the dear cousin's jewels" were never returned, according to the testimony of the queen's uncle, Orleans, and the French treaties between Henry V. and Charles VI. Nor are they named with her property specified in her journey to Leulinghen; yet in the schedule her silver drinking-cup, a few silver saucers and dishes, with a little old tapestry, are pompously enumerated. It is worthy of remark, to show the extreme parsimony of Henry, that an item demanding new clothes for the young queen and her maids of honour, with cloth for their charrettes or chariots, is sharply met by the answer, "that the king's wardrobe had given out all that he intended."

Queen Isabella set out for London, May 27, accompanied by the duchess of Ireland, and the countess of Hereford (mother to the duchess of Gloucester, the widow of the slaughtered Thomas of Woodstock). To these ladies was consigned the custody of Isabella's person. The sweetness of her disposition had certainly converted these natural enemies into loving friends, as will presently be shown. Next in rank to these great ladies in the train of Isabella was Eleanor Holland, the young widow of Roger, earl of March, slain in Ireland, whose son was heir of England *de jure*: she had been appointed governess to the queen by Richard II., and still adhered to her, though merely classed now among her ladies of honour. The other ladies were lady Poynings, lady Mowbray, and madame de Vache. Isabella had likewise seven maids of honour, and two French chambermaids, Simonette and Marianne: the French chamberlain was monsieur de Vache.¹ She had a confessor and a secretary. She was escorted by the bishops of Durham and Hereford, and by the earl of Somerset, Henry IV.'s half-brother, with four knights-banneret and six chevaliers.

With this train and escort the young queen set out from Havering. At Tottenham-cross, she was met by the late lord chamberlain, the earl of Worcester, with a gallant company, who joined her train. The lord mayor and his viscounts (as the aldermen were then called), with other good people of the city, met her at "Sandford-hill," and, falling in with her procession, guarded her to London. At Hackney, prince Thomas, second son to Henry IV., met the young queen, and honourably accompanied her to London, assisted by the constable of England, the marshal, and other great officers. It is supposed Isabella tarried at the Tower from the day of her London entry, for she did not sail for France till July 1st following, when three ballingers and two armed barges were appointed to receive her and her suite at Dover.

July was far advanced before the maiden widow of Richard II. was restored to her parents; during which time Henry IV. and his son tried

¹ Minutes of the Privy Council, edited by Sir Harris Nicolas.

every means in their power to shake her childish constancy to the memory of Richard ; but her "steady aversion," as Monstrelet calls her refusal, remained the same. The situation of this child was extraordinary ; and her virtuous firmness more probable in a royal heroine of twenty-eight than in one who had seen little more than half as many summers. At last, the usurper resolved to restore the young widow to France, but refused to return her dowry, saying, that as a great favour he would agree to deduct its amount from the sum total that France still owed England for the ransom of king John. The jewels of the young queen he likewise retained, although it was expressly stipulated by the will of king Richard that, in case of his death, the rich jewels his little wife had brought from France should be restored to her. Henry could not plead ignorance of his cousin's testament, since the poor king's will, while he was yet alive, had been broken open to furnish articles of accusation against him.

The royal virgin was approaching her fifteenth year when thus plundered ; and, wearing the deep weeds of widowhood, she embarked at Dover for Calais, escorted by the same Sir Thomas Percy who had attended her as chamberlain during her espousals : he was afterwards the earl of Worcester in the Percy rebellion. Notwithstanding the fact that his family had been "the ladder wherewithal the mounting Bolingbroke ascended the throne of Richard," there is little doubt that sir Thomas Percy's heart ever beat loyally towards his rightful master, for he was bathed in tears during the time he thus conducted the young widow of Richard to her native shores.

Leulinghen, a town between Boulogne and Calais, a sort of frontier ground of the English territory, was the spot appointed for the restoration of Isabella to her uncle of Burgundy. "It was on the 26th of July, 1402, when Sir Thomas Percy, with streaming tears, took the young queen by the arm, and delivered her with good grace into the hands of Waleran, count St. Pol, surnamed 'the Righteous,' and received certain letters of quittance for her from the French. In these the English commissioners declared that the young queen was just as she had been received, and Percy offered to fight, *à l'outrance*, any one who should assert the contrary."¹ To do the French justice, they could not have welcomed back their young princess-royal with more enthusiasm and loyalty if she had been dowered with all the wealth of England, instead of returning destitute, and plundered of all but her beauty and honour.

The virtues and sweet temper of the youthful queen had won the affections of her English ladies, for our manuscript pursues,—“Know,

¹ MS. of the Ambassadors. Hall's Chronicle says, Percy took a regular receipt for the queen that she had been safely delivered

worded somewhat like a receipt for a sale of merchandise.

before the parties separated, they all wept most piteously, and when they came to quit the chapel of Our Lady at Leulinghen, queen Isabel, whose young heart is full of tenderness and kindness, brought all her English ladies, who were making sore lamentations, unto the French tents, where she made them dine with her. And after dinner, queen Isabel took all the jewels she had remaining, and divided them among the lords and ladies of England who had accompanied her, who all, nevertheless, wept mightily with sorrow at parting with their young queen. Yet still she sweetly bade them 'be of good cheer, though weeping herself; nevertheless, at the moment of parting, all renewed their lamentations. The damsel of Montpensier, sister to the count de la Marche, the damsel of Luxembourg, sister to the count de St. Pol, and many other noble ladies, were sent by the queen of France to wait upon her daughter. Then the count St. Pol led her to the dukes of Burgundy and Bourbon, who with a large company of armed men were waiting, intending, if any demur had taken place regarding the restoration of their niece, to have charged the English party over hill and over valley, and taken her back by force to her 'fair sire' the king of France."¹

She was received by her countrymen with every honour, and thence escorted to Boulogne and to Abbeville, where the duke of Burgundy to celebrate her return, made a grand banquet. She then proceeded through France to Paris, "where her coming caused many a tear and many a smile. Most kindly was she received by the king and queen of France; but though it was pretended by king Henry that she was restored with every honour, there was not any revenue or dower assigned her from England as queen-dowager." Her uncle, the duke of Orleans, surpassed all her friends in his attention to her. His presents, the year of her return, on New-year's day, were very costly; among them was a gold image of St. Katherine, garnished with three sapphires and thirty-seven pearls. The duke likewise was anxious to obtain the maiden queen as a bride for his promising heir. He sent a challenge to Henry IV., defying him as the plunderer of the young queen and the murderer of her husband, and offering to fight him in the lists. Henry coldly replied, "He knew of no precedent which offered the example of a crowned king entering the lists to fight a duel with a subject, however high the rank of that subject might be. And as for the murder of his dear lord and cousin King Richard (whom God absolve!), God knows how and by whom that death was done; but if you mean to say his death was caused by our order or consent, we answer that you lie, and will lie foully oft as you say so." Here is complete admission that Richard was dead, and died by violence.

¹ Monstrelet and MS. of the Ambassadors.

LOUIS, DUKE OF ORLEANS, TO HENRY.¹

"How could you suffer my much-redoubted lady, the queen of England, to return so desolate to this country, after the death of her lord, despoiled by your rigour and cruelty of her dower, which you detain from her, and likewise of the portion which she carried hence on the day of her marriage? The man who seeks to gain honour, is always the defender of the rights of widows and damsels of virtuous life, such as my niece was known to lead; and as I am so nearly related to her, that, acquitting myself toward God, and toward her as a relation, I reply that I am ready to meet you in single combat.

"I return you thanks, in the name of my party, for the greater care you take of their healths, than you have done of that of your sovereign liege lord (Richard II.).

"That you may be assured this letter has been written by me, I have put to it the seal of my arms, and signed it with my own hand, on the morrow of the feast of Our Lady, March 26."

This letter stung Henry IV. to the bitterest retorts. His answer is, however, a series of falsehoods, as his own privy council journals can prove. The pertinacity of Henry IV. to gain the "sweet young queen" as a daughter-in-law was not overcome even by this furious correspondence with her uncle. In the year 1406, according to Monstrelet, he proposed that if the hand of Isabella (now in her eighteenth year) were bestowed on his son, he would abdicate the English crown in favour of the young prince.² The council of France sat in debate on this offer for a long time; but Louis, duke of Orleans, contended that he had the promise of the hand of Isabella for his son Charles, and spoke of the "steady aversion" of his niece to ally herself with the assassin of the husband she still loved. An unfavourable answer was therefore given to the English ambassadors, who departed discontent. The betrothment of Isabella to her youthful cousin Charles, took place at Compiègne, where her mother, queen Isabeau, met the duke of Orleans and his son. Magnificent fêtes took place at the ceremony, consisting of "banquets, dancing, jousts, and other jollities." But the bride wept bitterly while her hand was pledged to a bridegroom so much younger than herself; the court maliciously declared that her tears flowed on account of her losing the title of queen of England; but the heart of the fair young widow had been too severely schooled in adversity to mourn over a mere empty name.³ Her thoughts were on king Richard.

The husband of Isabella became duke of Orleans in 1407, when his father was atrociously murdered in the Rue Barbette, by his kinsman the

¹ Abstract from the letter.—Monstrelet, illuminated ed. vol. i. p. 20.

² Giffard's History of France.

³ Monstrelet, and the Chronicles of St. Denis.

duke of Burgundy. Isabella took a decided part in demanding justice to be executed on the powerful assassin of her uncle and father-in-law.¹ "The young queen-dowager of England came with her mother-in-law, Violante of Milan, duchess of Orleans, both dressed in the deepest weeds of black. They arrived without the walls of Paris in a *charrette* covered with black cloth, drawn by six snow-white steeds, whose funeral trappings strongly contrasted with their colour. Isabella and her mother-in-law sat weeping in the front of the carriage; a long file of mourning cars, filled with the domestics of the princesses, followed. They were met at the gates by most of the princes of the blood.² This lugubrious train passed, at a foot's pace, through the streets of that capital. The gloomy appearance of the procession, the downcast looks of the attendants, the flowing tears of the princesses, for a short time excited the indignation of the Parisians against the popular murderer, John of Burgundy. Isabella alighted at the gates of the hôtel de St. Pol, where, throwing herself at the feet of her half-crazed father, she demanded, in concert with the duchess Violante, justice on the assassin of her uncle. The unfortunate king of France was thrown into fresh agonies of delirium by the violent excitement produced by the sight of his suppliant daughter and sister-in-law. A year afterwards the same mournful procession traversed Paris again; Isabella again joined Violante in crying for justice, not to the unconscious king who was raving in delirium, but to her brother, the dauphin Louis, whose feeble hands held the reins of empire his father had dropped. Soon after, Isabella attended the deathbed of the duchess Violante, who died positively of a broken heart for the loss of Orleans. The following year Isabella was married to her cousin: the previous ceremony had been only betrothment. The cultivated mind of this prince soon made the difference of the few years between his age and that of his bride forgotten. Isabella loved her husband entirely: he was that celebrated poet-duke of Orleans, whose beautiful lyrics are still reckoned among the classics of France. Just as Isabella seemed to have attained the height of human felicity, adored by the most accomplished prince in Europe, beloved by his family, and with no present alloy in her cup of happiness, death claimed her as his prey in the bloom of her life. She expired at the castle of Blois, in her twenty-second year, a few hours after the birth of her infant child, Sept. 13th, 1410.³ Her husband's grief amounted to frenzy; but after her infant was brought to him by her attendants, he shed tears, and became calmer while caressing it. The first verses of Orleans that attained celebrity were poured forth by his grief. He says,—

¹ Chronicles of St. Denis.

² Ibid.

³ Isabella's infant was a little girl, who was reared and afterwards married to the duke of Bourbon.

"Alas,
 Death! who made thee so bold,
 To take from me my lovely princess?
 Who was my comfort, my life,
 My good, my pleasure, my riches!
 Alas! I am lonely, bereft of my mate.
 Adieu, my lady, my lily!
 Our loves are for ever severed."

The word *lily* alludes here to the armorial bearings of France; but a more finished lyric to the memory of Isabella thus commences in French: *J'ai fait l'obsèques de Madame*. This expression, *madame*, simply denotes the title of Isabella; she was Madame of France, both as eldest daughter to the king, and wife to the second prince of France.

TRANSLATION.

"To make my lady's obsequies
 My love a minster wrought,
 And in the chantry service there
 Was sung by doleful thought.
 The tapers were of burning sighs
 That life and odour gave;
 And grief, illumined by tears,
 Irradiated her grave:
 And round about, in quaintest guise,
 Was carved,—" Within this tomb there lies
 The fairest thing to mortal eyes."
 Above her lieth spread a tomb
 Of gold and sapphires blue:
 The gold doth show her blessedness,
 The sapphires mark her true;
 For blessedness and truth in her
 Were livelily portray'd.
 When gracious God, *with both his hands*,
 Her wondrous beauty made,
 She was, to speak without disguise,
 The fairest thing to mortal eyes.
 No more, no more; my heart doth faint,
 When I the life recall
 Of her who lived so free from taint,
 So virtuous deem'd by all;
 Who in herself was so complete,
 I think that she was ta'en
 By God to deck his Paradise,
 And with his saints to reign;
 For well she doth become the skies,
 Whom, while on earth, each one did prize
 The fairest thing to mortal eyes."

Thus passionately mourned in death by her husband, Isabella was happy in closing her eyes before the troublous era commenced, when sorrow and disgrace overwhelmed her family and her country. The infamy of her mother had not reached its climax during the life of Isabella. Charles of Orleans, by the peculiar malice of fortune, was doomed to a long imprisonment by the very man who had so often been

refused by his wife,—a circumstance not altogether forgotten by Henry V. The husband of Isabella, after fighting desperately at Agincourt, was left for dead on the lost field; but, dragged from beneath a heap of slain, he was restored to unwelcome life by the care of a valiant English squire, Richard Waller. Orleans refused to eat or drink after recovering from his swoon; but was persuaded out of his resolution of starving himself to death by the friendly remonstrance of Henry V. His wounds healed, and he was seen riding side by side with his conqueror and kinsman, conversing in the most friendly terms, a few days after the victory of Agincourt. But after thus reconciling his unfortunate captive to life, Henry refused all ransom for him, because he was the next heir to the throne of France after Charles the dauphin. Orleans was sent to England, and at first confined at Groombridge, in Kent; but afterwards consigned to a severe imprisonment in the Tower of London, where he composed some of his most beautiful poems. It was well that his fine mind possessed resources in itself, for his captivity lasted twenty-three years!

Isabella was first interred at Blois, in the abbey of St. Saumer, where her body was found entire in 1624, curiously lapped in bands of linen, plated over with quicksilver. It was soon after transferred to the church of the Celestines, in Paris, the family burying-place of the line of Orleans, now desecrated and in ruins. The engraving in the illustrated edition of this work is from an illuminated MS. among the Harleian collection, representing her as the bride of Charles duke of Orleans.¹ Her coronet is the circle of fleurs-de-lis of a French princess, and she merely wears the jacket-bodice of blue velvet figured with fleurs-de-lis, and trimmed with white miniver: not a single jewel adorns the person of queen Isabella, save the few in her coronal-circlet; her hair is worn dishevelled, as was then the custom of maiden brides when they approached the altar.

¹ See the eight-volume edition of this work.

JOANNA OF NAVARRE,

QUEEN OF HENRY IV.

CHAPTER I.

JOANNA, or Jane of Navarre, the consort of Henry IV., is one of those queens of England whose records, as connected with the history of this country, are of a very obscure and mysterious character yet the events of her life, when traced through foreign chronicles and unpublished sources of information, are replete with interest, forming an unprecedented chapter in the history of female royalty. She was the second daughter of Charles, king of Navarre, by the princess Jane of France, daughter of king John, the gallant and unfortunate opponent of Edward III. The evil deeds of Joanna's father had entailed upon him the unpopular cognomen of "Charles the Bad." This prince, being the son of the daughter and sole offspring of Louis X. of France, from whom he inherited the little kingdom of Navarre, the appanage of his great-grandmother, queen Jane, fancied, he had more right to the throne of France than Philip of Valois, to whom, in consequence of the inexorable Salic law, the regal succession had reverted. It is certain he had a nearer claim to the throne of his grandfather and uncle than Edward III., who only derived his descent from Isabella of France, the sister of these princes, and even if the Salic law had not existed, could have had no legal pretension to supersede the son of her brother's daughter. Charles le Mauvais, having neither the resources nor the energies of the mighty Edward of England, made no open struggle, but played a treacherous game between him and Philip of Valois, in the hope of establishing himself by his crooked policy on the disputed throne of his grandfather.¹ His intrigues and crimes rendered the childhood of Joanna and her brethren a season of painful vicissitudes.

Joanna was contracted in the year 1380 to John, the heir of Castile,

¹ He is accused, by contemporary historians, of practising the dark mysteries of the occult sciences in the unhallowed privacy of

his own palace; and it is certain that as a poisoner, Charles of Navarre acquired an infamous celebrity throughout Europe.

at the same time her eldest brother Charles was married to the sister of that prince. Political reasons induced John, on the death of the king his father, to break his engagement with her, and wed a princess of Arragon. Meantime, Charles le Mauvais, having embroiled himself with the regents of France, sent Joanna and her brothers, for greater security, to the castle of Breteuil, in Normandy. In the year 1381 they were captured and carried to Paris, where they were detained as hostages for their father's future conduct. Finding his entreaties for their liberation fruitless, Charles, out of revenge, suborned a person to poison both the regents. The emissary was detected and put to death, but Charles, the greater criminal of the two, was out of the reach of justice.¹ Joanna and her brothers might have been imperilled by the lawless conduct of their father, had they not been in the hands of generous foes,—the brothers of their deceased mother; but though detained for a considerable time as state-prisoners in Paris, they were affectionately and honourably treated by the court of France. Their liberation was finally obtained through the mediation of the king of Castile, whose sister, the bride of young Charles of Navarre, with unceasing tears and supplications wrought upon him to intercede for their release.

In the year 1386, a marriage was negotiated between Joanna and John de Montfort, duke of Bretagne, surnamed "the Valiant." This prince, who was in the decline of life, had already been twice married.² On the death of his last duchess, without surviving issue, the dukes of Berri and Burgundy, fearing the duke would contract another English alliance, proposed their niece, Joanna of Navarre, to him for a wife.³ The lady Jane of Navarre, Joanna's aunt, had married, seven years previously, the viscount de Rohan, a vassal and kinsman of the duke of Bretagne, and it was through the agency of this lady that the marriage between her new sovereign and her youthful niece was brought about.⁴ The Duke of Bretagne having been induced, by the representations of the lady of Rohan and the nobles attached to the cause of France, to lend a favourable ear to the overtures for this alliance, demanded Joanna's hand of her father, and gave commission to Pierre de Lesnerac to man and appoint a vessel of war to convey the young princess to the shores of Bretagne.

The contract of marriage between the duke of Bretagne and Joanna was signed at Pampeluna, August 25th, 1386. The king of Navarre engaged to give his daughter 120,000 livres of gold of the coins of the kings of France, and 6000 livres of the rents due to him on the lands

¹ Mezerai. Moreri.

² First to Mary Plantagenet, the daughter of his royal patron and protector, Edward III., with whose sons he had been educated and taught the science of war. Mary dying

without children in the third year of her marriage, he espoused, secondly, Jane Holland, the half-sister of Richard II. of England.

³ Dom. Morice, Chron. de Bretagne.

⁴ Ibid.

of the viscount d'Avranches.¹ The duke, on his side, assigned to the princess, for her dower, the cities of Nantes and Guerrand, the barony of Rais, of Touffon, and Guerehe. Joanna then departed with Pierre de Lesnerac and her escort for Bretagne; and, on the 11th of September, 1386, was married to the duke of Bretagne at Saillé, near Guerrand, in the presence of the nobles of his court.² A succession of feasts and pageants of the most splendid description were given by the duke of Bretagne at Nantes, in honour of his nuptials with his young bride.

In the beginning of the new year, February 1387, "in token of their mutual affection and delight in their union, the duke and duchess exchanged gifts of gold, sapphires, pearls, and other costly gems, with horses, falcons, and various sorts of wines."³ Joanna appears to have possessed the greatest influence over her husband's heart; and to have been treated by him with the fondest consideration on all occasions, although her father never paid the portion he had engaged to give her. The death of that prince, which took place the same year, was attended with circumstances of peculiar horror. He had long been suffering from a complication of maladies, and in the hope of recovering his paralytic limbs from their mortal chillness, he caused his whole person to be sewn up in cloths dipped in spirits of wine and sulphur. One night, after these bandages had been fixed, neither knife nor scissors being at hand, the careless attendants applied the flame of a candle to sever the thread with which the linen had been sewn; the spirits of wine instantly ignited, and the wretched Charles was burned so dreadfully, that, after lingering several days, he expired⁴ January 1st, 1387, leaving his throne to his gallant patriotic son, Charles the Good, and his name to the general reprobation of all French chroniclers. The Bretons who had boded no good either to themselves or to their duke from his connexion with this prince, far from sympathizing with the grief of their young duchess for the tragical death of her last surviving parent, rejoiced in the deliverance of the earth from a monster whose crimes had rendered him a disgrace to royalty.

The last bad act of the life of Charles le Mauvais had been, to insinuate to his irascible son-in-law that Oliver de Clisson entertained a criminal passion for Joanna;⁵ and this idea excited in his mind a thirst for vengeance, which nearly involved him, and all connected with him, in ruin. In early life, John the Valiant and Clisson had been united in the tenderest ties of friendship, and the courage and military skill of Clisson had greatly contributed to the establishment of this prince's claims to the dukedom of Bretagne. Latterly, however, Clisson had opposed the duke's political predilections in favour of England, as inju-

¹ Dom. Morice, *Chron de Bretagne*.² *Ibid.*³ *Ibid.*⁴ Froissart.⁵ MS. Process against the king of Navarre.

rious to their own country ; and he had further caused great offence to the duke by ransoming, at his own expense, John, count de Penthievre, the rival claimant of the duchy, from his long captivity in England, and marrying him to his eldest daughter and coheiress, Margaret de Clisson, just at the time when there appeared a prospect of the duchess Joanna bringing an heir to Bretagne.

Clisson was the commander of the armament preparing by France for the invasion of England, which was to sail from Treguer, in Bretagne, the king and regents of France imagining they had wholly secured the friendship of the duke by his marriage with their young kinswoman, Joanna of Navarre. Their plans were completely frustrated by the unexpected arrest of Clisson by the duke.¹ Dissembling the deadly malice of his intentions under the deceitful blandishments with which the fell designs of hatred are so frequently masked, he wrote the most affectionate letters to the constable, requesting his presence, as a vassal peer of Bretagne, at a parliament which he had summoned to meet at Vannes, where his duchess was then holding her court at the castle de la Motte.²

Suspecting no ill, the constable came with other nobles and knights to attend this parliament. The duke gave a grand dinner to the barons of Bretagne at his castle de la Motte, and entertained them with an appearance of the most affectionate hospitality till a late hour. The constable of France³ then invited the duke and the same company to dine with him on the following day. The duke accepted the invitation very frankly, and behaved in the most friendly manner, seating himself among the guests, with whom he ate, drank, and conversed with every appearance of good-will. When the repast was concluded, he invited the constable Clisson, the lord de Beaumanoir, and some others, to come with him and see the improvements made by him at his fine castle of Ermine, which he had nearly rebuilt and greatly beautified on the occasion of his late marriage with the princess of Navarre. The duke's behaviour had been so gracious and winning, that his invitation was frankly accepted, and the unsuspecting nobles accompanied him on horseback to the castle. When they arrived, the duke, the constable, and the lords Laval and Beaumanoir dismounted, and began to view the apartments. The duke led the constable by the hand from chamber to chamber, and even into the cellars, where wine was offered. When they reached the entrance of the keep, the duke paused, and invited Clisson to enter and examine the construction of the building, while he remained in conversation with the lord de Laval.⁴ The constable entered the tower alone, and ascended the staircase. When he had passed the first floor, some armed men, who had been ambushed there, shut the door below, seized him, dragged him into an apartment, and loaded him with three pair of fetters. As they were putting them on they

¹ Froissart. *Chron. de Bretagne.*² Froissart.*Ibid.*⁴ *Ibid.*

said, "My lord, forgive what we are doing, for we are compelled to this by the authority of the duke of Bretagne."

When the lord de Laval, who was at the entrance of the tower, heard the door shut with violence, he was afraid of some plot against his brother-in-law, the constable; and turning to the duke, who looked as pale as death, was confirmed that something wrong was intended, and cried out,—“Ah, my lord! what are they doing? Do not use any violence against the constable.”—“Lord de Laval,” said the duke, “mount your horse, and go home while you may. I know very well what I am about.”¹ —“My lord,” said Laval, “I will never depart without my brother-in-law, the constable.”

Then came the lord de Beaumanoir, whom the duke greatly hated, and asked, “Where the constable was?” The duke, drawing his dagger, advanced to him and said, “Beaumanoir, dost thou wish to be like thy master?”—“My lord,” replied Beaumanoir, “I cannot believe my master to be otherwise than in good plight.” “I ask thee again, if thou wouldst wish to be like him?” reiterated the duke.—“Yes, my lord,” replied Beaumanoir. “Well, then, Beaumanoir,” said the duke, holding the dagger towards him by the point, “since thou wouldst be like him, thou must thrust out one of thine eyes.”²

This taunt on the personal defect of the constable came with a worse grace from the ungrateful duke, since Clisson had lost his eye while fighting bravely in his cause at the battle of Auray. The lord de Beaumanoir, seeing from the expression of the duke's countenance that things were taking a bad turn, cast himself on his knee, and began to expostulate with him on the treachery of his conduct towards the constable and himself. “Go, go!” interrupted the duke; “thou shalt have neither better nor worse than he.” He then ordered Beaumanoir to be arrested,³ dragged into another room, and loaded with fetters, his animosity against him almost equalling his hatred to Clisson.

The duke then called to him the sieur Bazvalen, in whom he had the greatest confidence, and ordered him to put the constable to death at midnight, as privately as possible. Bazvalen represented in vain the perilous consequences that would ensue; but the duke said “he had resolved upon it, and would be obeyed.” During the night, however, his passion subsiding, he repented of having given such orders; and at daybreak sent for Bazvalen, and asked if his directions had been obeyed.⁴ On being answered in the affirmative, he cried out, “How! is Clisson dead?”—“Yes, my lord; he was drowned last night, and his body is buried in the garden,” said Bazvalen. “Alas!” replied the duke, “this is a most pitiful good-morrow. Begone, messire Jehan! and never let me see you more!”⁵ As soon as Bazvalen had retired, the

¹ Froissart. ² Ibid. ³ Ibid. ⁴ Dom. Morice's History of Bretagne. ⁵ Ibid.
prisoner could be quietly drowned in his dungeon, by letting in the waters of the moat.

duke abandoned himself to agonies of remorse; he groaned and cried aloud in his despair, till his squires, valets, and officers of the household flew to his succour, supposing he was suffering intense bodily pain, but no one dared to speak to him, and he refused to receive food. Bazvalen, being informed of his state, returned to him, and said, "My lord, as I know the cause of your misery, I believe I can provide a remedy, since there is a cure for all things."—"Not for death," replied the duke. Bazvalen then told him, that foreseeing the consequences and the remorse he would feel if the blind dictates of his passion had been obeyed, he had not executed his commands, and that the constable was still alive. "What! messire Jehan, is he not dead?" exclaimed the duke, and falling on Bazvalen's neck, embraced him in an ecstasy of joy. The lord de Laval then entering, renewed his supplications for the life of his brother-in-law Clisson, reminding the duke, in a very touching manner, of the early friendship that had subsisted between them when they were educated together in the same hotel with the duke of Lancaster, and what good service Clisson had since done him at the battle of Auray; and ended with imploring the duke to name any ransom he pleased for his intended victim.¹ This was touching the right string; for the fury of the duke abated like that of "ancient Pistol" at the allusion to the crowns, and he demanded 100,000 florins, the strong town of Jugon, and several of the constable's castles, as the conditions of his release.

The lord de Laval then obtained an order from the duke for admittance to Clisson, for the gate of the keep was locked, and the keys were in the duke's chamber. Clisson, who was fettered down to the floor in momentary expectation of death, felt his spirits revive at the sight of his faithful brother-in-law; and extravagant as the terms were which the duke of Bretagne had named, he offered no objection to them, verifying the Satanic aphorism, "that every thing a man hath he will give for his life." Clisson and Beaumanoir were then released from their fetters, and refreshed with wine and a plentiful repast. It should seem they had been kept on meagre fare in their dungeons in Ermine-castle till the murderous ire of John the Valiant was overcome, partly by the remorseful feelings which had disturbed his mind as soon as he supposed the crime had been perpetrated, and partly by the prospect of so much unexpected plunder as the florins, the castles and the town, which had been guaranteed as the price of his relenting.

In four days' time the conditions were performed, on the part of the constable, by the lords de Laval and Beaumanoir. The duke of Bretagne was put into possession of the town of Jugon, the châteaux Broc, Joscelyn, and Le Blanc, and the hundred thousand florins were paid into his exchequer;² but, like most of the gains of iniquity, these

¹ Froissart.² Ibid.

acquisitions were of little ultimate advantage to the duke. The arrest of the constable, though it only lasted four days, had the effect of averting the threatened invasion from the shores of England; for, as he was the commander-in-chief of the expedition, the officers of the armament, some of whom had joined it reluctantly from the first, allowed their men to disband themselves, and before their general was released from his perilous but brief captivity within the walls of Ermine, the whole force had melted away and dispersed.

Clisson carried his complaints to the court of France; and while a general feeling of indignation was excited at the baseness of the duke of Bretagne's conduct on this occasion, there were not wanting those whose invidious feelings towards the innocent duchess led them to glance at her as the prompter of the deed, by recalling to the attention of the enemies of her house how France had been once before agitated by the assassination of Sir Charles d'Espaign, the then constable of France, by her father, the late king of Navarre.¹ Stern remonstrances were addressed to the duke of Bretagne, in the name of his young sovereign, by the regents of France; but so far from making the slightest reparation for the outrages of which he had been guilty, John the Valiant told the bishop of Langres, and the other envoys from the court of France, "that the only thing of which he repented was, that he had not slain the constable when he had him in his power."² This insolent reply was followed by a declaration of war from France. "He expected nothing less, but his hatred against Clisson was so great, that it deprived him of the use of his reason."³ In fact, the frantic lengths to which this feeling carried him can only be accounted for on the grounds of the jealousy which the incendiary insinuations of the late king of Navarre had excited in his mind. The conduct of the duchess was, however, so prudent and irreproachable, that she appears, from first to last, to have enjoyed the undivided affection and esteem of her lord. During this stormy period she resided with him at the strong castle of De la Motte; but they seldom ventured beyond the walls of Vannes for fear of ambuscades. The duke garrisoned and victualled the principal towns and castles in his dominions, and entered into a strict alliance with the young king of Navarre, Joanna's brother, whom he promised to assist in recovering his Norman dominions, if he would unite with him and the English against the French.⁴

In the midst of these troubles Joanna was delivered of her first-born child at the castle of Nantes,—a daughter, who was baptized by the bishop of Vannes, and received the name of Joanna.⁵ The infant only survived a few months. The grief of the youthful duchess for this bereavement was at length mitigated by a prospect of her bringing an

¹ Froissart.² *Ibid.*³ *Ibid.* Chron. de Bretagne.⁴ Froissart.⁵ Actes de Bretagne. Dom. Morice. MS. Ecclesiastical Chron. of Nantes.

heir to her childless lord's dominions; but the anticipations of this joyful event were clouded by the gloomy aspect of the affairs of Bretagne. The council of the duke strongly urged the necessity of peace with France. Among other arguments, they represented the situation of the duchess, saying, "Your lady is now far advanced in her pregnancy, and you should pay attention that she be not alarmed; and as to her brother, he can give you but little support, for he has enough to do himself." The duke was much struck on hearing this reasoning, and remained some time leaning over a window that opened into a court. His council were standing behind him. After some musing, he turned round and said, "How can I ever love Oliver de Clisson, when the thing I most repent of in this world is, not putting him to death when I had him in my castle of Ermine?"¹

The fear of agitating his young consort induced the duke at last to yield an ungracious submission to his *suzerain*. Accordingly he went to Paris, and performed his long withheld homage to Charles VI., with the feudal service of pouring water into a golden basin, and holding the napkin for the king to wash.² All this was done with evident ill-will; but the French monarch and princes overlooked the manner of the duke out of consideration for their kinswoman, the duchess Joanna, who, without taking any very decided part in politics, appears always to have used her influence for the purposes of conciliation. Few princesses could have been placed in a situation of greater difficulty than Joanna, while presiding over a court so torn with contending factions as that of Bretagne, as the consort of a prince old enough to have been her grandfather, and of so violent and irascible a temper that, from the time of their marriage, he was always involving himself and his dominions in some trouble or other. Yet the combative disposition of John the Valiant need scarcely excite our wonder, when we reflect on the history of his early life, and the stormy scenes in which his infancy and childhood were passed. He might have said, with truth,—

"I was rocked in a buckler, and fed from a blade."

More than once was he brought forth in his nurse's arms, amidst the tumult of battle, to encourage the partisans of his father's title to the dukedom of Bretagne, or placed in his cradle on the ramparts of Hennebion during the memorable defence of that place by his mother, Margaret of Flanders.

The violent temper of the duke appears to have been chiefly exercised on men, for though he had three wives, he was tenderly beloved by them all. In person this prince was a model of manly beauty. His portrait by the friar Jean Chaperon, in the church of the Cordeliers at Rennes, painted immediately after the decisive battle of Auray, which established

¹ Froissart.

² *Ibid.* Chronicles of Bretagne.

his long-disputed claim to the throne of Bretagne, reminds us of the head of a youthful Apollo, so graceful and exquisitely proportioned are the features. He wears the crown and ermine mantle of Bretagne, with a small ruff, supported by a collar ornamented with gems, and clasped in front with a jewel forming the centre of a rose. His favourite dog (perhaps the faithless hound of oracular celebrity, which forsook the luckless Charles de Blois on the eve of the battle of Auray¹ to fawn on him) is represented in the act of licking his shoulder.

In the year 1388, Joanna brought an heir to Bretagne, who was baptized Pierre, but the duke afterwards changed his name to John.² This much-desired event was soon followed by the birth of the princess Marie. The duchess, whose children were born in very quick succession, was on the eve of her third confinement, when her lord's secret treaties with his old friend and brother-in-law, Richard of England, drew from the regents of France very stern remonstrances. An embassy extraordinary, headed by no less a person than the duc de Berri, uncle to the young king of France, was sent by the council to complain of his intelligence with the enemies of the realm, and require him to renew his oath of allegiance as a vassal peer of that realm. The duke of Bretagne, suspecting that these illustrious envoys intended to appeal to his nobles against his present line of conduct, determined, in violation of those considerations which in all ages have rendered the persons of ambassadors sacred, to arrest them all, and keep them as hostages till he had made his own terms with France. Le Moine de St. Denis, a contemporary historian, declares "that the ambassadors themselves related to him the peril from which they escaped through the prudence of Joanna." Fortunately for all parties, it happened that her younger brother, Pierre of Navarre, was at the court of Nantes, and being apprized of the duke's design, hastened to Joanna, whom he found at her toilet, and confided to her the alarming project then in agitation. Joanna, who was in hourly expectation of the birth of her fourth child, immediately perceived the dreadful consequences that would result from such an unheard-of outrage. She took her infant in her arms, flew to the duke's apartment, half-dressed as she was, with her hair loose and dishevelled, and throwing herself at his feet, bathed in tears, conjured him, for the sake of those tender pledges of their mutual love, to abandon the rash design that passion had inspired, which, if persisted in, must involve himself and all belonging to him in utter ruin. The duke, who had kept his design a secret from his wife, was surprised at the manner of her address. After an agitated pause, he said, "Lady, how you came by your information, I know not; but rather than be the cause of such distress to you, I will revoke my order."³ Joanna then

¹ Froissart.² Dom. Morice, Chron. de Bretagne.³ Le Moine de St. Denis, p. 257. Actes de Bretagne. Mezerai. Dom Morice. Argentre.

prevailed on him to meet the ambassadors in the cathedral the next day, and afterwards to accompany them to Tours, where the king of France gave him a gracious reception, and induced him to renew his homage by promising to unite his second daughter, *Joanna of France*, with the heir of Bretagne.

High feasts and rejoicings celebrated the reconciliation of the duke of Bretagne with the king of France, and the treaty for the marriage between their children. On this occasion the choleric duke condescended, at the table of the king of France, to dine in company with his rival, John of Bretagne; but not even there would he meet Sir Oliver Clisson,¹ so true is it that the aggressor is more difficult to conciliate than the injured party. This vindictive spirit on the part of the duke next betrayed him into the dishonourable proceeding of extending his protection to Sir Peter Craon, after a base attempt to assassinate the constable in the Place de St. Katherine. The king of France was much exasperated when he heard that Craon was sheltered by the duke of Bretagne, and wrote a peremptory demand for him to be given up to justice. The royal messengers found the duke at his castle of Ermine with his duchess, and were civilly entertained. The duke positively denied any knowledge of Craon; but the king, being persuaded to the contrary, prepared to invade the duchy, with the avowed intention of deposing John the Valiant, and making himself the guardian of the young heir of Bretagne, Joanna's eldest son. The duke was preserved from the ruin that threatened him, by the alarming access of frenzy with which the king was seized in the scorching plains of Mans.²

Meantime, Sir Oliver Clisson raised a civil war in Bretagne, which greatly harassed the court. The duke lost all his ill-acquired gains, was forced to shut himself up in Vannes, with the duchess and their children, without venturing beyond the walls, as the warfare was of the most murderous nature, and quarter was given by neither party. Clisson had greatly the advantage in the contest: he twice captured all the gold and silver plate belonging to the duke and duchess, and many of their jewels and other precious effects, which enabled him to carry on the war against them; and though the duke was the sovereign of the country, there was not a Breton knight or squire who would bear arms against Clisson. Matters would have gone much worse with the ducal party if Joanna, who was, in her quiet way, a much sounder politician than her lord, had not contrived to establish a sort of amicable understanding with some of the Breton nobles in the interest of Clisson. The viscount Rohan, her agent in this negotiation, was at the same time the son of her aunt, Jane of Navarre,³ and Clisson's son-in-law.

The duke of Bretagne was at last convinced of the difficulties that surrounded him. He felt that he was growing old, that his children

¹ Froissart.² *Ibid.*³ *Ibid.*

were very young, and, excepting the duke and duchess of Burgundy, there was not a friend in the world who would take care of his wife and her infants. As to the branch of Navarre from which the duchess sprang, the wicked acts of her father had made that family remarkably unpopular in France; and if the hatred of Sir Oliver de Clisson and the count of Penthièvres continued to be united against his house, his children and their mother would, in case of his decease, be left with many enemies.¹ Having pondered these things in his mind, the duke, without asking advice from his council, called a secretary, to whom, on entering his chamber, he gave a large sheet of paper, and said, "Write down what I shall dictate." The secretary having made himself ready, the duke indited a letter in the most friendly terms to Clisson, desiring him to devise some means for them to meet, when everything should be settled most amicably. The letter was folded up in the presence alone of the duke and his secretary, and the duke having sealed it with his own signet, called his most trusty valet into the apartment, saying, "Hasten to castle Joscelyn, and say boldly I have sent thee to speak to my cousin, Sir Oliver, the lord of Clisson. Thou wilt be introduced to him. Salute him from me. If he return the salute, give him this letter, and bring me back his answer, but on thy life tell no man." On the arrival of the valet at castle Joscelyn, the lord de Clisson examined the private signet of the duke, which he knew well, opened the letter, and read it two or three times over, and was much astonished at the friendly and affectionate terms in which it was compounded. After musing some time, he told the valet he would consider his answer, and ordered him to be conducted to an apartment by himself. The attendants of the lord of Clisson were amazed at what they saw and heard, for never before had any one come from the duke of Bretagne without being immured in the deepest dungeon.²

Clisson wrote, in return, that if the duke wished to see him, he must send his son as a pledge, who would be taken the greatest care of till his return. This letter was sealed and given to the valet, who hastened back to the duke at Vannes. On receiving the letter from the lord of Clisson, he paused after reading it, then exclaimed,—“I will do it; for since I mean to treat amicably with him, every cause of distrust must be removed.” He then said to the viscount Rohan, “Viscount, you and the lord de Monboucher shall carry my little son to the château Joscelyn, and bring back with you the lord de Clisson, for I am determined to make up our quarrel.” Some days, however, elapsed before the duchess could resolve to part with her boy. At length her earnest desire of composing the strife overcame her maternal fears, and she permitted her kinsman, Rohan, to conduct the princely child to castle Joscelyn. When Clisson saw the boy, and perceived the confidence the

¹ Froissart.² *Ibid.*

duke had placed in him, he was much affected. The result was, that he and the duke's envoy set out together from castle Joscelin, carrying the boy with them, for Sir Oliver said, "He would give him back to his parents, as henceforth he should never distrust the duke, after the trial he had made of him." Such generosity was shown on both sides, that it was no wonder a firm peace was the consequence. Sir Oliver dismounted at the convent of Dominicans, the place where the interview was appointed to take place. When the duke of Bretagne found that Sir Oliver had brought back his son, he was highly delighted with his generosity and courtesy, and hastening to the convent, shut himself up in a chamber with Sir Oliver. Here they conversed some time; then they went privately down the garden, and entered a small boat that conveyed them to an empty ship anchored in the river, and, when at a distance from their people, they conferred for a long time. Their friends thought all the time they were conversing in the convent chamber. When they had arranged all matters thus secretly, they called their boatman, who rowed them to the church of the Dominicans, which they entered by a private door through the garden and cloisters, the duke holding Sir Oliver by the hand all the time. All who saw them thus were well pleased; indeed, the whole of Bretagne was made very happy when this peace was made public.

The Breton chroniclers attribute the pacification wholly to the influence of Joanna, an application having been made to her by viscount Rohan, the husband of her aunt, praying her good offices in mediating a peace between her lord and the rebel peers of Bretagne. In compliance with this request, she prevailed on the duke to raise the siege of Joscelin, and to make those concessions to Clisson which produced the happy result of putting an end to the civil war.¹ Clisson agreed to pay ten thousand francs of gold to the duke; and, with the rest of the Breton barons, associated the duchess of Bretagne in the solemn oaths of homage, which they renewed to their sovereign on the 28th of December, 1393, at Nantes.² In the same year proposals of marriage were made by Joanna's future husband, Henry of Lancaster, earl of Derby, to her niece, the young princess of Navarre, but the negotiation came to nothing.

The following year, Marie of Bretagne, Joanna's eldest daughter, was contracted to the eldest son of this prince, afterwards Henry V. The duke of Bretagne engaged to give Marie one hundred and fifty thousand francs in gold for her portion. "The castle of Brest, though at that time in the possession of the English, was, at the especial desire of the duchess Joanna, appointed for the solemnization of the nuptials and the residence of the youthful pair; but after the cession of this important town had been guaranteed by Richard II., the king of France contrived

¹ *Le Baud, Chron. de Briocense.*

² *Dom Morice.*

to break the marriage, by inducing the heir of Alençon to offer to marry the princess with a smaller dower than the heir of Lancaster was to have received with her."¹ Marie was espoused to John of Alençon, June 26th, 1396; and a peculiar animosity always subsisted between her husband and the defrauded Henry of Monmouth. The heir of Bretagne was married to Joanna of France the same year. The espousals were solemnized at the hôtel de St. Pol by the archbishop of Rouen, in the presence of the king and queen of France, the queen of Sicily, the dūke and duchess of Bretagne, and the dukes of Berri and Burgundy.

The duke of Bretagne undertook a voyage to England, in 1398, to induce King Richard to restore to him the earldom of Richmond, which had been granted by Richard I. to his first queen, Anne of Bohemia, and after her death to Jane of Bretagne, the sister of the duke, who was married to Raoul Basset, an English knight. Richard restored the earldom to the duke, and gave him an acquittance of all his debts to him; and the duke did the same by him at Windsor, 23rd of April, 1398. "It was time," says Dom Morice, with some *naïveté*, "that these princes should settle their accounts together, for the one was on the point of deposition, the other of death." It was in the following year that Joanna first became acquainted with her second husband, Henry of Bolingbroke, during the period of his banishment from his native land. Henry was not only one of the most accomplished warriors and statesmen of the age in which he lived, but remarkable for his fine person and graceful manners. He was a widower² at that time; and the vindictive jealousy of his cousin, Richard II. of England, had exerted itself successfully to break the matrimonial engagements into which he was about to enter with the lady Marie of Berri, the daughter of Charles VI.'s uncle. This princess was cousin-german to Joanna, and in all probability beloved by Henry, if we may form conclusions from the peculiar bitterness with which he ever recurred to Richard's arbitrary interference for the prevention of his marriage.

Charles VI. of France, though he entertained a personal friendship for Henry, whom he regarded as an ill-treated man, had requested him to withdraw from his court, as his residence there was displeasing to king Richard. The duke of Burgundy, willing to please Richard, would not allow Henry to pass through his dominions, and attempted to have him arrested on his road to Boulogne.³ Henry took refuge in the territorie

¹ Actes de Bretagne.

² His deceased wife was Mary de Bohun, daughter and coheir of the earl of Hereford, hereditary constable of England. She was great-granddaughter to Edward I. and Eleanor of Castile, and the richest heiress in England, excepting her sister, who was married to Henry's uncle, Gloucester. She had possessions to the amount of forty thousand

nobles per annum, arising from several earldoms and baronies. She was devoted to a conventual life by her interested brother-in-law, who had her in wardship; but evaded that destiny by marrying Henry of Lancaster, who, by the contrivance of her aunt, carried her off from Pleshy, and married her 1384.

³ Michelet's History of France, vol. iv p. 20.

of Bretagne ; but, aware of the close family connexion of the duke with Richard II., he rested at Blois, and sent one of his knights to Vannes to ascertain whether John the Valiant was disposed to receive him at his court. John was piqued at the mistrust implied by Henry's caution ; for, says Froissart, " he was much attached to him, having always loved the duke of Lancaster, his father, better than the other sons of Edward III. 'Why,' said he to the knight, 'has our nephew stopped on the road ? It is foolish ; for there is no knight whom I would so gladly see in Bretagne as my fair nephew the earl of Derby. Let him come and find a hearty welcome.'"¹ When the earl of Derby received this message, he immediately set forward for the dominions of the duke of Bretagne. The duke² met the earl at Nantes, and received him and his company with great joy. It was on this occasion that Henry first saw, and conceived that esteem for the duchess Joanna, which afterwards induced him to become a suitor for her hand. We find he was accustomed to call the duke of Bretagne "his good uncle," in memory of his first marriage with Mary of England ;³ and it is very probable that, in accordance with the manners of those times, he addressed the duchess Joanna, per courtesy, by the title of aunt. The archbishop of Canterbury accompanied Henry to the court of Bretagne *incognito*, having just arrived from England with an invitation to him from the Londoners and some of the nobles attached to his party, urging him to invade England, for the ostensible purpose of claiming his inheritance, the duchy of Lancaster. Henry asked the duke of Bretagne's advice. "Fair nephew," replied the duke, "the straightest road is the surest and best : I would have you trust the Londoners. They are powerful, and will compel king Richard, who, I understand, has treated you unjustly, to do as they please. I will assist you with vessels, men-at-arms, and cross-bows. You shall be conveyed to the shores of England in my ships, and my people shall defend you from any perils you may encounter on the voyage."⁴

Whether Henry of Lancaster was indebted to the good offices of the duchess Joanna for this favourable reply from the duke, history has not recorded. But as John the Valiant had hitherto been the fast friend, and, as far as his disaffected nobles would permit, the faithful ally of his royal brother-in-law, Richard II., and now that his *suzerain*, Charles VI. of France, was united in the closest bonds of amity with that prince and the young heir of Bretagne was espoused to the sister of his queen, it must have been some very powerful influence, scarcely less indeed than the eloquence of a bosom counsellor, that could have induced him to furnish Richard's mortal foe with the means of invading England. The purveyances of "aspiring Lancaster" were, however, prepared at Vannes, and the duke of Bretagne came thither with his guest when all

¹ Froissart.² *Ibid.*³ *Ibid.*⁴ *Ibid.*

things were ready for his departure.¹ Henry was conveyed by three of the duke's vessels of war, freighted with men-at-arms and cross-bows. This royal adventurer, the banished Lancaster, was the first person who gave to the *myosotis arvensis*, or "forget-me-not," its emblematic and poetic meaning, by uniting it, at the period of his exile, on his collar of SS, with the initial letter of his *mot*, or watchword, *Souveigne vous de moy*; thus rendering it the symbol of remembrance, and, like the subsequent fatal roses of York, Lancaster, and Stuart, the lily of Bourbon, and the violet of Napoleon, an historical flower. Poets and lovers have adopted the sentiment which makes the blue myosotis plead the cause of the absent by the eloquence of its popular name, "forget-me-not;" but few indeed of those who, at parting, exchange this simple touching appeal to memory, are aware of the fact, that it was first used as such by a royal Plantagenet prince, who was, perhaps, indebted to the agency of this mystic blossom for the crown of England. We know not if Henry of Lancaster presented a myosotis to the duchess of Bretagne at his departure from the court of Vannes; but he afforded a convincing proof that his fair hostess was not forgotten by him, when a proper season arrived for claiming her remembrance. The assistance rendered by the duke of Bretagne to the future husband of his consort, was the last important action of his life.

The duke breathed his last November 1st, 1399; and Joanna, having been appointed by him as regent for their eldest son, the young duke, with the entire care of his person, assumed the reins of government in his name. Her first public act, after the funeral of her deceased lord had been solemnized in the cathedral-church of Nantes, was a public reconciliation with Sir Oliver Clisson, his son-in-law, count de Penthièvres, and the rest of the disaffected nobles who had been at open variance with her deceased lord. She employed the prelates, and some of the most prudent of the nobles of Bretagne, to mediate this pacification; and Clisson, with the rest of the malcontents, swore to obey the widowed duchess during the minority of their young duke, her son. This treaty was signed and sealed at the castle of Blein, 1st of January, 1400. Clisson's power in the duchy was so considerable, owing to his vast possessions there, his great popularity, and his influence as constable of France, that he might have been a most formidable enemy to the young duke, if the duchess-regent had not succeeded in conciliating him.

When Joanna had exercised the sovereign authority as regent for her son a year and a half, the young duke, accompanied by her, made his solemn entrance into Rennes, March 22, 1401, and took the oaths in the presence of his prelates and nobles, having entered his twelfth year. He then proceeded to the cathedral, and, according to the custom of the

¹ Froissart.

dukes his predecessors, passed the night in prayer before the great altar of St. Peter. On the morrow, having heard mass, he was knighted by Clisson, and then conferred knighthood on his younger brothers, Arthur and Jules; after which he was invested with the ducal habit, circlet, and sword by his prelates and nobles, and carried in procession through the city. After his inauguration, the young duke mounted his horse, and, attended by his nobles, returned to the castle of Rennes, where a royal banquet had been prepared. The duchess-regent¹ put her son in possession of the duchy at so tender an age, as a preliminary to her union with the new king of England, Henry of Lancaster. The visit of that prince to the court of Vannes in the year 1399, had made an indelible impression on the heart of Joanna, and on the death of her husband, John the Valiant, she determined to become his wife. Although the learned historian of France, M. Michelet, affirms that very soon after the death of the duke of Bretagne, the fair widow declared she would marry Henry, it is certain that she not only acted with punctilious respect to the memory of her defunct lord, by allowing upwards of two years to elapse before she took any steps for exchanging her widow's veil for the queenly diadem of England, but she kept her intentions in favour of Henry a profound secret till she could cajole the pope of Avignon, to whose communion she belonged, into giving her a general dispensation to marry any one she pleased within the fourth degree of consanguinity, without naming the person;² for besides the great political obstacles which opposed themselves to her union with Henry, they were members of rival churches,—Henry, who had been educated in Wickliffite principles, having now attached himself to the party of Boniface, the pope of Rome, styled the anti-pope by those who denied his authority. Joanna's agents negotiated this difficult arrangement so adroitly, that the bull was executed according to her desire, March 20, 1402, without the slightest suspicion being entertained by the orthodox court of Avignon that the schismatic king of England was the mysterious person within the forbidden degrees of consanguinity, whom Benedict had so obligingly granted the duchess-dowager of Bretagne liberty to espouse.³

When Joanna had thus outwitted her pope, she despatched a trusty squire of her household, named Antoine Riczi, to conclude her treaty of marriage with king Henry. After the articles of this matrimonial alliance were signed, Joanna and her royal bridegroom were espoused, by procuration, at the palace of Eltham, on the third day of April, 1402, Antoine Riczi acting as the proxy of the bride. What motive could have induced the lovely widow of John the Valiant, of Bretagne, to choose a male representative on this interesting occasion, it is difficult

¹ Alain Bouchard. Dom Morice.

² Lobineau. *Preuves Hist. de Bretagne.*

³ Dom Morice.

to surmise; but it is certain that Henry plighted his nuptial troth¹ to the said Antoine Riczi, and placed the bridal ring on his finger as the representative of his absent bride.² This act was performed with great solemnity in the presence of the archbishop of Canterbury, the king's half-brothers, the Beaufort princes, the earl of Worcester, lord chamberlain of England, and other officers of state. Riczi had previously produced a letter from the duchess Joanna, empowering him to contract matrimony with the king of England in her name, on which the trusty squire, having received king Henry's plight, pronounced that of Joanna in these words:—"I, Antoine Riczi, in the name of my worshipful lady, Joanna, the daughter of Charles, lately king of Navarre, duchess of Bretagne, and countess of Richmond, take you, Henry of Lancaster, king of England and lord of Ireland, to be my husband, and thereto I, Antoine, in the spirit of my said lady, plight you my troth."³ No sooner was this ceremony concluded, than the rigid canonists represented to Joanna that she would commit a deadly sin by completing her marriage with a prince attached to the communion of pope Boniface. The case, however, not being without precedent, the court of Avignon quieted the conscience of the duchess, under the idea that great advantages might be derived from her forming an alliance with the king of England, whose religious principles had hitherto been anything but stable.⁴ She obtained permission, therefore, to live with the schismatic Catholics, and even outwardly to conform to them by receiving the sacraments from their hands, provided she remained firmly attached to the party of Benedict XIII.⁵

The prospect of a marriage between Joanna and the new king of England, Henry of Lancaster, was contemplated with great uneasiness by the court of France. Henry was the brother of the queens of Castile and Portugal: in addition to these powerful family connexions, he would become closely allied with the sovereigns of Navarre and Bretagne, and thus enjoy every facility of invading France, if he felt disposed to renew the pretensions of his renowned grandsire, Edward III., to the sovereignty of that realm. The royal dukes, Joanna's uncles, endeavoured, by every means in their power, to dissuade her from a marriage so full of peril to France, but in vain. At length, her intention of taking the young duke, her son, and the rest of her children with her to England, and placing them under the tutelage of her second husband transpiring, the duke of Burgundy considered it necessary to undertake a journey to her court, to try the effect of his personal eloquence in turning her from this design. He arrived at Nantes on the first of October, and sent to announce his advent to the duchess Joanna, who welcomed him in proper form, invited him to dinner, and regaled him sumptuously.

¹ Lobineau.² Acts of the Privy Council, by Sir Harris Nicolas.³ MS. Chron. of Nantes.⁴ Dom. Morice.⁵ MS. Chron. of Nantes.

The duke of Burgundy, who perfectly understood the character of his niece, had prepared a treat of a more important kind for her, and at the conclusion of the banquet, presented her with a rich crown and a sceptre of crystal, and another of gold, ornamented with pearls and precious stones. He gave the young duke, her son, a buckle of gold, adorned with rubies and pearls, a beautiful diamond, and a number of silver vessels. To his little brothers, Arthur, earl of Richmond, and count Jules, of Bretagne, he presented each a collar of gold, enriched with rubies and pearls. He gave the countess of Rohan, Joanna's aunt, a splendid diamond, and a buckle to each of her ladies and damsels who were present. The lords in waiting and officers of the duchess's household were not forgotten in this magnificent distribution of largesses, in which the duke expended an immense sum. These discreet gifts entirely gained the heart of the duchess, of the princes, her children, her lords and officers, but, above all, of that most influential coterie, the ladies of her court and bedchamber. They were sure the duke of Burgundy would be the best person in the world to defend the rights and protect the person of their young duke, and diffuse happiness and prosperity among his subjects, and they besought him to undertake the guardianship of the royal minors and their patrimony.

To turn Joanna from her intended marriage with the king of England, the duke of Burgundy found to be a thing impossible; but he succeeded in convincing her how much better it would be for the interests of her sons to leave them under his guardianship, and the protection of their natural sovereign, the king of France, than to risk alienating the affections of the Bretons by taking them to England. He reminded her that he was her uncle, and one of the nearest relations her children had, and also that he was the friend and kinsman of their father, the late duke; finally, he swore on the holy Evangelists to maintain their cause, and to preserve the laws, liberties, and privileges of the Bretons inviolate. The duchess was then persuaded to sign a deed, investing him with this important charge. When Joanna had resigned the guardianship of her children to the duke of Burgundy, he departed from Nantes for Paris on the 3rd of November, 1402, after a stay of two months, taking with him the young duke and his two brothers, Arthur and Jules. The duke was only in his thirteenth year, and the younger princes so small, that they could scarcely guide the horse on which they were mounted, one behind the other.¹ They were conducted by the duke of Burgundy to Paris, where the young duke of Bretagne performed his homage to Charles VI. of France. Joanna had another son named Richard, an infant, who is not mentioned in the Breton chronicles as forming one of his party.

One of Joanna's last actions as duchess of Bretagne was to secure to

Actes de Bretagne. Chron. Briocense. Dom Morice.

her aunt, Jane of Navarre, the wife of the viscount Rohan, a pension of £1000 per year, out of the rents of her dower-city and county of Nantes. This deed, which is printed in the *Fœdera*, affords an interesting testimony of Joanna's affection for her deceased lord, as it states that the annuity is granted, not only in consideration of the nearness of kindred and friendship that is between her and her aunt, "but also," continues the august donor, "in remuneration of the good pains and diligence she used to procure our marriage with our very dear and beloved lord (whom God assoile!). Of which marriage it has pleased our Lord and Saviour that we should continue a noble line, to the great profit of the country of Bretagne, in our very dear and beloved son, the duke of Bretagne, and our other children, sons and daughters."

CHAPTER II.

JOANNA assumed the title of queen of England some months before her departure from Bretagne.¹ She appears to have exerted a sort of matrimonial influence with her royal bridegroom soon after the ceremonial of their espousals had been performed by proxy; for we find that she wrote to Henry in behalf of one of her countrymen, the master of a Navarrese wine-ship, who had been plundered of his cargo, in the reign of Richard II., by William Prince, a captain in the earl of Arundel's fleet. Her intercession proved effectual; for king Henry, as he expressly states, at the request of his dearest consort, enjoins his admiral, Thomas Rampstone, to see that proper satisfaction be made to the master of the wine-ship by the said William Prince."² Previous to her departure from Bretagne, Joanna sold the government of her castle of Nantes to Clisson for twelve thousand crowns; and having only tarried to complete this arrangement, she, on the 20th of December, 1402, proceeded to Camaret with her two infant daughters, Blanche and Marguerite, their nurses, and a numerous train of Breton and Navarrese attendants.³

The English fleet, with the two half brothers of her affianced bridegroom (the earl of Somerset and Henry Beaufort, bishop of Lincoln), and Thomas Percy, earl of Worcester, the lord chamberlain of England, had been waiting at that port a considerable time. Joanna, with her daughters and her retinue, embarked at Camaret, January 13th, in a vessel of war, commanded by the young earl of Arundel. The expedition sailed the same day with a favourable wind, but encountered a dreadful tempest at sea, by which the vessels were much damaged. After tossing five days and five nights on the wintry waves, Joanna and her children were driven on the coast of Cornwall; and instead of landing at

¹ Dom Morice. Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. viii.

² Rymer's *Fœdera*.

³ Dom Morice.

Southampton, their original destination, they disembarked at Falmouth. From thence the illustrious travellers proceeded to Winchester, where king Henry was in waiting with his lords to receive his long-expected bride. The nuptials between Joanna and Henry were publicly solemnized, February 7th, 1403, in that ancient royal city, in the church of St. Swithin, with great pomp. The bridal feast was very costly, having two courses of fish; and at the end of the second, panthers crowned were introduced for what was, in the quaint language of the times, called a *sottiltie*, or banquet-ornament of confectionary. Eagles crowned formed the *sottiltie* at the end of the third course.

Great preparations were made by the citizens of London to meet and welcome the newly-married consort of the sovereign of their choice on her approach to the metropolis. Among other expenses for the civic procession ordained in her honour, the grocer's company allowed Robert Stiens, their beadle, 6s. 8d. for riding into Suffolk to hire minstrels; he engaged six. The Suffolk musical band was paid four pounds for riding to Blackheath to meet the queen. The mayor, the aldermen, and sheriffs went out in procession on this occasion, with the crafts in brown and blue, and every man a red hood on his head. Queen Joanna rested the first day at the Tower. That she went to Westminster in grand procession on the following, is ascertained by the entry for paying the said Suffolk minstrels 13s. 4d. on the morrow, when the queen passed through Cheapside to Westminster.¹

There is an exquisite drawing in a contemporary MS.² illustrative of Joanna's coronation, which took place February 26th, 1403, not quite three weeks after her bridal. She is there represented as a very majestic, beautiful, and graceful woman, in the meridian glory of her days. Her attitude is that of easy dignity. She is depicted in her coronation robes, which are of a peculiarly elegant form. Her dalmatica differs little in fashion from that worn by our sovereign lady, queen Victoria, at her inauguration. It partially displays her throat and bust, and is closed at the breast with a rich cordon and tassels. The mantle has apertures, through which her arms are seen; they are bare, and very finely moulded. She is enthroned, not by the side of her royal husband, but, with the same ceremonial honours that are paid to a queen-regnant, in a chair of state, placed singly under a rich canopy, emblazoned, and elevated on a very high platform, approached on every side by six steps. Two archbishops have just crowned her, and are still supporting the royal diadem on her head. Her hair falls in rich curls on her bosom. In her right hand she holds a sceptre, and in her left an orb surmounted by a cross,—a very unusual attribute for a queen-consort, as it is a symbol of sovereignty, and could only have been allowed to queen Joanna as a very especial mark of her royal bridegroom's favour.

¹ Herbert's History of the Livery Companies.

² Cottonian MS. Julius E. 4, fol. 202

In this picture, a peeress in her coronet and robes of state, probably occupying the office of mistress of the robes, stands next the person of the queen, on her right hand, and just behind are seen a group of noble maidens wearing wreaths of roses, like the train-bearers of her majesty, queen Victoria; affording a curious but probably forgotten historical testimony, that such was the costume prescribed anciently by sumptuary regulations for the courtly demoiselles who were appointed to the honour of bearing the train of a queen of England at her coronation. John, lord de Latimer, received forty marks for release of the almoner's dish placed before queen Joanna at her coronation-banquet, he having the hereditary right of almoner on such occasions.¹ Among other courtly pageants after this ceremonial a tournament was held, in which Beauchamp, earl of Warwick, surnamed "the Courteous," maintained the lists in honour of the royal bride. "He kept joust on the queen's part against all other comers, and so notably and knightly behaved himself, as redounded to his noble fame and perpetual worship."² This quaint sentence is in explanation of another historical drawing, in which "queen Jane," as she is there styled, is represented sitting with the king in state, at an open gallery, attended by her ladies, beholding with evident satisfaction the prowess of her champion. Instead of her royal robes, the queen is here represented in a gown fitting close to her shape, having exchanged her crown for one of the lofty Syrian caps, then the prevailing head-dress for ladies of rank in England, with its large, stiff, transparent veil, supported on a framework at least two feet in height. The queen's ladies-in-waiting wear hoods and veils very gracefully draped, and by no means emulating the towering head-gear of their royal mistress. King Henry is by queen Joanna's side, wearing a furred gown and velvet cap of maintenance, looped up with a fleur-de-lis. His appearance is that of a gallant gentleman in middle life. The balcony in which the royal bride and bridegroom are seated is not unlike the royal stand at Ascot, only more exposed to public view; and the king and queen are both accommodated with the luxury of large square cushions for their elbows, with tassels at the corners. King Henry sits quite at ease, resting his arms on his cushion; but the queen leans forward, and extends her hands with a gesture of great animation, as she looks down on the contest. Warwick has just struck his opponent. His family badge, the bear and ragged staff, decorates his helmet. This historical sketch, besides its great beauty, is very valuable for its delineation of costume.

Joanna of Navarre was the first widow since the Norman conquest, who wore the crown-matrimonial of England. She was, as we have seen, the mother of a large family. Her age, at the period of her second

¹ Issue Rolls, 287.

² Cottonian MS. Julius E 4, fol. 202. This is usually called 'the Beauchamp MS,' and is one of the most precious relics in the British Museum.

nuptials, must have been about three-and-thirty; and if past the morning freshness of her charms, her personal attractions were still very considerable. Her exemplary conduct as the wife of the most irascible prince in Christendom, and the excellence of her government as regent for her eldest son, had afforded unquestionable evidence of the prudence and wisdom of this princess, and she was in possession of a very fine dower; yet the marriage was never popular in England. It has been asserted by many historians, that Henry IV. married the duchess-dowager of Bretagne chiefly with the view of directing the councils of the young duke, her son. If such were his motives, they were completely frustrated by the maternal feelings of Joanna, who, consulting the welfare of her son and the wishes of his subjects rather than the interests of her second husband, placed her children, as we have seen, under the protection of the duke of Burgundy previously to her departure from Bretagne; and even after her coronation as queen of England, we find, by her letters dated Westminster, March 9th, 1403, that she confirms her last act as duchess-regent of Bretagne by solemnly appointing "her well-beloved uncle, the duke of Burgundy, the guardian of her sons,—the duke of Bretagne, Arthur, and Jules; and enjoins the young princes to be obedient to him, and to attend diligently to his advice."¹

The bridal festivities of Henry IV. and his new queen were soon interrupted by the news of a descent of the French on the Isle of Wight; but the inhabitants compelled the invaders to retire to their ships with dishonour. Next, the Breton fleet, being wholly under the direction of the court of France, put to sea, and committed great depredations on the coast of Cornwall and the merchant shipping, causing much uneasiness to the king, and rendering the new queen distasteful to the nation. The memorable Percy rebellion occurred in the same year: it has been said that it was fomented by the earl of Worcester, in consequence of a disagreement between him and queen Joanna during her voyage from Bretagne. This might possibly have originated in some dispute with Joanna's natural brother, Charles of Navarre, who accompanied her to England in the capacity of chamberlain to herself.² The battle of Shrewsbury might have been prevented, if Worcester, who was employed by the insurgent lords to negotiate a pacification with Henry, had fairly and honestly stated the concessions the king was willing to make; but he did not, and his own ruin, with that of his whole house, was the result.³ Part of the confiscated property of the Percys, especially the

¹ Chron. de Bretagne.

² Ibid.

³ A determined set was made against the life of the newly-wedded king at the battle of Shrewsbury, by a certain number of champions, among the insurgents, who had vowed to have his blood. This confederacy being suspected by Henry's partisans, thirteen stout

gentlemen arrayed themselves in a dress similar to that which he was accustomed to wear, and were slain in different parts of the field. Henry killed no less than sixteen of his assailants with his own hand in self-defence that day, and, like his son the prince of Wales, performed prodigies of valour.

earl of Northumberland's mansion in Aldgate, was granted to queen Joanna by the king.

Henry IV. granted to queen Joanna the new tower at the entrance of the great portals of his large hall against the palace of Westminster, adjacent to the king's treasury, for her to hold her councils for the negotiation of her affairs; also for her to give audiences for charters and writings therein: and her to enjoy the same for the term of her natural life.¹ In the month of February, 1404, Joanna enjoyed the happiness of welcoming her second son, Arthur of Bretagne, to England, king Henry having been prevailed upon by her solicitations to bestow upon him the earldom of Richmond. This was the appanage of his elder brother; but as the performance of personal homage to the king of England was an indispensable condition to the investiture of a duke of Bretagne with this earldom, and Joanna's eldest son was entirely under the tutelage of the king of France, Henry's mortal foe, it would have been fruitless to demand liegeman's service of him; therefore the summons was, at Joanna's request, addressed to her second son, count Arthur.²

Joanna's happiness in this reunion was interrupted by the arrival of an envoy from her eldest son, the reigning duke, to demand the princesses Blanche and Marguerite, who resided with her in England. No offspring from her second marriage had been born, to divide with those beloved ones the powerful affection with which the heart of the royal mother clung to the pledges of her former union, and she could not be prevailed upon to resign them, even when reminded that they were the property of the state.³ Her son, the duke of Bretagne, was so completely under the control of the father of his duchess, Charles VI., that he was compelled to espouse his quarrel against king Henry; and the French party in his dominions would have confiscated Joanna's rich dower, had she not vested the payment of it in the hands of several powerful nobles, her fast friends: she had her own officers, through whom she received her revenues. That Joanna was satisfied with the conduct of her eldest son may be gathered from the fact that she presented him, on the 18th of November, 1404, with the sum of seventy thousand livres, due to her from her brother, the king of Navarre, and six thousand livres of her rents in Normandy. Her gifts must have been very acceptable to the young duke; for, though residing in the ducal palace, and nominally exercising the sovereign authority, his finances were so closely controlled by the court of France, that he had not the power of giving away more than one hundred sols without the approbation of his chancellor, and other officers appointed by the duke of Burgundy.⁴

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*.

² *Le Moine de St. Denis*. Dom Morice.

³ Dom Morice, *Chron. de Bretagne*.

⁴ *Chron. de Bretagne*.

At the commencement of the year 1405, king Henry, as he expressly states "at the mediation and earnest solicitation of his beloved consort, queen Joanna, forgave and liberated, without ransom, all the prisoners taken in arms against him at Dartmouth by John Cornwall."¹ This natural exercise of conjugal influence in behalf of her former subjects, the piratical Bretons, increased the unpopularity in which the queen had involved both herself and her royal husband by filling their palaces with a household made up of foreigners: a more fatal error can scarcely be committed by female royalty in a country so constitutionally jealous and full of national pride as England. The parliamentary records of the same year testify, "that great discontents were engendered in the minds of all classes of men on account of the influx of foreigners which the king's late marriage had introduced into the realm, the disorderly state of the royal household, and the evil influence exercised over public affairs by certain individuals supposed to be about the persons of the king and queen."

These grievances attracting the attention of parliament, the commons, with the consent of the lords, proceeded to reform the royal household; and, as a preliminary step to their regulations, they required that four persons should be removed out of the king's house; viz., the king's confessor, the abbot of Dore, with Derham and Crosbie, gentlemen of his chamber. Henry, remembering full well that his title to the crown was derived from the voice of the people, far from testifying resentment at the interference of that hitherto disregarded branch of the legislature of England, the commons, summoned the inimical members of his household to attend him in parliament, which they did, with the exception of the abbot of Dore. The king then, in his speech from the throne,² said, "That he neither knew nor could imagine any particular cause or reason why the accused ought to be removed out of his household; nevertheless, as the lords and commons thought proper to have it so, considering it to be for the good of the realm, and most profitable to himself, to conform to their wishes, he would discharge them from his household forthwith." Our sovereign lord, continues the record, said further, "that he would do as much by any who were about his royal person, if they should incur the hatred and indignation of his people."

The commons next appointed a committee of lords, February 22, to make further regulations and alterations in the appointments of the royal household, especially in those connected with the queen, when it was resolved,—“That all French persons, Bretons, Lombards, Italians, and Navarrese whatsoever, be removed out of the palace from the king and queen, except the queen's two daughters and Maria St. Parensy, Nicholas Alderwyche, John Purian, and their wives.” This was conceded by Henry, and put into execution that very day, and we do not find that

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. viii. These were Breton prisoners.

² *Parl. Hist.* vol. ii.

the queen offered any resistance to the wishes of the subjects and counsellors of her husband; but the lords agreed to indulge her with a Breton cook, two knights, a damsel, two chambermaids, one mistress, two esquires, one nurse, and one chambermaid for the queen's daughters, and a messenger to wait on them at certain times. In addition to these persons, Joanna retained eleven Breton lavenderers or washerwomen, and a varlet lavenderer.¹ Much wiser would it have been if she had taken example by the politic condescension of the king to the wishes of his subjects, and yielded an unconditional assent to the dismissal of her foreign attendants, since the retention of her Breton cook, chambermaids, and washerwomen, drew upon her a second interference from parliament.²

In this year the commons presented a petition to the king, praying, among other things, "That the queen would be pleased to pay for her journeys to the king's houses, as queen Philippa had been used to do." Joanna had no settled revenue as queen of England, at the time when this implied remonstrance was made by the commons to king Henry, who was himself in the most urgent want of money, harassed with perpetual rebellions, especially in Wales, and without means to pay his mutinous and discontented troops their wages. "Every source of revenue had been anticipated, and it is scarcely possible to imagine a government in greater distress for money than that of Henry IV. at that moment."³ If Joanna had not been in the receipt of a splendid dower as duchess-dowager of Bretagne, she would have found herself involved in the most embarrassing straits when queen of England.

Pecuniary cares and popular discontents were not the only troubles that disturbed the wedded life of Joanna of Navarre, who, though no longer young, was still sufficiently attractive to become the theme of the following amatory stanzas, from no meaner a pen than that of a royal Plantagenet poet, Edward, duke of York, cousin-german to king Henry :

"Excellent sovereign ! seemly to see,⁴
 Proved prudence, peerless of price;
 Bright blossom of benignity,
 Of figure fairest, and freshest of days !
 Your womanly beauty delicious
 Hath me all bent unto its chain ;
 But grant to me your love gracious,
 My heart will melt as snow in rain.
 If ye but wist my life, and knew
 Of all the pains that I y-feel,
 I wis ye would upon me rue
 Although your heart were made of steel.

¹ Parliamentary Rolls, 5th Henry IV., p. 572.

² Parliamentary Hist., vol. ii.

³ Preface to Acts of the Privy Council, by Sir Harris Nicolas.

⁴ Walpole declares there is no doubt that the verses are by the duke of York; and as they are addressed to the queen of England, there was no other at that time but Joanna of Navarre.

And though ye be of high renown,
 Let mercy rule your heart so free;
 From you, lady, this is my boon,
 To grant me grace in some degree."

The arrest of the duke of York, who, after a series of loyal and valiant services to king Henry, was, on a very frivolous pretence, committed to a rigorous imprisonment in Pevensey-castle, is possibly attributable to the personal jealousy of the king. The virtuous and matronly deportment of Joanna, however, both as duchess of Bretagne and queen of England, were such as to prevent the slightest shade of suspicion from resting on her conduct. Whatever might have been the offence of the duke of York, Henry's displeasure was but temporary, for in the course of three months he was released, and restored to his old employments.

Queen Joanna used her influence successfully with her royal husband, Henry IV., to obtain of him the pardon of his great enemy, Maude, countess-dowager of Oxford, who had excited an insurrection by spreading a report that Richard II. was living, and distributing little harts of silver in his name, as a token to his friends and adherents. For this offence she had been committed to prison, and her goods confiscated to the use of king Henry; but, at the intercession of queen Joanna, he freely restored the whole of her forfeit lands, tenements, and personal effects, and set her at liberty.¹

The year 1406 commenced with fresh remonstrances from parliament on the subject of Joanna's foreign attendants. The commons having now assumed a decided voice in the legislation of England, John Tiptoft, the speaker, in his celebrated address for liberty of speaking, took occasion to comment on the disorderly state of the royal household, remarking at the same time, "that the order of that house for removing aliens from the queen's court had been very ill observed." It was, on this, agreed—"That certain strangers, who did seem to be officers about the queen, should by a certain day depart the realm." Whereupon a writ to proclaim the same was directed to the sheriffs of London.² The parliament also took the liberty of recommending the sovereign to observe the strictest economy in his household. Henry received this advice very graciously, and promised to retrench all superfluous expenses, and restricted the expenditure of his establishment to 10,000*l.* a-year. He likewise declared his wish for the reformation of all abuses, and requested the parliament to take order for the payment of the debts of his household, and to grant a suitable income to his queen, for the maintenance of her state.³ The request for the dower of queen Joanna was presented by John Tiptoft, the speaker, and others of the commons; and by vote of

¹ Collins's *Ancient Families*. Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. viii. p. 379.

² *Parliamentary Rolls*, 5th and 6th of Henry IV.

³ *Ibid.*

this parliament she was endowed with all the revenues enjoyed by Anne of Bohemia, the first queen of Richard II., to the value of ten thousand marks per annum; so that with wards, marriages, and other contingencies, her income was equal to that of any previous queen of England. King Henry granted a safe-conduct, January 4th, 1406, to John de Boyas, "the secretary of his dear and royal consort Johane, to enable her to negotiate certain matters in Bretagne, with regard to her dower there; also for him to bring horses and other things for her use, provided nothing be attempted to the prejudice of the people and crown of England." Henry, at the same time, granted letters of protection to the masters of two ships from Bretagne, bringing lamps and other articles for the use of the queen.¹

This year, Henry's youngest daughter, the princess Philippa, was married to Eric, king of Sweden and Denmark. About the same period, Joanna was compelled to resign her two youngest daughters, Blanche and Marguerite of Bretagne, to the repeated importunities of the duke, their eldest brother, that prince having concluded marriages for both, which he considered would greatly strengthen his interests.² On the departure of her daughters, queen Joanna retired with the king to her jointure-palace, Leeds-castle, in Kent, to avoid the infection of the plague, which raged so dreadfully in London, that thirty thousand people fell victims to its fury. After spending the greater part of the summer at Leeds, the king and queen, designing to visit Norfolk, or, as some say, Pleshy, in Essex, embarked at Queenborough, in the isle of Sheppey, with the intention of going by sea. The royal vessel was followed by four others, with the attendants and baggage, when they were suddenly attacked by pirates, lying in wait at the Nore, who took four of the king's ships, and carried away Sir Thomas Rampstone, the vice-chamberlain, with all the king's furniture, plate, and wearing-apparel. The king himself had a very narrow escape of falling into the hands of those bold adventurers.³

Joanna took infinite pains to promote a good understanding between her husband and the duke her son. Henry, in his letters to the duke of Bretagne, May, 1407, addresses him as "his dearest son," and expresses "his earnest wish, on account of the close tie existing between them through his dearest consort, that peace and amity may be established, to prevent the effusion of Christian blood."⁴ The duke, in reply, says,—“As our dearest mother, the queen of England, has several times signified her wish that all good friendship should subsist between our

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*.

² Blanche was married at twelve years old to the viscount Lomagne, eldest son of Bernard, count of Armagnac, June 30, 1406. The following year, Marguerite was espoused to Alan de Rohan, count of Poerbaet, the

grandson of Sir Oliver Clisson: she died suddenly on the day of the marriage, June 26th, 1407. It was suspected, afterwards, that both these princesses were poisoned.

³ Hall. Speed. Stowe.

⁴ Rymer's *Fœdera*.

very redoubted lord and father, Henry, king of England, and lord of Ireland, her lord and spouse, on one part, and ourselves on the other, we desire to enter into an amicable treaty." The result of Joanna's mediation was a truce between England and Bretagne, which was proclaimed on the 13th of September, 1407.¹ The town of Hereford was added to the queen's dower by king Henry, the same year, and she was, with his sons, the prince of Wales, Thomas, John, and Humphrey, recommended by him to the parliament for further pecuniary grants.²

An interesting proof of Joanna's respect for the memory of her first lord, the husband of her youth and the father of her children, is to be found in one of the royal briefs in the *Fœdera*, dated February 24th, 1408, in which king Henry says, "At the request of our dearest consort, an alabaster tomb has been made for the defunct duke of Bretagne, formerly her husband, to be conveyed in the barge of St. Nicholas of Nantes to Bretagne, with three of our English lieges, the same who made the tomb, viz., Thomas Colyn, Thomas Holewell, and Thomas Poppeham, to place the said tomb in the church of Nantes; John Guyeharde, the master of the said barge, and ten mariners of Bretagne; and the said barge is to be considered by the English merchants under our especial protection." There is a fine engraving of this early specimen of English sculpture in the second volume of Dom Morice's *Chronicles of Bretagne*. It bears the recumbent figure of the warlike John de Montfort, duke of Bretagne, armed cap-à-pié, according to the fashion of the times.

Henry IV. granted to Joanna six lead-mines in England, with workmen and deputies to load her ship; and this he notifies to her son, the duke of Bretagne, in 1409, as these mines had been accustomed to export ore to Bretagne, and he wished the duke to remit the impost for the time to come. The king and queen kept their Christmas court this year at Eltham, which seems to have been a favourite abode with the royal pair. That Joanna was a patroness of the father of English poetry, Chaucer, may be inferred from her munificent grants to his son Thomas, to whom she gave, in the twelfth year of Henry IV., the manors of Wotten and Stantesfield for life.³

In the summer of 1412, Joanna received a visit from her third son, count Jules, of Bretagne. Henry granted a safe-conduct for him and his retinue, consisting of twenty persons, with horses and arms, with a proviso, that no banished person be brought into England in the prince's train, to the injury and peril of the realm.⁴ The young prince only came to England to die. At the close of the parliament, the same year, the speaker of the commons once more recommended to the king the

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*.

² Parliamentary Hist.

³ Thomas Chaucer served as speaker to the House of Commons in the second year of

Henry V. His only daughter Alice, a great heiress, took for her third husband William de la Pole, duke of Suffolk.

⁴ Rymer.

persons of the queen and the princes his sons, praying the advancement of their estates. The petition was quite unreasonable as regarded queen Joanna, who enjoyed so large an income as queen of England, besides her rich dower from the states of Bretagne; but she never omitted an opportunity of adding to her wealth, which must have been very considerable.

Avarice was certainly the besetting sin of Joanna of Navarre; and this sordid propensity probably originated from the pressure of pecuniary cares with which she had to contend as princess of Navarre, as duchess of Bretagne, and during the first years of her marriage with king Henry. Her conduct as a stepmother appears to have been conciliating. Even when the wild and profligate conduct of the heir of England had estranged him from his father's councils and affections, such confidential feelings subsisted between young Henry and Joanna, that he employed her influence for the purpose of obtaining the king's consent to the marriage of the young earl of March, at that time his ward and friend. To the disgrace of the queen, however, it is recorded, by the indubitable evidence of the issue rolls, that she received, as the price of her good offices on this occasion, a promissory bribe from the prince; witness this entry:—

“To Joanna, queen of England. In money paid to her by the hands of Parnelle Brocket and Nicholas Alderwich, in part payment of a *greater sum* due to the said queen upon a private agreement made between the said queen and our present lord the king, especially concerning the marriage of the earl of March, purchased and obtained of the said lady the queen by our said lord now the king, whilst he was prince of Wales.

“By writ privy seal, £100.”

When we consider that, in virtue of legitimate descent, the earl of March was the rightful sovereign of England, it is surprising how such a measure was ever advocated by the Lancastrian prince of Wales, or permitted by so profound a politician as his father, who must have been aware of the perilous consequences to his descendants; and it is a proof that Joanna must have possessed an unbounded ascendancy over the mind of the king, to have been able to carry that point. The ladies of the Lancastrian royal family who wrote to Henry IV., do not forget to name his influential queen in their letters. His sister, queen Katherine, heiress of Castile, uses these words: “Most dear and beloved brother and lord, I entreat that by all means, as continually as you can, you will certify and let me know of your health, and life, and good estate, and of the queen, your companion, my dearest and best-loved sister.” His half-sister, of the Beaufort line, Joanna, countess of Westmoreland, wrote to him from Raby-castle, and after telling, very prettily, the story of a romantic love-marriage between Christopher Standish and Margaret Fleming, recommends the lady to the care of the queen. She ventures not to call the king her brother, but says, “**And most puissant prince and my sovereign**

lord, his (Christopher's) father has dismissed him from his service, and that merely because he and Margaret married for downright love, without thinking what they should have to live upon; wherefore I entreat your most high and puissant lordship to ordain for the said Margaret some suitable dwelling, or else to place her with the queen your wife, whom God preserve."¹

Henry IV., at that time sinking under a complication of infirmities, was probably indebted to the cherishing care of his consort for all the comfort he was capable of enjoying in life; and Joanna, who had learned so well how to adapt herself, while in early youth, to the wayward humours of her first husband (the most quarrelsome prince in Europe), was doubtless an adept in the art of pleasing, and of governing without appearing to do so. Henry, though only in his forty-seventh year, was worn out with bodily and mental sufferings. His features, once regularly beautiful, and of which he, in some of his penitentiary observations, acknowledges himself to have been proud,² became, in the autumn of this year, so marred and disfigured by that loathsome disease the leprosy, as to prevent him from appearing in public.³ On account of this mortal sickness, he kept his last Christmas at Eltham, with his queen, in great seclusion. His complaint was accompanied by epileptic fits, or death-like trances, in which he sometimes lay for hours, without testifying any signs of life. He, however, rallied a little towards the close of the holidays, and was enabled after Candlemas to keep his birthday, and to return to his palace at Westminster. He was at his devotions before the shrine of St. Edward, in the abbey, when his last fatal stroke of apoplexy seized him, and it was supposed by every one that he was dead; but being removed to the abbot's state apartments, which were nearer than his own, and laid on a pallet before the fire, he revived, and asked "where he was?" He was told, "In the Jerusalem chamber." Henry received this answer as his knell, for it had been predicted of him that he should die in Jerusalem, which he supposed to be the holy city, and had solemnly received the cross, in token that it was his intention to undertake a crusade for the expiation of his sins. The blood he had shed in supporting his title to the throne lay very heavily on his conscience during the latter years of his reign; and in the hour of his departure he particularly requested that the *miserere* should be read to him, which contained a penitential acknowledgment of sin, and a supplication to be delivered from "blood-guiltiness." He then called for his eldest son, Henry, prince of Wales, to whom he addressed some

¹ Cott. MSS. French letter: no date.

² Hardyng's Chronicle.

³ If we may trust the witness of Maydstone, a priestly historian devoted to the cause of Richard II., Henry IV. was smitten with the leprosy as with a blight, on the very

day Scroope, archbishop of York, was executed for treason without benefit of clergy. The extreme anxiety of his mind, at this crisis, had probably given a complete revulsion to his constitution.

admirable exhortations as to his future life and government. Shakspeare has repeated almost verbatim the death-bed eloquence of the expiring king, in that touching speech, commencing, "Come hither, Henry: sit thou on my bed."

King Henry was doubtless arrayed in his regal robes and diadem while publicly performing his devotions at the throne of the royal saint, his popular predecessor, which accounts for the crown having been placed on his pillow, whence it was removed by his son Henry, prince of Wales, during the long death-like swoon which deceived all present into the belief that the vital spark was extinct. Of the many historians who have recorded the interesting death-scene of Henry IV., not one has mentioned his consort, queen Joanna, as being present on that occasion. King Henry's will, which was made three years before his death, bears testimony to the deep remorse and self-condemnation which accompanied him to the grave. He added the duchy of Lancaster to the dowry of his queen Joanna by this document. Henry expired on St. Cuthbert's-day, March 19, 1413. He was buried near Edward the Black Prince, in Canterbury Cathedral, with great pomp and state, on Trinity Sunday.

In the first years of her widowhood, queen Joanna received every mark of attention and respect from the new king, Henry V., who was anxious to avail himself of her influence with her son, the duke of Bretagne, in order to secure the alliance of that prince in his projected wars with France. Henry, in his letters and treaties, always styles the duke of Bretagne his dearest brother, and the duke reciprocates the title when addressing him.¹ The temporizing politics of the duke prove that his own interests were studied by him, in preference to his royal mother's regard for her English connexions. Joanna was intrusted by her royal stepson with a share in the government during his absence, when he undertook his expedition against France. Speed, Stowe, Hall, Goodwin, and White Kennet, affirm that she was made queen-regent at the same time that John, duke of Bedford, was appointed protector and lord-lieutenant of England. Trussel² uses these words:—"Henry appointed his mother-in-law, Joan de Navar, a woman of great prudence and judgment in national affairs, to be regent in his absence, with the advice of the privy council." She was treated with higher consideration than was ever shown to a queen-dowager of this country who was not also queen-mother, and appears to have enjoyed the favour and confidence of the king in no slight degree.

The same day that Henry quitted his metropolis, June 18th, after having been in solemn procession to St. Paul's with the lord mayor and corporation of the city of London, to offer his prayers and oblations for the success of his expedition, he returned to Westminster for the purpose

of taking a personal leave of queen Joanna.¹ This circumstance is commemorated in a curious poem of the time :—²

"To Powlys then he held his way
With all his lordys, sooth to say ;
The mayor was ready, and met him there
With the crafts of London in good array.
'Hail ! comely king,' the mayor 'gan say ;
'The grace of God now be with thee,
And speed thee well in thy journey,
And grant thee ever more degree :'
'Amen !' quoth all the commonalty.
To Saint Powlys then he held his way,
And offered there full worthily ;
From thence to the queen the self-same day,
And took his leave full reverently."

This farewell visit to queen Joanna was the last thing Henry V. did previously to leaving his capital. Their perfect amity at that time may be inferred from Henry's gracious licence to the royal widow, whom he styles "his dearest mother, Joanna, queen of England," to reside with her retinue in any of his royal castles of Windsor, Wallingford, Berkhamstead, and Hertford, as of old, during his absence in foreign parts.³ There are also various gifts and concessions granted by Henry V. to queen Joanna on the rolls of the third, fourth, and fifth years of his reign.

The foreign connexions of Joanna, and her pertinacity in retaining her Breton and Navarrese attendants about her person, excited once more an expression of jealous displeasure from the English parliament ; and an address was presented to the king, complaining of her disregard to the act for purging the royal household of aliens, Bretons, and other foreigners, passed in the seventh year of the late king Henry IV. : "For, notwithstanding that act, many Bretons had come into the kingdom again, some of whom were then dwelling in the queen's house, and others very near it, to hear, discover, and learn the secrets of the realm, and to carry money and jewels out of the kingdom ; and it was requested that the king would constrain all such to depart before the feast of St. John the Baptist."⁴ That Joanna had failed in her endeavours to persuade her son, the duke of Bretagne, to espouse king Henry's side in the great contest between England and France, and that he persisted in maintaining a strict neutrality, was probably the cause of this attack, which appears to have emanated from the jealous hostility of her stepson, Bedford, her coadjutor in the regency. Unfortunately, too, for her second son, Arthur, earl of Richmond, although an English subject, having performed homage to king Henry for his earldom, openly violated his allegiance by engaging under king Charles's banner, and attacking the outposts of Henry's camp, near Agincourt, at the head of two thousand French cavalry. This fiery assault, his first essay in arms,

¹ *Sh. Harris Nicolas's Agincourt*, r. 24.

² *Rymer's Fœdera*.

³ Preserved among the Harleian MSS.

⁴ *Parl. Rolls*, vol. iv.

was made at midnight, on the eve of St. Crispin's-day, in the midst of a tempest of wind and rain. Arthur was repulsed by the troops of his royal step-brother; he was desperately wounded, and made prisoner in the battle the following day.

His capture is recorded by a temporary chronicler in these words:—"The son of the late duke of Bretagne, by the queen-regent of England, was taken prisoner." Joanna of Navarre is again mentioned, by this title, by the same historian, who says: "King Henry despatched a messenger over to England, to the queen-regent, with news of his victory, which filled the nation with universal joy. *Te Deum* was sung in all the churches, and a mighty procession, consisting of the queen, prelates, and nobility, with the mayor and corporation of the city of London, walked from St. Paul's to Westminster on the following day, to return public thanks to Almighty God." The Chronicle of London¹ also states "that queen Johane, with her lords, attended by the mayor, aldermen, and several of the livery companies of London, walked in solemn procession from St. Paul's to Westminster-abbey, to offer thanksgivings for the victory;" and having made a rich offering at the shrine of St. Edward, they all returned in triumph to the city, amidst the acclamations of the people. Whoever might exult in the national triumph of Agincourt, Joanna had little cause for joy. The husband of her eldest daughter, the valiant duke of Alençon, who clove king Henry's jewelled coronal with his battle-axe in the *mêlée*, was there slain. Her brother, Charles of Navarre, the constable of France, died of his wounds the following day; and Arthur, her gallant son, was a captive. No trifling tax must the widowed queen have paid for greatness, when, instead of putting on her mourning weeds, and indulging in the natural grief of a fond mother's heart for these family calamities, she was called upon to assume the glittering trappings of state, and to take the leading part in a public pageant of rejoicing. Till this latter duty was performed as befitted the queen of England, she forbore to weep and make lamentation for the dead, or to bewail the captivity of him who was led a prisoner in the train of the royal victor.

The trials of Joanna only commenced with the battle of Agincourt, for she had to endure much maternal anxiety as to the future position of her eldest son, the reigning duke of Bretagne, with whose temporizing conduct Henry V. was greatly exasperated; and she had to perform the hard task of welcoming, with deceitful smiles and congratulations, the haughty victor who had wrought her house such woe, and who was the arbiter of her son Arthur's fate. Arthur of Bretagne, as earl of Richmond, was Henry's subject, and by bearing arms against him at Agincourt had violated his liegeman's oath, and stood in a very different position with his royal step-brother from the other prisoners. Well it

¹ Edited by Sir Harris Nicolas. Harrison's Survey of London.

was for him, considering the vindictive temper of Henry V., that the queen had in former times laid that prince under obligations, by assisting him in time of need with pecuniary aid. The first interview between Joanna and her captive son is, perhaps, one of the most touching passages in history. They had not seen each other for twelve years, when Arthur as a boy visited the court of England, to receive the investiture of the earldom of Richmond from his royal stepfather, Henry IV. Joanna, anxious to ascertain whether he retained any remembrance of her person, which, perhaps, she felt was faded by years of anxious tendance on a husband sick alike in body and mind, yet fondly hoping that filial instinct would lead him to her arms, placed one of her ladies in the chair of state, and retired among her attendants, two of whom stood before her, while she watched what would follow. Arthur, as might be expected, took the queen's representative for his mother; she supported the character for some time, and desired him to pay his compliments to her ladies. When, in turn, he came to Joanna, her heart betrayed her, and she exclaimed, "Unhappy son, do you not know me?" The call of nature was felt; both mother and son burst into tears. They then embraced with great tenderness, and she gave him a thousand nobles, which the princely youth distributed among his fellow-prisoners and his guards, together with some apparel. But after this interview, Henry V. prevented all communication between queen Joanna and her son.¹

Arthur was doomed to waste the flower of his youth in a rigorous confinement, first in the Tower of London, and afterwards in Fotheringay-castle, the king being too much exasperated against him to listen to Joanna's intercessions, either for his release or ransom. Henry, however, continued to treat his royal stepmother with great respect. At the feast of St. George, 1416, queen Joanna, who was a lady of the Garter, with his aunts, the queens of Spain and Portugal, his sisters, the queen of Denmark and duchess of Holland, received each eight ells of blue-coloured cloth, with two furs made of three hundred bellies of miniver, and one hundred and seventy garter stripes to correspond, to make them robes, furred and embroidered with the military order of the Garter, all alike, as the gift of the king. Henry, on this occasion, presented cloth and fur to a chosen number of the great ladies of the court, as well as to the princes of the blood-royal and the knights of the Garter, that they might all appear in the robes of their order, to grace the high festival of that year.² Henry was induced to conclude a truce with the duke of Bretagne, as he himself specifies, "at the prayer of Joanna,"³ whom he styles "that excellent and most dear lady, the queen our mother." This was in the year 1417.

¹ *Histoire d'Artur, troizième Duc de Bretagne.* From Sir Harris Nicolas's *Agincourt*, vol. II.

² Rymer's *Fœdera*.

³ *Ibid.*

King Henry directed his collectors of the port of London, July 1418, to allow three sealed cases of money, sixty pipes of wine, seven baskets of lamps, two bales of cloth of Joscelin, and one barrel of anchovies, coming to his dearest mother, Joanna, queen of England; at her need, in the ship called the St. Nicholas of Nantes, to pass without collecting any impost or due.¹ The same day he directs the authorities of the ports of Plymouth and Dartmouth to admit, free of all duty, Johan de Moine from the ports of Bretagne, with eight great barrels of wine of Tyre and Malmsey for his dearest mother, Joanna, queen of England, from her son, the duke of Bretagne. The St. Nicholas of Nantes appears to have been constantly employed by her royal owner in trading-voyages between the ports of London and Bretagne, for the exchange of the manufactures and commercial imports of those countries duty free, a privilege of which the thrifty dowager of England and Bretagne doubtless made great pecuniary advantage. On one occasion, however, the freight of the St. Nicholas is of a different description, or at least that on which the most important stress is laid in the king's gracious permit for safe and free export to Bretagne, consisting, among other valuables, of a curious selection of live-stock, for presentation to the young duchess of Bretagne, Joanna's daughter-in-law; viz., Jacotin de Hasse, horse buyer to our lady the queen, with four horses, three palfreys and their trappings, a certain organ-player, and a *pape geay*² (popinjay), meaning a parrot. With this amusing cargo Joanna also sends a present of "cloth of London" to the Breton duchess; a presumptive evidence that the manufactures of the English metropolis were held in some esteem by the foreign queen, and considered acceptable and suitable offerings to a royal daughter of France.

While the queen-dowager was thus harmlessly, and perhaps, with regard to her patronage of cloth of London, usefully employed, she was suddenly arrested at her dower-palace of Havering-Bower, by the order of the duke of Bedford, the regent of England.— "The king's stepmother, queen Johanne," says Walsingham,³ "being accused by certain persons of an act of witchcraft, which would have tended to the king's harm, was committed (all her attendants being removed) to the custody of Sir John Pelham, who, having furnished her with nine servants, placed her in Pevensey-castle, there to be kept under his control."⁴ Joanna's principal accuser was her confessor, John

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*. The cloth was a species of linen manufacture, much of the nature of holland; it was the finest of that linen called Rennes cloth, for which Bretagne was famous in the middle ages. Rennes sheets were often left by will as costly luxuries.

² Rymer's *Fœdera*.

³ Likewise Holinshed, Speed, Stowe. *Parliamentary Hist. of England*.

London Chronicle, a contemporary

also, gives this account: "This same year frere Randolf, a master of divinity, that some time was the queen's confessor, at the exciting of the said queen, by sorcery, and necromancy wrought for to *astroy* the king; but, as God wolde, his falseness was at last espied, wherefore by common parliament the queen forfeited her lands." Otterbourne says, Joanna committed an infamous *maleficium*, and was taken from her family, and given to

Randolf, a Minorite friar; though it seems Henry had had previous information that the queen-dowager, with the aid of two domestic sorcerers, Roger Colles of Salisbury and Petronel Brocart, was dealing with the powers of darkness for his destruction.¹ John Randolf was arrested at the isle of Guernsey, and sent over to the king in Normandy,² where his confessions seem to have determined Henry to proceedings of the utmost rigour against his royal stepmother; she was committed as a close prisoner,—first to the castle of Leeds, one of her own palaces, and afterwards to that of Pevensey, and deprived not only of her rich dowerlands and tenements, but of all her money, furniture and personal property, even to her wearing-apparel. Her servants were dismissed, and others placed about her by the authority of her gaoler, Sir John Pelham. These circumstances are all set forth in the Parliamentary rolls for 7th Henry V.: and proclamation of her alleged offence was made throughout England.

White Kennet asserts that Joanna was brought to a trial, that she was convicted, and forfeited her goods by sentence of Parliament; but of this there is not the slightest proof. On the contrary, it is quite certain that she never was allowed an opportunity of justifying herself from the dark allegations that were brought against her. She was condemned unheard, despoiled of her property, and consigned to years of solitary confinement, without the slightest regard to law or justice. Her perfidious confessor, Randolf, while disputing with the parson of St. Peter's-ad-Vincula, was for ever silenced, by the combative priest strangling him in the midst of his debate.³ The fury with which the argument was pursued, and its murderous termination, would suggest the idea that the guilt or innocence of their royal mistress must have been the subject of discussion. The death of Randolf under these circumstances leaves undetailed the "high and horrible means" whereby the royal widow was accused of practising against the life of the king. He was the only witness against her, and by his death the whole affair remains among the most inscrutable of historical mysteries.

There is, however, among the unpublished papers of Rymer, a document which seems to throw some light on the affair, by evidencing the previous attempts of Henry V. to extort from Joanna the principal part of her dower in loans; for we find that, in the beginning of the year she was arrested, he enjoins "his dear chevalier, William Kynwolmersh, to send all the sums of money he can possibly borrow⁴ of the dower of Johane, the queen, late wife of our sovereign lord and sire the late king, whom God assoil! Let these sums be sent from time to time without

the charge of lord John Pelham in the castle of Pevensey. He notes it in the events of 1419.

¹ Holinshed.

² *Ibid.* Parliamentary Records.

³ Bayley's History of the Tower. Specul. Holinshed.

⁴ "Faire lenez" is the expression used by the king.—Unpublished MSS. of Rymer, 4602; *Plut.* cxiii. v.

fail, leaving her only money enough for her reasonable expenses, and to pay any annuities she might have granted." In all probability, Joanna's resistance of this oppression was answered by her arrest, on the frivolous accusation which afforded the king a pretence for replenishing his exhausted coffers at her expense.

It was one of the dark features of the age, that the ruin and disgrace of a person against whom no tenable accusation could be brought might readily be effected by a charge of sorcery, which generally operated on the public mind as effectually as the cry of "mad dog" does for the destruction of the devoted victims of the canine species. If Joanna had been a female of less elevated rank, she would, in all probability, have been consigned to the flames; but as the daughter, sister, and widow of sovereigns, and the mother of a reigning prince, it was not possible for her enemies to proceed to greater extremities than plundering her goods and incarcerating her person. When these strange tidings reached her eldest son, the duke of Bretagne, his political apathy was sufficiently dispelled by the outrage that had been offered to his royal mother to impel him to send the bishop of Nantes and some of the principal persons in his court to Henry V., who was then at Melun, to expostulate with him on the injurious treatment of the widowed queen, and to demand her liberation. This remonstrance was offered, however, in the humble tone of a suppliant rather than the courageous spirit of a champion, ready to come forward to vindicate his mother's honour, according to the chivalric usage of the times, at swords' points with her accuser. But the feeble son of John the Valiant acted according to his nature in tamely submitting to Henry's haughty disregard of his expostulations, and thus substantiated the sarcastic observations addressed to him by the duke of Orleans, when reproaching him for having beaten his consort, Joanna of France, "that the lion in his heart, was not bigger than that in the heart of a child of two years old."¹ Soon after the unsuccessful appeal of the duke of Bretagne in behalf of his royal mother, Joanna was deprived of any hope she might have founded on the efforts of her first-born for her deliverance, by his falling into the hands of his mortal enemy, the count de Penthievres, and she had the grief of bewailing in her dismal prison-house the captivity of both her sons.

The return of the royal victor of Agincourt with his beautiful and illustrious bride, brought no amelioration to the condition of the unfortunate queen-dowager and her son. Katherine of Valois was nearly related in blood to Joanna of Navarre, being the daughter of her cousin-german, Charles VI. Katherine was also sister to the young duchess of Bretagne, Joanna's daughter-in-law; yet she received neither sympathy nor attention from her, but had the mortification of knowing that her dower, or at least the larger part of it, was appropriated to maintain

¹ Monstrelet.

Katherine's state as queen of England. Henry V. presented the abbess of Sion with a thousand marks from the revenues of the imprisoned queen.¹ We find, in the Acts of the Privy Council, that Henry returned a favourable answer to the petition of William Pomeroy, one of Joanna's esquires, who humbly supplicates for a continuance of a pension of twenty marks a-year, which had formerly been granted by the queen Johanne in reward of his long and faithful services to her. Henry with his own hand has written, "We wol that he have the twenty marcs."²

In the fourth year of her captivity, an important prisoner of state was consigned to the same fortress in which the queen-dowager was incarcerated. This was Sir John Mortimer, the uncle of the earl of March.³ His frequent attempts to escape from the Tower caused him to be removed to the gloomy fortress of Pevensey. The widow of Henry IV. being confined within the same dark walls with this fettered lion of the rival house of Mortimer, is a curious and romantic circumstance. Yet, when Mortimer arrived at Pevensey, the period of Joanna's incarceration there was drawing to a close. Her royal persecutor, the puissant conqueror of France, feeling the awful moment was at hand when he must lay his sceptre in the dust, and render up an account of the manner in which he had exercised his regal power, was seized with late remorse for the wrong and robbery of which he had been guilty towards his father's widow; and knowing that repentance without restitution is of little avail in a case of conscience, he addressed the following injunction to the bishops and lords of his council, dated July 13, 1422:—

"Right worshipful Fathers in God, our right trusty and well-beloved: Howbeit we have taken into our hand till a certain time, and for such causes as ye know, the dowers of our mother, queen Johanne, except a certain pension thereof yearly, which we assigned for the expense reasonable of her, and of a certain *menie*⁴ that should be about her: we, doubting lest it should be a charge unto our conscience for to occupy forth longer the said dower in this wise, *the which charge we be advised no longer to bear on our conscience*, will and charge you, as ye will appear before God for us in this case, and stand discharged in your own conscience also, that ye make deliverance unto our said mother, the queen, wholly of her said dower, and suffer her to receive it as she did heretofore. Furthermore, we will and charge you that her beds and all other things moveable that we had of her, ye deliver her again. And ordain her that she have, of such cloth and of such colour as she will devise herself, v. or vi. gowns, such as she useth to wear. And because we suppose she will soon remove from the palace where she now is, that ye ordain her horses for eleven chares; and let her remove them into whatsoever place within our realm that her list, and when her list, &c.

"Written the thirteenth day of July, the year of our reign tenth."⁵

In common justice, Henry ought to have made this *amende* perfect, by adding a declaration of his royal stepmother's innocence from the foul charge which had been the ostensible pretext for the persecution to which she had been subjected. His letter contains in effect, however, if

¹ Tyler's Life of Henry V.

² Acts of Privy Council, by Sir H. Nicolas, vol. ii. p. 302.

³ Ibid

⁴ Household servants; from which word
comes the 'menial.'

⁵ Cars or chariots.

⁶ Parliamentary Rolls, 1st of Henry VI., where there is also an inventory of queen Johanne's sequestered property

not in words, a complete exoneration of queen Joanna; and it appears unaccountable that any apologist should be found to justify the conqueror of Agincourt for acts which were so sore a burden to his departing spirit. The above document proves that the spoliation of the queen-dowager had extended even to the sequestration of her beds and rich array. She had certainly been compelled to divest herself of her queenly attire, and to assume the coarse garb of penance. Whether the peace-offering of five or six new gowns, with the royal permission for the injured lady to consult her own taste in the colour, material, and fashion of the same, was considered by Joanna as a sufficient compensation for the wrong, and robbery, and weary imprisonment she had undergone, is doubtful. But be this as it might, and even if the gowns which the warlike majesty of England so solemnly enjoins his chancellor and the other lords, spiritual and temporal, of his council to endow her with were promptly rendered, it is certain she could not have enjoyed the satisfaction of appearing in them, courtly etiquette compelling her, within seven weeks after the date of Henry's letter of restitution, to assume the mockery of mourning weeds for his decease. This event occurred August 31, 1422. Joanna had been released from her captivity some weeks previously, and resumed her former state at her own palace of Leeds-castle the same summer, as the following entries appear in her household-book,¹ dated July 14th, first year of Henry VI. It is to be observed, that first the duke of Gloucester, and then cardinal Beaufort, visited her just before the official notice of Henry's penitence was made, and assuredly brought her private intelligence of the change in her favour; for, on June the 12th, is an item "that the duke dined with her at Leeds, and went away after dinner; expenses for the feast, 4*l.* 2*s.*:" and, on the 2nd of the next month, "cardinal Beaufort dined with her at a cost of 4*l.* 14*s.* 2*d.*" The newly-emfranchised queen gave alms and oblations "at the cross of the chapel within Leeds-castle, which came to 6*s.* 8*d.*;" but she laid in a stock of Gascon (claret), Rochelle, and Rhenish wines, at the cost of 56*l.* 0*s.* 4*d.* Her alms seem influenced by her usual avarice, for if she could find money to buy so much wine, she might have commemorated her signal deliverance from captivity and obloquy by a larger outlay than a mark. All her recorded donations appear despicably mean. Her clerk, Thomas Lilbourne, proceeds to note the expenses of her mourning dress for the death of her persecutor, as well for her own person as the maids of her chamber. There are some odd notices of the price of making court-dresses, which may be amusing to the ladies of the present day. There are charges for seven yards of black cloth, for a gown for the queen at the feast of Easter, at 7*s.* 8*d.* per yard, and for making a gown for her, 1*s.* 6*d.*: for one cape

¹ This information is gathered from one of the valuable documents in the collection of Sir Thomas Phillpotts, Bart., of Middle-lill, Worcestershire.

of black, for black silk loops, and for 400 clasps, for $7\frac{1}{2}$ yards of black cloth, at 7s. per yard, for the queen's person ; for making a cape for the queen, for black satin, and for grey squirrel fur, 23s. 4d. ; for fur for a collar and mantle for the queen, 20s. ; for 1 oz. of black thread, 1s. 6d. 3 dozen shoes, at 6d. per pair. Likewise to Agnes Stowe, of the family of lady Margaret Trumpyngton, for her good services to the queen, as a gift, 6s. 8d. To two serjeants-at-law, to plead for the queen's gold, 6s. 8d. To Nicholas, minstrel, a gift of the queen, 6s. 8d. None of Joanna's gifts exceed this sum, which is the amount of a mark. Some of the articles are curious,—as, one pot of green ginger, 9s. 6d. ; for rose-water, 7s. 6d. ; to master Laurence, for cinnamon, 7s. 10d. The queen gives 6d. per pair for her maids' shoes, and 7d. for those of her own wearing.

Notwithstanding the earnest desire of Henry V. for the restoration of Joanna's dower, the matter was attended with great difficulty, on account of the manner in which he had disposed of this property. He had, in fact, sold, mortgaged, and granted it away to a variety of persons, besides endowing his own queen (now also a queen-dowager) with the town and appurtenances of Hertford, and many other manors which had been settled on queen Joanna by his father, king Henry IV. The smoothing of such a ravelled skein caused much delay and trouble to all parties ; and we find, in the second of Henry VI., that a petition was presented from the noble lady Joanna, queen of England, requiring all the grants of her lands made by the late king Henry V. to be quashed by parliament, that she might receive her revenues. The answer to the petition was, “ that the same should be granted in all points, provided that those persons who had laid out money upon the queen's lands should have the option of taking the same under her, at the same term or rent at which they then held the same from the crown.”¹

Joanna of Navarre survived her restoration to liberty, wealth, and royal station many years,—“living,” says Weever, “in all princely prosperity.” Her grandson, Giles of Bretagne,² was reared and educated with the youthful king Henry VI., and was much beloved by him ; a circumstance which leads to the conclusion, that queen Joanna was likewise in favour at the English court. Her favourite residence was the sylvan retreat of Havering-Bower. She also kept her state sometimes at Langley, where her retirement was enlivened occasionally by shows, as the rude theatrical entertainments of the fifteenth century were designated. We learn, from a contemporary chronicle, that in the ninth year of Henry VI., a grievous and terrible fire took place at the manor of queen Joanna, at Langley, in which there was great destruc-

¹ Rolls Parl. iv. p. 247.

² This young prince was allowed an annuity of 123 marks. Issue Rolls. He received the order of the Garter. Great jealousies regarding his English connexions arose on his re-

turn to his native country on the death of his grandmother, queen Joanna. An awful tragedy occurred in Bretagne, terminating in his death, and that of his brother, Joanna's elder grandson, duke Francis I.

tion of the buildings, furniture, gold and silver plate, and household stuff. These disasters happened "through the want of care, and drowsiness of a player, and the heedless keeping of a candle."¹ This fire is the last event of any importance that befell the royal widow after her restoration to her rights. Joanna was treated with all proper consideration by the grandson of her deceased consort, the young king, Henry VI. While residing at her palace of Langley, 1437, she was honoured with a New-year's gift from him, as a token of his respect. This was a tablet of gold, garnished with four balass rubies, eight pearls, and in the midst a great sapphire. The tablet had been formerly presented to the young king by my lady of Gloucester; whether by Jaqueline or Eleanora Cobham, is left doubtful.

Her death is thus quaintly noted in the Chronicle of London: "This same year, 9th of July, died queen Jane, king Henry IV.'s wife. Also the same year died all the lions in the Tower, the which was nought seen in no man's time before out of mind." Joanna was certainly turned of seventy at the time of her death, which occurred at Havering-Bower in the fifteenth year of Henry VI., 1437. She survived her first husband, John, duke of Bretagne, nearly thirty-eight years, and her second, Henry IV., of England, twenty-four. She had nine children by the duke of Bretagne,—Joanna, who died in infancy; John, who succeeded his father, and died in 1442; Marie, duchess of Alençon, who died 1446; Blanche, countess of Armagnac, and Margaret, viscountess Rohan, both of whom died in the flower of youth, supposed to have been poisoned; Arthur, earl of Richmond, so long a captive in England, afterwards became illustrious in French history as the valiant count de Richemonte; Jules, the third son of Joanna, died in England, 1412; Richard, count d'Estampes, died the year after his mother. The queen had no children by Henry IV.

The following summonses were issued by Henry VI. to the nobles, male and female, to do honour to the funeral of this queen:—

"Trusty and well-beloved Cousin, know as much as we, by name of our leal uncle of Gloucester, and other of our council, have appointed the funerals of our grandmother queen Joanna (whom God assoile) to be holden and solemnized at Canterbury the sixth day of August next coming. Believe that we have appointed the said uncle and other lords and ladies of our realm, and you cousin [*blank for the name*], to be ready for the same day, to the worship of God and our said grandmother; we desire, therefore, and pray you (putting off your *pleasure*, and *excusations* ceasing), dispose you to be in person at the solemnity of the said funeral, according to our singular trust in ye."

"Given under our privy seal, at Oxford, the 23rd day of July."²

The corpse of queen Joanna rested at Bermondsey-abbey on its way to Canterbury cathedral, where she was interred in the same vault which her pious care had provided as the *domus ultima* of her royal consort,

¹ Harl. MSS., 3775, art. 9.

² Cottonian MSS. In the original document the queen's name is spelled Jehane and Jehance.

Henry IV. A superb altar-tomb had been prepared under her auspices for that monarch, and there their effigies repose side by side, in solemn state, near the tomb of the Black Prince. Joanna's statue, like her portrait in the picture of her coronation, is that of a very lovely woman; her throat is long and delicate, her bust beautiful. Her features are small and regular; the eyes and eyebrows very long. Her head is singularly high, and, at the same time, very broad from the eyebrows upwards: the whole gives the idea of an exact portrait. The tomb is wrought in alabaster, enamelled with colours. The dress is elegant; her beautiful arms are only shaded by the royal mantle; rich brooches clasp the mantle on the shoulders. Her bosom and shoulders are much shown; round her throat is a collar of SS, very elegant, and the oldest specimen extant of this ornament. Studs set with jewels are placed down the front of the cote-hardi,—a tight jacket trimmed with ermine, without sleeves; round her hips is a band of jewels, as a belt, from which her gown falls in full folds over her feet.¹

Joanna retained her first consort, the duke of Bretagne's device,—an ermine, collared and chained, which appears with her motto, **TEMPERANCE**, on the cornice and canopy of her tomb.²

Notwithstanding the implied exculpation of her character in Henry V.'s deathbed letter of restitution, a degree of superstitious terror was long connected at Havering Bower with the memory of Joanna of Navarre, or the "Witch Queen," as she was called.

The signature of this royal lady is one of the earliest specimens of the autograph of a queen of England extant.

¹ Illustrated edition of the Queens of England, in 8 vols., by Agnes Strickland. Vol. II. for portrait and autograph.

² Sandford.

KATHERINE OF VALOIS,

SURNAMED THE FAIR,

CONSORT OF HENRY V.

CHAPTER I.

KATHERINE of Valois was a babe in the cradle when Henry V., as prince of Wales, became an unsuccessful suitor for the hand of her eldest sister Isabella, the young widow of Richard II.¹ Katherine was the youngest child of Charles VI., king of France, and his queen Isabeau of Bavaria: she was born at a period when her father's health and her mother's reputation were both in evil plight. She first saw the light, October 27, 1401, at the hôtel de St. Pol,² in Paris, a palace of retirement for the royal family. There her earliest years were passed, and there did her unfortunate sire, Charles VI., spend the long, agonizing intervals of his aberrations from reason, during which the infancy of his little daughter was exposed to hardships such as seldom fall to the lot of the poorest cottager.

Queen Isabeau joined with the king's brother, the duke of Orleans, in pilfering the revenues of the royal household; and to such a degree did this wicked woman carry her rapacity, as to leave her little children without the means of supporting life. These tender infants were shut up in the royal hôtel de St. Pol, wholly neglected by their vile mother,—the princess Michelle was then only five years old, and the princess Katherine little more than three. The poor children were in a piteous state, nearly starved, and loathsome with dirt, having no change of clothes, nor even of linen.³ The whole sustenance they had was from the charity of the inferior attendants who had not deserted the place; all the servants of the royal family having been left by queen Isabeau without food or wages. The state of Katherine's hapless father, who occupied a part of the palace of St. Pol, was still more deplorable; but he was unconscious of his misery, till one day he suddenly regained his senses, and observing the disarray and neglect around him, sternly questioned the governess of Katherine regarding the deplorable state to which he saw the little princess and his other children, even the dauphin, were reduced. The lady was forced to own that the royal children had not a

¹ See the life of Isabella of Valois.

Moreri,—Katherin..

³ Mezerai.

sufficient supply of clothes, or even of ordinary sustenance. "I myself am not better treated, you may perceive," replied the afflicted sovereign; then giving her a gold cup, out of which he had just been drinking, he bade her sell it, and buy necessaries for his unfortunate little ones.¹

The instant Charles VI. recovered from his attack of delirium, he appears to have resumed his royal functions without any intermediate time of convalescence. Directly the news was brought to the queen that her husband spoke and looked composedly, a sense of her guilt caused her to decamp with Louis of Orleans to Melun, having ordered duke Louis of Bavaria, her brother and the accomplice of her iniquities, to follow, with the royal children. Louis of Bavaria not only obeyed this order, and carried off the dauphin Louis, his two young brothers, and the princesses Michelle and Katherine, but with them he abducted their young companions, the children of the duke of Burgundy. The Burgundian forces having arrived at the hôtel de St. Pol, and missing the princely children, the duke of Burgundy sent a troop of his men-at-arms in pursuit of them; for the heir of Burgundy, who was even then betrothed to Katherine's sister, Michelle, was carried off with his little spouse. The pursuers overtook the whole party at Juvissy, and, after possessing themselves of the children of Burgundy and the princess Michelle, they respectfully asked the dauphin Louis, then about ten years old, "Whither he would please to go?" The royal boy replied, "I will return to my father." He was joyfully obeyed, and conducted back to Paris, with his sister Katherine and the rest of the royal children of France.²

After the duke of Burgundy had caused the assassination of Orleans in the streets of Paris, the conduct of queen Isabeau became so infamous that she was imprisoned at Tours; and her daughter Katherine (the only one of the princesses who was not betrothed or consecrated) was taken from her. There is reason to believe that Katherine was educated in the convent of Poissy, where her sister Marie took the veil. Whilst the education of Katherine the Fair is proceeding, a few pages must be devoted to the personal history of that popular hero, her future husband.

Henry V. is supposed to have been born in 1387. Monmouth-castle, the place of his birth, belonged to his mother's inheritance: it is one of the most beautiful spots in our island. As Henry was a sickly child, he was, according to tradition, taken to Courtfield to be nursed, a village about five or six miles from Monmouth. His cradle is still shown as a curiosity at Bristol, whither it was brought from Troy-house, a palace once belonging to his family. The name of his nurse was Joan Waring, on whom, after he came to the throne, he settled an annuity of twenty pounds. He was given a learned education: the first foundation was laid by his mother, who was skilled in Latin and divinity. This prin-

¹ Choisy.

² Giffard's History of France and Mezerai.

cess died in the year 1394,¹ early in life, leaving an infant family, consisting of four sons and two daughters. The maternal grandmother of young Henry, the countess of Hereford, bestowed care on his education. This is proved by the fact, that he left in his will, to the bishop of Durham, a missal and other books given to him by his dear grandmother.

Henry was extremely fond of music, and this taste was cultivated at a very early age; in proof whereof, the household-book of his grandsire, John of Gaunt, may be cited. New strings were purchased for his harp before he was ten years old. About the same time there is a charge for the scabbard of his little sword, and for an ounce of black silk to make his sword-knot; and, moreover, four shillings were expended in seven books of grammar for his use, bound up in one volume. There is likewise an item for payment of a courier to announce to Henry of Bolingbroke the alarming illness of Lord Henry, his son.

Richard II., during the exile of Bolingbroke, took possession of his heir. The education of young Henry was finished in the palace of his royal kinsman, who made him his companion in his last expedition to Ireland. Here the princely boy was knighted by the sword of the king, after distinguishing himself in one of the dangerous but desultory combats with the insurgents. While Richard went to fulfil his ill-fortune in England, he sent young Henry to the castle of Trim, in Ireland, with his cousin-german, Humphrey, duke of Gloucester, whose father he had lately put to death. Young Henry was brought home from Ireland (after his father had revolutionized England) in a ship fitted out for that purpose by Henry Dryhurst, of West Chester. He met his father at Chester, and in all probability, accompanied him on his triumphant march to London. Creton affirms that Henry IV. made his son prince of Wales at his coronation; "but I think," adds Richard's sorrowing servant, "he must win it first, for the whole land of Wales is in a state of revolt on account of the wrongs of our dear lord, king Richard."

There is reason to suppose that, after his sire's coronation, prince Henry completed his education at Oxford; for there is an antique chamber of Queen's college pointed out by successive generations as once having been inhabited by Henry. This is a room over the gateway, opposite to St. Edmund's-hall. A portrait of Henry was painted in the glass of the window,² and under it, in Latin verse,—

TO RECORD THE FACT FOR EVER.
THE EMPEROR OF BRITAIN,
THE TRIUMPHANT LORD OF FRANCE,
THE CONQUEROR OF HIS ENEMIES AND HIMSELF,
HENRY V.
OF THIS LITTLE CHAMBER ONCE THE GREAT INHABITANT.

Henry was placed at Oxford under the tutorship of his half-uncle, Henry Beaufort, a young, handsome, and turbulent ecclesiastic, whose imperious haughtiness did not arise from his ascetic rigidity of manners as a priest. Beaufort had accompanied his charge to Ireland, and returned with him to England. The early appointment of the prince as lieutenant of Wales, March 7th, 1403, limits the probable time of his sojourn at Oxford, as a student, to the period between the commencement of the year 1400 and 1402. The prince was but sixteen when he fought courageously at that great conflict where his father's crown was contested. At the battle of Shrewsbury, when advancing too rashly on the enemy's forces, he received a wound with an arrow in the face, the scar of which was perceptible all his life. He was entreated to retire, that the steel might be drawn out, "To what place?" said he. "Who will remain fighting, if I, the prince and king's son, retire for fear at the first taste of steel? Let my fellow-soldiers see that I bleed at the first onset; for deeds, not words, are the duties of princes, who should set the example of boldness."¹

The prince of Wales was actively employed in the Welsh campaigns. Although Glendower was finally beaten back to his mountain fastnesses, yet the whole of the principality was, during the reign of Henry IV., but a nominal appendage to the English monarchy. Thus deprived of the revenues annexed to his title, the prince of Wales was subjected to the most grinding poverty. His wild dissipation seems to have commenced after his desultory campaigns in Wales concluded, when he returned to court with no little of the licence of the partisan soldier.² His poverty, which was shared by his royal sire, made him reckless, and had the natural consequence of forcing him into company below his rank. "The prince used to disguise himself and lie in wait for the receivers of the rents of the crown lands, or of his father's patrimony, and in the disguise of a highwayman set upon them and rob them. In such encounters he sometimes got soundly beaten, but he always rewarded his father's officers who made the stoutest resistance."³ But Henry's wildest pranks were performed at a manor of his, close to Coventry, called Cheylesmore, a residence appertaining to his duchy of Cornwall. Here prince Hal and some of his friends were taken into custody by John Hornesby, the mayor of Coventry, for raising a riot.⁴ Cheylesmore was regarded by his care-worn father with painful jealousy; "for thither," says Walsingham, "resorted all the young nobility as to a king's court, while that of Henry IV. was deserted." But the prince of Wales did not content himself with astonishing the mayor of Coventry, and his sober citizens by a mad frolic now and then; he saw the inside of a London prison as well as the gaol of Coventry

¹ Titus Livius of Friuli, a learned man patronised by Humphrey, duke of Gloucester.

² Ibid.

³ Speed.

⁴ Carte.

It does not appear that the prince was personally engaged in the uproars raised by his brothers, prince John and prince Thomas, at Eastcheap, which are noted in the London Chronicle; but in one of these frays the Lord Mayor captured his favourite servant, and carried him before judge Gascoigne. When the prince of Wales heard of the detention of his servant, he rushed to the court of justice, where his man stood arraigned at the bar, and endeavoured with his own hands to free him from his fetters, and on the judge interposing, struck him. Gascoigne dauntlessly reproved the prince, and, at the end of a very suitable lecture, committed him to the prison of the King's-bench. To this Henry submitted with so good a grace, that Henry IV. made the well-known speech,—“He was proud of having a son who would thus submit himself to the laws, and that he had a judge who could so fearlessly enforce them.” This exploit is supposed to have been the reason that Henry IV. removed his son from his place at the privy council.

Prince Henry's income was derived from his wardship of the young earl of March, who possessed a nearer claim to the throne of England than the line of Lancaster, and had been kept a prisoner in Windsor-castle from his infancy. Henry IV. gave the person of the minor earl, with his revenues, to his eldest son,—thus putting no small temptation in the path of an ambitious young hero. But here the very best traits of prince Henry's mixed character develop themselves: he formed the tenderest friendship for his helpless ward and rival.

From time to time Henry IV. made attempts to obtain a wife for his heir. In the preceding memoir it has been shown that he was, in childhood, contracted to the eldest daughter of Joanna, duchess of Bretagne, afterwards his stepmother. The biography of Isabella of Valois has proved how long and assiduously prince Henry wooed the young widow of the murdered Richard, until all hope ended in her marriage with Orleans. Marie, the second daughter of France, was the next object of his choice; but she, who had been devoted to the cloister even before her birth, having been questioned whether she would prefer an earthly spouse and accept the prince of Wales,¹ indignantly reproved her father's envoys for imagining so profane a thought. A daughter of the duke of Burgundy was demanded for the prince, but the negotiation was unsuccessful. At last, both the son and father seemed to have determined on obtaining the hand of the fair Katherine, the youngest of the princesses of France, and a private mission was confided to Edward duke of York, to demand her in marriage for the prince of Wales. York was absent on this errand at the time when Henry IV. was struck with mortal illness.

Modern research has found reason for the supposition, that prince

¹ In the Issue rolls are the expenses of Henry IV.'s ambassadors for demanding in marriage, “for the prince of Wales, the second daughter of the adversary.”

Henry was intriguing to depose his father just before his last fatal sickness. Humphrey, duke of Gloucester,¹ accused Henry Beaufort, bishop of Winchester, of the double treachery of instigating the prince of Wales to seize his father's crown, and at the same time of plotting to assassinate the prince. These are Gloucester's words: "My brother was, when prince of Wales, in great danger once, when he slept in the green chamber at Westminster-palace. There was discovered, by the rouse of a little spaniel belonging to the prince, a man concealed behind the arras near the prince's bed. When he was hauled out by Henry's attendants, a dagger was found on the man's person, and he confessed he was hidden there to kill the prince in the night, instigated by Beaufort: but when the earl of Arundel heard this, he had the assassin's head tied in a sack and flung into the Thames, to stifle his evidence."

The extreme indisposition of king Henry IV. caused the prince to seek reconciliation. This he did in a manner usually considered very extraordinary. He came to court on a New-year's day, dressed in a dark blue robe, worked with *œillets* round the collar, to each of which hung a needle and thread; and this robe was meant to indicate how much his vilifiers had slandered him to his royal sire. Why needles and threads should point out such an inference, has been an enigma. There is, however, a quaint old custom, founded by Eglesfield, still in use in Oxford, at Queen's college, which perhaps explains it. The bursar, on New-year's day, presents to each of the students of Queen's college a needle and thread, adding this exordium,—

"Take this, and be thrifty."

What the fellows of Queen's do now with these useful implements we know not; in the time of prince Hal they certainly stuck them on their collars, in readiness to mend any holes that might occur in their garments. The prince went to court wearing the needles he had received from his bursar, it being the anniversary of their presentation on New-year's day; he likewise wore the student's gown, which at the same time reminded his sire that he had not forgotten the lessons of thriftiness inculcated at college. Thus apparelled, he advanced into the hall of Westminster-palace, the room called the white-hall (lately the house of lords), which was the state reception-room of Westminster-palace. The bedchamber of the king, and the bedchamber of the queen, opened into it; and, on occasions of grand festivals, the whole suite was thrown open. The prince's bedchamber was near this suite. The prince of Wales left his company, because the weather was cold, "round about the coal fire" in the centre of the hall, and advanced singly to pay

¹ Parliamentary rolls. Parliamentary History, vol. ii. pp. 293, 294.

his duty to his father, who was with his attendants at the upper end. After due salutation, he implored a private audience of his sire. Henry IV. made a sign to his attendants to carry him in his chair, for he could not walk, into his own private chamber; when they were there, the prince of Wales, falling on his knees, presented his dagger to his father, and requested him to pierce him to the heart, if he deemed that it contained any feeling but duty and loyalty towards him. Henry IV. melted into tears, and a thorough explanation and reconciliation took place between the father and the son. The last sad scene between Henry IV. and his heir, so beautifully dramatised by Shakspeare, is a very faithful detail of incidents recorded by ancient chroniclers.

After the death of his royal sire, 1413, Henry V. did not establish himself in the sovereignty without a short but fierce civil war, which partly assumed a religious character, and partly was founded on the report that king Richard II. was alive and ready to claim his own. These reports were assuredly the secret motive of the exhumation of Richard's body, outwardly attributed by Henry V. to his respect for the memory of his kinsman, but in reality a deep-laid measure of state policy. This tragic scene was one of the peculiar features of that era; and the manner in which it was conducted finds no parallel, excepting in the appalling exhumation of Agnes de Castro. Richard's mouldering corpse was raised from its obscure resting-place at Langley, and seated in a rich chair of state,¹ adorned with regal ornaments. Henry V. walked next to his dead kinsman, and all his court followed; and, thus royally escorted, the corpse of the hapless Richard was conveyed to Westminster-abbey, and laid, with solemn pomp, in the tomb he had prepared for himself by the side of his beloved Anne of Bohemia. "The very next day," says the London Chronicle, "there was a grand cursing of Sir John Oldcastle at St. Paul's-cross," who had been accused of raising the reports that Richard was in existence.

When these agitations had subsided, Henry V. renewed his application for the hand of the princess Katherine. At the same time he demanded with her an enormous dowry. If the king of France had been disposed to give him his daughter, it was scarcely possible he could bestow with her two millions of crowns, the bridal portion required by Henry, together with the restoration of Normandy and all the southern provinces, once the inheritance of Eleanor of Aquitaine. There was a secret misgiving on the part of the French, lest the ambitious heir of Lancaster should make use of an alliance with one of their princesses, to strengthen the claims of the Plantagenets to the throne of France; yet Charles VI. would have given Katherine to Henry with a dowry of 450,000 crowns. This was refused with disdain. Henry desired a feasible excuse to invade France; he therefore resolved to win

¹ Weaver's Funeral Monuments.

Katherine the Fair at the point of the sword, together with all the gold and provinces he demanded with her.

Henry's first care was to sell or pawn all the valuables he possessed, in order to raise funds for the French expedition, on which he had set his ambitious mind. Extended empire, rich plunder, and the hand of the beautiful young Katherine of Valois were the attainments on which all the energies of his ardent character were bent. The annals of the ancient nobility or gentry of England can bear witness to the extraordinary methods the Plantagenet kings took, to induce their feudal muster to tarry beyond the forty days they were bound to appear in arms by their tenures. Among other possessions of the royal family, the magnificent crown belonging to Henry IV., called "the great Harry," was pawned; while cupboards and beaufets at royal palaces were ransacked of their rich goblets and flagons, and distributed to the knights and leaders of that expedition, as pledges and pawns that their pay should be forthcoming when coin was more plentiful than in 1414. Even that stout northern squire, to whose keeping was confided the banner of St. George¹ by his warlike sovereign, did not undertake his chivalric commission without a pawn of broken silver flagons. It was necessary for Henry to make these personal sacrifices in order to pay his army, as the unsettled temper of the times forced him to be remarkably moderate in his pecuniary applications to his parliament. France, he meant, should pay for all.

From Southampton, Henry V sent Antelope, his pursuivant-of-arms, with a letter to Katherine's father dated from that port, to show the reality of his intentions of invasion. He demanded the English provinces, and the hand of Katherine; otherwise he would take them by force. The king of France replied, "If that was his mind, he would do his best to receive him; but, as to the marriage, he thought it would be a strange way of wooing Katherine, covered with the blood of her countrymen." But the brother of the princess, the wild young dauphin Louis, was imprudent enough to exasperate his dangerous adversary by sending him a cask of Paris tennis-balls, telling him, "that they were fitter playthings for him, according to his former course of life, than the provinces he demanded." The English and their sovereign were excessively enraged at this witticism. "These balls," replied Henry, perpetrating an angry pun, "shall be struck back with such a racket, as shall force open Paris gates."²

But on the very eve of Henry's embarkation, when preparing

"To cross the sea, with pride and pomp of chivalry,"

a plot for his destruction was discovered, founded on the claims of his friend, the earl of March, to the crown of England. This plot was con-

¹ Thomas Strickland, the banner-bearer of St. George at Agincourt, afterwards Sir Thomas Strickland, knight of the shire for Westmoreland.

² Caxton.

cooed by the earl of Cambridge, the king's near relative, who had married Anne Mortimer, the sister of March.¹ This lady had died, leaving one son, afterwards the famous Richard, duke of York, who, as his uncle March was childless, was the representative of his claims. The rights of this boy were the secret motives of the Southampton conspiracy. The grand difficulty was to induce March to assert his hereditary title against his friend Henry V.

The earl of Cambridge intended, after the assassination of Henry, through the agency of the king's trusted chamberlain, to fly with March to the borders of Wales, where the earl was to be proclaimed king, and crowned with the "royal crown of Spain,"² which was to pass with the common people for the crown of England, and to be carried in the van of the army on a cushion. This plot was spoiled by the romantic refusal of the earl to assert his rights, or dispossess his friend the king. After Cambridge had opened his plan to the earl of March, that prince, avowedly by the advice of his man Lacy, refused to keep the secret, but requested an hour's space to consider of the proposition; which time he used in seeking the king and informing him of his danger, first requesting a pardon of Henry for listening sufficiently "to his rebels and traitors to understand their schemes." Henry summoned a sort of court-martial, of which his brother Clarence was president, and made quick work in the execution of Cambridge, Scrope, and Sir Thomas Gray. They were led out at the north gate, and had their heads stricken off just as Henry's fleet hoisted sail, and steered, with a favourable wind, out of the port of Southampton, August 7th, 1415.

Henry landed at the mouth of the Seine, three miles from Harfleur, and after tremendous slaughter on both sides, took that strong fort of the Seine by storm, in the beginning of October. Notwithstanding this success, disease and early winter brought Henry into a dangerous predicament, until he turned at bay at Agincourt, and finished the brief campaign with one of those victories which shed an everlasting glory on the annals of England. The dreadful panic into which this victory threw France, and the number of her nobles and princes slain and taken prisoners, were the chief advantages Henry gained by it. He returned to England, November 27th, 1415, and deviating from his favourite motto, *UNE SANS PLUS*, for a time, he gave up all thoughts of obtaining Katherine as a bride, and despatched his favourite valet, Robert Waterton, to open a private negotiation for the hand of the princess of Arragon, if the beauty of the lady was considered by that confidential servant as likely to suit his taste.

Meantime, Katherine and her family were thrown into the utmost

¹ The young earl, with all his feudal muste-
te, was in attendance on Henry.

² It had belonged to Pedro the Cruel: it
was brought to England by the heiresses of

that king, one of whom married John of
Gaunt, the other the father of Cambridge. It
appears Cambridge had it at this time in his
possession.—See his confession, State Trials.

consternation by the victories of this lion-like wooer. The death of the eldest brother of Katherine, the dauphin Louis, was said to have been accelerated by grief for the day of Agincourt; and his demise was followed with such celerity by the decease of her next brother, the dauphin John, that all France took alarm. The loss of the princes was attributed to their unnatural mother, Isabeau of Bavaria, to whom the crime was imputed of poisoning both. The unfortunate father of Katherine was in a state of delirium, the duke of Burgundy and the count of Armagnac were fiercely contesting for the government of France, while Paris was convulsed with the threefold plague of anarchy, pestilence, and famine. Queen Isabeau, taking advantage of all this confusion, escaped from her palace-restraint at Tours; and, joining with the duke of Burgundy, not only gained great power, as regent for her distracted consort, but obtained the control of her daughter Katherine.¹

However the queen might have neglected Katherine when an infant, the princess was no sooner restored to her as an adult, than she obtained prodigious influence over her mother. The maternal feelings of Isabeau seemed centered on this her youngest daughter, to the unjust exclusion of her other children. Katherine had very early set her mind on being queen of England, and it will soon be shown how completely her mother entered into all her wishes. Queen Isabeau sent ambassadors, with Katherine's picture, to ask Henry "whether so beautiful a princess required such a great dowry as he demanded with her?" The ambassadors declared they found Henry at the siege of Rouen, "proud as a lion;" that he gazed long and earnestly on the portrait of Katherine, acknowledged that it was surpassingly fair, but refused to abate a particle of his exorbitant demands.²

The close of the year 1418 saw the fall of the wretched city of Rouen, and increased the despair of Katherine's country and family. Queen Isabeau resolved that, as the picture of the princess had not succeeded in mollifying the proud heart of the conqueror, she would try what the personal charms of her Katherine could effect. A truce was obtained with Henry V., who had now pushed his conquests as far as Melun. The poor distracted king of France, with the queen Isabeau and her beautiful daughter Katherine, in a richly ornamented barge came to Pontoise, in hopes of effecting an amicable arrangement with the conqueror. Near Pontoise a large enclosure was made with planks, within which the conferences were to be carried on; it was also surrounded by a deep ditch, having on one side the bank of the Seine. There were several entrances well secured by three barriers, and tents and pavilions, made of blue and green velvet worked with gold, were pitched for repose and refreshment.

¹ *Mesmerat.*² *Monstrelet.*

Notwithstanding the king of France was very much indisposed, he and queen Isabeau, the princess, and the duke of Burgundy, escorted by a thousand combatants, went to the conference at Meulent, Feb. 28th, 1418-9, and entered the tents. Then the king of England arrived, attended by his brothers, the dukes of Clarence and Gloucester, and a thousand men at arms. He occupied the tent pitched for him, and when they were about to commence the conference, the queen on the right hand, followed by the lady Katherine, entered the enclosure. At the same time the king of England, with his brothers and council, came on this neutral ground by another barrier, and with a most respectful obeisance met and saluted queen Isabeau; and then the king not only kissed her, but the lady Katherine. They entered the tent pitched for the conference, king Henry leading queen Isabeau. Henry seated himself opposite to Katherine, and gazed at her most intently, while the earl of Warwick was making a long harangue in French, which he spoke very well. After they had remained some time in conference, they separated, taking the most respectful leave of each other.

Three weeks afterwards, all the royal personages, with the exception of the lady Katherine, met for another conference at the barrier-ground of Meulent. As the view of Katherine's beauty had not induced Henry to lower his demands, queen Isabeau resolved that the English conqueror should see her no more.¹ Henry was exceedingly discontented at this arrangement; "for," says Monstrelet, "the princess was very handsome, and had most engaging manners, and it was plainly to be seen that king Henry was desperately in love with her." Yet the second conference ended without the least abatement in his exorbitant requisitions.

After the English hero had waited unavailingly a few days, in hopes of being courted by the family of his beloved, he impatiently demanded a third interview, meaning to modify his demands; when, lo! to his infinite displeasure, when he arrived at Pontoise he found the tents struck, the barriers pulled down, and the pales that marked out the neutral ground taken away,—everything showing that the marriage-treaty was supposed to be ended. Henry V. was infuriated at the sight, and in his transports betrayed how much he had become enamoured of Katherine. He turned angrily to the duke of Burgundy, who was the only person belonging to the royal family of France attending the conference, and said abruptly,—"'Fair cousin, we wish you to know that we *will* have the daughter of your king, or we will drive him and you out of his kingdom.' The duke replied, 'Sire, you are pleased to say so; but, before you have succeeded in driving my lord and me out of this kingdom, I make no doubt that you will be heartily tired.' Many high words passed, too tedious to report, and, taking leave of each other

¹ Guillaume de Gruel. Monstrelet.

they separated, and each went his way." The duke of Burgundy was soon after assassinated on the bridge of Montereau, by the party of the dauphin Charles.

Before two years had elapsed, the family of Katherine were forced by dire distress to sue for the renewal of the marriage-treaty. Henry's career of conquest proceeded with terrific rapidity; he made himself master of most of the towns between Normandy and the French capital, while his brother, the duke of Clarence, and his friend, the earl of March, had already thundered at the gates of Paris. Henry was requested to name his own terms of pacification. He haughtily replied, "That he had been deceived and baffled so many times, that he would treat with no one but the princess Katherine herself, whose innocency, he was sure, would not try to deceive him." Notice of this speech being immediately conveyed to queen Isabeau, she made the bishop of Arras return instantly to tell king Henry, "That if he would come to Troyes, Katherine should espouse him there; and that, as her inheritance, he should have the crown of France after the death of king Charles." To gain the more credit, the bishop of Arras secretly delivered to the king a love-letter, written by the fair hand of Katherine herself, so full of sweetness, that Henry V. considered his happiness as certain.¹

The English monarch was now to receive with the hand of Katherine, not only the provinces he demanded, but the reversion of the whole sovereignty of France, with immediate possession, under the name of regent. By this treaty the elder sisters and the only brother of Katherine were to be disinherited. As soon as these terms were agreed upon, Henry, accompanied by his brothers Clarence and Gloucester, with sixteen hundred combatants, mostly archers, advanced to Troyes, where he arrived on the 20th of May, 1420. The new duke of Burgundy, clothed in the deepest mourning for his murdered sire, met Henry at a little distance from Troyes, and conducted him in great pomp to the *hôtel de Ville*, where lodgings were prepared for him. When Henry was presented the next day to Katherine, who was with her mother enthroned in the church of *Nôtre Dame*,² he was attired in a magnificent suit of burnished armour; but, instead of a plume, he wore in his helmet a fox's tail, ornamented with precious stones. It must be owned, that the warrior king of England now and then indulged in a few freaks regarding dress. Henry conducted the princess and her mother up to the high altar, and there the articles of peace were read. Queen Isabeau and Katherine apologized for the non-attendance of king Charles VI., on account of his infirm health, saying, "that the king was ill disposed." The unfortunate father of Katherine could not go through the scene, which apparently annihilated the hopes of his young heir; but the duke

¹ Menestrelet,

² Notes of London Chronicle, edited by Sir Harris Nicolas.

of Burgundy officiated as the deputy of his royal kinsman, and the important treaty was signed.¹

The betrothment of Henry and Katherine instantly followed; and when the English monarch received her promise, he placed on her finger a ring of inestimable value,—supposed to be the same worn by our English queen-consorts at their coronations.² After the conclusion of the ceremony, Henry presented to his betrothed bride his favourite knight, Sir Louis de Robsart,³ to whom he committed the defence of her person, and the office of guarding her while in France,—the real meaning of which ceremony was, that Henry V. took the princess into his own custody after betrothment, and would have retained her by force, if her family had changed their minds regarding his marriage. Katherine was now *his* property; and it was the duty of Sir Louis de Robsart to guard the safe keeping of that property. Henry himself announced the peace and betrothment in a letter addressed to his council of regency, the duke of Gloucester being just appointed regent of England:—

"Right trusty and well-beloved brother. Right worshipful fathers in God, and trusty and well-beloved. Forasmuch, that we wot well that your desires were to hear joyful tidings of our good speed. Upon Monday, the 20th day of this May, we arrived at this town of Troyes; and on the morrow *hadden* a convention betwixt our moder, the queen of France, and our broder the duc of Burgoigne (as commissaires of the king of France), our fader for his *partie*, and us in our own person for our *partie*, [side]. And the accord of peace perpetual was there sworn by both the said commissioners, in the name of our aforesaid fader, and semblably by us in our own name. And the letters thereupon forthwith ensealed under the great seal of our said fader to *us-ward*, and under ours to *him-ward*, the copy of which letter we send you enclosed in this. Also, at the said convention was marriage betrothed betwixt us and our *wyf*, daughter of our aforesaid fader, the king of France."⁴

On Trinity-Sunday, June 3, the king of England wedded the lady Katherine at Troyes, in the parish church of St. Peter, near which he lodged. Great pomp and magnificence were displayed by him and his princes, as if he had been king of the whole world. A live eagle was presented to Katherine the same day, with a placard round his neck inscribed with some English verses in praise of her mighty lord, of which the first line is specimen sufficient:—

"This hardy fowl, this bird victorious."⁵

John Rous, an artist who possessed no small claims to original talent, was in attendance on his master, the earl of Warwick, at this time. In his pictorial history of that hero,⁶ he has drawn the scene of the royal wedlock at Troyes. King Henry is receiving the hand and vow of Katherine the Fair, who, crowned with the arched diadem of empire, raises the other hand in sign of asseveration as she repeats the obligation of marriage after the archbishop of Sens. The dress of Katherine varies in no particulars from the coronation costume; the royal mantle, with

¹ Monstrelet. Notes of London Chronicle,

by Sir Harris Nicolas.

² Speed's Chronicles.

³ Monstrelet.

⁴ Fœdera.

⁵ Harleian MS.

⁶ Beauchamp MS., Brit. Museum.

its oord and tasseis, presents no difference from the mantle of her predecessors, Matilda Atheling or Joanna of Navarre. Whatsoever may be thought of the features of Katherine the Fair, it is certain that John Rous took good likenesses, since her portrait presents the style of countenance of the royal family of France. The facial line of the descendants of St. Louis was remarkable: the features somewhat slanted, and the ear followed the same line; the nose was long, and fell a little over the mouth. This peculiarity is familiar to every one, from Titian's portrait of Francis I., whose features are strongly marked with this slanting physiognomy. Those who know the portraits of St. Louis (Louis IX.) will see the same family face, but with a better expression; those who have looked upon the fine statue of Katherine's grandfather, Charles the Wise, to the left at the entrance of the library he founded, the Bibliothèque du Roi (now in Rue-Richelieu, Paris), will see the same features, which may be traced even in the handsome faces of Louis XIII., Louis XIV. and XV., in every one of which the nose slightly inclines over the upper lip. This physiognomy degenerates into ugliness in the face of Louis XI., and is apparent, mixed with an insane character, in that of Katherine's father, Charles VI. When joined with great brilliancy of complexion, and softened in female faces, it did not preclude the princesses Isabella of Valois and her sister Katherine the Fair from renown for beauty: in our portraits of both, the length of the nose slanting downwards over the mouth may be observed.¹ If the family outline of the race of Valois does not sustain the character for beauty which the contemporaries of these queens of England claimed for them, they prove the authenticity of the portraits by coincidence of features. In the marriage group from the pencil of Rous, the royal bride of England is accompanied by her mother and sisters. King Henry resembles, in person and costume, his portrait on the frieze round the chantry over his tomb in Westminster-abbey: his brothers and the earl of Warwick are in attendance near him.

The archbishop of Sens went in state to bless the bed of the queen; and during the night a *granda* procession came to the bedside of the royal pair, bringing them wine and soup, because Henry chose in all things to comply with the ancient customs of France; and it appears this strange ceremonial was one of the usages of the royal family. The next day, after a splendid feast, where the knights of the English court proposed a succession of tournaments, he let them know "that playing at fighting was not to be the amusement of his wedding, but the actual siege of Sens, where they might tilt and tourney as much as they chose."²

A news letter by John Ufford, one of Henry's gentlemen-in-waiting, affords a comprehensive view of affairs:³—"WORSHIPFUL MAISTER, ~

¹ See the second volume of the illustrated edition of this work.

² Monstrelet.

³ Rymer's *Fœdera*, vol. ix.

As touching tidings, the king our sovereign lord was wedded, with great solemnity, in the cathedral church of Troy, about mid-day on Trinity-Sunday. And on the Tuesday *suving* [following], he removed towards the town of Sens, sixteen leagues thence, leading with him thither our queen and the French estate. And on Wednesday next ensuing was siege laid to that town—a great town, and a notable; it lieth toward Bourgoigne ward, and is holden strong with great number of Armagnacs, partizans of the dauphin. The which town is worthily besieged; for there lie at that siege two kings, two queens [Isabeau, queen of France, and the newly-married queen of England], four *ducks*, with my lord of Bedford, when he cometh hither. The which [the duke of Bedford] on the 12th day of June shall lodge beside Paris, hitherward coming. And at this siege also are lien many worthy ladies and *jantil-women*, both French and English, of the which many of them began feats of arms long time ago, but of lying at sieges now they begin first. I pray that ye will recommend me to my worshipful lord the chancellor, and to my lord the treasurer. And, furthermore, will ye *wit* [know] that Paris, with other, is sworn to obey the king our sovereign lord, as heritor and governor of France,—and so they do. And on *Witsund*-Monday final peace was proclaimed in Paris. And now Englishmen go into Paris oft as they will, without any safe-conduct or any letting [giving leave]. And Paris and all other towns, turned from the Armagnac party, make great joy and mirth every holiday, in dancing and carolling. I pray God send grace to both realms of much mirth and gladness, and give you in health much joy and prosperity, long to endure.”

Thus was the honeymoon of Katherine the Fair passed at sieges and leaguers: her bridal music was the groans of France. Horror, unutterable horror, was the attendant on these nuptials; for the cruel massacre of Montereau took place within a fortnight of the queen's espousals. Henry V., exasperated by the desperate defence of this town for its native sovereign, butchered the garrison under pretence of revenging the death of John, duke of Burgundy, with whose death the garrison had not the slightest concern, nor was Henry in the least called upon to avenge it.¹ Yet Katherine was no unwilling bride; for, as her brother-in-law, Philip the Good, of Burgundy, expressly declared, “She had passionately longed to be espoused to king Henry; and, from the moment she saw him, had constantly solicited her mother, with whom she could do anything, till her marriage took place.”² But not a word, not a sign of objection to the cruelties and slaughter that followed her marriage is recorded; nor that the royal beauty ever interceded for her wretched country with her newly-wedded lord. Sens received Henry and Katherine within its walls soon after ~~soon~~ after the siege had commenced in

¹ Monstrelet.² Martin's Chronicle.

form. The king and queen of England entered in great state, accompanied by the archbishop of Sens, who had a few days before joined their hands at Troyes. This prelate had been expelled from his diocese by the party of the disinherited dauphin, but he was reinstated by Henry V., who, turning to him with a smile as they entered the cathedral, said,—“Now, monseigneur archevesque, we are quits, for you gave me my wife the other day, and I restore yours to you this day.”

The queen of England, and her father and mother, with their courts and households, resided at Bray-sur-Seine. Here Henry paid frequent visits to his bride. After the tragedy of Montereau, the united courts removed to Corbeil, where queen Katherine was joined by her sister-in-law, Margaret, duchess of Clarence, and by many noble ladies who had come from England to pay their duty to the bride of king Henry. She was with her mother and king Charles at the camp before Melun. “But indeed,” says Monstrelet, “it was a sorry sight to see the king of France bereft of all his usual state and pomp. They resided, with many ladies and damsels, about a month, in a house king Henry had built for them near his tents, and at a distance from the town, that the roar of the cannon might not startle king Charles. Every day at sunrise,” continues the Burgundian, “and at nightfall, ten clarions, and divers other instruments, were ordered by king Henry to play for an hour most melodiously before the door of the king of France.” The malady of the unhappy father of Katherine was soothed by music. This was evidently the military band of Henry V., the first which is distinctly mentioned in chronicles. Henry was himself a performer on the harp from an early age. He likewise was a composer, delighting in church harmony, which he used to practise on the organ.¹ That he found similar tastes in his royal bride is evident from an item in the Issue rolls, whereby it appears he sent to England to obtain new harps for Katherine and himself, in the October succeeding his wedlock: “By the hands of William Menston was paid 8*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.* for two new harps, purchased for king Henry and queen Katherine.” If the reader is anxious to know who was the best harp-maker in London at this period, complete satisfaction can be given; for a previous document mentions another harp sent to Henry when in France, “purchased of John Bore, harp-maker, London; together with several dozen harp-chords, and a harp-case.”

At the surrender of Melun, the vile mother of queen Katherine was proclaimed regent of France through the influence of her son-in-law, who considered queen Isabeau entirely devoted to her daughter's interest. This was a preparatory step to a visit which Henry intended to make to his own country, for the purpose of showing the English his beautiful

¹ Elmham's Chronicle. Likewise a French chronicler, quoted by colonel Johnes in his notes to Monst' elet.

bride, and performing the ceremonial of her coronation. The royal personages of France and England now approached Paris, in order that the king and queen of England might make their triumphal entry into that city; but Henry, not knowing how the Parisians might receive them, chose to precede his wife, and take possession of the city before he ventured to trust her within its walls. "Queen Katherine and her mother made their grand entry into Paris next day. Great magnificence was displayed at the arrival of the queen of England; but it would take up too much time to relate all the rich presents that were offered to her by the citizens of Paris. The streets and houses were hung with tapestry the whole of that day, and wine was constantly running from brass cocks and in conduits through the squares, so that all persons might have it in abundance; and more rejoicings than tongue can tell were made in Paris for the peace and for the marriage of Katherine the Fair."¹

The miserably exhausted state of France prevented Katherine from receiving any solid sum as her fortune; but she had an income of forty thousand crowns, the usual revenue of the queens of France, settled on her at her marriage by her father, a few scanty instalments of which proved, in reality, the only property she ever derived from her own country. This circumstance gives an exemplification, by no means uncommon in life, of the manner in which exorbitancy in pecuniary demands often defeats its own ends. Had Henry V. required a more reasonable dowry with his bride, Katherine might have been reckoned as the richest of our queens; but she was, with all her high-sounding expectations, the poorest among them all. The royal pair spent their Christmas at Paris; but at the end of the festival, Henry thought it best to pay some attention to the prayer of his faithful commons, who had lately begged "that he, with his gracious queen, would please to return to England, to comfort, support and refresh them by their presence."² Accordingly, Henry set out with his queen on a winter journey through France, escorted by the duke of Bedford at the head of six thousand men. Queen Katherine arrived at Amiens on St. Vincent's-day, and was lodged in the hotel of maître Robert le Jeune, bailiff of Amiens, and many costly presents were made to her by that magistrate.³ The royal pair embarked at Calais, and landed at Dover February 1st, 1420-1, "where," observes Monstrelet, "Katherine was received as if she had been an angel of God." The magnificent coronation of the queen took place as early after her landing as the 24th of February. She was conducted from Westminster-palace to the abbey between two bishops, and was crowned by archbishop Chicheley. It is expressly mentioned that Katherine sat on the King's-bench, at Westminster-hall, by Henry's side at the coronation-feast. "It is

¹ Monstrelet.² Parliamentary History, vol. ii.³ Monstrelet.

worth the noting," says old Raphael Holinshed, "to take a view of all the goodly order and reverend dutifulness exhibited, on all sides, towards the new queen. After the coronation was ended, queen Katherine was conveyed into the great hall of Westminster, and there sat at dinner. Upon her right hand sat, at the end of the table, the archbishop of Canterbury and cardinal Beaufort. On her left sat James I., king of Scotland, under his canopy, who was served with messes in covered silver dishes, but after the aforesaid bishops." James Stuart had been captive in England since his boyhood: he was given a careful education at Windsor by Henry IV. He wrote many beautiful poems, taking for his models Chaucer and Gower, whom he calls his 'maistres dear.' From the top of the keep of Windsor-castle he fell in love with Joanna Beaufort, half-niece to Henry V., whom he saw walking in the garden below. Queen Katherine's friendship now gave a turn to his adverse fortunes.

"At the queen's feet sat the countess of Kent, holding a napkin. The earl of March, holding the queen's sceptre in his hand, kneeled on the steps of the dais at her right side; the earl-marshal, holding her other sceptre, knelt at her left. The duke of Gloucester was that day overseer of the feast, and stood before queen Katherine bare-headed. Sir Richard Neville was her cup-bearer; Sir James Stuart, sewer; the lord Clifford, pantler, in the earl of Warwick's stead; the lord Grey of Ruthin was her naperer; and the lord Audley her almoner, instead of the earl of Cambridge."—"And ye shall understand," says alderman Fabyan, "that this feast was all of fish, for, being February 24th, Lent was entered upon, and nothing of meat was there, saving brawn served with mustard." Among the fish-dishes of the first course, Fabyan mentions especially *dead eels stewed*.

The table-ornaments, called subtleties, were contrived to express by their mottoes a political meaning. In the first course was an image of St. Katherine, the queen's patron saint, disputing with the doctors, holding a label in her right hand, on which was written, *madame la reine*; and a pelican held an answer in her bill, to this effect:—

This sign to the king
Great joy will bring,
And all his people
She [*madame the queen*] will content.

The second course of this fish-banquet was jelly, coloured with columbine flowers; white pottage, or cream of almonds; bream of the sea; conger; soles; chevon, or chub; barbel, with roach; smelt, fried; crayfish, or lobster; leche, clear jelly, damasked with the king's motto or word, flourished,—UNE SANS PLUS; lamprey, fresh baked; *flam-payne*, flourished with a scutcheon-royal, and therein three crowns of

gold planted with fleurs-de-lis and flowers of camomile, all wrought of confections (confectionary), and a subtlety named a panter (panther), with an image of St. Katherine, having a wheel in her hand, with this motto,—

The queen my daughter,
In this island,
With good reason
Has renown.

The third course was likewise of fish. A leche, called "the white leche," flourished with hawthorn leaves and red haws; dates, in compost; mottled cream; carp, turbot, tench; perch, with gudgeon; fresh sturgeon, with whelks; porpoise, roasted (which Fabyan, because the dish was not barbarous enough in itself, calls "porporous"). Then there was *crevisse d'eau* (crab-fish), prawns, eels roasted with lamprey, and a marchpane garnished with divers figures of angels, among which was set an image of St. Barnabas holding this poesie, giving hopes of peace as well as that the royal wedlock would be happy:—

It is written,
It may be seen and is,
In marriage pure
No strifes endure.

And lastly, there was a subtlety named "a *tigre*," looking in a mirror, and a man on horseback clean armed, holding a tiger's whelp in his hands, with this motto,—*Per force sans raison je prise cette beste*: "By force of arms, and not by that of reason, have I captured this beast." The small tiger and the motto meant an uncivil allusion to Katherine's young brother, the dauphin; the figure made show of throwing mirrors at the great tiger, which held in his paw this *reason* (label with motto),—

The sight of this mirror
Tames wild beasts of terror.

The only instance of active benevolence ever recorded of Katherine the Fair took place at this coronation-feast, when the queen publicly interceded with her monarch-bridegroom for the liberation of his royal guest and prisoner, James I. of Scotland, then at table. This suit seems to have been granted, on condition that James should bear arms under Henry V.'s banner, for the purpose of completing the subjugation of France.¹ Katherine likewise took in hand the management of the love-affairs of the accomplished king of Scotland; and through her agency, hopes were held out to the gallant James, that if he gave satisfaction to

¹ This was done; but it is certain that James made the ensuing campaign merely as a private knight—for his subjects were fighting for the dauphin, under the earl of Buchan, son to his usurping uncle, the duke of Albany. This Scotch army soon after gave to England

the first reverse they had met in France, at Baugy, where—

"Swinton laid the lance in rest,
That tamed of yore the sparkling crest
Of Clarence's Plantagenet."

king Henry in the ensuing campaign, he need not despair of possessing the beautiful Joanna Beaufort, with whom he was so desperately enamoured. Stowe affirms that this lady was betrothed to king James before the festivals of Katherine's coronation ended. Katherine presented Sir James Stuart with the gilt cup with which he served her at the coronation.¹

After the festivals had concluded, the queen was left by Henry V. in her palace of Westminster till Palm-Sunday; when she removed to Windsor, expecting to meet him, as he had promised to pass Easter with her at the castle. Henry, however, found it impossible to return from the north, whither he had gone on progress; he therefore sent for the queen to Leicester. The queen was guarded on progress by Sir Armine Wodehouse, her husband's celebrated defender at Agincourt. Lord Wodehouse has inherited some antique jewels from this Norfolk hero, given by Katherine as tokens of her gratitude. Henry and his queen continued the progress together, visiting the shrines of all northern saints. The object of the king was to prepare his people for the extraordinary supplies he meant to request at the ensuing parliament. For this purpose, he harangued the corporation of every town through which he passed: showing his fair queen, as proof of his success in conquering France, he explained to them, with great eloquence, what forces and funds it would take to complete the subjugation. Henry proceeded no further northward than the shrine of St. John of Beverley. While he was offering to that popular saint, he left his queen at the royal castle of Pontefract,² that fearful fortress where her sister Isabella's first husband, Richard II., had met with his mysterious death, and where that sister's second husband, and her own cousin-german—the poet duke of Orleans—was then enduring strict captivity. It may be inferred that queen Katherine was permitted to see this near relative, or Henry would scarcely have taken her to his place of abode. Katherine returned to Westminster in May, 1421, when the king met his parliament.

Soon after, the disastrous news arrived of the defeat and death, at the fatal field of Baugy, of that stainless knight, the king's best-beloved brother, Thomas, duke of Clarence. Henry had not intended to leave England till after the birth of the heir, which the situation of his young queen led him to expect; but now, burning to avenge Clarence, he hurried to France, June 10th. As the Scottish army had defeated Clarence, he hung every Scotchman he took in arms in France, under pretence that they were fighting against their king, James I., who followed the English banner as a private knight.

One especial command Henry had given his wife at parting, which was, not to let his heir be born at Windsor. Our chroniclers lead us

¹ *Excerpta Historica.*

² *White Kennet; reign Henry V., vol. ii. p. 163.*

to suppose, that the king himself had examined the aspect of the planets according to the vain rules of art; for the expression always is, "that he prophesied¹ the calamities of Henry VI." Now, if it was a marvel that Saul was among the prophets, it would be one still greater to find one of the most martial of the Plantagenet kings assuming the prophet's mantle, unless, indeed, during his education at Oxford he had, among other trash, then considered learning, acquired the art of casting horoscopes. Be this as it may, Henry, for some mysterious reason, deemed that destiny looked darkly over the royal towers of Windsor during the month when he expected Katherine to bring forth her first-born. It is certain, however, that Katherine disobeyed her royal lord, either from want of belief in astrology or because she chose that her child should first see the light in that stately fortress, where his great and fortunate ancestor, Edward III., was born. On the 6th of December, 1421, the son of Katherine came into a world, which proved most disastrous to him.

When the news was brought to Henry V. that Katherine had brought him an heir, he was prosecuting the siege of Meaux. He eagerly inquired "where the boy was born?" and having been answered "at Windsor," the king repeated with a sigh to his chamberlain, lord Fitzhugh, the following oracular stave, which certainly does little honour to his talents as an impromptu versifier:—

"I, Henry, born at Monmouth,
Shall small time reign, and much get;
But Henry of Windsor shall long reign, and lose all of it,
But as God will, so be it."²

No regular English dower was at this time settled on Katherine; but it is evident that the revenues of the unfortunate queen-dowager were confiscated for her use, as her maids were paid from that source. Her damsels were Joanna Belknap, Joanna Troutbeck, and Joanna Coucy, besides Agnes, who has no surname. "These ladies," says Henry, "the demoiselles of our dear companion, are to receive ten 'livres' a-piece out of the funds of queen *Johane*,³ Guillemote, damsel of the bed-chamber to his said dear companion, is to receive one hundred shillings from the moneys of the said queen." Not very honest of the valiant Henry, to pay his wife's servants with another person's money. These gifts are declared to be in consideration of the "costages and expenses the beloved demoiselles are incurring, by following the said dear queen and companion to meet me, king Henry, in France." Likewise an annuity of twenty livres⁴ per annum, "for that dear doctor of philosophy, maister Johan Boyers, because of his office of confessor to queen Katherine." The revenue of the unfortunate dowager was likewise taxed for

¹ Speed. Stowe. Fabyan. Holinshed.

² White Kennet. Trussell's Chronicle of Henry V.

³ Foedera.

⁴ Ibid.

the maintenance of Katherine's guest, Jaqueline of Hainault, to the enormous amount of a hundred pounds per month. Jaqueline had eloped from a bridegroom whom she hated, and had taken refuge at the court of Katherine, with whom she lived on terms of great intimacy. Jaqueline was in hopes that the pope would dissolve her forced marriage, and consent to her union with Katherine's handsome brother-in-law, Humphrey, duke of Gloucester. Henry directs the treasurer of his exchequer to pay to his dearly beloved cousin, *dame Jake*, duchess of Holland, from the profits of the dower of Joanna, late queen.

Before Katherine left England, her infant was baptized by the name of his father, the duchess Jaqueline standing godmother; the duke of Bedford and cardinal Beaufort were the other sponsors. Early in the same spring Katherine wrote her warlike lord a most loving letter, declaring that she earnestly longed to behold him once more. This epistle was answered by an invitation to join him in France.

DO NOT TURN
THE LEAVES OF
BOOK.

CHAPTER II.

QUEEN Katherine crossed the sea, and landed at Harfleur on the 21st of May, 1422, escorted by the duke of Bedford and an army of twenty thousand men, destined to complete the conquest of her unhappy country. At the head of this mighty reinforcement she traversed France in royal state. Henry left Meaux, which he had just captured,¹ as soon as he heard of the landing of his queen, and came to Paris to receive her. On their arrival at the castle of Vincennes, she was welcomed by her parents and subjects as if she had been somewhat more than mortal. She had left her little infant in England, under the care of the duke of Gloucester.²

Great rejoicings were made at Paris for the arrival of the queen of England, and the birth of the heir of Henry. The royal party left Vincennes,³ and entered Paris in great magnificence on Whitsun-eve, May 30th. Queen Katherine, with her train, were lodged at the Louvre, while her mother and king Charles took up their abode at the hôtel de St. Pol. "And on Whit-Sunday queen Katherine sat at table at the Louvre, gloriously appparelled, having her crown on her head. The English princes and nobles were partakers with the great lords of France at this feast, each seated according to his rank, while the tables were covered with the richest viands and wines. Queen Katherine next day held a great court, and all the Parisians went to see their princess and her lord sitting enthroned, crowned with their most precious diadems; but," continues Monstrelet, "as no meat or drink was offered to the

¹ Stowe's Annals.

² Speed.

³ Monstrelet.

populace, they went away much discontented. For when, of old, the kings of France kept open court, much good cheer was freely given to all comers. King Charles VI. had once been as courteous and liberal as any of his predecessors; but now he was seated at a table with his queen quite forsaken by his nobles, who all flocked to pay their court to his daughter and her husband, at which the common people grieved much." Katherine likewise gave great offence by having the "ermine" carried before her coach, as if she had been sovereign of France.¹

The last year's harassing warfare had greatly injured the constitution of Henry V. He was ill when his queen arrived, yet he scarcely allowed himself a day's repose. But conquest, empire, and all worldly things were fast fleeing from the grasp of the warlike lord of Katherine the Fair. At Senlis he was seized with a mortal distemper. He struggled fiercely against its encroachments, for he daily expected to hear of a battle between his friend the duke of Burgundy and the dauphin, and hoped to assist his ally in person. He had even assumed his armour, and marched as far as Melun; but the strong hand of disease was too powerful even for the energies of his mighty mind. Sorely smitten with illness, he was obliged to give up his march; and the malady increasing every minute, he was forced to be carried back to Senlis in a litter. He had left his queen at Senlis, but for greater security she had retired to her father's castle in the wood of Vincennes; thither the "mighty victor, mighty lord," was borne to her, helpless, on that litter which was almost a funeral couch to him.

In the castle of Vincennes, near Paris, which has so often been the theatre of the destinies of France, Katherine and her mother attended the last hours of Henry V.² He made a very penitential end, but was so little conscious of his blood-guiltiness, that when his confessor was reading the seven Psalms in the service for the dying, he stopped him when he came to the verse, "Build thou the walls of Jerusalem," with an earnest protestation "that when he had completed his conquests in Europe, he always intended to undertake a crusade." When he had arranged his affairs, he asked his physicians "How long he had to live?" One of them replied, on his knees, "That, without a miracle, he could not survive two hours at the most."—"Comfort my dear wife," he said to the duke of Bedford, "the most afflicted creature living." In a will he made on his death-bed, he leaves Katherine a gold sceptre. He expired on the 31st of August, 1422. At the time of Henry's death, his fair widow had not attained her twenty-first year. Her affection was, as the dying hero observed to his brother, most violent, but it certainly proved in the end rather evanescent.

In person Henry V. was tall and agile, and so swift of foot, that he

¹ *Goat's skin.*

² *Speed.*

could, with the aid of two of his lords, capture deer in the royal enclosures without the assistance of dogs. His portraits possess that distinctive character which proves personal resemblance: his features are regular, though very strongly marked; the perceptive brow denotes the great general; the eyes are majestic and overpowering; the nose well cut, but stern in the expression of the nostril; the mouth wide, the haughty upper lip curls with no very benevolent expression. There is a great development of frontal brain in his portraits: they are all profiles, excepting that over the chantry at Westminster-abbey, which has a wen on the right side of the neck. Henry was a learned prince; but he had the bad habit of borrowing books and never returning them. Lady Westmoreland, his relative, prays for her '*Chronicles of Jerusalem*,' and the '*Expedition of Godfrey of Boulogne*,' borrowed of her by the late king: after his death, a petition was sent to the regency that they might be returned. The prior of Christchurch, likewise, sent in a most pitiful complaint, that he had lent the works of St. Gregory to his dear lord, king Henry, who had never restored them to him, their rightful owner.

The funeral of Henry V. was arranged and conducted by queen Katherine with all the pomp of woe.¹ "His body was laid on a chariot drawn by four great horses. Just above the dead corpse they placed a figure made of boiled leather, representing his person as nigh as might be devised, painted curiously to the semblance of a living creature, on whose head was put an imperial diadem of gold and precious stones; on the body, a purple robe furred with ermine; in the right hand, a sceptre royal; in the left, an orb of gold, with a cross fixed thereon. And thus adorned, was this figure laid in a bed on the same chariot, with the visage uncovered towards the heavens; the coverture of the bed was of red, beaten with gold; and, when the body should pass through any good town, a canopy of marvellous value was borne over it, by men of great worship. In this manner he was accompanied by the king of Scots, as chief mourner,² and by all the princes, lords, and knights of his house, in vestures of deep mourning. At a distance from the corpse of about two English miles followed the widow, queen Katherine, right honourably accompanied. The body rested at the church of St. Wolfran, in Abbeville, where masses were sung by the queen's orders, for the repose of Henry's soul, from the dawn of morning till night. The procession moved through Abbeville with increased pomp. The duke of Exeter, the earl of March, Sir Louis Robsart, the queen's knight, and many nobles, bore the banners of the saints. The hatchments were carried by twelve renowned captains; and around the bier-car rode four hundred men-at-arms in black armour, their horses barbed black, their lances held with the points downwards. A

¹ Stowe.² Goodwin's Life of Henry.

great company clothed in white, bearing wax-torches, lighted, encompassed the procession. The queen, with a mighty retinue, followed." Thus she passed, keeping her husband's corpse in view, through Hesdin, Montreuil, and Boulogne, till they came to Calais, where, on the 12th of October, the privy council had ordered vessels to meet the queen, with ladies to attend her.¹ She landed at Dover with the royal corpse. When she approached London, she was met by fifteen bishops in their pontifical habits, and by many abbots in their mitres and vestments, with a vast crowd of priests and people. The priests chanted, all the way from Blackheath and through the streets of the city, oraisons for their dead king. A general and picturesque illumination was effected, by each householder standing at his door with a torch in his hand. The princes of the royal family rode in mournful postures next the funeral car. The grief of the young queen greatly edified the people, and they were still more impressed with the barbarian magnificence of the tomb she erected to the memory of their royal hero, on which a Latin inscription expressed "that it was raised by his queen, Katherine." The famous silver statue, gilt, placed on the tomb of Henry V. at her expense,² was abstracted, at the dissolution of the monastery by Henry VIII.

After the obsequies of her husband, Katherine proceeded to Windsor-castle,³ to embrace her babe, and pass the first weeks of her widowhood. Her boy was eight months old on the day of his warlike father's death. When the parliament met, she removed to London, and passed through the city on a moving throne, drawn by white horses, and surrounded by all the princes and nobles of England. The infant king was seated on her lap, "and those pretty hands," says one of our quaint chroniclers, "which could not yet feed himself, were made capable of wielding a sceptre; and he, who was beholden to nurses for milk, did distribute sustenance to the law and justice of his nation. The queen, with her infant on her knee, was enthroned among the lords, whom, by the chancellor, the little king saluted, and spoke to them at large his mind by means of another's tongue." The king conducted himself with extraordinary quietness and gravity, considering he had not yet attained the age of twelve months.

Henry did not always behave so orderly.⁴ "The year of 1423, upon Saturday, the 13th of November, the king removed from Windsor to hold a parliament in London. At night the king and his mother, the queen, lodged at Staines, and upon the morrow, which was Sunday, the king being borne towards his mother's car, he skreeked, he cried, he sprang, and would be carried no further; wherefore they bore him again to the inn, and there he abode the Sunday all day." All this violence, and skreeking, and springing, was because the royal babe, by a

¹ Minutes of Privy Council.² Goodwin. Stowe. Speed. Weever.³ Speed.⁴ London Chronicle, p. 111.

holy instinct, would not break the Sabbath by travelling, and therefore made this notable resistance, when he was carried to his mother's car. In all probability he had been well amused at the inn at Staines, and did not wish to leave it. "On the Monday," continues the chronicler of London, "he was borne to his mother's car or chair, he being then glad and merry of cheer; and so they came to Kingston, and rested that night. On the Tuesday, queen Katherine brought him to Kennington-palace. On Wednesday, he came to London, and, with glad semblance and merry cheer, on his mother's *barm* [lap] in the car, rode through London to Westminster, and on the morrow was so brought into parliament."

Katherine left Westminster with her infant, and retired to Waltham-palace, November 26, and from thence to Hertford-castle, where she kept her Christmas with her friend James I. of Scotland,¹ whom she soon after had the pleasure of seeing united, at St. Mary's, Southwark, to the lady he passionately loved, and whose happiness she had kindly promoted. Katherine's dower was not settled by act of parliament until the second year of her infant's reign. She appears to have been put in possession of all the ancient dower-palaces belonging to the queens of England, with the exception of Havering-Bower and Langley, where resided the queen-dowager, widow to Henry IV. "In the third year of the reign of Henry VI. was granted to his dearest mother, Katherine, all that *inn*, or *hospitium*, in the city of London, where his dear cousin, the earl of March, lately deceased, used to reside; and that she may have possession of it during the minority of his dear cousin, Richard, duke of York, on condition that she keeps in good repair all the buildings and gardens, and is at all charges concerning them;" this was Baynard's-Castle.² Katherine and her mother, Isabeau of Bavaria, were entreated, on the part of England and France, to act as mediators between Humphrey, duke of Gloucester, and Philip, duke of Burgundy, who had challenged each other to mortal combat. Duke Humphrey insisted on retaining, as his wife, Jaqueline, the heiress of Hainault, who had formerly thrown herself on Katherine's protection. Katherine, the friend of all the parties, succeeded in preventing the duel.

Two days before the opening of parliament, in 1425, Katherine entered the city in a chair of state, with her child sitting on her knee. When they arrived at the west door of St. Paul's cathedral, the duke protector lifted the infant king from his chair, and set him on his feet, and then, with the duke of Exeter, led him between them up the stairs going into the choir; from whence the royal infant was carried to the high altar, where he kneeled for a time, a traverse having been prepared for him. It is expressly said, "that he looked sadly [seriously] about him." And then he was borne into the churchyard, and there set upon

¹ London Chron.

² Ibid. Parl. Hist.

a fair courser, to the infinite delight of the people, and so conveyed, through Cheapside to St. George's-bar, to his own manor of Kennington. At Kennington-palace, Katherine and her royal son reposed till the 30th of April, when they set out on a grand procession through the city to Westminster-palace. The little king was held on a great white horse, and the people flocked in multitudes to see him, declaring he had the features of his father, and loading him with blessings. Being come to the palace, Katherine seated herself on the throne in the white-hall, where the house of lords was held, with the infant sovereign on her lap.¹

Our warlike barons were not a little embarrassed by the mutations of this world, which had snatched from them a leader of singular energies, both as monarch and warrior, and placing a little babe at their head, made them directors of a nursery. The chivalric earl of Warwick had the guardianship of the king's person at a very early age,—a fact illustrated by a beautiful contemporary drawing in the pictorial history of the earl.² He is represented holding the king, a most lovely infant of fourteen months old, in his arms, while he is showing him to the peers in parliament. One of the lords is presenting the infant monarch with the orb. The royal babe is curiously surveying it, and with an arch look gently placing one dimpled hand upon the symbol of sovereignty, seems doubtful whether it is to be treated with reverence, or chucked, like a common ball, into the midst of the august assembly. Another representation of the earl of Warwick gives us an idea of the costume of royal infants in the middle ages; for the limners of that era drew what they saw before them, and invented nothing. Warwick is delineated in the Rous roll,³ holding his royal charge on his arm. The babe is about eighteen months old; he is attired in a little crimson velvet gown, and has on his head a velvet cap, turned up with a miniature crown; moreover, he holds a toy sceptre in his baby hand, which he looks much inclined to whisk about the head of the stout earl who is so amiably performing the office of a nursery-maid. It is to be presumed that the earl carried the little king on all state occasions, while his governess, dame Alice Boteler, and his nurse, Joan Astley, had possession of him in his hours of retirement. In a very naively worded document, the privy council, writing as if the king were giving his directions to his governess himself, requests dame Alice "from time to time reasonably to chastise us, as the case may require, without being held accountable or molested for the same at any future time. The well-beloved dame Alice (being a very wise and expert person) is to teach us courtesy and nurture [good manners], and many things convenient for our royal person to learn." However, Henry, mild as he was, in a few years

¹ Parliamentary History, 191. Holinshed.

² Beauchamp Pictorial Chronicle.

³ See the original in the Herald's College.

rebelled against a chastising given him by Warwick, and the privy council was forced to interfere.¹

Katherine the queen-mother retired behind a cloud so mysterious, that for thirteen years of her life no public document tells of her actions; and the biographer is forced to wander in search of particulars into the pleasant but uncertain regions of tradition. Deep obscurity hangs over the birth and origin of Katherine's second husband, Owen Tudor. Some historians declare that the father of Owen was a brewer at Beaumaris.² Nevertheless, he drew his line from a prince of North Wales, called Theodore, which, pronounced according to the Saxon tongue, was corrupted into Tudor, and even to Tidder. There is an ancient house in the county of Anglesey, called Glengauny, still pointed out as the residence of Owen Tudor,³ and the Welsh say that he possessed there property to the amount of three thousand pounds per annum. But this wealthy heritage is by no means consistent with the assertion of his accurate countryman, Pennant, who has proved that Meredith, the father of Owen, was the fourth son of a younger son of the line of Tudor, and that he filled no higher office than that of *scutifer*, or shield-bearer, to a bishop of Bangor. When in this office, Meredith, either by design or accident, killed a man; he was outlawed, and fled with his wife to the fastnesses of Snowdon, where Owen Glendower upheld the banner of defiance against the house of Lancaster. If young Owen was not born in this stronghold of freedom, he was probably baptized there, for a tradition declares that he was godson to the great chief, Glendower. He was thus brought up from his cradle as a hardy, predatory soldier. Owen, in course of time, certainly belonged to the brave Welsh band with whom Henry V. entered into amicable terms, on the death of the warlike Glendower. These hardy warriors, it is well-known, under the command of Davy, "the Gam, or One-eyed," brother-in-law of Glendower, did good service at Agincourt. Tradition says that young Owen Tudor repelled the fiery charge of Alençon, and that Henry V. made him, for his bravery, one of the squires of his body;⁴ hence his title of armiger.⁵ The brave and handsome Owen fought as a common soldier in the Welsh band; but when once he had received the preferment of squire of the body to Henry V. he certainly continued the same office about the person of the infant king, hence his acquaintance with the queen-mother: in this station he is next found keeping guard on the royal child and his mother at Windsor-castle. Then and there it appears that the handsome Welsh soldier attracted the attention of the queen-dowager of England. He did not certainly possess forty pounds per annum at this time, if he had, he must have

¹ Privy Council, vol. iii. 297.

² Rapin.

Boswell's Antiquities.

⁴ Stowe's Annals.

⁵ Owen is entitled armiger, or squire, in the *Fœdera*, but never knight.

taken up his knighthood. While Owen was on guard at Windsor, on some festival, he was required to dance¹ before the queen, who sat on a low seat, with all her ladies about her; which low seat certainly indicates that her son, the infant sovereign, Henry VI., was present at the festival, and was enthroned in state. Owen began to dance, but making too elaborate a pirouette, he was not able to recover his balance, and fell into the queen's lap. Katherine's manner of excusing this awkwardness gave her ladies the first suspicion that she was not entirely insensible to the brave Welshman. As her passion increased, and she indulged herself in greater intimacy with the object of it, those of her ladies who could take the liberty, remonstrated with the queen, and represented "how much she lowered herself by paying any attention to a person who, though possessing some personal accomplishments and advantages, had no princely, nor even gentle alliances, but belonged to a barbarous clan of savages, reckoned inferior to the lowest English yeomen." Upon which the queen declared, "that being a Frenchwoman, she had not been aware that there was any difference of race in the British island." Afterwards, communicating these strictures to her lover, he held forth very eloquently concerning his high-born kin and princely descent, and the queen requested him to introduce some of his princely relatives at her court of Windsor-castle. "Whereupon," says Sir John Wynne, "he brought into her presence John ap Meredith and Howel ap Llewellyn, his near cousins, men of the goodliest stature and personage, but wholly destitute of bringing up and nurture [education]; for when the queen had spoken to them in divers languages, and they were not able to answer her, she said,² 'they were the goodliest dumb creatures she ever saw;' a proof that Katherine knew several languages, but had no skill in Welsh."

The precise time when Katherine's love led her to espouse the Welsh soldier, it is impossible to ascertain; the name of the priest who married them, or in what holy place their hands were united, no document exists to prove. Strange it is, that Henry VII., with all his elaborate boast of royal descent, should not have left some intimation of the time and place of the marriage of Katherine and Owen. All chroniclers of the Tudor era assert confidently, that the marriage of the queen-mother and Owen Tudor was at least tacitly acknowledged in the sixth year of her son's reign. Modern historians implicitly follow them, yet there was not a shadow of acknowledgment of the marriage; but in the sixth year of her son's reign some suspicions arose in the mind of the protector, Humphrey of Gloucester, that the queen meant to degrade herself by an unsuitable alliance, and a severe statute was enacted, threatening with the heaviest penalties "any one who should dare to marry a queen-dowager, or any lady who held lands of the crown, without the consent of the king and

¹ Stowe's *Annals*.² *History of the Gwydyr Family*.

his council.”¹ It is usually affirmed, “that the regency had ascertained that the queen was married when this law was enacted.” It is possible that such might be the case, but they had not assuredly discovered the object of her attachment, otherwise would they have suffered Owen to abide as an inmate of Katherine’s household till, at least, within the last six months of her life?—a fact incontestably proved by the minutes of the privy council.² He was clerk of her wardrobe, according to the assertion of a great historical antiquary. Soon after the prohibitory statute was passed, the queen brought an action against the bishop of Carlisle for some encroachment on her dower lands. Her cause was carried on in her own name, without the slightest allusion to any second husband.

An office like that borne by Owen Tudor was peculiarly likely to promote his personal acquaintance with the queen. As clerk of the wardrobe, it was Owen’s office, not only to guard the queen’s jewels from robbery, but to pay for, if not purchase, all materials for her dress.³ Many serious consultations must have taken place on occasion of every new purchase or payment, as to the colours and style most becoming to the royal beauty, and compliments might be implied which the lowly lover could have no other opportunity of expressing. The only notice that occurs of Katherine from the third year of her infant’s reign till 1426, is, that her son, then in his seventh year, by the advice of his governess, Alice Boteler, presented his mother, for a New-year’s gift, with the ruby ring given him by his uncle, the duke of Bedford.⁴ Katherine’s life of retirement enabled her to conceal her marriage for many years, and to give birth, without any very notorious scandal, to three sons successively. The eldest was born at the royal manor-house of Hadham; from the place of his birth he is called Edmund of Hadham. The second was Jasper, of Hatfield, another of the royal residences. The third, Owen, first saw the light at some inconvenient season, when Katherine was forced to appear at the royal palace of Westminster. The babe was carried at once into the monastery, where he was reared, and afterwards professed a monk.

While Katherine was devoting herself to conjugal affection and maternal duties, performed by stealth, her royal son was crowned, in his eighth year, king of England, at Westminster, with great pomp, in which his mother took no share. The next year he crossed the sea, in order to be crowned at Paris. It is natural to suppose that queen Katherine accompanied her son, and supported his claims on her native crown by her personal influence, but no traces are to be found of her presence. Her mother was alive in Paris, full of years, and, it must be

¹ Sir Edward Coke.

³ Issue Rolls.

² Edited by Sir Harris Nicolas.

⁴ Privy Council, vol. iii. p. 285.

added, of dishonours. The English princes and lords did not condescend to introduce their little king to the degraded woman; and the maternal grandmother of Henry VI. became first known to the son of her daughter by kissing her hand,¹ and making a reverential curtsy to him at a *croissée* (window) of the hôtel de St. Pol; after which it was not considered decent to refuse the young king's request to visit her, and an interview took place between queen Isabeau and her royal grandson. Time wore on, and one disaster to the English in France followed another. They evacuated Paris just three days before the wicked queen Isabeau died. There was scarcely a person found to bury this once-powerful princess. Katherine, though in the prime of life, only thirty-five, survived her wretched mother but one year. A strong suspicion of the queen's connexion with Tudor seems to have been first excited in the minds of Henry VI.'s guardians towards the end of the summer of 1436, at which time she either took refuge in the abbey of Bermondsey, or was sent there under some restraint. This event is supposed to have occurred just after the birth of her little daughter Margaret, who lived but a few days. Anxiety of mind threw the queen into declining health, and she remained very ill at Bermondsey during the autumn. "The high spirit of the duke of Gloucester," says one of our historians, "could not brook her marriage. Neither the beauty of Tudor's person, nor his genealogy deduced from Cadwallader kings, could shield him or the queen from a sharp persecution, as soon as the match was discovered." The children, to whom queen Katherine had previously given birth in secret, were torn from her by the orders of the council, and consigned to the keeping of a sister of the earl of Suffolk.² This cruelty perhaps hastened the death of the unfortunate queen. The pitying nuns who attended her, declared she was a sincere penitent. Among other small sins she expressed the deepest contrition³ for having disobeyed her royal husband, Henry V., and perversely chosen the forbidden castle of Windsor as the birth-place of the heir of England. In her youth, Katherine had evidently scorned the astrological oracle, "that Henry of Windsor shall lose all that Henry of Monmouth had gained;" but now, although the late disasters in France and the lowering prospects in England were plainly the natural consequences of a thirty years' war, superstition seized on the mind that had formerly rejected it; and Katherine, weakened by sorrow and suffering, devoutly believed that her forbidden accouchement at Windsor-castle was the reason of the ill-fortune of her son, Henry VI., and duly repented of her supposed crime on her death-bed. While languishing between life and death, Katherine made her will in terms which fully denote the deep depression of her spirits:—"The last will of queen Katherine, made unto her sovereign lord, her

¹ Monstrelet.² Katherine de la Pole, Abbess of Barking.³ Speed.

son, upon her departing out of this world.¹ Right high and mighty prince, and my full [re]doubted lord, and entirely beloved son, in due humble wise, with full hearty natural blessing, I commend me to your highness. To the which please to be certified, that before the silent and fearful conclusion of this long grievous malady, in the which I have been long, and yet am, troubled and vexed by the visitation of God (to whom be thanking and laud in all his gifts), I purpose, by the grace of God, and under your succour, protection, and comfort (in whom only, among all other earthly, stands all my trust), to ordain and dispose of my testament, both for my soul and my body. And I trust fully, and am right sure that, among all creatures earthly, ye best may, and will best tender and favour my will, in ordaining for my soul and body, in seeing that my debts be paid and my servants guerdoned, and in tender and favourable fulfilling of mine intent. Wherefore, tenderly I beseech you, by the reverence of God, and upon my full hearty blessing, that to my perpetual comfort and health of soul and body, of your abundant and special grace (in full remedy of all means that in any wise may *anneantise* or deface the effect of my last purpose and intent) grant, at my humble prayer and request, to be my executor; and to depute and assign such persons to be under you of your servants, or of mine, or of both, as it shall like you to choose them, which I remit fully to your disposition and election. Beseeching you, also, at the reverence of our Lord God and the full entire blessing of me your mother, that, this done, ye tenderly and benignly grant my supplication and request, contained particularly in the articles ensuing. And if tender audience and favourable assent shall be given by so benign and merciful a lord and son to such a mother, being in [at] so piteous point of so grievous a malady, I remit to your full, high, wise, and noble discretion, and to the conscience of every creature that knoweth the laws of God and of nature, that if the mother should have more favour than a strange person, I remit [refer or appeal] to the same."

From the perusal of this solemn exhortation, a conclusion would naturally be drawn that it was the preface to the earnest request of Katherine for mercy to her husband and nurture for her motherless infants; yet the articles or items which follow contain not the slightest allusion to them. All her anxiety seems to be centered,—firstly, in the payment of her creditors (without which she seems convinced that her soul will never get free); secondly, in obtaining many prayers and masses for her soul; and thirdly, in payments being made and rewards given to her servants. If Katherine, by this mysterious document, really

¹ This document has, as far as we know, never before been printed. It is partially injured by the fire that damaged the Cot-

tonian MSS. in the last century, but enough remains to be intelligible.

made any provision for her helpless family, it is all comprised in the dark hints to her son of acting "according to his noble discretion and her intents;" her real intent had been evidently confided to the young king in some interview which had taken place previously to her imprisonment. There is no enumeration of property in the items that follow, excepting the portion of income due at the day of her departing. She declares that her soul "shall pass as naked, as desolate, and as willing to be scourged, as the poorest soul God ever formed." This piteous exhortation to her son was written, or dictated, a few hours before her death. Even at her last gasp, she evidently dared not break regal etiquette so far as to name to her son her plebeian lord, or her young children. Whilst this pathetic document was in course of preparation, the dying queen received a token of remembrance from her son, king Henry, on New-year's day, consisting of a tablet of gold, weighing thirteen ounces, on which was a crucifix set with pearls and sapphires: it was bought of John Pattesby, goldsmith, and was sent to Katherine at Bermondsey. To use the poor queen's own touching words, "the silent and fearful conclusion of her long, grievous malady" took place on the 3rd of January, 1437. When the news was brought to the young sovereign of his mother's death, he was on his throne, presiding in parliament. The poor queen's two persecutors, the cardinal of Winchester, and Humphrey, duke of Gloucester, were empowered to perform the office of executors.

Katherine was buried with all the pomp suitable to her high station. Her body was removed to the church of her patroness, St. Katherine by the Tower, where it lay in state, February the 18th, 1437; it then rested at St. Paul's, and was finally honourably buried in Our Lady's chapel at Westminster-abbey. Henry VI. erected an altar-tomb to her memory, on which was engraved a Latin epitaph, of which the following is a translation:—

"Death, daring spoiler of the world, has laid
 Within this tomb the noble clay that shined
 Queen Katherine's soul; from the French king derived;
 Of our fifth Henry, wife; of the sixth
 Henry, mother:—as maid and widow both,
 A perfect flower of modesty esteemed,
 Here, happy England, brought she forth that king,
 On whose auspicious life thy weal depends,
 And reft of whom, thy bliss would soon decay.
 Joy of this land, and brightness of her own,
 Glory of mothers, to her people dear,
 A follower sincere of the true faith;
 Heaven and our earth combine alike to praise
 This woman, who adorns them both e'en now,—
 Earth, by her offspring; by her virtues heaven!
 In the fourteen hundred thirty-seventh year,
 First month's third day, her life drew to its close,
 And this queen's soul, beyond the starry sphere
 In heaven received, for aye reigns blissfully."¹

¹ William of Worcester. This historian was a contemporary.

This original epitaph has hitherto escaped all modern historians; but it is very probable, as it implied the fact that Katherine died a widow, and not a wife, that it occasioned the demolition of the tomb under the reign of her grandson.

Owen Tudor had been put in Newgate when Katherine was sent to Bermondsey. From thence he had escaped, and was at large at Daventry in the July following her death, when the king summoned him before his council, saying, "that he willed that Owen Tudor, the which 'dwelled' with his mother, queen Katherine, should come into his presence." Owen refused to come, unless he had a safe-conduct, "free to come and free to go." The council gave the king's verbal promise that he should depart unharmed. Owen vowed he would not venture himself within their reach without a written promise. This was granted, when he hastened to London but threw himself into the sanctuary at Westminster, where he remained many days, "eschewing," as a document of the privy council says, "to leave it, although many persons, out of friendship and fellowship, stirred him to come out thereof, and disport himself in the tavern at Westminster-gate." Here, when on duty at Westminster-palace, Owen had evidently been accustomed to resort, and, as a retired soldier, tell over, with boon companions, all his tales of Agincourt. He was right to resist the temptation of "disporting himself," for the council certainly meant to entrap him there. At last, he heard that the young king was "heavily informed of him," or was listening to serious charges against him. Upon which Owen suddenly appeared before the privy council, then sitting in the chapel chamber at Kennington-palace, and defended himself with such manliness and spirit, that the king set him at liberty,¹ and he retired into Wales. The duke of Gloucester, with a prevarication perfectly inconsistent with the high character bestowed on him in history, sent after him, and, in despite of the double safe-conduct, had him consigned to the tender mercies of the earl of Suffolk in the dungeons of the royal castle of Wallingford, under pretence of having broken prison.² The lord constable of England, Beaumont, was paid twenty marks for the expenses he had incurred in catching and keeping Owen, his priest, and servant. The place where the privy council met to arrange this business is rather remarkable; it was in the *secret chamber* belonging to cardinal Beaufort as bishop of Winchester, in the priory of St. Mary's Overy.

Owen was remanded from Wallingford-castle to Newgate, where, it may be remembered, his priest and servant were committed. No sooner were these three persons in Newgate once more, than its walls were found inefficient to detain them; they all made a second escape, after "wounding foully their gaoler." Owen laid his plans so successfully this second time of breaking out of Newgate, that he was not re-

¹ Leland's Collectanea and Sir Harris Nicolas' Minutes of the Privy Council. ² *Foedera.*

taken, but fled with his faithful adherents to the fastnesses of North Wales, where he waited for better times. It is, perhaps, not too much to infer, that the priest thus connected with Owen was the person who secretly performed the marriage-ceremony between him and Katherine, and that the servant was witness to the wedlock. The London Chronicle vindicates the honour of the queen in words not very complimentary to her spouse: "This year, one Owen, a man neither of birth *ne* of livelihood, brake out of Newgate at searching time; the which Owen had privily wedded queen Katherine, and had three or four children by her, unknown to the common people till she was dead and buried."¹

Katherine's eldest boys must have been very young at the time of her death, since they remained inmates of a nunnery, under the care of the abbess of Barking, till the year 1440. They were wholly neglected by the court; for, till the abbess supplicated most urgently, no money had been paid for the sustenance of these neglected little ones after the death of the mother.² Soon after the abbess had drawn the attention of Henry VI. to the existence of the children of his unfortunate mother, he placed them under the care of discreet priests, to be brought up chastely and virtuously.³ Henry VI. never forgave his uncle Gloucester the harsh usage his mother had experienced: as soon as he attained his majority, he allowed Owen Tudor an annuity of 40*l.* per annum, "which, for certain causes him moving, he gave him out of his privy purse by especial grace."⁴ The eldest son of Katherine and Owen was married through the influence of Henry VI. to Margaret Beaufort, the heiress of the house of Somerset. At the palace of Reading, the king bestowed on him the title of Richmond, amidst the rejoicings for the birth of Edward, prince of Wales, and the festivities in celebration of his restoration to health and reason. Edmund took precedence of all other English peers. He died in his twentieth year, leaving an infant son, afterwards Henry VII. The next brother, Jasper Tudor, was created earl of Pembroke.⁵ The third son lived and died a monk at Westminster. Owen Tudor himself was taken into some sort of favour, but never graced with any title, or owned by Henry VI. as his step-father. Just before the battle of Northampton, that king declares, "that out of consideration of the good services of *that beloved squire*, our Owinus Tudyr, we for the future take him into our special grace, and make him park-keeper of our parks in Denbigh, Wales."⁶ This was granted when the king was in a distressed state, and the old warrior, his step-father, had drawn his Agincourt sword in his cause. After the defeat and death of Richard, duke of York, at Wakefield, a Lancastrian army, commanded by Jasper, earl of Pembroke, with his father, Owen Tudor, pursued the earl of March, who, turning fiercely at bay, defeated them

¹ Leland.² Fœdera.³ Blackman's Chronicle.⁴ Issue rolls.⁵ Milles' Catalogue of Honour.⁶ Fœdera, vol. i. p. 435.

near Mortimer's-cross. Jasper made a successful retreat; but his father, with true Welsh obstinacy, positively refused to quit the lost field: he was taken prisoner; and as he was the first victim on whom Edward had the opportunity of wreaking his vengeance for the death of York and Rutland, he ordered Owen Tudor's head to be smitten off in Hereford market-place, with two or three Lloyds and Howels, his kinsmen and comrades.¹ Such was the end of the second husband of queen Katherine.

When Henry VII. ascended the throne of England, he caused the Lady-chapel at Westminster-abbey, with the tomb of queen Katherine, to be demolished, for the purpose of building a new and stately chapel. In place of the epitaph destroyed the following hearse-verses were hung up, which were evidently written after Henry VII.'s accession."²

"Here lies queen Katherine closed in grave, the French king's daughter fair,
And of thy kingdom, Charles the Sixth, the true undoubted heir.
Twice joyful wife in marriage,—matched to Henry the Fifth by name,
Because through her he nobled was, and shined in double fame.
The king of England by descent, and by queen Katherine's right
The realm of France he did enjoy,—triumphant king of might.
A happy queen to Englishmen she came right grateful here,
And four days' space they honoured God, with lips and reverent fear.
Henry the Sixth this queen brought forth, with painful labour plight,
In whose empire France was then, and he an English wight;
Under no lucky planet born unto himself or throne,
But equal with his parents both in pure religion.
Of Owen Tudor, after this, thy next son Edmund was,
O Katherine! a renowned prince that did in glory pass.
Henry the Seventh, a Britain pearl, a gem of England's joy,
A peerless prince was Edmund's son, a good and gracious *roy*;
Therefore a happy wife this was, a happy mother pure,
Thrice happy child, but granddame she, more than thrice happy sure!"

Although Henry VII. had demolished the tomb of his grandmother, it is certain that he had not caused her remains to be exhumed, since he mentions her in his will as still interred in the chapel, and it is evident that he intended to restore her monument. "Specially as the body of our granddame, of right noble memory, queen Katherine, daughter of the king of France, *is* interred within our monastery of Westminster, and we propose shortly to translate thither the reliques of our uncle of blessed memory, Henry VI.: and whether we die within the realm or not, our body is to be buried in the said monastery,—that is to say, in the chapel where our said granddame lies buried." Jasper Tudor, her second son, left funds for masses to be sung in the monastery of Keynsham "for the soul of his father, and the soul of Katherine, late queen of England, his mother."

When Henry VII. was buried the corpse of Katherine was disinterred;

¹ Stowe's *Annals*. Pennant.

² Stowe's *London*.

and as her ungracious descendant, Henry VIII., did not fulfil his father's intention of restoring her tomb, the bones of the unfortunate queen never found a final resting-place till the commencement of the present century. The queen's corpse was found to be in extraordinary preservation; it was therefore shown as a curiosity to persons visiting Westminster-abbey, for at least three centuries. Weever, in his *Funeral Monuments*, thus mentions its state in the time of Charles I. "Here lieth Katherine, queen of England, wife to Henry V., in a chest or coffin with a loose cover, to be seen and handled of any who much desire it; and who, by her own appointment, inflicted this penance on herself, in regard to her disobedience to her husband for being delivered of her son, Henry VI., at Windsor, which place he forbade." In the reign of Charles II. Pepys journalizes, with infinite satisfaction, that he had "this day kissed a queen;" and that he might make this boast, he had kissed the mummy of Katherine the Fair, shown for the extra charge of twopence to the curious in such horrors. Nearly half a century later, the industrious Hearne, thus writes to his friend West: "Queen Katherine was buried in Westminster-abbey. I am told that part of her skeleton is now to be seen above ground, in a wooden chest in the abbey.¹ I know not any effigies of her now preserved." The same disgusting traffic was carried on in 1793, for Hutton reprobates it in his *Tour through the Sights of London*. This exordium probably drew the attention of the dean of Westminster, for the remains of Katherine the Fair have reposed since then, sheltered from public view, in some nook of Westminster-abbey.

¹ MS letter, Hearne's Collection, fol. 53, Oct. 27, 1727.—*Brit. Mus. Lansdowne*, 778.

MARGARET OF ANJOU,

QUEEN OF HENRY VI.

CHAPTER I.

THE history of Margaret of Anjou, from the cradle to the tomb, is a tissue of the most striking vicissitudes, and replete with events of more powerful interest than are to be found in the imaginary career of any heroine of romance; for the creations of fiction, however forcibly they may appeal to our imagination, fade into insignificance before the simple majesty of truth. When we consider the stormy grandeur of character of this last and most luckless of our Provençal queens, her beauty, her learning, her energetic talents, and the important position she occupied for more than a quarter of a century in the annals of this country,—first, as the unconstitutional, but certainly supreme, director of the power of the crown, and, lastly, as the leader and rallying point of the friends of Lancaster, it is remarkable that no complete and authentic biography of her has ever been given to the world. Margaret was the youngest daughter of René of Anjou and Isabella of Lorraine. Her father was the second son of Louis II., king of Sicily and Jerusalem, duke of Calabria and Anjou, and count of Provence.

English historians place the date of Margaret's birth in 1425; but this is a palpable error, for her mother, who was scarcely fifteen at that time, did not give birth to her eldest child, John of Calabria, till 1426. Then came prince Louis, followed by Nicolas and Yolante, twin-children, who were born October 2, 1428. After the decease of René and his sons, Yolante took the title of queen of Sicily, as the next heir. Thus we see that Margaret of Anjou was four years younger than has been generally supposed. According to the best authorities,¹ Margaret was born March 23, 1429, at Pont-à-Mousson, her mother's dower-palace, one of the grandest castles in Lorraine. She was baptized under the great crucifix

¹ Richard Wassaburg, a contemporary chronicler. M. de St. Marthe. Morel. J. Amiens Villeneuve.

in the cathedral of Toul, by the bishop of that diocese. Her sponsors were her uncle, Louis III., king of Naples, and Marguerite duchess of Lorraine, her maternal grandmother.

Margaret was yet in the arms of her father's faithful nurse, Theophaïe,¹ by whom she was reared, when the fierce contest for the succession to Lorraine commenced between her father and her mother's uncle, Anthony of Vaudemonte, on the death of Charles, duke of Lorraine.² She was scarcely two years old when her royal sire was defeated and made prisoner by his adversary, at the battle of Bulgneville. The infant princess Margaret was her mother's companion during the agonizing hours of suspense in which she remained at Nanci, awaiting tidings of the issue of that disastrous fight. The event was too soon announced, by the arrival of the fugitives from the lost battle. "Alas!" exclaimed the duchess, clasping her little Margaret to her bosom, "where is René, my lord? He is taken—he is slain!"—"Madam," they replied, "be not thus abandoned to grief. The duke is in good health, though disabled and prisoner to the Burgundians." But the duchess was inconsolable. The council of Lorraine regarded her with the deepest sympathy, for she was left with four helpless children, two boys and two girls, the most beautiful ever seen.

With her infant Margaret in her arms, and leading her other little ones with her, the duchess Isabella presented herself as a weeping suppliant at the throne of her nominal *suzerain*, Charles VII., to implore his succour for the deliverance of her captive lord, or that he would, at least, use his mediation in behalf of the brother of his queen. Charles had no power at that time to assist any one: he was, indeed, listless and hopeless of ever regaining possession of his own rights. The interview between him and the duchess of Lorraine was destined to produce a singular effect on his future life and the fortunes of France. The disconsolate wife of René was attended by her favourite damsel, the beautiful and eloquent Agnes Sorelle, whom, when her own grief deprived her of utterance, she desired to plead for her with the king. Charles fell passionately in love with this fair advocate, who used her unbounded influence over his mind to rouse his slumbering energies for the deliverance of his subjugated realm. Meantime, while the grandmother of our little Margaret rallied the dispirited friends of René for the defence of Nanci, the duchess Isabella, the tenderest and most courageous of conjugal heroines, disappointed in the hopes she had built on

¹ The kind-hearted René raised a beautiful monument to this humble friend, who died in the year 1458, just as queen Margaret's troubles commenced. The good king had the effigy of his nurse carved, holding in her arms two children, himself and Queen Marie, the consort of Charles VII. of France. He added an epitaph of his own writing: *Vie du Roi René.*

² This prince dying without male issue, the duchy of Lorraine was claimed by his brother, Anthony of Vaudemonte, on pretence that it was a fief too noble too fall to the spindle side. René of Anjou asserted the right of his consort to the succession, which had been pronounced previously by her two elder sisters—Mezerai.

the king of France, sought an interview with her hostile kinsman to solicit the release of her captive lord, and a cessation from the horrors of civil strife. Moved by her pathetic eloquence, Antoine granted a truce of six months, dated August 1, 1431. Her supplications in behalf of René were fruitless; for he had been already given up to the duke of Burgundy, by whom he was consigned to a long imprisonment at Dijon at the top of a high tower, still in existence.¹ The only condition on which the sire of Margaret could obtain even a temporary release from his thralldom, was at the price of bestowing his eldest daughter, Yolante, then in her ninth year, on the heir of his rival, the young Ferry, or Frederic, of Vaudemonte, with part of the disputed lands of Lorraine for her portion.² The little Margaret was soon after betrothed to Pierre of Luxembourg, the son of count St. Pol, whose squire had cut René down at the battle of Bulgneville. René, being pledged to pay a heavy sum of money to the duke of Burgundy for his ransom, was obliged to give his two boys as his hostages, and to resign Yolante to her new mother-in-law; so that, of their four beautiful children, the infant Margaret was the only one who returned to Nanci with her parents.

The death of the virtuous Margaret of Bavaria, the grandmother of this princess, at the close of the year 1434, increased the affliction of her family. But a heavier trial awaited Margaret and her parents. King René, being unable to fulfil the conditions of his release, was compelled to deliver himself up to his captors. His imprisonment was shared by his eldest son, Jean of Calabria: the younger, Louis, was restored to the arms of his sorrowing mother and sister. In 1436, on the death of René's eldest brother, Louis king of Naples, the succession of his realms devolved on the royal captive, and his faithful consort Isabella prepared to assert his rights. Among the illustrious females of the fifteenth century, the mother of Margaret of Anjou holds a distinguished place, alike for her commanding talents, her great personal endowments, her courage, and conjugal tenderness. It was from this parent that Margaret inherited those energies which the sternest shocks of adversity were unable to subdue. With such a mother as Isabella of Lorraine, the contemporary of Joan of Arc, born and nurtured amidst scenes of civil warfare and domestic calamity, it is scarcely wonderful if the characteristics of Anjou's heroine partook of the temper of the times in which she was unhappily thrown.

While the queen of the Two Sicilies, as the consort of René of Anjou

¹ Here, to dissipate the sorrow of his captivity, René employed himself in painting. The chapel of the castle of Dijon was enriched with beautiful miniatures, on painted glass, by the royal hand of the father of our Margaret of Anjou. It was this exertion of his talents that finally terminated his captivity; for Philip the Good was so much pleased with

the sight of his own portrait, painted on glass by his interesting prisoner, that he sought an interview with him, clasped him in his arms, and, after expressing the greatest admiration for his talents, offered to mediate with Antoine de Vaudemonte for his liberation.

² Chronicles of Lorraine. Mezerai.

was now styled, was arranging her measures for asserting by force of arms the claims of her captive lord to the disputed succession of Naples, she took up her abode with Margaret and Louis at the château of Tarascon, on the banks of the Rhone. The Provençals, whose poetic feelings were passionately excited by the advent of the consort and lovely children of their captive prince, followed them in crowds wherever they appeared, singing songs in their praise, strewing flowers at their feet, presenting them with votive wreaths, and nightly kindling bonfires before the palace, to preserve them from infection.

The fearful visitation of the plague compelled the queen of the Sicilies to hurry her precious little ones from Tarascon. She embarked with them at Marseilles for Naples, where, however, the pestilence from which they had fled at Provence was raging. The royal strangers took up their abode at Capua, the ancient palace of the family of Anjou in Naples. Queen Isabella caused her captive husband to be proclaimed king of the Two Sicilies, at which ceremony little Margaret and her brother were seated by their royal mother in the triumphal chair of state, covered with velvet and embroidered with gold, in which this conjugal heroine was borne through the streets of Naples.

René was chiefly indebted for his deliverance from bondage to the exertions of his faithful consort. In the treaty for his liberation, the following remarkable article was proposed by the duke of Burgundy, which affords an indication that the English alliance was contemplated as early as 1435-6: "And to cement the peace between the two powers, Margaret of Anjou, second daughter to the king René, shall espouse the young king of England." This was nine years before the marriage took place, the bride being but six years old; it appears a mere suggestion on the side of Burgundy, without any sanction of the English, and was opposed by Charles VII. Margaret of Anjou remained at the Capua palace with her heroic mother till the year 1438, when René, having obtained his freedom, made his entry into Naples on a stately white charger, attended by his Provençal followers. After tenderly embracing Margaret and her mother, he conducted them to the elegant palace, finished with the utmost profusion of luxury by his voluptuous predecessor, Joanna II. Here, in the soft air of Italy, Margaret proceeded in her education under the care of her mother, and her brother's learned tutor Antoine de Salle, author of some of the earliest romances of French literature, written, it is supposed, for the amusement of his royal pupil.

In the year 1443, Margaret returned to Lorraine with her royal mother, having first experienced the grief of losing her brother, prince Louis, with whom she had been educated. Previous to that event, the contract of marriage with the count de St. Pol having been broken off, her hand was sought by the count de Nevers, nephew to the duke of Burgundy, and matters were so far advanced, that a day was appointed

for the articles to be signed; but when it was discovered that a clause had been inserted, disinheriting the children that might be born of her elder sister, Yolante and Ferry of Vaudemonte, Charles VII., whose consort, Mary of Anjou, was aunt to both princesses, would not permit the alliance to take place on such conditions. Count de St. Pol renewed his suit after the death of prince Louis; but, according to Nostradamus, the idea of the more splendid alliance with the king of England prevented his acceptance. Anjou and Maine, king René's patrimony (inherited as the appanage of his ancestor, Charles of Anjou, younger brother of St. Louis), were occupied by the troops of England; so that he could scarcely be said to possess a single undisputed town or castle, and his family and himself were reduced to a state of penury, which their illustrious descent and lofty titles only rendered the more conspicuous. But, however painfully these adversities might be felt by his consort and children, René regarded the frowns of fortune with philosophical indifference:¹ he retired into Provence, and occupied himself with writing verses and composing music, for which he possessed no ordinary talents. The precocious charms and talents of his second daughter, meantime, created a lively sensation at the court of her aunt, the queen of France, with whom she then lived. Margaret of Anjou was already renowned in France for her beauty and wit, and all the misfortunes of her father had only given her an opportunity of displaying her lofty spirit and courage. "The report of these charms," according to another learned, but somewhat romantic French author, "first reached Henry VI. through the medium of a gentleman of Anjou, named Champchevrier, a prisoner at large (belonging to Sir John Falstolf), with whom king Henry was accustomed to converse occasionally; and he gave so eloquent a description of the rare endowments which nature had bestowed on the portionless daughter of the titular king of the two Sicilies, that Henry despatched him to the court of Lorraine to procure a portrait of the young princess." This statement is quite consistent with Henry's proceedings in regard to the preliminaries for his alliance with a daughter of the count of Armagnac; for we find, by the curious correspondence between the two courts, that a painter named Hans was employed by the youthful monarch to paint the portraits of the three daughters of that prince, for his satisfaction. Henry was very explicit in his directions that the likenesses should be perfect, requiring that the young ladies "should be painted in their kirtles simple, and their visages like as ye see; and their stature and their beauty, the colour of their skin and their countenances."² The commissioners "were to urge the artist to

¹ So little resemblance was there in character between René and his energetic daughter Margaret, that it is related of him, that when the news of the loss of one of his kingdoms was brought to him while he was en-

gaged in painting a partridge from nature, he paid no attention to the communication; nor would he see the messenger till he had given the finishing strokes to his design.

² Beckington's Journal, ed. Nicolas, p. 9.

use great expedition, and so send the picture or 'ymagine' over to the king as quickly as possible, that he might make his choice between the three."

Champchevrier, more successful in his mission than the reverend plenipotentiaries who had endeavoured to negotiate the matrimonial treaty with the court of Armagnac, obtained a portrait of Margaret painted by one of the first artists in France, who was employed, our author adds, by the earl of Suffolk. This is not unlikely, for Suffolk was the ostensible instrument in this marriage; but the real person with whom the project for a union between Henry VI. and Margaret of Anjou originated, appears to have been no other than cardinal Beaufort, the great-uncle of the king.¹ The education of Henry VI. having been superintended by the cardinal, he was fully aware of the want of energy and decision in his character, which rendered a consort desirable whose intellectual powers would be likely to supply his constitutional defects, and whose acquirements might render her a suitable companion for so learned and refined a prince. In Margaret of Anjou all these requisites were united, with beauty, eloquence, and every attribute calculated to win unbounded influence over the plastic mind of the youthful sovereign. She was, moreover, at that tender and unreflective age, at which she might be rendered a powerful auxiliary in the cardinal's political views. Under these circumstances, there can be little doubt from whom Champchevrier had received his cue, when he described to Henry, in such glowing colours, the charms and mental graces of the very princess to whom cardinal Beaufort wished to unite him.

Sir John Falstolf, not being in the secret, was greatly enraged at the departure of his prisoner without insuring the payment of his ransom, and employed the duke of Gloucester, with whom he enjoyed some credit, to write a letter to the king of France, explaining the circumstance, and entreating that he might be restored to him. According to the laws of chivalry, no prince was justified in extending his protection to a captive who had forfeited his "parole of honour;" therefore king Charles issued orders for the arrest of Champchevrier, who was taken on his way from the court of Lorraine towards England. He was brought before the king of France at Vincennes, and fully cleared himself from all imputations on his honour, by producing a safe-conduct to Lorraine signed by king Henry, explaining the nature of the mission on which he had been employed by that prince. Charles VII. was highly amused at the information thus obtained of his nephew's love affairs; and being struck with the great advantages that might result to himself and his harassed kingdom, if an alliance were actually to be formed between Henry and his fair kinswoman, released Champchevrier, and enjoined him to return to the court of England without delay, and make

¹ Barante's *Chronicles of the Dukes of Burgundy*. Guthrie's *folio History of England*.

use of every representation in his power to incline king Henry to choose the lady Margaret for his queen.

The reappearance of Champchevrier at Windsor, and his frequent conferences with the king, caused suspicions as to the nature of the business on which he had been employed in the mind of the duke of Gloucester, who kept a jealous espionage on the actions of his royal nephew. These suspicions were confirmed when king Henry undertook himself to satisfy Sir John Falstolf for the ransom of his prisoner, and despatched him a second time on a secret mission to the court of Lorraine. Henry VI. was then in his four-and-twentieth year, beautiful in person, of a highly cultivated and refined mind, holy and pure in thought and deed, resisting with virtuous indignation the attempts of the unprincipled females of his court to entangle him in the snares of illicit passion,¹ yet pining for the sweet ties of conjugal love and sympathy. The loneliness of his condition, and "his earnest desire to live under the holy sacrament of marriage," are pathetically set forth by the bachelor-monarch in his curious instructions to the commissioners employed, two years before, to conduct the negotiations between him and the court of Armagnac.²

The choice of a consort for the young king was the deciding contest for political mastery between those fierce rival kinsmen, the duke of Gloucester and cardinal Beaufort. Gloucester's favourite project, of uniting his royal nephew with a princess of the house of Armagnac, was rendered abortive by Henry's determination not to commit himself in any way till he had seen the portraits of the ladies;³ and while the count of Armagnac, who was playing a double game with the court of France, delayed the artist's progress for diplomatic reasons, the lively transcript of the charms of his lovely kinswoman, Margaret of Anjou, made an indelible impression on the heart of the youthful monarch, and he resolved to obtain her hand at any sacrifice. The sacrifice was, after all, much less than has been represented; and Henry VI., in his ardent desire to give peace to his exhausted realm, proved himself a more enlightened ruler than his renowned sire, who had deluged the continent with blood, and rendered the crown bankrupt, in the vain attempt to unite England and France. The national pride of the English prompted them to desire a continuance of the contest, but it was a contest no less ruinous now to England than to France; and cardinal Beaufort, with the other members of Henry's cabinet, being destitute of the means of maintaining the war, were only too happy to enter into amicable negotiations with France.

In January, 1344, the commissioners of England, France, and Bur-

¹ When the ladies presented themselves before him immodestly attired, the young king turned away, with this primitive rebuke: "Fie, fie! forsooth, ye be much to blame."

² Beckington's Journal, ed. Nicolas, p. 7

³ *Ibid.*

gundy, were appointed to meet at Tours, to negotiate a truce with France, preparatory to a peace, the basis and cement of which were to be the marriage of the young king of England with the beautiful niece of the queen of France. Suffolk, who was appointed the ambassador-extraordinary on this occasion, was so much alarmed at the responsibility he was likely to incur, that he actually presented a petition to the king, praying to be excused from the office that had been put upon him, nor could he be prevailed upon to accept it till he was secured from personal peril by an order from the king, under the great seal, enjoining him to undertake, without fear or scruple, the commission which had been given him. Thus assured, Suffolk was, in an evil hour for himself and all parties concerned, persuaded to stand in the gap, by becoming the procurator of the most unpopular peace and fatal marriage that were ever negotiated by a prime-minister of England. As a preliminary, a truce for two years was signed, May 28th, 1444.

Neither money nor lands were demanded for the dowry of the bride, whose charms and high endowments were allowed by the gallant ambassadors of England "to outweigh all the riches in the world."¹ When the proposal was made in form to the father of the young Margaret, he replied, "That it would be inconsistent with his honour to bestow his daughter in marriage on the usurper of his hereditary dominions, Anjou and Maine;"² and he demanded the restoration of those provinces as an indispensable condition in the marriage-articles. This demand was backed by the king of France, and, after a little hesitation, ceded by king Henry and his council. The handsome and accomplished count de Nevers, who was a prince of the house of Burgundy, a soldier and a poet, was at the same time a candidate for the hand of the royal Provençal beauty, to whom he was passionately attached;³ and it is probable that the competition of this formidable rival, who was on the spot, withal, to push his suit in person, might have had some effect in influencing king Henry to a decision more lover-like than politic.

As soon as the conditions of the marriage were settled, Suffolk returned to bring the subject before parliament, where he had to encounter a stormy opposition from the duke of Gloucester and his party, who were equally hostile to a peace with France, and a marriage with a daughter of the house of Anjou. Suffolk, however, acted as the agent of cardinal Beaufort, who possessed an ascendancy, not only in the council, but with the parliament; and, above all, the inclinations of the royal bachelor being entirely on his side, his triumph over Gloucester was complete. Suffolk was dignified with the title of marquess, and invested with full powers to espouse the lady Margaret of Anjou, as

- Speed. Rapin. Guthrie. Barante.

² Rapin.

³ Villeneuve.

the proxy of his sovereign.¹ There is, in Rymer's *Fœdera*, a letter from the king, addressed to Suffolk as the grand seneschal of his household, dated October 28th, 1444, in which he says,—“As you have lately, by the divine favour and grace, in our name and for us, engaged verbally the excellent, magnificent, and very bright Margaretta, the serene daughter of the king of Sicily, and sworn that we shall contract matrimony with her, we consent and will that she be conducted to us over seas, from her country and friends, at our expense.” Suffolk, accompanied by his lady, and a splendid train of the nobility, sailed from England on this fatal mission, and proceeded to Nanci. The king, queen, and the dauphiness of France, the dukes of Bretagne and Alençon, and, in short, all the most distinguished personages of the courts of France and Lorraine, were there assembled, to do honour to the espousals of the youthful Margaret.²

Historians vary as to the time and place of this ceremonial, but, according to the best authorities, it was solemnized in November, 1444, by Louis d'Harancourt, bishop of Toul, at Nanci, in St. Martin's church, where, in the presence of her illustrious parents, the royal family of France, and a concourse of nobles and ladies, the marquess of Suffolk espoused the lady Margaret, in the name and as the proxy of his sovereign, Henry VI. of England.³ Drayton, in his poetical chronicle, after quaintly enumerating the rank and number of the distinguished guests at queen Margaret's espousals, thus elegantly alludes to the charms of the royal bride:—

“ Whilst that only she,
Like to the rosy morning towards its rise,
Cheers all the church, as it doth cheer the skies.”

King René indulged his passion for pageantry and courtly games at these nuptials, to his heart's content. A tournament was proclaimed in honour of the young queen of England, at which throngs of princely knights and gallant warriors wore garlands of daisies in the lists, out of compliment to the royal bride of fifteen,⁴ who had chosen this flower for her emblem. Among those who particularly distinguished themselves on this occasion, were Charles of Anjou, the gallant uncle of the bride, and Pierre de Brezé, lord of Varenne and seneschal of Normandy, one of the commissioners who negotiated the marriage-treaty of the beautiful Margaret, in whose service, during the melancholy period of the wars of the roses, he afterwards performed such romantic exploits.⁵ Charles VII. appeared in the lists more than once in honour of his fair kins-

¹ Rymer's *Fœdera*. Guthrie. *Parliamentary rolls*.

² Stowe. *Monstrelet*. Barante. *Villeneuve*.

³ *Ibid*.

⁴ The following passage is in the original words of Richard Wassaburg, a contemporary of Margaret, who was personally known to

him, and his testimony as to her age is of great importance: “*Madame Margaret d'Anjou, fille du roi René, estante en age quinze ans, (car nous trouvons qu'elle fut née en l'an mil quatre cent vingt neuf,) fiancée au Henri roi d'Angleterre.*”

⁵ Barante. *Monstrelet*.

woman: he bore on his shield the serpent of the fairy Melusina. He tilted with the father of the royal bride, by whom, however, he was vanquished. The most distinguished renown was won by Margaret's forsaken suitor, the count St. Pol, who received the prize from the hands of her aunt, the queen of France, and her mother, the queen of Sicily. It is to be observed, that Suffolk took no part in the jousts or games. Such exercises were, in fact, little suited to his grave years, which greatly outnumbered those of the father of the youthful bride, notwithstanding all that poets and romancing historians of later times have feigned on the subject of the imaginary passion of Margaret for the hoary proxy of her lord.

The bridal festivities lasted eight days, and the spot where the tournament was held is still called, in memory of that circumstance, the "Place de Carrière." All the noble ladies in Lorraine came from their Gothic castles to be present at these fêtes, where the beauty and chivalry of France, England, and Burgundy, were assembled. The long-delayed marriage of Margaret's elder sister with her cousin, Ferry of Vaudemonte, was completed at the same time, under the following romantic circumstances:—"Ferry, who was passionately enamoured of his beautiful *fiancée*, Yolante, to whom he had been betrothed upwards of nine years, rendered desperate by the delays of her father (who never intended to allow her to fulfil her forced engagement with the son of his adversary), formed and executed a plan with a band of adventurous young chevaliers, for carrying her off at the nuptial tournament of her young sister Margaret. King René was very angry at first, but was induced, by the mediation of the king and queen of France, and the rest of the royal company, to forgive the gallant trespass of the long-defrauded bridegroom, and a general reconciliation took place, in which all past rancours were forgotten, and the pageants and games were renewed with fresh spirit."¹

At the conclusion of the eight days' fête, Margaret was solemnly delivered to the marquess and marchioness of Suffolk, and took a mournful farewell of her weeping kindred and friends. "Never," say the chroniclers of her native land, "was a young princess more deeply loved in the bosom of her own family." Charles VII. of France, who regarded her with paternal interest, accompanied her two leagues from Nanci clasped her at parting many times in his arms, and said, with his eyes full of tears,—“I seem to have done nothing for you, my niece, in placing you on one of the greatest thrones in Europe, for it is scarcely worthy of possessing you.” Sobs stifled his voice,—Margaret could only reply with a torrent of tears: they parted, and saw each other no more. Charles returned to Nanci, with his eyes swollen with weeping.² A harder parting took place with her father, who went with her as far

¹ Villeneuve. Wassaburg.

² Barante. Monstrelet. Wassaburg.

as Barr; there he commended her to God, but neither the father nor the daughter could add a farewell to each other, but turned away with full hearts, without uttering a single word.¹ These regrets, in which persons who were, by the etiquettes and restraints of royalty, taught to conceal every emotion of the heart so passionately indulged on this occasion, are evidences of the amiable and endearing qualities of the youthful Margaret, or her loss would not have been so deeply lamented when she was departing from a precarious and care-clouded home, to fulfil a destiny most brilliant in its delusive splendour.

Margaret's eldest brother, John, duke of Calabria, and the duke of Alençon, attended her on her route; but she travelled with her own train, as queen of England, under the protection of the marquess of Suffolk and his wife.² This lady, who was the granddaughter and heiress of Geoffrey Chaucer, the father of English poetry, was also first cousin to cardinal Beaufort, and was, doubtless on that account, selected by him as the chaperone, or state-governess, of the virgin bride of Henry VI. It was probably through the influence of the marchioness of Suffolk, that the young queen formed that inviolable bond of friendship with all the princes of the house of Beaufort, which afterwards involved her in great unpopularity. The countess of Shrewsbury and the lady Emma de Scales were also in the personal retinue of the young queen. There were, besides, five barons and baronesses in attendance on her, who were paid for their services 4s. 6d. per day; seventeen knights, including her two carvers, at 2s. 6d. per day. Breknoke, the clerk of her comptroller's wages, and those of his coadjutor, John Everdon, were equal to those of the knights. Sixty-five squires received each 1s. 6d. per day; 174 valets, 6d. per day; nineteen palfrey-men and sumpter-men, 4d. per day; and, in addition to those who received wages, many persons were attached to the suite who served gratuitously.³ In anticipation of Margaret's arrival, king Henry wrote a quaint and earnest letter to the goldsmith's company, "entreating them to do their *devoir* at the coming of his entirely well-beloved wife, the queen, whom he expected, through God's grace, to have with him in right brief time." This letter is dated November 30th, 1444, but the advent of the royal bride was delayed nearly four months.

We are indebted to the Breknoke Computus for the following diary of the last three weeks of Margaret's journey to England:—"Pontoise, March the 18th. This day the lady Margaret, the queen, came with her family to supper at the expense of our lord, the king. Cost, 12l. 11s. 1d.

¹ Villeneuve.

² Through the special kindness and courtesy of the rev. George C. Tomlinson, the learned vicar of Staughton, Huntingdonshire, in favouring us with various important extracts from the curious MS. accounts of the

clerk of the comptroller of queen Margaret's household, called the Breknoke Computus, we are enabled to give many new and interesting facts connected with the bridal of this queen.

³ Breknoke Computus.

—Friday, 19th. The queen went to sup with the duke of York, at Mantes. Cost, 5*l.* 5*s.* 1*d.*—Saturday, 20th. To dine with the duke of York, at the same place. Cost, 4*l.* 7*s.* 5½*d.*” These were important days in the journal, not only of the bridal progress, but in the life of Margaret of Anjou, her first introduction to the prince, whose rival claims to her husband’s throne proved in the end fatal to them both. The entertainment received by the royal bride must have been agreeable to her, as she repeated her visit. We gather from this entry that Margaret’s acquaintance with the duke of York preceded her introduction to the king, her husband. On the 20th of March she proceeded from Mantes to Vernon, where she slept. On the 23rd she arrived at Rouen. There is an item of 4*s.* 9*d.* for fourteen pairs of shoes, bestowed by Margaret on various poor women on her journey from Mantes. At Rouen she remained a week, and there two curious entries occur. The first certifies the fact, that the young queen made purchase of some articles of second-hand plate of a goldsmith in that town;¹ the second, that her want of money was so pressing, that she was compelled to pawn divers vessels of mock silver to the duchess of Somerset,² to raise funds for some of the expenses of her journey.

Margaret left Rouen, and slept at Bokamshard monastery, March 31st. The next day she proceeded to Pountamdeur; she reached Hounfleet, April 3rd, where she remained several days. A small English vessel, called ‘the Trinity,’ of Colchester, on the 8th of the same month transported her and her suite to the port of Kiddecaws, where the ‘Cokke John,’ of Cherbourg, the ship appointed for her voyage, had been long waiting her arrival. The Breknoke Computus proves a payment of 5*l.* 4*s.* 10*d.* to the pilot attending in the ‘Cokke John;’ also to the purser of the same, 13*l.* 6*s.* 8*d.*, price of a large cable bought by him for the security of the said ship whilst riding at anchor near Kiddecaws, and of 9*l.* 7*s.* for making divers chambers and cabins, and a bridge for the ingress and egress of the lady queen. These ships had been in commission ever since the 5th of September, 1444.

Margaret’s long sojourn on the continent was caused by the necessity of the king summoning a new parliament, for the purpose of obtaining the needful supplies for his marriage. It met at Westminster, February 25th, 1445. The king remained seated in his chair of state, while his chancellor, Stafford, archbishop of Canterbury, explained the cause for which parliament was summoned in a species of political sermon, commencing with his text, “Justice and peace have kissed each other.” He

¹ To John Tabande, goldsmith at Rouen for taking out and removing the arms of Henry de Luxembourg, lately chancellor of France, from sundry silver vessels bought from him by the lady the queen.—Breknoke Computus.

² In money paid to Thomas Dawson, Esq.,

in the service of the lady duchess of Somerset, coming from Rouen to London with divers vessels of *mock silver* belonging to the lady the queen, mortgaged to the said duchess for a certain sum of money advanced by her for the wages of divers mariners.

then proceeded to notify the suspension of hostilities in France, and the marriage between the king and Margaret, daughter of the king of Sicily; "by which two happy events he nothing doubted but, through God's grace, justice and peace should be firmly established throughout the realm." The parliament granted a half-fifteenth on all moveable goods to the king, to defray the expenses of the late commission for the truce with France and his marriage; and was then prorogued till the 29th of April, to allow the necessary interval for the arrival of the new queen, and the solemnization of the royal nuptials.¹

There is a curious document in the *Fœdera*, in which the needy sovereign makes an assignment of part of his half-fifteenth, granted but not yet raised, to a certain knight, for the purchase of his jewel of St. George, and also as security for the sum of two thousand marks, "which," says Henry, "our beloved knight has now us lent in *prest* [ready] money, at the contemplation of the coming of our most best beloved wife the queen now into our presence." Among other pitiable expedients to which the unfortunate sovereign was reduced in order to meet his bridal expenses, there is an order directing "that the remaining third part of one of the crown jewels, called the 'rich collar,' whereof two portions had already been pledged to his uncle, cardinal Beaufort, for two thousand marks, 'in the time,' as Henry pathetically observes, 'of our great necessity,' should be delivered to the said most worshipful father in God, and a patent made out securing to him the first two parts, and for the delivery of the third."² This jewel was never redeemed by the impoverished king, who was, in fact, compelled to pawn all his private jewels and household plate to provide the equipages and other indispensable articles required for his marriage and the coronation of the young queen. Poverty was the plague which pursued Margaret all her life at her father's court, and was ready to receive her in Henry's palace.

The funds necessary for her reception having been at length obtained, the royal bride embarked with her train, as previously mentioned, April 8th, and on the following day landed at Porchester. She was so much indisposed with the voyage, that Suffolk carried her from the boat to the shore in his arms. A terrible storm greeted Margaret of Anjou almost as soon as she set foot on shore; but the people, notwithstanding the thunder and lightning, ran in crowds to look at her, and the men of Porchester courteously strewed their streets with rushes for her to pass over. She was conducted to a convent at Portsmouth, called Goddes House, where, having reposed a little, she entered the church, and there made her oblation of 6s. 8d. The following day, Saturday, the 10th, she was rowed to Southampton in great state. The sum of 1l. 3s. 4d. was paid to seven foreign trumpeters, "for playing on the decks of two Genoese galleys, as they passed our lady queen between Portsmouth

and Southampton." At Southampton, as at Portsmouth, the young queen lodged in a religious hospital, called Godde's House.¹ Here she was seized with a dangerous cutaneous malady, which, from king Henry's quaint and homely description of its symptoms in his letter to his chancellor, appears to have been no other than the small-pox.² This sickness "of his most dear and best beloved wife the queen," is stated by Henry to be the cause why he could not keep the feast of St. George at Windsor-castle.³ He had been waiting some days at Southwick to welcome his long-expected bride, and remained there in anxious suspense during the period of her alarming illness, till she was sufficiently recovered to join him there. "In the Breknoke Computus we have the following entry of money paid to master Francis, the physician who had attended the queen on her journey and voyage to England, for divers spices, confecti-
 ons, and powders, bought and provided by him for making medicines for the safe keeping of the person of the said lady the queen, as well by land as by sea, by precept of the marquess of Suffolk at Southampton, on the 10th day of April, in the 23rd year of the reign of the king, 3*l.* 9*s.* 2*d.*" A very reasonable doctor's bill, our readers will allow, considering the rank and importance of the patient.

Our records bear witness of the fact, that Margaret's bridal wardrobe was so scantily furnished, that king Henry was under the necessity of supplying her with array suitable to a queen of England, before she could appear publicly in that character. As soon as she arrived at Southampton, indeed, an express was forwarded to London for an English dressmaker to wait on her, as we find from the following payment: "To John Pole, valet, sent from Southampton to London, by command of the marquess of Suffolk, with three horses, for Margaret Chamberlayne, tire-maker, to bring her into the presence of the lady queen, for divers affairs touching the said lady queen. For the expenses, going and coming, by gift of the queen, 1*l.*"⁴

The nuptials of Margaret of Anjou and Henry VI. were solemnized on the 22nd of April, 1445, in Titchfield-abbey.⁵ The bridal ring had been made in the preceding January from a ring of gold, garnished with a fair ruby, which had formerly been presented to the king by his uncle, cardinal Beaufort, "with the which," he says, "we were sacré-d on the day of our coronation at Paris,"—a jewel of inauspicious omen. The beautiful young queen received from one of her new subjects, on the occasion of her bridal, a present—not of a lap-dog, but the more characteristic offering of a lion; and the following entry by Breknoke specifies the cost incurred by the addition of this royal pet to the charges of the

¹ The house of this name at Portsmouth was founded by Peter de Rupibus, bishop of Winchester; whilst God's House at Southampton was founded by two merchants. Both were hospitals for sick travellers, "from the

humblest voyager to the monarch or his bride."

² Preface to Sir Harris Nicolas' *Acts of the Privy Council*, vol. i. p. 18. ³ *Ibid.* p. 16.

⁴ Breknoke Computus. ⁵ Stowe. Hall.

household :- "To John Fouke and Peryn Galyman, for the food and keeping of a lion, presented to the lady the queen at Titchfield, together with the carriage of the same lion from thence to the tower of London : for the expenses thereof, and of the said lion, 2*l.* 5*s.* 3*d.*"

Margaret had completed her fifteenth year exactly one month before her marriage with king Henry ; and, notwithstanding the dissatisfaction of the nation at her want of dower, their contempt for the indigence of her father, and the prejudice created by her close connexion with the royal family of France, her youth, her beauty, and noble presence procured her an enthusiastic welcome wherever she appeared. The people pressed in crowds to gaze upon her, and all the nobility and chivalry of England wore her emblem-flower,¹ the daisy, in their caps and bonnets of estate, when they came, with their retainers and servants clad in sumptuous liveries, in all the pomp and pride of feudality, to meet and welcome the royal bride on her London-ward progress. Drayton alludes to this in the following couplet : -

"Of either sex, who doth not now delight
To wear the daisy for queen Marguerite?"

King Henry, in compliment to his lovely and beloved consort, caused her emblem-flower to be enamelled and engraved on his plate.²

By no one was Margaret treated with more peculiar marks of respect on her bridal progress than by the duke of Gloucester, who, as if to atone for his opposition to her marriage with his royal nephew, came to meet her at Blackheath, with five hundred men wearing his livery and badge, to do her honour,³ and so conducted her to his palace at Greenwich, where she was refreshed. Great preparations had been made in London and its vicinity for the reception of the young queen. Triumphant arches were erected across the road through which she was to pass, and "many costly pageants were made ready," says Fabyan, "of divers old histories, to her great comfort, and that of such as came with her." — "On the 28th of May, queen Margaret was met at Blackheath by an equestrian procession, consisting of the mayor, aldermen, and sheriffs of the city of London, in scarlet, and the crafts of the same, all riding on horseback, in blue gowns, with embroidered sleeves and red hoods, who conveyed her with her train through Southwark, and so on to the city of London, which was then beautified with pageants of divers histories and other shows of welcome, marvellous costly and sumptuous, of which I can only name a few. At the bridge-foot towards Southwark was a pageant of Peace and Plenty ; and at every street corner, in allusion to

¹ Drayton's Chronicle. Stowe likewise says, "her badge was the daisy flower."

² Among the recently published records of the royal jewels, we find these entries :—"Item, one saltcellar of gold, and cover, enamelled with the arms of the king and the flowers

called 'marguerites,' the boss garnished with one balass, given by the lord king to queen Margaret. Likewise a pitcher or jug of gold, the foot garnished with a sapphire, given by the king to queen Margaret."

³ Stowe's Annals

the text of the parliamentary sermon, two puppets, in a moving pageant called Justice and Peace, were made to kiss each other. Noah's ship (the ark) was upon the bridge, with verses in English, likening their young queen to the dove that brought the branch of peace. At Leaden-hall, madam Grace, the chancellor of God. At the inn in Cornhill, St. Margaret. At the great conduit in Cheapside, the five Wise and Foolish Virgins. At the cross in the Cheap, the Heavenly Jerusalem, with verses. At Paul's-gate, the General Resurrection and Judgment, with verses accordingly, all made by John Lydgate."¹

Margaret was crowned at Westminster, May 30th, with a degree of royal splendour little suited to the exhausted treasury of her enamoured consort; but, doubtless, to the no small satisfaction of the faithful steward, squire, and minstrels of her father, who came to witness the coronation of their princess, and report the same in their own land.² In addition to all the splendid pageantry in honour of Margaret's bridal and coronation, a tournament was held at Westminster, which lasted three days, and was brilliantly attended. The lists occupied the whole space between Palace-yard and the Sanctuary.³ There are two letters preserved from Margaret to her uncle Charles VII., wherein she styles herself "Margaret, queen of France and England," and expresses the happiness her lord king Henry and herself feel in the repose from war, and hoping the blessings of peace may be firmly established between them.⁴

A few weeks after the coronation of Margaret of Anjou, an embassy of congratulation arrived from her uncle, the king of France, and another from her father, to Henry VI.⁵ "July 16th, the king gave them audience at Westminster-palace, seated in a very high chair of state, called a "sallete," covered with tapestry of blue diaper, the livery of Henry V. He was dressed in a long robe of vermilion cloth of gold, which swept the ground; and was attended by his uncle, the duke of Gloucester, Suffolk, and other peers. When the ambassadors delivered their cre-

¹ Stowe.

² "To John d'Escose, an esquire of the king of Sicily, who, as the subject of the queen's father, left his own occupations abroad, and came in the queen's retinue to witness the ceremony of her coronation, in money paid to him, 66*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.* To five minstrels of the king of Sicily, who lately came to England to witness the state and grand solemnity on the day of the queen's coronation, and to make a report thereof, 10*l.* each. To two minstrels of the duke of Milan, who came on the same errand, the king, by the advice of his council, to each of the said minstrels paid five marks. To John de Serrencourt, king René's steward, who came to witness queen Margaret's coronation and report the same, thirty-three marks. —Issue rolls, 452. King Henry's bounties on this occasion were certainly not

confined to the queen's foreign follower. "He granted to William Adams, the master of the vessel which conveyed his beloved consort queen Margaret safely to England, an annuity of twenty-one marks for life, as a reward for that good and acceptable service." He also granted "a hundred pounds, to be paid out of the customs on wool and skins at Southampton, to his secretary William Andrews, for his services during his attendance on the queen in foreign parts."—Rymer's *Fœdera*.

³ Chronicle of London.

⁴ The first, dated February 15th, 1445, from Shenne. The second, the 20th of May, 1446, "from our castle of Windsor."—Baluze MS. *Biblioth. du Roi*.

⁵ From the ambassador's reports, 1445, *Bibliothèque du Roi*, copied by Sir Cuthbert Sharpe.

dentials, the king raised his hat a little from his head; and when they had addressed their speech to him on the blessings of peace, and the love and goodwill borne him by his uncle of France, he again raised his hat from his head, and said several times, "St. John, thanks! great thanks to St. John!" He then told them, by the marquess of Suffolk, "That he did not hold them as strangers, as they belonged to the household of his uncle of France, whom, of all persons in the world, after the queen his wife, he loved the best." The following day after the arrival of M. de Presigny, he gave them an audience in his privy chamber. The king was then dressed in a long robe of black velvet. The real object of this embassy was to extend the two years' truce into a permanent peace. They introduced the subject by great professions of love and amity of the king of France to his nephew, and apologies for the long delay of the queen's arrival. They added "that they now came to inquire after her health, and to wish them both much joy and a long-continued prosperity, and that perpetual amity might be established between the kindred royalty of France and England." Henry repeated (probably translated) what was said to his nobles, with a countenance full of satisfaction, and bade Suffolk tell the ambassadors, "That he had great joy in hearing news of the high and mighty king his uncle, whom he loved better than any person in the world, excepting the queen his wife, and that he desired the continuance of peace beyond any thing on earth;" to which all present responded "Amen."

Extensive repairs and improvements had been made in all the royal palaces previously to Margaret's arrival. This was very necessary, for so many years had elapsed since a queen-consort had held her state in England, that those portions of the abodes of royalty, known by the name of "the queen's lodgings," were absolutely desolated and unfit for her reception till a considerable outlay had been expended upon them. The royal residences at the Tower, Westminster, Eltham, and Shene, in particular, were restored to their pristine splendour, in honour of the new queen.¹ For the two first years of Margaret of Anjou's union with Henry VI., cardinal Beaufort was the supreme director of the power of the crown. King Henry, new to the delights of female society, was intoxicated with the charms, the wit, and graceful manners of his youthful bride, of whom an elegant French historian thus speaks:—"England had never seen a queen more worthy of a throne than Margaret of Anjou. No woman surpassed her in beauty, and few men equalled her in courage. It seemed as if she had been formed by Heaven to supply to her royal husband the qualities which he required in order to become

¹ Acts of the Privy Council, by Sir H. Nicolas, vol. vi. p. 32. The poverty of Henry VI.'s exchequer at this period is deplorably evidenced by the piteous supplication of William Cleve, chaplain to the king

and clerk of the works, "for money to pay the poor labourers their weekly wages," which, he states, "he has the utmost pain and difficulty to purvey."

a great king.”¹ Another chronicler, quoted by Stowe, says, “This woman excelled all others, as well in beauty and favour as in art and policy, and was in courage inferior to none.”

These brilliant characteristics were yet in the germ, when Margaret of Anjou was unfortunately called to share the throne of England at a period of life when her judgment was immature, and the perilous endowments of wit, genius, and lively perceptiveness were more likely to create enemies than to secure friends. She had been deeply piqued and offended at the opposition the duke of Gloucester had made to her marriage; and, with the petulance of a spoiled child, she took every occasion of mortifying him by a foolish display of her unbounded influence over the king, and her regard for cardinal Beaufort and the duke of Suffolk, his sworn foes. To cardinal Beaufort, indeed, she was indebted for her elevation to the pride and power of royalty; and, with all the devotion of a young heart, she resigned herself wholly to his direction. Independently of political considerations, Cardinal Beaufort was exceedingly fond of Margaret, who was a frequent visitor at his house in Waltham-forest, where there was a state chamber magnificently fitted up for her sole use, called “the queen’s chamber,” with hangings of cloth of gold of Damascus. These the cardinal afterwards bequeathed to queen Margaret. The great riches of this ambitious prelate enabled him to administer from time to time, in a very acceptable manner, to the necessities of the royal pair; and the flattering attention with which he treated the young queen so completely won her confidence, that, under his direction, her talents and fascinations became the powerful spells through which he obtained unbounded ascendancy over the councils of his royal nephew.

In the second year of Margaret’s marriage, the memorable parliament of February, 1447, was summoned to meet at Bury, the ministers of king Henry having business to accomplish which they dared not venture in the vicinity of the metropolis. This was the destruction of the duke of Gloucester, the darling of the people, and the heir-presumptive to the throne. Gloucester, probably with a view to counteract the queenly influence, had shown an alarming inclination to make common cause with the duke of York. This prince had been lately superseded in his office of regent of France by his enemy, the duke of Somerset, cardinal Beaufort’s nephew. By some historians it has been supposed, that it was to avert a coalition so perilous to the government of king Henry that the crooked politicians, of whom his cabinet was composed, devised their plans for ridding themselves of their formidable opponent.² The king and queen proceeded to Bury with their court, and all the commonalty of Suffolk were summoned to attend the king there, in their most defensible array; a proof that some danger to the royal person was

¹ Orleans.² Carte. Guthrie.

apprehended. The parliament met, February 10th, in the refectory of St. Edmund's-abbey. On the first day, business proceeded smoothly; a speaker was chosen, and an exchange of queen Margaret's revenues of 4666*l.* 13*s.* out of the customs, for certain lands and hereditaments settled on her for life, was confirmed.¹ On the second day of the session, all England was astonished by the arrest of the duke of Gloucester on a charge of high treason. He was committed to close custody under a strong guard. "What evidence the king had of his uncle's guilt," says Whethampstede, "we know not, but nothing could persuade him of his innocence."

Seventeen days after his arrest, the duke of Gloucester was found dead in his bed, but without any marks of violence on his person.⁴ His body was produced in both houses of Parliament, and exposed to public view for several days; but these measures failed to remove the suspicions which so sudden a death, under such circumstances, naturally excited throughout England. No actual proof, however, exists that he was murdered; and Whethampstede, a contemporary and warm partisan of Gloucester, states, "that he died of an illness that seized him on his arrest:" so does William of Worcester; and no contemporary writer attempts to implicate the queen as a party concerned in that transaction. Rapin, indeed, suffers his prejudices against Margaret to betray him into the following unauthenticated assertions, as to her share in the supposed murder. After stating that Henry's ministers had resolved to compass the destruction of the duke of Gloucester, he says, "The queen, who was of a bold and enterprising genius, was the person who first encouraged this resolution,—at least the historians insinuate as much, if they have not said it." Who these historians are, Rapin has not thought proper to inform his readers; but in the same conclusive strain of reasoning, he proceeds to say, "And, indeed, the ministry would never have ventured upon such an action, without having her at their head."

A responsible leader, in sooth, would a girl of queen Margaret's age have made in a business of that kind, if, indeed, cardinal Beaufort, who had treasured up the accumulated rancours of six-and-twenty years of unquenchable hatred against Gloucester, and before she was born had threatened to decide their deadly quarrel "by setting England on a field,"² would have asked her sanction for wreaking his long-cherished vengeance on his adversary. Did Rapin remember that these ministers, of whom cardinal Beaufort was the master-spirit, were the same people who, three years before Margaret of Anjou set her foot in England, had devised and successfully carried into effect the subtlest plot that ever

¹ Parliamentary History.

² See cardinal Beaufort's letter to the duke of Bedford, 1426, in the old Chronicles and

Parliamentary History, where there is a curious account of the quarrels between Beaufort and Gloucester.

was imagined against the duchess of Gloucester branding her with sorcery and treason? And could *they* have required the prompting and advice of a girl of seventeen to work out their scheme of vengeance on the duke, of which that blow was the sure prelude? Within eight weeks after the death of Gloucester, cardinal Beaufort was summoned to his great account, leaving the court to struggle with the storm he had conjured up, bereft of the support of his talents, his experience, and his all-powerful wealth.

King Henry, absorbed in his studies and heavenward contemplations, shrunk from the toils and cares of empire, and bestowed more attention on the regulations of his newly-founded college at Eton, than on the government of his kingdom; and Margaret, in her eighteenth year, found the executive power of the crown of England left to her principal direction. Alas! for any female on whom so fearful a responsibility devolves ere the difficult lessons of self-government have been learned, or the youthful heart, in its first confiding freshness, taught the necessity of restraint and concealment! Margaret of Anjou had doubtless acted with the best intentions when, on her first arrival in England, instead of allying herself with foreign advisers or female confidantes, she resigned herself to the guidance of her royal husband's favourite uncle and counsellor, a man of cardinal Beaufort's venerable years and reputation for wisdom. At his death she naturally, unacquainted as she was with the manners, customs, and prejudices of her consort's subjects, continued her confidence to the cabinet he had formed, at the head of which was her first English friend and acquaintance, the duke of Suffolk.

Shakespeare has greatly misled his readers with regard to Suffolk and Margaret of Anjou, by representing her first as his prisoner, and, after her marriage with the king, as his paramour. The one she certainly never was, and the great disparity in their age renders the other very unlikely. Suffolk, at the period when his acquaintance with the royal beauty, then just fourteen, commenced at her father's court, far from being the gallant, gay Lothario poetry and romance have described, was a grey-haired soldier-statesman, who had served thirty-four years in the French campaigns before he became a member of Henry VI.'s cabinet. He must, therefore, have been on the shady side of fifty when he acted as his sovereign's proxy at the nuptials of Margaret of Anjou. Suffolk, be it remembered too, was a married man, devotedly attached to his wife, who held the principal place of honour about the person of the queen; and even after his death his duchess continued to retain her place and influence in the court of Margaret, where she appears to have been almost as unpopular as her unfortunate lord, for her name stands the second in the list of those whom the parliament, long after his death, petitioned the king to banish from his household and realm; ¹ a request

¹ Parliamentary rolls.

that was not complied with by the sovereign, as the queen would not consent to be deprived of the company and services of her first English friend. Suffolk was, after all, most probably indebted to his duchess for the credit he enjoyed with their royal mistress.

It was no enviable season for queen Margaret and the unpopular minister by whom her marriage had been negotiated, when the expiration of the truce with France left the government of her royal husband the alternative of fulfilling the conditions of the treaty on which it was based, or renewing the war without the means of supporting the honour of England. Not even that consummate politician, cardinal Beaufort, had ventured to declare to the parliament the secret article by which Maine, the key of Normandy, was to be restored to the house of Anjou; and now the responsibility of that article fell on Suffolk and the queen. Most unfortunate it was for Margaret that her own family were the parties who received the benefits of these sacrifices, for which her misjudging interference in the government at this crisis rendered *her* accountable, though they had been solemnly guaranteed by king Henry and his council at the treaty of Tours, before she was even affianced to him. Bellicose as the character of Margaret of Anjou became in after years, when the stormy temper of the times, and the nature of the circumstances with which she had to contend, kindled all the energies of her spirit into amazonian fierceness, not even her meek and saintly consort laboured more earnestly, at this period, than herself, to preserve that peace of which her own strong sense taught her England was in such need.

During the brief interval that preceded the ruinous war into which king Henry was soon after forced, Margaret commenced the foundation of Queen's College, Cambridge. This college was dedicated to the honour of Almighty God by the royal foundress, and devoted by her to the increase of learning and virtue, under the tutelary auspices of St. Margaret, her patroness, and St. Bernard. The first stone was laid by Sir John (afterwards lord) Wenlock, as deputy for queen Margaret, with this inscription in Latin: "The Lord shall be a refuge to our sovereign lady, queen Margaret, and this stone shall be for a token of the same."¹

Margaret sought to turn the attention of the people to manufactures in woollen and silk; but the temper of the times suited not the calm tenour of peaceful employments. A spirit of adventurous enterprise had been nourished during the French wars; and, from the princes of the blood-royal to the peasantry, there was a thirsting for fighting-fields, and a covetous desire of appropriating the spoils of plundered towns and

¹ This college was involved in the misfortunes of its foundress; but was preserved by the care of Andrew Ducket, a Carmelite friar, who for forty years held the office of provost.

Queen Margaret made over to her college possessions to the amount of 200*l.*, which, though no mean sum in those days, was but a slender endowment.

castles pervading all classes. The very misery of the people of England rendered them combative, and eager to exchange the monotony of reluctant and ill-paid labour for the excitement of war. It was no easy matter to convert the men who had fought at Agincourt, or their sons, into tillers of the soil, or weavers of woollen cloths. As for the silk manufactures, they were chiefly carried on by a company of females, who went by the name of "the silk women," and were regarded with jealous displeasure by the London mercers, who petitioned the king against the establishment of this industrious sisterhood, as an infringement on their manly rights and privileges.

In the commencement of the year 1449, Charles VII. renewed hostilities with England, and in the course of two years reconquered most of the towns in Normandy. The details of the losses and disasters of the English forces, under the command of the duke of Somerset, belong rather to general history than to the life of queen Margaret, although they had a fatal influence on her fortunes by rendering her an object of suspicion and ill-will to the nation, and causing the name of Frenchwoman to be applied to her as a term of reproach, by those who well knew the art of appealing to the prejudices and exciting the passions of the vulgar against her. The partisans of the duke of York failed not to attribute all the losses in France and Normandy to the misgovernment of the queen; insinuating, "that the king was fitter for a cloister than the throne, and had, in a manner, deposed himself by leaving the affairs of his kingdom in the hands of a woman, who merely used his name to conceal her usurpation, since, according to the laws of England, a queen-consort hath no power, but title only."¹ Queen Margaret, willing to procure the absence of the duke of York at any price, blindly increased his political power by investing him with the government of Ireland. York had left a strong party in England, at the head of which were those powerful nobles, Richard Neville, earl of Salisbury, and his son, the earl of Warwick, the brother and nephew of his duchess. These were the great political opponents of the queen, whom they ventured not publicly to attack otherwise than by directing the voice of the people against the measures of the court, and attributing the disastrous state of the country to the treasonable practices of her favourite minister.

Suffolk boldly stood up in the house of Lords, and complained that "he had been traduced by public report; and demanded of his enemies, if they had ought to lay to his charge, that they should specify his crimes."² He adverted to the services his family and himself had performed for their country, and stated, "that his father and three of his brethren had been slain in France; that he had himself served in the wars thirty-four years, and, being but a knight when he was taken

¹ *Parliamentary History.*² *Rolls of Parliament.*

prisoner,¹ he had paid 20,000 crowns for his ransom ; that he had been of the order of the Garter thirty years, a councillor of the king fifteen years, and had been seventeen years in the wars without returning home ; and, asking God's mercy as he had been true to the king and realm, he required an investigation."²

It is scarcely possible to imagine anything more frivolous than the series of articles which were exhibited against the luckless premier. In the first of these he is charged with "having intended to marry his son John to Margaret Beaufort, the heiress of the late John, duke of Somerset, with the design of murdering and destroying the king, and then declaring her to be the heiress of the crown for lack of heirs of the king's body."³ This most absurd accusation is in itself a refutation of all the scandalous imputations which modern historians have cast upon the friendship between the duke of Suffolk and queen Margaret, since her ruin must have been comprehended in the murder and destruction of the king. Margaret was at that period only nineteen, and, though childless as yet, likely to have many children, as she was considered one of the finest women in the world. It was, perhaps, this very article which first gave the aspiring family of Beaufort an eye to the succession to the throne, in the event of a failure of the royal Plantagenet line of Lancaster. The accusation was treated with infinite contempt by Suffolk, and his replies to the other articles being such as to baffle his enemies, they, at the end of three weeks, exhibited eighteen fresh charges against him ; but it is to be observed, that neither in these, nor in the previous catalogue of misdemeanours, is there the slightest allusion to queen Margaret, nor is her name mentioned in any record or contemporary chronicle in connexion with Suffolk,—not even in the satirical anonymous verses, circulated on the arrest and imprisonment of that unpopular minister. Yet Rapin, and other modern writers, have not scrupled to assert "that queen Margaret, in her anxiety to preserve her favourite, caused the parliament, on his arrest, to be prorogued to Leicester, where he attended king Henry and herself, and appeared publicly in his place as prime-minister." Now the incontestable evidence of the records of parliament prove, that the parliament was summoned to meet at Leicester, September, 1449, five months before the arrest of Suffolk ; but the peers and commons, taking warning by the events of the parliament that sat at Bury St. Edmund's, refused

¹ This event happened in 1429, the same year Margaret of Anjou was born, when the Maid of Orleans took Jargeau by storm. Suffolk was the governor of the town, and when great part of the garrison was slain, being hard pressed to surrender by William Renaud, the following colloquy passed between them in the breach:—"Are you a gentleman?" demanded Suffolk, finding it impossible to

escape. "I am," replied Renaud. "But are you a knight?" rejoined the earl. "I am not," answered Renaud. "Kneel down, then," said Suffolk, "that I may make you one, for I cannot otherwise yield to you." This was accordingly done, and affords a rich characteristic of the age of chivalry.

² Parliamentary rolls, 28th of Henry VI. No. 17.

³ *Ibid.*

to meet anywhere but at Westminster,¹ where Suffolk, as we have seen, in a fatal hour for himself, introduced the discussion of which the commons took advantage to obtain his arrest.

These records prove that Suffolk was never released from his imprisonment after he was committed to the Tower, till after his sentence of banishment for five years was pronounced, March 17th, by king Henry, who resorted to that temporizing expedient in the vain hope of preserving him from the fury of his enemies.² Two thousand persons assembled in St. Giles's fields to intercept Suffolk on his discharge from the Tower, March 18th. They surprised his servants, but Suffolk succeeded in escaping to Ipswich, where, after arranging his affairs, he wrote that beautiful and pathetic letter to his son, which affords such touching evidence of his loyalty to his sovereign, and his devotion to his beloved wife. He sailed from Ipswich, April 30th, with two small vessels, and sent a pinnace before him to inquire whether he might be permitted to land at Calais; but the pinnace was captured by a squadron of men-of-war, and immediately 'the Nicolas,' of the Tower,³ bore down upon the duke's vessels. He was ordered on board, and received with the ominous salutation of "Welcome, traitor!" He underwent a mock trial from the sailors, by whom he was condemned to suffer death. On the second morning after his capture, a small boat came alongside, in which were a block, a rusty sword, and an executioner. They lowered the duke into it, telling him "he should die like a knight," and at the fifth stroke his head was struck off, and was left with the severed body on Dover sands, where they were found by his chaplain, and received honourable interment in the collegiate church of Wingfield, in Suffolk.

The consummation of this tragedy, far from calming the feverish state of excitement to which the public mind had been stimulated, was only the first sign and token of the scenes of blood and horror that were in store for England. Pestilence had aggravated the woes of a starving and disaffected population; and the inflammatory representations of political incendiaries acting upon the misery of the lower classes, caused the terrific outbreak of national frenzy which, immediately after this event, manifested itself in the rebellion under Jack Cade. It was to suppress this formidable insurrection that Henry VI. prepared for his first essay in arms, by setting up his standard and going in person to attack Cade and his rabble rout, who were encamped on Blackheath, in

¹ Rolls of Parliament, 28th of Henry VI.

² Ibid.

³ It is a memorable fact that this vessel, thus acting in defiance of the crown, (as, indeed, did the whole squadron by which the exiled duke was pursued,) was part of the royal navy placed at the disposal of the con-

federate peers by Henry Holland, the young duke of Exeter, heir-presumptive to the royal house of Lancaster by the legitimate female line. He had lately succeeded his father in the office of high-admiral, and this was the lawless use he made of its power

formidable array. At the news of the sovereigns' approach, at the head of fifteen thousand men, the hot valour of the captain of the great assembly of Kent and his followers received an immediate check, and they fled to Sevenoaks. Queen Margaret accompanied her lord on this expedition; but so little of the warlike spirit for which she was afterwards so fatally renowned did she manifest at this crisis, that when king Henry would have followed up his success by pursuing the insurgents to their retreat, her feminine terrors and anxiety for his safety prevailed upon him not to imperil his person by going any further.¹ He therefore, in compliance with her entreaties, gave up the command of his army to Sir Humphrey Stafford and his brother William, and returned to London with her.²

Never did Margaret commit a greater error, than by thus allowing her tenderness for her royal husband to betray him into conduct so unbecoming the son of the conqueror of France and Normandy. The rebels, attributing the weakness of the king to fear, took courage, rallied, and defeated the royalists, who, with their two generals, were cut to pieces. The victors then returned to Blackheath, and when the archbishop of Canterbury and the duke of Buckingham were despatched from the court to treat with them, they found Cade dressed in a suit of gilded armour (the spoils of Sir Humphrey Stafford), encompassed by his victorious troops, and giving himself the airs of a sovereign. He positively refused to treat with any one but the king himself,—nor with him unless he would come to the Blackheath in person, and grant all their demands. When this answer was returned to the king and queen, together with the news that the rebels were ready to march to London, they were thrown into such alarm that, leaving the Tower under the command of the lord Scales and the valiant Sir Matthew Gough, they fled to Kenilworth-castle.³ We fear this cowardly proceeding must be attributed to the same fond weakness on the part of queen Margaret which influenced the retreat of the king from Blackheath; and it is to be observed, that till she became a mother, and the rights of her child were at stake, no trait of fierce or warlike propensities was ever manifested by her. On the 2nd of July, the rebels, who had previously taken up their quarters at Southwark, entered London, when Cade smote his staff on London-stone, with these memorable words,—“Now is Mortimer lord of London!”⁴ The proceedings of this motley company of reformers, and their Punchinello leader in London, belong to general history, and it may suffice here to notice, that the pacific influence of two churchmen, the archbishop of Canterbury, and Waynflete, bishop of Winchester, succeeded in calming a storm which had, in its brief but terrific progress, shaken the throne, deluged the capital of England with blood, and threatened

¹ Guthrie. ² Ibid. ³ Guthrie. Stowe. ⁴ Cade pretended to be Sir John Mortimer.

to subvert law, social order, and the sacred rights of property. The worthy prelates prevailed on the insurgents to lay down their arms by affixing king Henry's seal to a general pardon, to which Cade was the only exception.¹ An infringement of these conditions was most improperly attempted by queen Margaret, on her return to London with king Henry. The fact is evidenced in a private letter from John Payn, an esquire in the service of Sir John Falstolf, who, after pitifully detailing the manner "in which he had been despoiled and maltreated by the rebels, and how he had been carried off by them sorely against his will and exposed to the peril of the battle of the bridge," adds, "and after that *hurling* was over, the bishop of Rochester impeached me to queen Margaret, and so I was arrested, and was in the Marshalsea, in right great *duress* and fear of my life. They would have had me impeach my master, Sir John Falstolf, of treason, and because I would not, had me up at Westminster, and there would have sent me to the gaol-house at Windsor. But two cousins of my wife's and mine, who were yeomen of the crown, went to king Henry and got grace for me."² Subsequent events prove that the queen had correct information as to Falstolf's practices against the government, for he became one of the most zealous partisans of the house of York.

Margaret and Henry returned to the metropolis about the 10th of July, 1450, and the disclosures of some of Cade's accomplices in the late insurrection left no doubt on the mind of the queen that the duke of York had been the instigator of the revolt. This conviction was confirmed by the return of that prince, without permission, from his government in Ireland. He was attended on his road to London by a retinue of four thousand men, to the great terror of the court. He, having extorted from the king a promise to summon a parliament, withdrew to his castle of Fotheringay.³ The return of the duke of Somerset at this crisis, inspired the timid sovereign with some degree of political courage, and Margaret transferred to him the confidence she had formerly reposed in his uncle, cardinal Beaufort. Their near relationship to the king, by whom the ties of kindred were very powerfully felt and acknowledged, sanctioned the queen in the close friendship which, from first to last, subsisted between her and the Beaufort princes of the house of Lancaster. Unfortunately, however, the unpopularity in which the disasters in France and Normandy had involved Somerset soon extended to herself, when it was perceived that he was shielded by court favour from the fury of the commons and the jealousy of the peers. He was impeached by parliament, and committed to the Tower: but immedi-

¹ Cade, finding himself abandoned by his followers, seized a small vessel in the river and set sail for Rochester, where the vessel and cargo were stopped by the officers of government. Cade made his escape, but was slain in

a garden at Heyfield, by Alexander Iden, the sheriff of Kent, who received the benefit of the reward that had been offered for his head, viz., 1000*l*.

² Fenn's Paston Letters.

³ Lingard.

ately the short and stormy session was over he was released, and promoted to the high office formerly enjoyed by Suffolk.

The violent temper of Somerset was the means of precipitating the direful collision of the rival factions, whose strife for twenty years deluged England with kindred blood. According to historical tradition, those fatal badges of the contending houses of York and Lancaster, "the pale and purple rose," were assumed to distinguish the rival factions during the memorable dispute between Somerset and the earl of Warwick in the Temple-gardens, when Somerset, to collect the suffrages of the bystanders, plucked a red rose, and Warwick a white rose, and each called upon every man present to declare his party, by taking a rose of the colour chosen by him whose cause he favoured. This was the prologue to that great national tragedy, which ended in the extinction of the royal line and name of Plantagenet. That enlightened statesman-historian, Philip de Comines, who was well acquainted with queen Margaret, attributes all the misfortunes that afterwards befell her, and the overthrow of the house of Lancaster, to her rash interposition in the feud between Somerset and Warwick, in which she indicated her preference for the former in a way that never was forgiven by Warwick. "The queen had acted much more prudently," says Comines, "in endeavouring to adjust the dispute between them, than to have said, 'I am of this party, and I will maintain it.'" And so it proved by the event. It is probable that the red rose was originally worn by Margaret as a compliment to Somerset, in token that she espoused his cause; and that his great political opponent, the duke of York, assumed the white, as a symbol of hostility to him and his adherents.¹ Rosettes of white and crimson riband, or even of paper among the common soldiers, were worn as the substitutes of these ill-omened flowers by the partisans of the rival claimants of the throne during the struggle between the houses of York and Lancaster, poetically called, from these badges, the "war of the roses." About this time that powerful Scotch chief, William, earl of Douglas visited the English court on his return from a pilgrimage to Rome, and was hospitably entertained by king Henry. Margaret, perceiving the gathering of the storm which menaced the throne of Lancaster, endeavoured to conciliate the friendship of this nobleman, who could command at least a third of the realm of Scotland; and she so dealt with him, that he promised to bring an army to strengthen king Henry's cause, in the event

¹ Shakspeare, in his spirited version of the scene in the Temple-gardens, errs in chronology by placing it prior to the marriage of the king and Margaret of Anjou. He also uses a poetical licence in representing Richard, duke of York, as the leading character engaged in the dispute, while Warwick, merely acting as his second, says, "I pluck this white rose with Plantagenet." Suffolk, who had been dead some months when the veritable dispute

occurred, is made to exclaim, "I pluck this red rose with young Somerset." These badger were only revived; for Edmund, earl of Lancaster, the brother of Edward L, had, as Camden declares, red roses emblazoned on his tomb in Westminster-abbey, and Edward the Black Prince wears a coronet of white roses in his portrait, drawn in Richard II.'s missal in the Harleian Collection.

of his being unable to maintain himself against the duke of York. Douglas found the entertainment he received at the English court so agreeable, that he prolonged his stay there till he excited the jealousy of his own sovereign, James II., who issued a peremptory mandate for his return, and deprived him of his post of lieutenant of the kingdom. Margaret made him the bearer of a letter to the queen of Scotland, Mary of Gueldres, to whom she was related,—a letter which, it is supposed, explained matters satisfactorily to that princess, who interceded with king James for his pardon. James granted it, but deprived him of all his employments, and not long after stabbed him with his own hand; so that Margaret reaped no advantage from the treaty she had entered into with the stout earl.¹

The duke of York, having assumed a very formidable position in the state, even that of an armed dictator to the sovereign, Margaret united with Somerset in persuading Henry that the time for concessions and temporizing measures was past, and that his best policy now would be to crush rebellion in its nest, by marching to attack his foe. In pursuance of this advice, king Henry took the field in person, February the 16th, 1452; but the tenderness of his heart, and his scruples at the idea of shedding his people's blood, led him to negotiate when he ought to have fought. York demanded that his old adversary, Somerset, should be placed under arrest, preparatory to an arraignment for his misdemeanours. Henry conceded this point by the advice of his prelates: York then disbanded his army, and came unattended to confer with his sovereign in his tent. Somerset, meantime, having represented to the queen the impolicy of sacrificing a faithful friend to purchase a deceitful reconciliation with an audacious foe, obtained his liberation by her orders, and was by her concealed behind the arras of the royal pavilion, as a secret witness of the conference between his adversary and the king. York, who imagined the minister was safely bestowed in the Tower, assured the king "that he had been induced to take up arms on account of Somerset alone, in order that he might be brought to condign punishment." Somerset, unable to restrain his choler, rushed from his hiding-place, and defied York, charging him to his face with designs on the crown.² York fiercely retorted on Somerset, upbraiding him with his misgovernment in France and the loss of Normandy, and finished by reproaching Henry with a violation of his royal word. Henry, who does not appear to have been aware of the proximity of his premier, remained speechless and amazed during this stormy scene, which was closed by the arrest of the duke of York as he quitted the tent. According to most historians, this was done by the order of the queen.³ Henry, however, would not permit him to be harmed, and he was released, on condition of swearing a solemn oath of fealty to the king in St. Paul's

¹ *Lives of the Douglasses*, by Home of Godscroft.² Speed. Rapin. Hall.³ *Ibid.*

cathedral, March 10th; after which he was permitted to retire to his castle of Wigmore, where his son, the earl of March, afterwards king Edward IV., was raising an army for his rescue.

Queen Margaret, having gained her point in retaining Somerset at the head of the government, was, in consequence, subject to aspersions from the other party derogatory to her reputation. Somerset was, like his predecessor Suffolk, a man in the decline of life, the father of sons older than the queen, and so devotedly attached to his own wife, that he had sacrificed his honour to his tenderness for her person during his disastrous regency in France.¹ But what is there of falsehood that the demon of party will not invent to vilify its victims? or of improbability that the vulgar will not believe and circulate, especially if in the shape of scandal on royalty? During the deceitful calm that for a brief interval succeeded the late tempest, Margaret turned her attention to foreign affairs; and, through her influence, the renowned Talbot, earl of Shrewsbury, was despatched, with such forces as could be raised, to the assistance of the English party in Guienne. The aged hero achieved some brilliant successes in the first instance; but it was impossible for the queen, struggling as she was with the mighty faction that opposed her in parliament, to support a war against the overwhelming force of France. Talbot was borne down by numbers, and slain in his eightieth year: his brave adherents were cut to pieces.

In the valiant Talbot, Margaret lost one of her most devoted friends,—one of the few out of the many warrior peers of England, at that rude era, who possessed a mind sufficiently cultivated to appreciate the learning and accomplishments of the fair Provençal queen. The magnificent illuminated manuscript volume which he presented to her is a surviving monument of his exquisite taste in the fine arts; while his dedicatory lines, addressed to his royal patroness, contain a delicate testimonial of his opinion of her talents and acquirements. He requests her “to explain to his sovereign anything that may appear difficult to understand in the book; for,” says he, “though you speak English so well, you have not forgotten your French.” The illuminated title-page, represents the queen seated by Henry VI., with her hand locked in his, surrounded by their court.² The royal presence-chamber in which they are assembled is worthy of attention. An arras of gold and colours, displaying the royal arms in numerous chequers, is stretched from pillar to pillar, and forms the back-ground of the royal seat, which is a broad, low divan covered with cloth, placed in a rich oriel; the vaulted ceiling is groined, and painted blue, with gold stars; the clustered windows are long and lancet-shaped, the tops of the lancets are rounded. Margaret wears a crown: her hair, of a pale-golden colour, flows grace-

¹ Hall.

² This magnificent folio is still in the finest preservation, in the British Museum: King's MSS.

fully from under her diadem, and falls in profusion down her back and shoulders and over her regal mantle, which is pale purple, fastened round the bust with bands of gold and gems. The dress beneath the mantle is the furred *cote-hardi*, precisely the same as in our engraving.¹ She is exquisitely lovely, and very majestic, in this carefully-finished portrait, which does not represent her older than in her twentieth year.

Talbot, with his dog² in attendance, is kneeling before queen Margaret, presenting his book. The title-page of the magnificent volume is redolent of Margaret's emblem-flower. Daisies are seen growing in the garden of the palace; daisies, with their little red buttons, are arranged in profusion up the side of the title-page; daisies swarm in clusters round her armorial bearings, and flourish in the margins of every page. Amongst other embellishments may likewise be noted a crowned M., the queen's initial, surrounded by the Garter and its motto. The queen's ladies are seen behind the royal seat, attired in heart-shaped caps—graceful modifications of the monstrous horned head-tire of the preceding half-century—formed of a stuffed roll, wreathed with gold and gems, and fixed in a fanciful turban-shape over a close caul of gold cloth or net-work brought to a point, low in front and rising behind the head. Henry's nobles are clothed in full surtouts, like the beef-eater's dresses, but of whole colours, and trimmed with fur. The artists employed by the earl of Shrewsbury in the splendid illuminations of this volume, have complimented Margaret by portraying the queen Olympias with *her* features, and arrayed in her royal robes. The kirtle of the Macedonian queen is also powdered with Margaret's emblem-flower,—the daisy. At the end of the volume is an allegorical piece, representing queen Margaret and the principal ladies of her court as the Virtues. Margaret, wearing her diadem and purple robe, is characterized as Faith; king Henry as Honour. To form a correct idea of the exquisite delicacy of the illumination as a work of art, it is necessary to examine the frontispiece through a strong magnifying-glass, when a thousand minute details, unnoticed before, become apparent. The rings on the queen's fingers, her bracelets and carcanet, display many-coloured gems with which they are enriched, and the elegance of the goldsmith's work, and every separate hair of the sable edging to her robe, become visible.

The death of the chivalric veteran by whom Margaret had been held in such especial honour, and who was regarded by England as the greatest captain of the age, was a severe blow to the court, and a national calamity mourned by all classes of the people. At this gloomy period, when the ill-success that attended the arms of England abroad increased the clamours of the enemies of the government at home, queen Margaret, for

¹ Illustrated edition of *Lives of the Queens of England*, vol. ii., by Agnes Strickland

² The cognizance of the Talbots.

the first time, afforded a prospect of bringing an heir to the throne. But, however evil the times might be, the hopes of paternity were received with rapture by the long-childless king. Henry bestowed on Richard Tunstal, his squire of the body, whose office it was, according to the formal etiquette of the middle ages, to announce publicly to him, for the information of the court, this important circumstance, an annuity of forty marks from the duchy of Lancaster;¹ "because;" continues the royal grant, "the said Richard Tunstal, Esq., made unto us the first comfortable relation and notice that our most dearly beloved wife, the queen, was *enceinte*, to our most singular consolation, and to all true liege people's great joy and comfort." At the commencement of this year, 1453, the king and queen were at Greenwich, for an entry in Margaret's wardrobe-book of that date bears record of a payment of 25*l.* 9*s.* to Richard Bulstrode, apparently the master of the revels, for wages and rewards to tailors and painters for stuffs and works for a "disguising" (some sort of masque or pageant), made before the king and queen at their manor of Pleasaunce, at the feast of Christmas. The same authority proves that the queen was at costs for a painted window in the chapel of St. Mary of Pity, Westminster-palace, embellished with portraits of the king and herself, kneeling, and offering to the Virgin Mary; there were the king and queen's armorial bearings, flourished with flowers, and the queen's motto was introduced: what it was, is not mentioned.

A few months before the birth of her child, Margaret had to mourn over the death of her beloved mother, the high-minded and heroic Isabella of Lorraine, who died February 28th, 1453, aged only forty-three.² Margaret's mourning weeds were blue, probably of that deep, dark, melancholy tint which has recently been called French black. The loss of a mother—of such a mother, too, as Isabella of Lorraine—could not have been otherwise than keenly felt by Margaret, who had, in childhood and early youth, shared and solaced so many of her trying adversities. But a heavier calamity than even the death of that dearly beloved parent oppressed the royal matron as the dreaded hour of peril and anguish drew near, from which the consorts of monarchs are no more exempted than the wives of peasants. When Margaret was in the eighth month of her pregnancy, and the political horizon became daily more gloomy, in anticipation of an event more feared than wished by the majority of the people, king Henry was seized with one of those alarming attacks of malady to which his grandfather, Charles VI. of France,

¹ Parl. rolls, vol. v. p. 318.

² King René married, secondly, Jeanne de Laval, who was at that time courted by Margaret's former lover, the Count de Nevers: she preferred king René. She was of so grave a character, that she was never known to

laugh but once: it was at a pageant devised by her husband; namely, a boat filled with water-pipes, which played on every side, and completely drenched those spectators who did not use some agility in getting out of the way.—Villeneuve.

was subject. The agitating character of public events, and the difficulties with which he had had to contend for the last four years, had been too much for a prince of acute sensibility, with hereditary tendency to inflammation of the brain. For a time both mind and body sank under the accumulated pressure, and he remained in a state that left little hope for his life, and none for his reason. Margaret had doubtless been long aware of the dark shadow that impended over her royal lord, and felt the strong necessity of thinking and acting for him, at seasons when his judgment could not be trusted to form decisions for himself. She has been blamed for encouraging him to spend his time in pursuits fitter for the cloister than the throne; but considering the circumstances of his case, her conjugal tenderness and prudence in directing his attention to tranquil and sedative amusements, instead of perplexing him with the turmoils and strong excitement of politics, are worthy of commendation. King Henry was at Clarendon when he was first seized with his dangerous malady, but after a few days he was, by slow degrees, conveyed to his palace at Westminster.

The reins of empire had now fallen into Margaret's hands, at a time when she was destitute of any efficient counsellor to assist her in supporting their weight. She had only the alternative of grasping them with an energy suitable to the emergency of the crisis, or resigning them to the formidable rival of her husband's title,—the duke of York. She was in ill-health at this time, oppressed with care and sorrow; but she felt the strong necessity of struggling against the feebleness of her sex, and the sufferings incidental to her situation. Rallying all the powers of her mind, for the sake of her unfortunate husband and his unborn heir, she assembled a council of prelates and nobles, and conducted the affairs of the realm with singular prudence and moderation. So rigid was her economy and self-denial at this period, that for the feeding and maintenance of her whole household she only expended the sum of 7*l.* per day,¹ while the sums she disbursed in charities and other benefactions during that year amounted to more than she bestowed on her own personal adornment. Out of her scanty privy-purse she portioned one of her damsels, probably Elizabeth Woodville, in marriage, with 200*l.*² To three esquires of her household, who suffered with heavy infirmities by Divine visitation, the queen gave 6*l.* 6*s.* 8*d.*; and when she was at Newmarket (this must have been before the king's illness), she gave to two men, whose stable was burnt down, as much as 13*l.* 6*s.* 8*d.*³

The poverty of the crown, and the frugal management of the queen in regard to her civil list, is evidenced by the scantiness of the salaries accorded by Margaret, at this epoch, to her officers of state and privy councillors. Witness the following examples :—

¹ Extracts from queen Margaret's wardrobe-book, 1452—3, preserved in the chancery of the duchy of Lancaster.

² *Ibid.*

³ *Ibid.*

"To John, viscount Beaumont, seneschal of her manors	£66 13 9
To Laurence Booth, her chancellor	53 0 0
To William Cotton, her receiver	70 10 0

* * * * *

To Thomas Scales, for his diligent and daily attendance in our council	10 0 0."
--	----------

The next payment is to a person of great importance; one, indeed, who claimed to be treated as a prince of the royal house of Lancaster, and at that time, occupied the post of prime-minister, and was, in consequence, stigmatized as "the queen's favourite." Her liberality to him was not such as to warrant a belief in the scandalous reports of the other party, that a personal intimacy subsisted between them, as the following statement of his salary will testify:—

"To our dearest cousin, Edmund, duke of Somerset, for his good and laudable counsel in urgent business, an annuity of £66 13 4."

Pitiful as this stipend—allowing for the full difference in the value of money in those days—was for the principal minister of a state-cabinet, the Lorraine chronicler complains that it was made one of the pretences of the Yorkists for their cruel calumnies against the queen. From the previous authority we find that—

"John Wenlock, knight of the queen's chamber, had per annum, 40*l*. Her knights of the board, forty marks each yearly. Ismania, lady of Scales, Isabella, lady Gray (Elizabeth Woodville), lady Margaret Ross, lady Isabella Dacre, and lady Isabella Butler, are mentioned as being in immediate attendance on her person. Likewise ten little damsels, and two chamber-women."

The ladies appear to have served her for love, as no mention is made of money paid to them.

"Queen Margaret's herbman, 100*s*. per annum. Her twenty-seven armour-bearers, or squires, 143*l*. 4*s*. 3*d*. in all. Her twenty-seven valets, 28*l*. 15*s*. 6*d*. The queen had a clerk of the closet, or private secretary."¹

These entries afford some idea of the household of queen Margaret, at that momentous period of her life when about to become for the first time a mother. That event took place on the 13th of October, 1453, when she gave birth, in Westminster-palace, to a prince, pathetically designated as "the child of sorrow and infelicity."

A writ of summons, under the privy seal, was issued to the ladies of the highest rank in England, to attend queen Margaret at the ceremony of her purification, or churching, which took place at the palace of Westminster on the 18th of November, in the thirty-second of the reign of Henry VI. The ladies summoned were the duchesses of Bedford, York, Norfolk the elder, Norfolk the younger, Buckingham, Somerset the elder, Somerset the younger, Exeter the elder, Exeter the younger, and Suffolk, with eight countesses, among whom may be noted the countess of Warwick, besides a viscountess and seventeen baronesses.² There is also an entry in the Pell rolls of the sum of 554*l*. 16*s*. 8*d*. paid to Margaret the queen, for a richly embroidered christening-mantle used at the bap-

¹ Extracts from queen Margaret's Wardrobe-book, 1452-3.

MS. of Sir Matthew Hale, left by him to the Society of Lincoln's-Inn: 75, Selden Colln.

tism of the prince; also for twenty yards of russet cloth of gold to array the font, and five hundred and forty brown sable backs, for trimming her own churching-robe. As the royal infant was born on St. Edward's-day, queen Margaret, in the hope of propitiating the people, bestowed that name, so dear to England, on her son. This fair boy, as he is called in chronicle, was baptized by Waynflete, bishop of Winchester. Cardinal Kemp, archbishop of Canterbury, the duke of Somerset, and the duchess of Buckingham, were his sponsors.

King Henry, meantime, continued in a state of the deepest mental aberration, the only person in his own palace unconscious of the consummation of the hopes of paternity, the anticipation of which he had, a few months before, greeted with transports of joy. His anxious consort caused him to be removed to Windsor-castle, to try the effect of change of air and profound quiet for the restoration of his health and sanity; but his malady continued unabated. The melancholy state of her royal husband was the more distressing to queen Margaret, because the political agitators who were endeavouring to undermine the throne of Lancaster took advantage of her being thus deprived of his protection and countenance, to stigmatize the birth of the prince by insinuating that he was a supposititious child. Now, as Margaret of Anjou was only in her twenty-fourth year, and the king just thirty-three at the birth of this infant, there could be no just cause to doubt of his deriving his existence from them; and the attempts to throw suspicion on the fact emanated, like the calumnies on the birth of the youngest son of James II. and his queen, from the political emissaries of the disappointed heirs-presumptive to the throne. Richard, duke of York, who had tacitly occupied that position, was determined not to be superseded in the royal succession by the son whom queen Margaret had borne to king Henry at this inauspicious juncture, after nine years of barren wedlock; and it is palpably evident for what object his partisans endeavoured to poison the minds of the people against his infant rival, by circulating reports that it was either the fruit of an amour between the queen and her unpopular minister, Somerset, or some low-born child whom she had cunningly imposed upon the nation as her own, in order to get the whole power of the crown into her own hands, as queen-regent during the king's illness, or queen-mother in the event of his death. It was sometimes asserted, by way of variation to these slanders that the infant of whom the queen was brought to bed had died, and had been replaced by another of the vilest parentage, picked up in the streets, to defraud the rightful heir of the crown. It had been a custom from remote antiquity, both in England and France, for the sovereign, on the birth of his eldest son, to solemnly recognize the infant's claims to his paternity by taking him in his arms and blessing him, and then presenting him to his nobles as his veritable offspring and their future lord,

This patriarchal ceremonial of state king Henry had not, as yet, been able to perform, not a single lucid interval having occurred since the birth of the prince; and it was in consequence asserted, by the parties most interested in taking advantage of the domestic calamity in the royal family, not that the king could not recognise the infant for his heir, but that "he would not." Nor were these sayings confined to the gossip of old wives over their ale, for the earl of Warwick publicly proclaimed at St. Paul's-cross, that the child who was called Edward of Lancaster, and "the prince," was the offspring of adultery or fraud, and not the lawful issue of the king, who had never acknowledged him for his son, and never would.¹ Margaret's indignation at these assertions acting on her naturally impetuous temperament, would not allow her to wait patiently the chances of the king's recovery for her justification; but, as if she expected that her integrity would be manifested by God's especial grace, she made a solemn appeal to the paternal instincts of the royal lunatic, by introducing his unknown infant into his presence, and urging him to bestow his benediction upon it, fondly imagining, no doubt, that at the sight of that fair boy, the mysterious voice of nature would assert its powerful influence on Henry's gentle heart, and so rouse a momentary glimpse of light and recollection into the darkened chambers of the brain. The scene which took place when the child was brought to Windsor for this purpose, is thus quaintly but touchingly related in a contemporary letter addressed to the duke of Norfolk by some person in the royal household, who was apparently an eye-witness of what he describes:—

"At the prince's coming to Windsor, the duke of Buckingham took him in his arms and presented him to the king in goodly wise, besecching the king to *bliss* it, and the king gave no manner answer. Natheless, the duke abode still with the prince in his arms by the king; and when he could no manner answer have, the queen came in and took the prince in her arms, and presented him in the like form that the duke had done, desiring 'that he should bliss it!' But all their labour was in vain, for they departed thence without any answer or countenance, saving that only once he looked on the prince, and cast down his een again, without any more."²

What a subject for an historical painting that scene so simply told, which, without describing, implies the various passions that agitated the presence-chamber, the hushed attention of peers, prelates, and councillors of state, when the royal wife and mother—she who was not only the partner of Henry's throne, but, till this fearful cloud came over his faculties, sole queen of Henry's heart,—essays her influence, and woos his blessing for the lovely boy she offers with impassioned tenderness to his paternal em-

¹ George Chastellain, Chronicle of the Dukes of Burgundy.

² MS. Letter of Intelligence, January 1454: edited by Sir Fred. Madden.—Archæologia, vol. xxix. p. 305.

brace; and after her importunity has succeeded in attracting a momentary attention to the infant in her arms, sees the unconscious eye of frenzy sullenly withdrawn! This frightful abstraction, this utter forgetfulness of the dearest objects of his affection, while it afforded the saddest and most conclusive proof of the hopeless character of the king's malady, was peculiarly distressing to the queen; for as holy Henry was invested by the more venerative portion of his subjects with the attributes of a saint and prophet, it was asserted that he had manifested, not merely reason in madness, but a miraculous power of discrimination by tacitly refusing to sanction the affiliation of the luckless babe.

The death of cardinal Kemp, who filled the important offices in church and state of archbishop of Canterbury and lord chancellor, and had assisted Margaret in the government, increased her troubles, and her claiming to appoint a successor being resisted by the duke of York's party, brought matters to a crisis. As a preparatory measure for depriving Margaret of the regency, the duke of York caused a motion to be carried in the house of lords for sending a deputation from their body to ascertain the real state of the king, by inquiring his pleasure touching the appointments left vacant by the death of the cardinal.¹ The commissioners proceeded to Windsor. They were admitted into his chamber and declared their errand; but the king made no reply, and appeared to have lost all consciousness of the things of this world. On the 25th of March, 1454, the committee reported to the parliament, "that they had been to wait upon the king at Windsor, and after three interviews with him, and earnest solicitation, they could by no means obtain an answer, or token of answer, from him."²

When the situation of the king was made known to his peers of parliament, they, on the 27th of March, appointed the duke of York "protector and defender of the king during the king's pleasure, or until such time as Edward the prince should come to age of discretion."³ An intention was thus manifested of preserving the rights of the reigning family, by securing the reversion of this office for an infant not six months old. Patents, bearing the name of the king's letters patent, were read in the parliament on the 3rd of April, granting to the infant prince the same allowance that was made for his royal father in the first year of his reign, with the yearly fee of two thousand marks only, besides allowances for learning to ride, and other manly exercises, "provided the same grant be in no ways prejudicial to any grant made to Margaret, queen of England." King Henry, though incapable at that time of business, is made, by similar instruments, to create his son Edward prince of Wales and earl of Chester. This was confirmed by the hands of all the lords, and by the commons in parliament.⁴ By the same authority,

¹ Parliamentary History.² Parliamentary rolls. Acts of the Privy Council.³ Parliamentary Hist. Rymer's Fœdera.⁴ Parliamentary History.

queen Margaret received the grant of 1000*l.* per annum for life, out of the customs and subsidies on wools at the port of Southampton, besides sundry manors and hereditaments in the counties of Northampton, Southampton, and Oxfordshire, which were confirmed to her by this parliament.¹ These concessions to the queen and her infant boy were probably granted, to induce her to acquiesce in the appointment of the duke of York to the office of protector. A medical commission of five physicians and surgeons was appointed by the duke of York and his council to attend on the person of the king, and to watch over his health.²

Margaret, meantime, engrossed between the first sweet cares of a mother, and the melancholy duty of watching over the fluctuations of her royal husband's afflicting malady,³ remained personally passive amidst these great political changes. Her party, however, were in a state of activity, and claimed for her no less rights than those usually allowed to the queen consorts of France during the minority of an heir. Her demands are thus quaintly particularized in the sequel of the curious letter to the duke of Norfolk before quoted :—

"*Item*, the queen hath made a bill [list] of five articles, whereof the first is, that she desireth to have the whole rule of this land; the second, that she may make [or appoint] the chancellor treasurer, the privy seal, and all other offices of this land, with sheriffs, and all that the king should make; the third, that she may give all the bishoprics of this land, and all other benefices belonging to the king's gift; the fourth is, that she may have sufficient livelihood assigned her for the king, the prince, and herself; but as for the fifth article, I cannot yet know what it is."

Indeed, in the clauses laid in the queen's name before the privy council, she (in her ignorance of the English constitution) insisted on little less than absolute power as queen-regent during the incapacity of her husband and the minority of her son. This requisition was rejected: soon after (and doubtless connected with this movement) the arrest of the duke of Somerset took place by the order of the protector York, in the queen's presence-chamber. Margaret resented this insult greatly, but was unable to do any thing openly for the protection of her friends. York

¹ Parliamentary History.

² Rymer's *Fœdera*. The date of this commission is April 6th, and empowers those beloved masters, John Arundel, John Faceby, and William Hacliff, physicians, and Robert Warreyn and William Marschall, surgeons, to administer to the king, at their discretion, electuaries, potions, and syrups, confections, and laxative medicines, in any form that may be thought best: baths, fomentations, embrocations, unctions, plasters, shavings of the head, scarifications, and a variety of other infusions in the way of medical treatment. John Faceby was the favourite physician who had attended king Henry all his life. The king granted a pension of 100*l.* per annum to him at the time of his marriage with queen Margaret, as the reward of his

faithful services. From the same authority we find the court-dress of the king's physician was a green cloth robe and miniver cap.

³ There is in the Patent rolls of this year an order under the privy seal, dated November 12, granting to a physician of the name of William Hateley, in consideration of his faithful services to king Henry, and at the earnest desire of queen Margaret, an annuity for life. This physician's name is not included in the medical junta who had been appointed by the authority of the duke of York's council to attend on the sovereign, but was probably introduced by the anxious solicitude of the queen; and as Henry's convalescence took place about this time, we can have little doubt of his being indebted to the skill of William Hateley for his cure.

proceeded to depose Somerset from his office of captain of Calais, and by letters-patent issued in the king's name, bestowed it on himself.

Henry VI. began to amend in November : by the ensuing Christmas he was so much recovered, that on St. John's-day he sent his almoner to Canterbury with his offering, and his secretary to make his oblation at the shrine of St. Edward.¹ From the testimony of a contemporary witness, who describes the state of the king at this period, Henry appears to have been like a person just awakened from a long dream, when reason and convalescence returned. It was then that the infant heir of England, whom, during the dark season of his mental malady, his entirely beloved consort, queen Margaret, had borne, was presented to him, — a goodly boy of fifteen months old, whose cherub lips had, perhaps been taught to lisp the paternal name. The particulars of Henry's long-delayed recognition of his infant son are thus quaintly related in one of the Paston letters, and form a pleasing sequel to the account of his gloomy silence when the precious stranger was introduced to his notice a year before :² — "On Monday at noon the queen came to him, and brought my lord prince with her ; and then he asked, 'What the prince's name was?' and the queen told him 'Edward;' and then he held up his hands, and thanked God thereof. And he said he never knew him till that time, nor wist what was said to him, nor wist where he had been whilst he had been sick, till now ; and he asked who were the god-fathers ? and the queen told him, and he was well apaid [content]. And she told him the cardinal was dead,³ and he said he never knew of it till this time ; then he said, 'One of the wisest lords in this land was dead.' And my lord of Winchester [bishop] and my lord of St. John of Jerusalem were with him the morrow after Twelfth-day, and he did speak to them as well as ever he did ; and when they came out they wept for joy. And he saith he is in charity with all the world, and so he would all the lords were. And now he saith matins of Our Lady, and even-song, and heareth his mass devoutly."

Margaret took prompt measures for Henry's restoration to the sovereign authority, by causing him to be conveyed, though still very weak, to the house of lords, where he dissolved the parliament,⁴ and the duke of Somerset was immediately released and reinstated in his former post. The triumph of the queen and her party was short-lived. The duke of York retired to the Marches of Wales, raised an army, by the assistance of his powerful friends and kinsmen, Salisbury and Warwick, and marched towards London, with the intention of surprising the king there. All the troops that could be mustered by the exertions of the queen and Somerset scarcely amounted to two thousand men.⁵ The king took up his head-quarters at St. Alban's, May 22. The royal stan-

¹ Paston Letters, vol. i., p. 80.

² *Ibid.*, p. 230.

³ Cardinal Kemp.

⁴ Parliamentary History.

⁵ Guthrie.

standard was erected in St. Peter's-street. The duke of York and his men were encamped at Heyfield.

King Henry was not deficient in personal courage, but his holy nature revolted from being the cause of bloodshed, and he sent a message to the duke of York to ask "wherefore he came in hostile array against him?" York replied that "He would not lay down his arms, unless the duke of Somerset were dismissed from king Henry's councils, and delivered up to justice." Henry for once in his life manifested something of the fiery temperament of a Plantagenet, when this answer was reported to him by the agents of the duke of York; for with a loud imprecation—the only one he was ever known to utter—he declared, that "He would deliver up his crown as soon as he would the duke of Somerset, or the least soldier in his army; and that he would treat as a traitor every man who should presume to fight against him in the field."¹ The earl of Warwick, who commanded York's van-guard, commenced the attack by breaking down the garden-wall which stood between the Key and the Chequer in Hollowell-street,² and led his men on through the gardens, shouting, "A Warwick! a Warwick!"

The battle lasted but an hour. The king's army, made up almost all of gentlemen, was inferior in numbers, and pent up in the town. They fought desperately, and a dreadful slaughter ensued in the narrow streets. The king, who stood under his own standard, was wounded in the neck with an arrow at the commencement of the fight. He remained till he was left *solus* under his royal banner, when he walked very coolly into a baker's shop close by,³ where York immediately visited him, and bending his knee, bade him "rejoice, for the traitor Somerset was slain." Henry replied, "For God's sake, stop the slaughter of my subjects!" York then took the wounded king by the hand, and led him first to the shrine of St. Alban's, and then to his apartments in the abbey.⁴ When the slaughter, according to his entreaty, was stopped, Henry consented to accompany the victor to London on the following day, May 24th.

CHAPTER II.

QUEEN Margaret, on the approach of York's army, had retired with her ladies and the infant prince to Greenwich, where she remained in a state of agonized suspense during the battle of St. Alban's. The news of the fatal blow the royal cause had received, by the slaughter of her brave friends and the captivity of the king her husband, plunged her into a sort of stupor of despair, in which she remained for many hours.⁵ Her chamberlain, Sir John Wenlock, whom she had advanced to great

* *Cutlerie.*

† *Ibid.*

‡ *Newcome's History of St. Alban's Abbey*, p. 257.

§ *Lingard*, vol. v. p. 220.

¶ *Prevost.*

honours and loaded with benefits, took that opportunity of forsaking her, and strengthening the party of her foe. He was chosen speaker of the Yorkist parliament, which king Henry had been compelled to summon.¹ The king's wound was dangerous, and the alarm and excitement he had undergone brought on a relapse of his malady; so that, when the parliament assembled at Westminster, July 4th, he was declared incapable of attending to public business, and the duke of York was commissioned to govern in his name.²

It was in this parliament, made up of her enemies, that queen Margaret was for the first time publicly censured for her interference in affairs of state, it being there resolved, "that the government, as it was managed by the queen, the duke of Somerset, and their friends, had been of late a great oppression and injustice to the people."³ The king was petitioned to appoint the duke of York protector or defender of the realm, "because of his indisposition; and *with* he would not come down to them, that his commons might have knowledge of him." Henry being then in the duke of York's power, was not permitted to reject this petition; but it was repeated and urged upon him many times before he would accede to it.⁴

As soon as the duke of York got the executive power of the crown into his hands, he resigned the custody of the king's person to the queen, and enjoined her to withdraw with him and the infant prince to Hertford-castle,⁵ without fail. Margaret was not in a condition to resist this arrangement; but soon after found means to return to the palace of Greenwich with these helpless but precious objects of her care, and appeared entirely absorbed in the anxious duties of a wife and mother. "It seemed," says one of her French biographers, "by her conduct at this period, as if she deemed nothing on earth worthy of her attention but the state of her husband's health and the education of her son, who was a child of early promise."⁶ Meantime, however, she strengthened the party of the Red rose, by holding frequent secret conferences, in her retreat at Greenwich, with the surviving princes of the Lancastrian family, and the half-brothers of king Henry, the young gallant Tudors, who were nearly allied in blood to herself.⁷ She had gathered round her, withal, a band of ardent and courageous young nobles and gentlemen whose fathers were slain at St. Alban's, and who were panting to avenge their parents' blood.

Having thus prepared herself, Margaret remained no longer passive

¹ Parliamentary History.

² Guthrie. Rapin. Parliamentary Hist.

³ Rapin.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ The rights of prince Edward were still recognised, and the reversion of the protectorate secured to him when he came of age. It was enacted, also, that the young prince

should be at diet and sojourn in the king's court till the age of fourteen years; allowing yearly to the prince, towards his wardrobe and wages, ten thousand marks until the age of eight years; and from the age of eight till fourteen years, twenty thousand marks yearly — Rolls of Parliament

⁶ Prevost.

⁷ Guthrie

than the arrival of the eagerly anticipated moment when the king's recovery warranted her in presenting him before his parliament. A great meeting of her adherents was previously convened at Greenwich, unknown to the duke of York, in which the preliminary steps for this design were arranged; and on the 24th of February, 1456, king Henry entered the house of lords, in the absence of the duke of York and the leading members of his faction, and declared, "That being now, by the blessing of God, in good health, he did not think his kingdom was in any need of a protector,"² and requested permission to resume the reins of empire." The parliament, being taken by surprise at the unexpected appearance of their sovereign among them, and the collected and dignified manner in which he addressed them, immediately acceded to his desire. The same day an order was sent by king Henry to the duke of York, demanding the resignation of his office. York, Salisbury, and Warwick were fairly checkmated by this bold move of the queen, and retired into the country. Margaret then caused the heir of the late duke of Somerset, Henry Beaufort, to take the office of prime-minister: the king confided the seals to his beloved friend Waynflete, bishop of Winchester. Henry's health being still in a perilous state, queen Margaret took great pains to amuse him with everything that was likely to have a soothing influence, and to keep him in a tranquil frame of mind.³ There is, in Rymer's *Fœdera*, an order in council, stating "that the presence of minstrels was a great solace to the king in his sick state, and therefore the bailiffs and sheriffs of his counties were required to seek for beautiful boys who possessed musical powers, to be instructed in the art of minstrelsy and music for his service in his court."

The regal authority was, at this period, exercised in his name by queen Margaret and her council, with wisdom and ability; yet the impetuosity of her temper betrayed her into the great imprudence of attempting to interfere with the jurisdiction of the Londoners, by sending the dukes of Buckingham and Exeter with the royal commission into the city, for the purpose of trying the parties concerned in a riot in which several persons had been slain; but the populace raised a tumult, and would not permit the dukes to hold a court. The queen took the alarm, and not considering the person of the king safe in London, removed him to Shene, where she left him under the care of his brother Jasper, while she visited Chester,⁴ and other towns in the midland counties. The civic records of Bristol prove that she came to that city also, with a great company of the nobility, and was well and honourably received. Her object was to ascertain how the country gentry stood affected to the cause of the crown. Having every reason to confide in the loyal feelings of that portion of their subjects, Margaret decided on bringing the

¹ Speed. Hall.² Public Acts. Rapin.³ Guthrie's folio History of England.⁴ Rymer's *Fœdera*. Paston Letters.

king in royal progress through the midland counties, and keeping court for a time at Coventry. Nothing could exceed the enthusiastic welcome with which the king, queen, and infant prince of Wales were received by the wealthy burgesses of that ancient city. On their arrival, Margaret was complimented with a variety of pageants, in which patriarchs, evangelists, and saints obligingly united with the pagan heroes of classic lore in offering their congratulations to her on having borne an heir to England, and they finished by tendering their friendly aid against all adversaries.¹

There are curious original portraits of Henry VI. and Margaret of Anjou, wrought in tapestry, still preserved in St. Mary's-hall at Coventry, probably the work of a contemporary artist in that species of manufacture, which, we need scarcely remind our readers, is not very favourable for the delineation of female beauty, but highly valuable as affording a faithful copy of the costume and general characteristics of the personages represented. Margaret appears engaged in prayer; her figure is whole-length; her hands rest on an open missal, which is before her on a table covered with blue cloth; her head-dress is a hood richly bordered with pear-shaped pearls, which hang round her face; on the summit of the hood is a crown of fleurs-de-lis, which bends to the shape of the hood at the back of the head; behind the hood hangs a veil, figured and fringed with pear-shaped pearls. On the temples, and in front of the hood, are three oval-shaped gems of great size; she wears a rich collar necklace, composed of round pearls and pendant pear-shaped pearls. Her dress is cut square on the bust; the sleeves are straight at the shoulders, but gradually widen into great fulness, and are turned up with ermine: this style is called the *rebras* sleeve.

The maternal tenderness of Margaret, and the courageous manner in which she had upheld the rights of her royal husband, and devoted herself to the care of his health, her brilliant talents, her eloquence and majestic beauty, produced a powerful effect on the minds of all whose hearts the rancour of party had not steeled against her influence. The favourable impression made by her in that district was never forgotten; and Coventry, where she held her court, was ever after so devoted to her service, that it went by the name of queen Margaret's *safe harbour*. York, Salisbury, and Warwick were summoned to attend the council at Coventry; but these lords, mistrusting the queen and Somerset, retired to three remote stations,—York to his demesnes on the marches, where he had the state and power of a sovereign; Salisbury to his castle of Middleham, in Yorkshire; and Warwick to his government of Calais, of which he, unfortunately for the cause of Lancaster retained possession.²

The French and Scotch availed themselves of the internal troubles of the realm to attack England this year. The Yorkists took advantage of

¹ *Sharp's Antiquities of Coventry.*

² *Hall. Speed.*

the aggressions of her countrymen to work upon the strong national prejudices, which were more powerfully felt at that era, perhaps, than at any other period, to excite the ill-will of the people against the queen;¹ as if Margaret could have preferred the interests of her aunt's husband to her own, the father of the child whom she loved with such proud and passionate fondness. So alarming, indeed, did the conduct of France appear to Margaret at this crisis, that she was the first to suggest the expediency of a reconciliation between the court and the adverse party of York and Warwick, that the whole strength of the realm might be employed against foreign invaders. York and Warwick, by whom Margaret was equally hated and mistrusted, paid little attention to her pacific overtures; but when king Henry, in the simplicity and sincerity of his heart, wrote with his own hand a pathetic representation of the evils resulting from this protracted strife, and protested, upon the word of a Christian and a king, that no vengeance should be inflicted on any individual for past offences against the crown, they felt it was impossible to doubt the honour and honesty of his intentions.²

A general congress or pacification between the belligerent lords was then resolved upon. To the lord mayor of London, Sir Godfrey Boleyn, was assigned the arduous office of guardian of the public tranquillity on this extraordinary occasion; ten thousand of the citizens were armed, and patrolled the streets day and night as a national guard, to prevent the plunder and bloodshed that were only too likely to arise from quarrels between the followers of the hostile peers. On the 15th of January, 1458, the earl of Salisbury, with five hundred men, arrived, and took up his quarters at his own mansion at Coldharbour. The duke of York, with four hundred, lodged at Baynard's-castle. The earl of Warwick arrived from Calais in February, with a pompous retinue of six hundred men in scarlet coats. The dukes of Somerset and Exeter, with eight hundred followers, lodged without Temple-bar, in and about Holborn, and other places in the suburbs. The earl of Northumberland, and his kinsman, lord Egremont, maintained the feudal state of the Percys³ by bringing fifteen hundred followers, being more numerous than any of the other adherents of the Red rose.⁴ How such a congress ever came to anything in the shape of an amicable treaty, remains among the most marvellous of historic records. Two whole months were spent in fierce debates and angry recriminations, before the mediations of the archbishop of Canterbury and the other prelates produced the desired effect. The king was easily satisfied, requiring nothing more than a renewal of homage, in which the names of queen Margaret and her son, Edward, prince of Wales, were to be included; but the lords demanded pecuniary compen-

¹ Rapin.² Hall. Stowe. Holinshed.³ Stowe. Hall. Rapin.⁴ Stowe. Hall. Holinshed.

sation of each other for the damage they had sustained, not only in the plundering of their respective castles and estates, but for the loss of kinsmen.¹

The king and queen, who had not considered it prudent to trust their persons before among the armed negotiators of the peace, made a public entry into London, and took up their abode, March 27th, in the bishop's palace, which was a central position. The feast of the Annunciation was appointed as a day of public thanksgiving for this pacification, when Henry and Margaret, wearing their crowns and royal robes, and attended by all the peers and prelates, walked in solemn procession to St. Paul's cathedral. In token of the sincerity of their reconciliation, the leading members of the lately adverse factions walked hand in hand together, being paired according to the degree of deadly animosity that had previously divided them. The duke of Somerset, coupled with the earl of Salisbury, his ancient foe, headed the procession, followed by the duke of Exeter and the earl of Warwick, in unwonted fellowship. Then, behind the king, who walked alone, came the duke of York, leading queen Margaret by the hand, apparently on the most loving terms with each other. The delight of the citizens of London at this auspicious pageant manifested itself, not only in acclamations, bonfires, and other signs and tokens of popular rejoicings, but called forth some of the halting lyrical effusions of their bards in commemoration.² No sooner was "this dissimulated love-day," as Fabyan calls it, over, than York withdrew to the Marches, Salisbury to Yorkshire, and Warwick to his government of Calais.³ He was at that time lord admiral by patent, and thus the whole naval force of England was at the duke of York's command.

The animosity between the queen and Warwick was not of a political nature alone, but was marked with all the bitter and vindictive feelings of private hatred. It was possible for Margaret to assume an appearance of regard for York, but she never could mask her antipathy to Warwick, from whose lips had first proceeded scandalous imputations on her honour,—an injury no woman can be expected to forgive, much less a queen. Warwick complained of the rigour with which the queen caused an inquiry to be pushed against him, for a recent act of piracy he had committed by plundering the Lubeck fleet on the high seas: he

¹ The duke of York actually consented to pay the widow of his great enemy, Edmund, duke of Somerset, 5000*l.* to console her for the loss of her husband, slain at St. Alban's; this

sum to be divided among her younger children. Warwick and Salisbury paid two thousand marks to the younger sons of lord Clifford.

² Here is a specimen —

"Our sovereign lord God keep alway,
And the queen and archbishop of Canterbury,
And other that have laboured to make this love-day,—
O God preserve them! we pray heartily,
And London for them full diligently:
Rejoice, England, in concord and unities!"—Cottonian MSS.,

Vespasian, B
xvi. p. 111, f.

³ Rapin. Public Acts.

accused her of insincerity in the recent act of reconciliation, and of having little regard for the glory of the English arms. These expressions, being repeated in the city, caused a seditious tumult against the queen, in which her attorney-general was killed: the governors of Furnival's, Clifford's, and Barnard's inns, with Taylor (the alderman of the ward in which the fray took place), were committed to prison. This was followed by a personal attack on Warwick by the royal servants, as he was returning from the council at Westminster-palace.¹ Warwick construed this riot into a premeditated plot devised by the queen for his destruction. Margaret retaliated the charge, by accusing him of causing a tumult at the palace; and, according to Fabyan, she actually procured an order in council for him to be arrested and committed to the Tower. This fracas, whether originating in design or accident, occurred in a fatal hour to the queen, by affording a plausible excuse to the great triumvirs of the adverse party, York, Salisbury, and Warwick, for drawing the sword once more against the house of Lancaster, which was never again sheathed till it had drunk the life-blood of those nearest and dearest to Margaret,—her husband and her son.

King Henry, leaving his queen to struggle with the storm she had raised, retired to pass that Easter at the abbey of St. Alban's. At his departure, having nought else to bestow, he ordered his best robe to be given to the prior. His treasurer heard the command with consternation, well knowing the poverty of the royal wardrobe was such, that Henry had no other garment suitable for state occasions, nor the means of providing one at his need; so stepping up to the prior, he offered to redeem the robe for fifty marks. Henry unwillingly complied with this prudent arrangement, but he charged the prior to follow him to London for the money, which he made the reluctant treasurer disburse in his presence. The following June, 1459, the court departed from the metropolis. Queen Margaret took the king in progress through the counties of Warwick, Stafford, and Cheshire, under the pretence of benefiting his health by change of air and sylvan sports. Her real object was to display in that district the beauty and engaging manners of their son, the young prince of Wales, then in his sixth year, a child of singular promise, for whom she engaged the favour of all the nobles and gentlemen in those loyal counties, by causing him to distribute

¹ According to Fabyan, the dispute commenced while Warwick was in the council-chamber, and originated in an assault made by one of the king's servants on a person belonging to his retinue. Stowe and Polydore Vergil assert that Warwick's man was the aggressor, who severely wounded the king's servant; whereupon the black guard (as the scullions, cooks, and kitchen band were called), armed with clubs, apits, and cleavers rushed

forth to revenge their comrade. In the midst of this fray the council broke up, and Warwick, coming forth to take barge, was immediately assailed by the culinary champions of the palace; and so fierce an attack was made upon his person, that it was with difficulty he fought his way to the barge with his retinue, many of whom were severely maimed and wounded.

little silver swans, his badge, wherever he came, and to all who pressed to look upon him. Margaret displayed peculiar tact in adopting, for her boy, the well-remembered device which had distinguished his renowned ancestor, Edward III., whose name he bore. So well were her impassioned pleadings in his behalf seconded by the loveliness and winning behaviour of the princely child, that ten thousand men wore his livery at the battle of Blore-heath.

King Henry was then at Coleshill, in Warwickshire; and Margaret, fearing for his safety, sent lord Audley to intercept the earl of Salisbury, then on his march from Middleham-castle, with a reinforcement of four or five thousand Yorkists. Margaret sternly bade Audley bring Salisbury before her, dead or alive. Audley posted himself on Blore-heath at the head of ten thousand Cheshiremen, distinguished by the red rosette of Lancaster, and their leaders by the silver swans worn on their breasts in honour of Edward, prince of Wales. Nearly three thousand of the flower of Cheshire, cavaliers and yeomen, perished with Audley their leader. When Margaret, who witnessed the conflict from the tower of Muccleston church, beheld the fall of Audley's banner, she fled to Eccleshall-castle.¹ King Henry, who was dangerously ill at Coleshill, lay stretched on a pallet during the battle, and the only token of consciousness he gave was, that when his people were removing him, he asked in a feeble voice, "Who had got the day?" Salisbury, through this victory, was enabled to form a junction with the duke of York's army; and it was expected that the duke, who now boldly asserted his title to the crown, would speedily attain the object to which all his actions for the last twelve years had tended.

The energies of queen Margaret's mind increased with the perils and difficulties with which the cause of her royal husband was beset. She had for the first time in her life looked upon a battle, and though it was the disastrous defeat of Blore-heath, far from being dismayed or regarding it as the death-blow to the hopes of Lancaster, it appears to have had the effect of rousing a dormant faculty within her soul,—the courage and enterprise of a military leader. Hitherto she had fought her enemies from the cabinet; now she had caught the fierce excitement of her combative nobles, and kindled with the desire of asserting the rights of her husband and her son in battle-fields. It must be remembered that this martial fever was one of the epidemics of the times in which Margaret of Anjou lived, that the warlike blood of Charlemagne was thrilling in her veins, and, moreover, that she was the countrywoman, and was born the contemporary, of Joan of Arc. Having fallen back to Coventry, she there made a general rally of the friends of Lancaster, and succeeded in getting together an efficient army once more; and before the end of October, finding the king sufficiently recovered to

¹ Pennant.

take the field in person, she prevailed with him to march to Ludlow, where the duke of York and his adherents were assembled in warlike array.

So greatly had the popularity of king Henry increased, in consequence of his appearance in the provinces, that the duke of York, to his astonishment and confusion, found his own vassals so little disposed to fight against the anointed sovereign, that he thought proper to circulate a report of the king's death, and caused a solemn mass for the repose of his soul to be sung in his camp at Ludeford,—supposing that he might by this *ruse* deprive his adversaries of the sacred shield of Henry's name. But the sturdy marchers showed not a whit more inclination to attack the queen, or impugn the title of the infant son of Henry, than they had done to draw the sword against himself. Margaret, having good information of what was passing in the enemy's camp, caused a pardon to be proclaimed in the king's name to all who would return to their allegiance. This was, in the first instance, treated with contempt by the Yorkist leaders, who replied, "They knew better than to rely on such a staff of reed, or buckler of glass, as the promises of the king under his present guidance."¹ Urged by his energetic consort, Henry then advanced within a mile of Ludlow. The duke of York, relying on Henry's conscientious antipathy to fighting, endeavoured to play over the same game he had, under similar circumstances, done at Burnt-heath, by addressing a letter to him full of protestations of his loyal and good intentions, and praying his sovereign to redress the grievances of the people by eschewing his evil counsellors. But Henry, while under the immediate influence of Margaret's master-mind, showed he was not to be trifled with, and therefore answered the letter of the insurgents by marching up to the gates of Ludlow, where the royal pardon was again proclaimed. This being followed by the submission or desertion of many of the Yorkist soldiers, the duke, with his second son, Edmund, earl of Rutland, fled to Ireland; and the earls of Salisbury and Warwick, with the heir of York, Edward earl of March, sailed for Calais, leaving the duchess of York to defend the castle as she could. She and her two youngest sons were made prisoners by the king, who sacked and plundered the town and castle of Ludlow to the bare walls.² Such was the result of the first campaign that was shared by the queen, and, if we are to credit the assertions of all historians, directed by her counsels.

The signal victory having been happily achieved without bloodshed, Margaret returned in triumph, with her royal spouse, to her trusty friends at Coventry, where Henry summoned a parliament to meet November 20th. King Henry appears to have been more offended at the mass that was said for his soul in the camp of his enemies, than at any of their

¹ Speed² Guthrie. Speed.

less innocent acts of treason. It is mentioned with peculiar acrimony, in the bill of attainder passed against York and his party by this parliament, as the very climax of their villanies. For the security of Margaret and the young prince, a new and solemn oath of allegiance was framed and sworn to by the peers and prelates of this parliament, in which each liegeman, after engaging to do his true *devoir* to king Henry, added these words,—“Also to the weal, surety, and preserving of the person of the most high and benign princess Margaret, the queen, my sovereign lady, and of her most high and noble estate, she being your wife; and also to the weal, surety, and honour of the person of the right high and mighty prince Edward, your firstborn son.”¹ The king, by the authority of the same parliament, granted to queen Margaret the manor of Cosham, with the appurtenances, in Wilts, and 20*l.* yearly out of the aulnage of cloth in London, in exchange for the manor of Havering-bower, which had been settled on her.²

The triumph of the royal cause was brief; Calais and the naval power of England were at the command of Warwick, and from that quarter the portentous storm-clouds began once more to threaten.³ Margaret was, at this period, personally engaged in courting popularity among the aristocracy of Norfolk. Dame Margaret Paston describes some of her proceedings, in a familiar epistle to her husband, which is too rich a specimen of the manners of the times, and of the arts used by the queen to ingratiate herself individually with the ladies of Norfolk, to be omitted.

LETTER FROM MARGARET PASTON.

“As for tidings, the queen came into this town⁴ on Tuesday last, past afternoon, and abode there till it was Thursday three o'clock; and she sent after my cousin, Elizabeth Clere, by Sharinham, to come to her, and she durst not disobey her commandment, and came to her. And when she came in the queen's presence, the queen made right much of her, and desired her to have a husband, the which ye shall know of hereafter; but, as for that, he is never the nearer than before. The queen was right well pleased with her answer, and reported her of the best wise, and saith, ‘By her troth she saw no *jantywoman*, since she came into Norfolk, that she liked better than she doth her.’ When the queen was here, I borrowed my cousin Elizabeth Clere's device [necklace], for I durst not for shame go with my beads amongst so many fresh gentlewomen as here were at that time.”

How vigilant and unremitting a scrutiny Margaret kept upon the conduct of the nobility and gentry at this period, and how minute and particular was the information she contrived to obtain of all their actions, and even of the proceedings of their servants, may be gathered from the following extract from a contemporary letter, addressed to Sir John Paston :—

“I beseech you to remember that I have aforetime been accused unto the king's highness the queen's for owing my poor goodwill and service unto my lord of York and others, &c., whereof I suppose that Sir Thomas Bingham is remembered that I brought him once from my lady (duchess of Norfolk) a purse and five marks (3*l.* 6*s.* 8*d.*) therein; and to Sir Philip Went-

¹ Parliamentary History.

² Ibid

³ Lingard, vol. v. ch. xi. p. 213.

⁴ Norwich

worth another, and a hundred shillings therein, for their goodwill and advice therein to my lady, and all of us that were appealed for that case. Notwithstanding the king wrote to my lord,¹ by the means of the duke of Somerset, 'that we should be avoided from him,' and within this two years we were, in like wise, laboured against to the queen, so that she wrote to my lord to avoid us, saying, 'that the king and she could, nor might, in no ways be assured of him and my lady, as long as we were about him;' and much other things, as may be sufficiently proved by the queen's writing, under her own signet and sign manual."

The band of veterans which Warwick brought from Calais had swelled into a puissance, whose numbers have been variously reported by historians from twenty-five thousand to forty thousand men. With this force he and his military *élève*, Edward, earl of March, triumphantly entered London, July 2nd, 1460, the citizens throwing open the gates for their admittance. On the 9th of the same month, they measured swords with the royal army at Northampton. So ardently devoted to her service did queen Margaret find the chivalry whom she had arrayed beneath the banner of the red rose to defend the rights of her husband and her son, that, imagining herself secure of victory, she induced the king to quit the town of Coventry, and crossing the river Nene, to encamp with his army in the plain between Harsington and Sandiford.² The fiery heir of York then advanced his father's banner, and attacked the host of Lancaster at seven in the morning, with one of his tremendous charges. The battle lasted but two hours, and was decided by the treachery of lord Grey de Ruthyn, who admitted the Yorkists into the heart of the royal camp. "Ten thousand tall Englishmen," says Hall, "were slain or drowned in attempting to repass the river, and king Henry himself, left all lonely and disconsolate, was taken prisoner."

The dukes of Somerset and Buckingham were the leaders of the royal army. Buckingham was slain in the battle, where also fell another staunch friend of Margaret and the cause of the Red rose, John Talbot, earl of Shrewsbury, a son not unworthy of his renowned sire, "Talbot, our good dogge," as he was called in the quaint but significant parlance of his party. Somerset escaped to fulfil a darker destiny. Queen Margaret was not herself in the battle; but, with her boy, the infant hope of Lancaster, was posted at a short distance from the scene of action, on a spot whence she could command a prospect of the field, and communicate with her generals. When, however, she witnessed the treachery of lord Grey, and the headlong rush of her disordered troops to repass the river they had crossed that morning so full of hope and ardour, the pride and courage of the royal heroine yielded to maternal terror; and, forgetful of every other consideration but the preservation of her boy, she fled precipitately with him and a few faithful followers towards the bishopric of Durham. But Durham was no place of refuge for the queen, who

¹ John Mowbray, duke of Norfolk, in whose household the writer, R. Southwell, had an appointment.

² Hall. Lingard.

had previously incurred the ill-will of the citizens by some arbitrary measure or imprudent burst of temper.

William of Worcester declares that queen Margaret and the prince of Wales were actually captured, while flying from Eggeshall to Chester, by John Cleger, one of lord Stanley's servants, and spoiled of all her jewels; but while they were rifling her baggage, of which her attendants had charge, she seized an opportunity of escaping with the prince. On the road she was joined by the duke of Somerset, and, after a thousand perils, succeeded in reaching Harlech-castle, an almost impregnable fortress, in North Wales, where she was honourably received and manfully protected by Dafyd ap Jeuan ap Einion, a Welsh chieftain, who, in stature and courage, resembled one of the doughty Cambrian giants of metrical romance.¹ In this rocky fastness, which appeared as if formed by nature for the shelter of the royal fugitives, they remained safe from the vindictive pursuit of their foes, while the unfortunate king was conducted to London by those whom the fortunes of war had rendered the arbiters of his fate. He was treated with external marks of respect by the victors; but was compelled by them to summon a parliament for the purpose of sanctioning their proceedings, and reprobating those of his faithful friends. During the interval before it met at Westminster, and while all parties remained in uncertainty as to what had become of the queen and the prince of Wales, Henry was removed for a short time to Eltham, and permitted to recreate himself with hunting and field-sports, in which, notwithstanding his mild and studious character, Henry VI. appears to have taken much pleasure.

The duke of York, having received the news of the signal triumph of his party, entered London October 10th, at the head of a retinue of five hundred horsemen, with the sword of state borne before him, and, riding straight to Westminster, passed through the hall into the house of lords, advanced to the regal canopy, and laid his hand upon the throne, with a gesture and look implying that he only waited for an invitation to take possession of it. But a dead silence prevailed, even among his own partisans, which was at length broken by the archbishop of Canterbury asking him "If he would be pleased to visit the king?"² "I know of no one in this realm who ought not, rather, to visit me," was the haughty rejoinder of the duke. With these words he angrily left the house. The peers by whom these rival claims were to be decided had, to a man, sworn their liegemen's oaths to king Henry, and to him they actually propounded the question as to which had the legal claim to the crown, himself or his cousin Richard, duke of York? Henry, though a captive in the power of his rival, replied in these words: "My father was king; his father was also king: I have worn

¹ Notes to the Warkworth Chronicle, by J. O. Halliwell. Pennant,

² Lingard. Hall. Rapin.

the crown forty years, from my cradle; you have all sworn fealty to me as your sovereign, and your fathers have done the like to my father and grandfather. How, then, can my right be disputed?"¹ He agreed, nevertheless, to recognise the duke of York as his successor, on which condition the crown was guaranteed to himself during the rest of his life. Henry was next compelled by those who had the custody of his person, to give the regal sanction to a peremptory mandate for the return of his consort and son to the metropolis.

Margaret was a fugitive, without an army, without allies, kindred, or money, when she received this summons, together with the intelligence that the rights of her boy had been passively surrendered by his unfortunate sire to the hostile princes of the line of York. Tidings that would have overwhelmed any other female with despair, had the effect of rousing all the energies of her nature into a resistless determination of purpose which, for a time, redeemed the cause of Lancaster from ruin. The king of Scotland was the son of a Lancastrian princess; she resolved on trying the efficacy of a personal application to that monarch for assistance in this emergency. Having caused a report to be circulated that she was raising forces in France, Margaret quitted her rocky eyrie among the wilds of Snowdon, where her beauty, her courage, and the touching circumstances under which she appeared, had created among her loyal Welsh adherents an interest not unlike that which is occasionally felt for the distressed queens of tragedy and romance. The popular Welsh song, *Furwel iti Peggy ban*,² is said to have been the effusion of the bards of that district on the occasion of her departure.

The communication between Wales and Scotland was facilitated for Margaret by the proximity of Harlech-castle to the 'Menai,' on which it is supposed she embarked with her son and a few trusty followers.³ She landed in Scotland safely with her boy, but found the whole country filled with mourning on account of the loss of their king, James II., her energetic ally, who was slain by the bursting of one of his own cannon, at the siege of Roxburgh-castle. His queen, Mary of Gueldres, a princess of a kindred spirit to the royal heroine of the Red rose, had continued the siege, taken the castle, and was then at Edinburgh, assisting at the successive solemnities of the funeral of her deceased lord and the coronation of her little son, James III. Margaret and her boy were very differently situated from the victorious queen-mother of Scotland and that royal minor, to whom they came in their destitution and sore distress as fugitives and suppliants. They excited, however, universal sympathy at Dumfries, and were so well received, that Margaret determined to take up her abode at the monastic college

¹ Blackman, p. 303. Lingard. Hall.

² Notes to the Warkworth Chronicle, by J. O. Halliwell.

³ Pennant.

of Lincluden, near that city, where she was hospitably entertained by James Lindsay, the provost, who was keeper of the privy seal to the queen-mother of Scotland. Part of the venerable pile where Margaret of Anjou and her company found an asylum at this crisis is still in existence, picturesquely situated where the water of Cluden empties itself into the Nith. From Lincluden the fugitive English queen despatched letters to the king and the queen-regent of Scotland, announcing her arrival in that realm, and craving hospitality and succour in her distress. Nothing could be more friendly and consoling than the manner in which the royal widow of Scotland, who was nearly related both by blood and marriage to the house of Lancaster, responded to the appeal of her unfortunate guest, whom she treated in all respects as her equal.¹ She came in state, with the young king, her son, to welcome her and the prince of Wales, and cheered her with promises of assistance. A conference between the two queens and their counsellors took place at Lincluden, and lasted twelve days.² The exchequer records of Scotland bear witness that this conference was not a dry one, for there is an entry of charges "for three pipes of French white wine, sent to the college of Lincluden, and drank at the time the queen was there with the queen and prince of England."³

The conferences at Lincluden terminated very satisfactorily for Margaret, who obtained both the loan of money and the promise of troops. While the armament was preparing, she was kindly entertained by her friend the Scottish queen, whom she accompanied to Falkland, and other of the royal residences of that realm. The following notices connected with her visit to Scotland are preserved in the Exchequer rolls, 27th of August, 1460:—

"Payment made to Duncan Dundas, for the expenses of Margaret of England staying with our lady the queen, incurred by bringing her to the parts of Scotland, by order of the keeper of the privy seal, of the sum xviii*l*. xii*s*.; and for the wages of two grooms of the prince of England abiding in Falkland, for the keeping of the horses of the said prince thirteen days, each of them receiving eight pennies a-day, and amounting in the whole to xv*l*. viii*d*."

The royal child for whom this equestrian establishment was provided at the expense of the court of Scotland, had not completed his seventh year. Not all the respect and consideration, however, with which herself and her boy were treated in that hospitable realm, could tempt queen Margaret to prolong her stay one hour longer than was necessary to place her at the head of an army. She then crossed the Scottish border, unfurled the banner of the Red rose, and, strengthened by all the chivalry of Northumberland, Cumberland, Lancashire, and Westmoreland, presented herself at the gates of York, before the leaders of the White rose party were fully aware that she was in England. At

¹ Chronicle of Auchenleck. History of Galway. Records of Scotland.

² Ibid.

³ Computum or charges of Donald Macellan of Gylstone, steward of Kirkcudbright, from

11th of July, 1460, to 7th of March the same year.—Excerpts from the Scotch exchequer rolls, kindly communicated by John Riddell, Esq.

York she convened a council of the lords of her party, and declared her determination of marching directly to London, for the purpose of delivering her captive lord, king Henry, from the hands of his enemies; and the resolution was unanimously adopted.¹

The duke of York, who had by no means anticipated this prompt and bold response to the proclamation he had enforced his royal captive to send to the fugitive queen, left London with the earl of Salisbury, at the head of such forces as could be hastily collected, to check the fierce career of the lioness whom they had rashly roused from her slumberous stupor of despair. On Christmas-eve the duke reached his strong castle of Sandal, where, with five thousand men, he determined to await the arrival of his son Edward, who was raising the border forces.

Before this could be effected, queen Margaret advanced to Wakefield, and appearing under the walls of Sandal-castle, defied the duke to meet her in the field day after day, and used so many provoking taunts on "his want of courage in suffering himself to be tamely braved by a woman,"² that York, who certainly had had little reason to form a very lofty idea of Margaret's skill as a military leader, determined to come forth and do battle with her. Sir Davy Hall, his old servant, represented to him "that the queen was at the head of eighteen thousand men, at the lowest computation, and advised him to keep within his castle, and defend it till the arrival of his son with the border forces." The duke, disdaining this prudent counsel, indignantly replied,—“Ah, Davy, Davy! hast thou loved me so long, and wouldest thou have me dishonoured? Thou never sawest me keep fortress when I was regent in Normandy, where the dauphin himself with his puissance came to besiege me, but like a man, and not like a bird in a cage, I issued and fought with mine enemies,—to their loss ever, I thank God! And if I have not kept myself within walls for fear of a great and strong prince, nor hid my face from any man living, wouldest thou that I, for dread of a scolding woman, whose only weapons are her tongue and her nails, should incarcerate myself, and shut my gates? Then all men might of me wonder, and report to my dishonour, that a woman hath made me a dastard, whom no man could ever yet prove a coward.”³ The duke concluded by declaring his intention to advance his banner in the name of God and St. George; then with his brother-in-law, the earl of Salisbury, he issued from his stronghold and set his battle in array, in the hope of driving his female adversary from the field.⁴

Margaret had drawn up her puissance in three bodies. The central force was commanded by Somerset, under her directions; but it is by no means certain that she played the Amazon by fighting in person, on this or any other occasion. The other two squadrons were ambushed

¹ Wm. of Worc.

² Hall, p. 250.

³ Hall's Chronicle. Sir Davy Hall was the historian's grandfather.

⁴ *Ibid.*

to the right and left, under the orders of the earl of Wiltshire and lord Clifford; and as soon as York had entered the plain, and was engaged by the vanguard, they closed him in on either side, "like," says Hall, "a fish in a net, or a deer in a buck-stall; so that in less than half an hour he, manfully fighting, was slain, and his army discomfited." Two thousand of the Yorkists lay dead on the field, and the ruthless Clifford, on his return from the pursuit, in which he had slain the young earl of Rutland in cold blood on Wakefield-bridge, severed the head of the duke of York from his lifeless body, crowned it with paper, and presented it to queen Margaret on the point of a lance, with these words: "Madame, your war is done. Here is your king's ransom."¹ The Lancastrian peers who surrounded the queen raised a burst of acclamation, not unmingled with laughter, as they directed the attention of their royal mistress to the ghastly witness of their triumph. Margaret at first shuddered, turned pale, and averted her eyes, as if affrighted by the horrid spectacle thus unexpectedly offered to her gaze; but the instinctive emotions of woman's nature were quickly superseded by feelings of vindictive pleasure, and when she was urged to look again upon "this **king** without a kingdom," who had endeavoured to wrest the crown of England from her husband and her son, she looked and laughed—laughed long and violently, and then commanded the head of her fallen foe to be placed over the gates of York.² She likewise ordered the earl of Salisbury, who was among the prisoners, to be led to the scaffold the following day, and caused his head to be placed by that of his friend and brother-in-law, the duke of York.³ In the blindness of her presumption, when issuing these orders, she bade the ministers of her vengeance "take care that room were left between the heads of York and Salisbury for those of the earls of March and Warwick, which she intended should soon keep them company."

The demons of war were now let loose in all their destroying fury, and the leaders of the rival parties emulated each other in deeds of blood and horror. Edward, earl of March, won a battle at Mortimer's-cross, February 1st, which was followed by a sanguinary execution, in reprisal for his brother's murder, and the outrage offered to his father's remains. Queen Margaret, however, pushed on impetuously to the metropolis, with the intention of rescuing her captive lord from the thralldom in which he had been held ever since the battle of Northampton. It must have been at this time she published two remarkable manifestoes, addressed to the English people, asserting the right of her royal consort, and her determination to uphold his cause and that of the prince their son against all false and evil-disposed traitors, and endeavouring at the same time to counteract the report that her northern allies had received from her the promise of pillaging all England south of the Trent. She

¹ Hall.² Prevost.³ Hall. Lingard

added a second manifesto, in the name of her young son, much to the same purpose, but meant more particularly to reassure the city of London; for young Edward is made to assert how improbable it was "that he, descended of the blood-royal, and inheriting the pre-eminence of the realm, should intend the destruction of that city, which is our lord's [king Henry's] greatest treasure." The address concludes with most earnest entreaties for all men to have such care of king Henry's royal person, "that by the malice of the said traitor [York] he may take no hurt."¹

While Margaret was thus providing as far as possible for the safety of her consort, Warwick, at the head of his puissance, and leading his royal prisoner in his train, intercepted her army, took possession of St. Alban's, and filled the streets with archers to oppose her passage. When the queen attempted to pass through the town, she was driven back by a storm of arrows from the market-place; but, with dauntless intrepidity, she forced her way through a lane into St. Peter's-street, and drove Warwick's archers back upon the vanguard of his army, which was encamped on Barnet-heath. Here a furious conflict took place, almost hand to hand, neither party giving quarter. Warwick's army was chiefly composed of Londoners, who proved no match for the stout northern men whom Margaret kept pouring upon them. Lovelace, who commanded a large body of the city bands, having a secret understanding with the queen, kept aloof till the fortunes of the day were decided in her favour. On the approach of night, the Yorkists dispersed and fled, leaving their royal prisoner, king Henry, nearly alone in a tent, with lord Montague, his chamberlain, and two or three attendants, exposed to considerable peril.

The queen was not herself aware of the proximity of her captive lord to the scene of her triumph, till his faithful servant, Howe, ran to lord Clifford's quarters to announce the fact. Attended by Clifford, she flew to greet him, and they embraced with the most passionate tokens of joy.² Margaret exultingly presented the young prince of Wales, who had been her companion during the perils of that stormy day, to his enfranchised sire and sovereign, and requested Henry to bestow knighthood on the gallant child, and thirty more of their adherents, who had particularly distinguished themselves in the fight. This ceremonial completed, the king, with his victorious consort, the prince of Wales, and the northern lords, went immediately to return thanks to God, in the abbey-church of St. Alban's, for the deliverance of the king. They were received by the abbot and monks with hymns of triumph at the church-door. After this solemn office was performed, the king and queen were conducted to their apartments in the abbey, where they took up their abode.³

¹ Harl. MS., kindly communicated by the Rev. Mr. Tomlinson.

² Carte. Lingard. Prevost.

³ Holinshed.

The queen sullied her victory by the execution of the lord Bonville and Sir Thomas Kyriel. Some historians have said they were beheaded in the presence of herself and the young prince her son, in defiance of king Henry's promise "that their lives should be spared, if they remained in the tent with him to assist in protecting him during the rout at St. Alban's." Unfortunately for Margaret, the provocations she had received were of a nature calculated to irritate her, no less as a woman than as a queen. The imputations which had been cast by party malice on the legitimacy of her son, had naturally kindled the bitterest indignation in her heart, and the attempt to exclude him from the succession, in favour of the hated line of York, acting upon her passionate maternal love and pride, converted all the better feelings of her nature into fierce and terrific impulses; till at length the graceful attributes of mind and manners by which the queen—the beauty, and the patroness of learning—had been distinguished, were forgotten in the ferocity of the Amazon and the avenger.

The parties of the rival roses were so nicely balanced, in point of physical force, at this period, that one false step on either side was sure to prove fatal to the cause of the person by whom it might be taken. That person was queen Margaret: flushed with her recent triumphs, and cherishing a wrathful remembrance of the disaffection of the Londoners, she sent a haughty demand of provisions for her army to the civic authorities. The lord mayor was embarrassed by this requisition; for, though he was himself faithfully attached to the cause of Lancaster, his fellow-citizens were greatly opposed to it. However, he exerted his authority to procure several cart-loads of salt-fish, bread, and other Lenten fare, for the use of the queen's army; but the populace, encouraged by the news that the earl of Warwick had formed a junction with the army of the victorious heir of York, and that they were in full march to the metropolis, stopped the carts at Cripplegate. Margaret was so highly exasperated when she learned this, that she gave permission to her fierce northern auxiliaries to plunder the country up to the very gates of London.¹ The lord mayor and recorder, greatly alarmed, sought and (through the influence of the duchess of Bedford, lady Scales, and Elizabeth Woodville) succeeded in obtaining an audience with the queen at Barnet, for the purpose of dissuading her from her impolitic revenge. Margaret would only agree to stop the ravages of her troops on condition of being admitted with her army into the city. The lord mayor represented the impossibility of complying with her wish, as he was almost her only adherent in London.

Meantime, her greedy northern troops commenced their depredations in the town of St. Alban's; and king Henry broke up the conference between the queen and the lord mayor, by imploring her assistance in

¹ Hall Carte.

preserving the beautiful abbey of St. Alban's from fire and spoil.¹ The danger that threatened their lives and properties, and the disgust created by the vindictive conduct of the queen, decided all London and its vicinity to raise the White rose banner, on the approach of the heir of York, with Warwick, at the head of forty thousand men. The firm refusal of the citizens to admit the queen, and her ill-disciplined and lawless troops, within their walls, compelled her to retreat, with king Henry and their son, the prince of Wales, towards the northern counties. The next day Edward entered London in triumph: he was received by the citizens as their deliverer; and on the 4th of March he was proclaimed king, with universal acclamations, by the style and title of Edward IV.² It is worthy of notice, that in three great political struggles, the suffrages of the city of London turned the balance. The empress Maud, Margaret of Anjou, and Charles I. lost all with the goodwill of the citizens.

The recognition of Edward IV. by the Londoners, though generally considered as the death-blow to the cause of Lancaster, only served to rouse the queen to greater energy of action. She was the heroine of the northern aristocracy and the midland counties, who, though they had suffered so severely for their devotion to her cause, were still ready to rally, at her need, round the banner of the Red rose. An army of sixty thousand men was, in the course of a few days, at her command; but her generals, Somerset and Clifford, prevailed on Margaret to remain with the king and the young prince of Wales at York, while they engaged the rival sovereign of England.³ Edward, with nearly equal forces, advanced in concert with the earl of Warwick to Ferrybridge, where, on the 28th of March, Clifford and his men, early in the morning, won the bridge, and surprised the advanced guard of the Yorkists. The able generalship and hot valour of king Edward retrieved the fortunes of the fight, and when darkness parted the combatants he remained in possession of the battle-field. The contest was renewed in the fields between Towton and Saxton, with redoubled fury, at nine the following morning, being Palm-Sunday, "which," says the chronicler, "was celebrated that day with lances instead of palms." A heavy snow-storm, drifting full in the faces of the Lancastrian party, blinded their archers, who shot uncertainly; while those of York with fatal effect discharged their flight-arrows, and then, advancing a few paces, shot a second shower among the chivalry of the Red rose.⁴ The result of this dreadful battle, where the strength and flower of the Lancastrians perished, is best described in the immortal verse of laureate Southey:—

"Witness Aire's unhappy water,
Where the ruthless Clifford fell;
And where Wharfe ran red with slaughter

¹ Whethampstede.² Lingard. Hall. Carte.³ Hall. Lingard.⁴ Ibid

On the day of Towcester's field,
 Gathering in its guilty flood
 The carnage, and the ill-spilt blood
 That forty thousand lives could yield.
 Cressy was to this but sport,
 Poitiers but a pageant vain,
 And the work of Agincourt.
 Only like a tournament."

Margaret fled, with her consort and her son, to Newcastle, and from thence to Alnwick-castle. A mournful welcome awaited her there, for its gallant lord had fought and fallen in her cause at Towton.

The approach of the victorious Yorkists rendered it expedient for the royal fugitives to seek refuge in Scotland. Accompanied by king Henry, their son, and six followers only, Margaret crossed the border, and baffling pursuit by entering the wild district of Galloway, the country of her friends the Douglasses, she obtained a temporary asylum for her lord at Kirkcudbright, while she proceeded with the little prince to plead for succour in the Scottish court. The following brief notice of the exiled family appears in one of the Paston letters: "King Henry is at Kirkcudbrie, with four men and a child: queen Margaret is at Edinburgh with her son." Henry occupied himself, in the absence of his consort, in engaging the earl of Angus to assist him with troops for the recovery of his dominions; in return for which, he promised him an English dukedom, and all the lands north of the Trent and Humber. "And so," says Home of Godscroft, "the treaty was sealed and subscribed with a 'Henry' as long as the whole sheet of parchment,—the worst shapen letters, and the worst put together, that I ever saw."¹

Margaret received a kind and honourable welcome from the queen-regent of Scotland, and, to the astonishment of all Europe, succeeded in concluding a treaty of betrothal between her son Edward, prince of Wales, and the princess Margaret, sister to the young king James III. It was, perhaps, her eagerness to secure this alliance which betrayed Margaret into the unpopular measure of ceding Berwick to the Scotch, which has left an indelible blot on her memory as a queen of England. Margaret, probably, resided at the palace of Dunfermline while these negotiations were pending: her name is, at any rate, connected with a local tradition, which implies that the good women of that royal borough were indebted to her for the acquisition of the useful and civilizing art of needlework, with which, though accustomed to the labours of the distaff and the loom, they were previously unacquainted. The old inhabitants of that district still acknowledge their obligations to the illustrious stranger in the following quaint distich:—

"May God bless Margaret of Anjou,
 For she taught our Dunfermline websters to sew."

It is pleasant to be able to quote even this rude rhyme in commemora-

¹ Liven of the Douglasses.

tion of the feminine accomplishments of the Bellona of English history, whom the general reader would rather expect to find instructing the bonnie Scots to sharpen battle-axes, than beguiling her sorrowful hours by teaching their wives and daughters to handle needles. Yet there is nothing inconsistent with Margaret's real characteristics in the tradition : she inherited her father's love for the refinements of polished life, and possessed a natural taste for the statistics of trade and commerce. She was, moreover, the patroness of the only female company ever established in England,—the sisterhood of the silk-women, an evidence of the interest she took in the industrious occupations of her own sex, and her desire to improve their condition in the state. Circumstances compelled her to become a leader of armies ; but her royal foundation of Queen's College, Cambridge, and the fact of her fitting out ships, at her own expense, to trade with the ports of the Mediterranean, prove that nature intended her for better things. The stormy influence of evil times acted for evil on her excitable temperament, and turned her energies to fierce and destructive purposes. Edward IV. was accustomed to say, " He feared her more when a fugitive, and in want of the absolute necessities of life, than he did all the princes of the house of Lancaster combined."¹ She was, indeed, the only individual of that party who possessed sufficient talent to give him cause for uneasiness. The friendly relations she had succeeded in establishing with the Scottish queen and cabinet secured so honourable and suitable an asylum for king Henry, that he was enabled to emerge from his retreat at Kirkeudbright, and appear in his own character once more.

The exchequer rolls of Scotland bear record of payments made before the 22nd of February, 1461, to John Kincard, keeper of the palace of Linlithgow, for repairing the said palace in expectation of the coming of the king of England ; also of payment of the sum of 5*l.* 7*s.* 11*d.* to Sir Henry Kingham, steward of the queen [of Scotland], for expenses incurred by the latter in Dumfries, Lanark, and Linlithgow, in *sally* [salvage or wild] cattle and sheep delivered to the king and queen of England."² The pecuniary distress of the royal pair is sufficiently indicated by the next entry of the same date : " Payment made of one hundred pounds to the queen of England for a golden chalice or cup, pledged to our lady the queen, through the hands of the keeper of the privy seal." There is also an entry of payment made between the 17th of March, 1461, and the penult of July, of two hundred pounds to the queen of England, and of grain and provender for six horses of the prince of England in Falkland during twenty-three days, by order of our lady the queen."³ Edward of Lancaster was at that time treated as the betrothed of the sister of the youthful sovereign of Scotland.

¹ Le Moine.

² Excerpts from the Exchequer rolls of Scotland, communicated by *Zt.* Riddell.

³ *Ibid.*

While Margaret of Anjou, with the formidable activity of a chess-queen, was attempting, from her safe refuge in Scotland, to check her adversary's game, she was, with the king her husband and her little son, proscribed and attainted by the parliament of the rival sovereign of England, and it was forbidden to all their former subjects to hold any sort of communication with them, on pain of death.¹ The whole of England was now subjected to the authority of Edward IV.; yet there was still an undying interest pervading the great body of the people in favour of the blameless monarch, to whom their oaths of allegiance had been in the first instance plighted. Poetry, that powerful pleader to the sympathies of generous natures in behalf of fallen princes, failed not to take the holy Henry for its theme. The following lines, from the contemporary verses of John Awdlay, the blind poet, have some rugged pathos, and afford a specimen of the minstrelsy of the period.—

"I pray you, sirs, of your gentry,
Sing this carol reverently,
For it is made of king Henry.
Great need for him we have to pray;
If he fare well, well shall we be,
Or else we may lament full sorely:
For him shall weep full many an eye,
Thus prophesies the blind Awdlay."²

The devoted nature of the attachment Margaret excited among the Lancastrian chiefs, may be gathered from the following letter from two of her adherents, whom she had sent, with the duke of Somerset, on a private mission to her royal kinsman and friend, Charles VII. These letters, which were intended to break to the luckless queen the calamitous tidings of that monarch's death, were addressed to Margaret in Scotland, but were intercepted at sea:—

"MADAM,

"Please your good grace, we have since your coming hither written to your highness thrice, one by the carvel in which we came, the other two from Dieppe. But, madam, it was all one thing in substance,—putting you in knowledge of your uncle's death (Charles VII.), whom God assoil, and how we stood arrested, and do yet. But on Tuesday next we shall up to the king (Louis XI.) your cousin-german. His *commissaires*, at the first of our tarrying, took all our letters and writings, and bare them up to the king, leaving my lord of Somerset in keeping [under arrest] at the castle of Arques, and my fellow Whyttingham and me (for we had safe-conduct) in the town of Dieppe, where we are yet.

"Madam, fear not, but be of good comfort; and beware ye venture not your person, *ne my* lord the prince, by sea, till ye have other word from us, unless your person cannot be sure where ye are, and extreme necessity drive ye thence. And for God's sake let the king's highness be advised of the same, for, as we are informed, the earl of March (Edward IV.) is into Wales by land, and hath sent his navy thither by sea. And madam, think verily, as soon as we be delivered, we shall come straight to you, unless death take us by the way (which we trust he

¹ Rolls of Parliament. Rymer's *Fœdera*.

² We have a little modernised the spelling of this literary curiosity, which is quoted in

Mr. Halliwell's clever *Introduction to the Warkworth Chronicle*, from MS. Druce; Bib. Bodl. Oxon. No. 302, fol. 29, vol. a.

will not), till we see the king and you peaceably again in your realm; the which we beseech God soon to see, and to send you that your highness desireth. Written at Dieppe the 30th day of August, 1461.

— "Your true subjects and liegemen,
"HUNGERFORD and WHYTINGHAM."

These faithful adherents of Margaret had, with the duke of Somerset, been arrested in the disguise of merchants by the orders of Louis XI., who, with his usual selfish policy, was willing to propitiate the victorious Edward of York:² after much trouble, queen Margaret succeeded in obtaining their liberation through the intercession of the count of Charolois. "In the month of March," says William of Worcester, "the duke of Somerset returned in a ship from Flanders to Scotland; and the queen of Scotland conceived the greatest hatred to him, because he revealed her too favourable regard for him to the king of France, for which she carried her resentment to such a height, that she engaged the lord of Hailes to devise a plot for taking away his life." Though Somerset was so fortunate as to escape the vengeance he so richly merited, this untoward business was doubtless the cause of breaking up the friendly relations which Margaret had established with the court of Scotland, for we find that, in the first week in April, she and her son, and a party of their followers, embarked at Kirkcudbright for France. The same month, the earl of Warwick, with other Yorkist nobles, came to Dumfries on an embassy for contracting a marriage between the Scottish queen and their victorious sovereign, Edward IV. As Dumfries is but three hours' journey from Kirkcudbright, there was good cause for Margaret's departure; but, doubtless, she had already received her *congé*, to pave the way for the reception of the Yorkist ambassade.³

Margaret, being entirely destitute of money, was indebted for the means of performing this voyage to the gratitude of a French merchant, to whom, in her early days, she had rendered an important service at her father's court at Nanci. He had since amassed great wealth, by establishing a commercial intercourse between the Low Countries and Scotland. He was in Scotland at the time of Margaret's sore distress, and provided her with ships and money for the purpose she required.⁴ The pecuniary aid supplied by private friendship is, however, seldom proportioned to the exigencies of exiled royalty, and Margaret was compelled to make an appeal to the compassion of the duke of Bretagne immediately after she entered his dominions. The duke received her well and honourably, and presented her with the seasonable donation of twelve thousand crowns; with which she was enabled to administer to the necessities of some of her ruined followers, and to pursue her journey to Chinon, in Normandy, where Louis XI. was with his court. "It was to that imperturbable politician—that man without a human sym-

¹ Paston Papers, vol. i. p. 247.

² *ibid.* Barante. Leclercque. Monstrelet.

³ Wm. of Wor., pp. 492—3.

⁴ Prevost.

pathy—that the fallen queen turned in her despair, not knowing where else to look for aid.” Louis was cousin-german both to Margaret and her consort, for Henry VI. was the son of his aunt, Katherine of Valois, and Margaret was the daughter of his maternal uncle, René of Anjou; but what were ties of kindred or affection to a prince, who constantly played among his royal compeers the part which Æsop has assigned to the fox in the fable? Louis had watched, with malicious pleasure, the progressive acts of the sanguinary tragedy of the rival roses, and done his utmost to keep up the fierce strife by underhand excitement. Such, indeed, had always been the policy of France during domestic broils in England; but Louis, with a keen eye to his political interest, calculated on being able to snatch a portion of the prey for which the kindred lions of Plantagenet were contending. The moment for him to make the attempt he conceived was now at hand, and with sarcastic satisfaction thus intimated his anticipated success to one of his ministers:—“As soon as you receive my letters, come to Amboise. You will find me there, preparing for the good cheer I shall have, to recompense me for all the trouble I have had in this country all the winter. The queen of England has arrived. I pray you to hasten hither, that we may consult on what I have to do. I shall commence on Tuesday, and expect to play my game to some purpose; so, if you have nothing very good to suggest, I shall work it out my own way, and I assure you I foresee good winnings.”¹

“The good cheer,” says Michelet, “that Louis had in view, was the recovery of Calais, and to recover it by English hands in the name of Henry VI. and of Marguerite. That sad queen of England, sick with shame and thirst of vengeance since her defeat at Towton, had followed Louis from place to place,—to Bourdeaux, to Chinon, imploring his assistance. Louis played with her impatience, turned a deaf ear to her supplications, and allowed her to remain in suspense. What had she to give him? Nothing but her honour and promises of gratitude. Louis demanded proofs, something tangible.”² When, at last, he granted an audience to his unfortunate kinswoman, and she threw herself at his feet, and with floods of tears implored his assistance in behalf of her dethroned consort, she found him callous to her impassioned eloquence, and not only indifferent to her grief, but eager to profit by the adverse circumstances which had brought her as a suppliant to the foot of his throne. The only condition on which he would even advance a small loan of 20,000 livres in her dire necessity was, that she should, in the name of king Henry, pledge Calais to him as a security for its repayment within twelve months. The exigency of her situation compelled Margaret to accede to these hard terms. Probably she considered, in the very spirit of a female politician, that she made little sacrifice in

¹ Michelet, *Histoire de France*, tom. viii. p. 161

² *Ibid.*

stipulating to surrender that which was not in her possession, and which, after all, Louis never got.

The agreement into which queen Margaret entered with Louis did not, as her enemies have represented, involve the sale of Calais, but simply amounted to a mortgage of that important place. The document by which the arrangement is explained is still preserved in the archives of France.¹ This transaction was reported greatly to Margaret's disadvantage in England, and, like the recent surrender of Berwick, was considered by the great body of the people as an act of treason against the realm. Louis bestowed many deceitful marks of regard on Margaret while this negotiation was in progress, and she was complimented by being united with him in the office of sponsor to the infant son of the duke and duchess of Orleans, afterwards Louis XII. of France, whom she presented at the baptismal font.²

It was fruitless for Margaret to look for succour from her own family. King René and his son were engaged in a desperate and ruinous contest with Alphonso, king of Arragon, which the resources of Anjou and Provence were over-taxed to support.³ Kindred and countrymen had failed her in her sore adversity, but her appeal to all true knights to aid her in her attempts to redress the wrongs of her royal spouse, and vindicate the rights of her son, met with a response which proved that the days of chivalry were not ended. "If we are to believe the French historians," says Guthrie, "Pierre Brezé, the seneschal of Normandy, impelled by a more tender motive than that of compassion or ambition, entered as a volunteer, with two thousand men, into her service." Brezé had formerly been the minister and favourite of Margaret's uncle, Charles VII. He was one of the commissioners by whom her inauspicious marriage with Henry VI. was negotiated, and he had greatly distinguished himself at her bridal tournament. Eighteen years of care and sorrow had passed over the royal beauty, in whose honour Sir Pierre de Brezé had maintained the pre-eminence of the "daisy flower," against all challengers, in the Place de Carrière;⁴ and now that she, who had been the star and inspiration of the poets and chevaliers of France, had returned to her native land, desolate, sorrow-stricken, and dis-crowned, Pierre de Brezé manifested a devotion to her interests which proved how little external circumstances had to do with the attachments excited by this princess.

CHAPTER III.

MARGARET sailed for England in October, after an absence of five months, and, eluding the vigilance of Edward's fleet, which had been long in waiting to intercept her, she made the coast of Northumberland.

¹ Lingard.

² Philip de Comines. Barante

³ Barante. Villeneuve

⁴ *Ibid.*

She attempted to land at Tynemouth, but the garrison pointed their cannon against her.¹ According to some accounts, she resolutely effected her purpose, but had scarcely set her foot on shore, when the foreign levy, understanding that Warwick was in the field at the head of forty thousand men, fled to their ships in a panic, leaving queen Margaret, her son, and Brezé almost alone. A fisherman's boat was the only vessel that could be obtained for these illustrious fugitives, and in this frail bark they escaped the fury of the storm which dashed the tall ships of the recreants who had forsaken them on the rocky coast of Bamborough. Margaret and Brezé were the first who carried the evil tidings of the loss of her munitions and dearly-purchased treasures to her anxious friends at Berwick.² Hope must have been an undying faculty of Margaret's nature, and at this crisis it animated her to exertions almost beyond the powers of woman. The winter was unusually severe, and she, the native of a southern clime, exposed herself unshrinkingly to every sort of hardship. Once more she sought and obtained assistance from the Scotch, and placed her devoted champion, Brezé, at the head of the forces with which she was supplied. She then brought king Henry into the field, who had previously been hidden in her safe refuge at Harlech-castle. Their precious boy she left at Berwick,³ not wishing to expose his tender childhood, though by this time well inured to hardships, to a northern campaign during so inclement a winter. This was her first separation from her son, and doubtless it was keenly felt by Margaret, who was apt at times to forget the heroine in the mother. Success at first attended her efforts: the important fortresses of Bamborough, Alnwick, and Dunstanburgh were taken by her, and garrisoned with Scotch and Frenchmen. But these alliances did her more harm than good with the people of England, and popular prejudice is always more terrible to princes "than an army with banners."

In the course of this campaign a defection happened among her own party, for which Margaret was unprepared. Somerset, for whose house she had sacrificed so much, surrendered the castle of Bamborough to Warwick, on condition of receiving a pension from king Edward, and with Suffolk and Exeter, carried perjured homage to the throne of that monarch. This was followed by the fall of Dunstanburgh; yet Margaret continued courageously to struggle against fortune, and succeeded in winning back Somerset, Exeter, and Percy to the banner of the Red rose. Then the earl of Warwick, with an army of 20,000 men, invested Alnwick, in which Pierre de Brezé was shut up with 500 of his French followers, chiefly volunteers of noble birth, who from motives of the most romantic chivalry had engaged in the cause of their royal countrywoman, queen Margaret. She was, of course, painfully solicitous for the safety of these devoted friends, and made earnest entreaties to that

¹ Holinshed. Trussel. Monstrelet. Prevost ² Hall. Holinshed. Trussel. Lingard. ³ Ibid.

powerful Scotch magnate, the earl of Angus, to attempt their deliverance. Angus briefly replied, "Madam, I will do my best." He presently assembled a thousand cavaliers, with which he scoured across the border, bringing with him five hundred horses ready saddled and bridled. When he came within sight of the castle, he arranged a bold front line of battle as if he intended to attack the besieging army; and while he thus amused them, sent his five hundred spare horses, under the escort of some choice troops, to a postern of the castle, whence the Frenchmen issued forth, mounted them, and rode off with him and his northern cavalry. Some of the English nobles told the earl of Warwick that it was a great affront to them to allow the Frenchmen to be thus carried from under their noses, as it were, by such a handful of men, and were eager to pursue and stop them; but Warwick told them his orders were to take the castle, which he should thus gain on easy terms. "Who knows," said he, "what more men they may have ambushed in the park, or elsewhere? Let them take the men; I shall get the castle, which is all that my commission enjoins." Angus returned merrily, without the loss of a single man, to queen Margaret, and told her he had performed his promise, and brought her an acceptable present,—even all her French chevaliers, for whose safety she had been so anxious.¹

In the spring of 1463, "England was again set on a field" at the fatal battle of Hexham. "King Henry," says Hall, "was the best horseman of his company that day, for he fled so fast, no one could overtake him; yet he was closely pursued, and three of his horsemen, or body-guard, with their horses, trapped in blue velvet, were captured,—one of them wearing the unfortunate monarch's cap of state, called a 'bicocket,' embroidered with two crowns of gold, and ornamented with pearls." Margaret succeeded in effecting her escape with the prince and a few of her people. They fled towards the Scotch border, taking with them as many of the crown jewels and other treasures as they could secure: among these, as the unfortunate heroine afterwards told her cousin, the duchess of Bourbon, were some large vessels of silver and gold, which she hoped to have carried safely into Scotland; but while thus laden, she and her company were overtaken by a party of plunderers, who robbed them of everything, and even despoiled her and the little prince of Wales of their ornaments and rich array,—fatal trappings of state, which, being of a fashion, colour, and material rigorously forbidden by the sumptuary laws to persons of lower degree, of course betrayed the rank of the royal fugitives, and subjected the unfortunate queen to very barbarous treatment. "They dragged her,"¹ she

¹ Lives of the Douglasses, Home of Godscroft.

² These particulars, which differ from those in the earlier editions of this work, are derived

from Margaret's narrative of her perilous adventures on her retreat from Hexham, related by herself to the duchess de Bourbon at St. Pol in the presence of George Chastellain, the

said, "with brutal violence and furious menaces before their leader, held a drawn sword in readiness to cut her throat, and threatened her with all sorts of tortures and indignities; whereupon she threw herself on her knees with clasped hands, weeping and crying aloud for mercy, and implored them by every consideration, human or divine, and for the honour of nobility, of royalty, and above all, for the sake of womanhood, to have pity on her, and not to mangle or disfigure her unfortunate body, so as to prevent it from being recognised after death. For although," continued she, "I have had the ill-luck to fall into your hands, I am the daughter and the wife of a king, and was in past time recognised by yourselves as your queen. Wherefore, if now you stain your hands with my blood, your cruelty will be held in abhorrence by all men, throughout all ages." She accompanied these words with floods of tears, and then began to recommend herself with earnest prayers to the mercy of God.¹

While Margaret was engaged in these agonizing supplications, some of the ruffians began to quarrel about the division of the rich booty of which they had despoiled her. From angry words, they fell to furious fighting one with another: a dreadful slaughter ensued, which proved a providential diversion in favour of the royal prisoners, for the men who had been preparing to put the queen to a cruel death, ran to take part in the conflict in order to secure their share of the plunder, and paid no further heed to her or her son. Margaret took advantage of their attention being thus withdrawn to address herself to a squire, who was the only person remaining near her, and conjured him, "by the passion of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, to have pity on her, and do what he could to assist her to make her escape." The squire, whose heart God had touched with compassion for her distress, and who was luckily provided with a horse, which was able and willing to carry, not only double, but threefold, responded to her appeal in these encouraging words: "Madam, mount behind me, and you, my lord prince, before; and I will save you, or perish in the attempt." Margaret and her boy promptly complied with this direction, and made off unpursued, the ruffians being too much occupied in rending each other, like savage beasts over their prey, to observe the escape of their prisoners.²

This scene occurred in the neighbourhood of Hexham-forest, and thither the fugitives directed their flight, as offering the best facilities for concealment. Such was the decision of the squire, who was the conductor of the party; as for Margaret, she was in no condition to form a judgment as to what course to take, for, as she afterwards declared, not only her brain, but every nerve and vein in her whole body

erald of the Golden Fleece, recorded by him
in his *Chronicles of the Dukes of Burgundy*.

¹ Chastellain, *Chronicles of the Dukes of Burgundy*.

² *Ibid.*

retained so terrible an impression of the frightful peril with which she had been menaced, that when they plunged into the dark depths of the forest, she fancied every tree she saw was a man with a naked sword in his hand, crying to her, "*à la mort!*" In this piteous state of excitement, maternal solicitude for her boy being the master-feeling, she kept repeating "that it was not for herself she feared, but for her son. Her death would be a matter of little moment, but his would be too great a calamity,—utter ruin to every one; for he was the true heir of the crown, and all might go right again if his life could but be preserved." Then she again abandoned herself to paroxysms of terror for that precious child, not believing it possible that they should ever get clear of the forest without falling a second time into the hands of the pitiless foes, from whom they had escaped by scarcely less than a miraculous intervention of Providence. Margaret had, indeed, only too much cause for alarm: although the danger which appeared still present to her was over, perils no less frightful surrounded her on every side. Hexham-forest was then a sort of "dead man's ground," which few travellers ventured to cross, except in large parties well armed; for it was the resort of the ferocious banditti of the northern marches, who were the scourge and terror of both the Scotch and English border, and whose rapacity and cruelty had placed them out of the pale of humanity.

The night which succeeded a day so fatal to the cause of Lancaster, closed over the fugitive queen and her boy while they were wandering in the tangled mazes of Hexham-forest. Neither of them had tasted food since an early hour in the morning, but the pangs of hunger and thirst were bravely borne by the princely child, who had been early inured to hardships, and disregarded by the hapless mother while clinging in her despair to that last frail plank of the foundered bark, which she had laboured for the last twelve years to steer through seas too stormy for a female pilot's skill. To add to her distress, Margaret was uncertain whether the king her husband was alive or dead, as they had fled in different directions. While she was lamenting over the calamitous events of that disastrous day, she suddenly perceived, by the light of the rising moon, an armed man of gigantic stature and stern aspect advancing towards her with threatening gestures. At first she imagined that he belonged to the band of pitiless ruffians from whom she had fled, but a second glance at his dress and equipments convinced her that he must be one of the forest outlaws, of whose remorseless cruelty to travellers she had heard many frightful instances. Her courage rose with the greatness of the danger, and perceiving that there was no possibility of escape except through God's mercy, maternal love impelled her to make an effort for the preservation of her son, and she called the robber to her. There is something in the tone and manner of those whose voca-

tion is command which, generally speaking, ensures the involuntary respect of attention. The robber drew near, and listened to what Margaret had to say. The popular version of the story is, that she took the little prince by the hand, and presented him to the outlaw with these words: "Here, my friend, save the son of your king."¹ But if Margaret's own account of this memorable passage of her life is to be credited, she was not quite so abrupt in making a communication attended with such imminent danger to her son, nor before she had in some degree felt her way by an eloquent and impassioned appeal to the compassion of the unknown outlaw, who came not in a guise to invite a precipitate confidence. She commenced the parley by telling him "that if he were in quest of booty she and her little son had already been rifled by others of all they possessed, showing him that they had been despoiled even of their upper garments, and had nothing now to lose but their lives; yet, although she supposed he was accustomed to shed the blood of travellers, she was sure he would have pity on her, when she told him who she was." Then bending her eyes upon him, she pathetically added, "It is the unfortunate queen of England, thy princess, who hath fallen into thine hands in her desolation and distress. And if," continued she, "O man! thou hast any knowledge of God, I beseech thee, for the sake of His passion who for our salvation took our nature on him, to have compassion on my misery. But if you slay me, spare at least my little one, for he is the only son of thy king, and, if it please God, the true heir of this realm. Save him, then, I pray thee, and make thine arms his sanctuary. He is thy future king, and it will be a glorious deed to preserve him,—one that shall efface the memory of all thy crimes, and witness for thee when thou shalt stand hereafter before Almighty God. Oh, man! win God's grace to-day by succouring an afflicted mother, and giving life to the dead." Then perceiving that the robber was moved by her tears and earnest supplications, she put the young prince into his arms with these words: "I charge thee to preserve from the violence of others that innocent royal blood, which I do consign to thy care. Take him, and conceal him from those who seek his life. Give him a refuge in thine obscure hiding-place, and he will one day give thee free access to his royal chamber and make thee one of his barons, if by thy means he is happily preserved to enjoy the splendour of the crown, which doth of right pertain to him as his inheritance."²

The outlaw, "whose heart," to use the impressive words of the royal heroine of this strange romance of history, "the Holy Ghost had softened,"³ when he understood that the afflicted lady who addressed these moving words to him was indeed the queen of the land, threw himself

¹ Richard Wassaburg. Monstrelet. Carte, &c., &c.

the duchess de Bourbon at St. Pol, recorded by George Chastellain.

² Recital made by Margaret of Anjou to

³ Chron. des Ducs de Bourgogne, p 232.

at her feet and wept with her ; declaring, withal, “ that he would die a thousand deaths, and endure all the tortures that could be inflicted on him, rather than abandon, much less betray, the noble child.” He also besought the queen to pardon all his offences against the law, with no less humility than if she had borne the sceptre of sovereign authority in London, and his life depended on her fiat. One of Margaret’s French biographers affirms that this outlaw was a ruined Lancastrian gentleman ;¹ but this statement receives no confirmation from Margaret’s own account of the matter, who spoke with anguish of the dire necessity which had constrained her to entrust her only child to the protection of a robber.² No belted knight, however, could have acquitted himself more nobly of the trust the unfortunate queen had confided to his honour. Raising the weary prince in his arms, he led the way, followed by the queen and the squire, to his secret retreat,—a cave in a secluded spot on the south bank of the rapid little stream which washes the foot of Blackhill, where the royal fugitives were refreshed, and received all the comfort and attention his wife was able to bestow. The local traditions of Hexham and Tynedale preserve a lively remembrance of this incident. The robber’s den, which afforded shelter in their utmost need to the Lancastrian queen and prince of Wales, is still known by the name of “ Queen Margaret’s Cave,” and seems to have been well adapted for the purpose. The entrance to it is very low, behind the bank of the rivulet or bourn, and was formerly concealed from sight and surrounded by wild wood. Its dimensions are thirty-four by fourteen feet : the height will barely allow a full-grown person to stand upright. A massive pillar of rude masonry in the centre of the cave seems to mark the boundary of a wall, which, it is said, once divided it into two distinct apartments. When warmed and cheered by fire and lamp, it would not appear quite so dismal a den as at present.

Such was the retreat in which the queen and prince remained *perdue* for two days of agonizing suspense. On the third morning their host encountered Sir Pierre de Brezé and an English gentleman, who, having escaped the robbers at Hexham, had been making anxious search for her and the prince.³ From these devoted friends Margaret learned the escape of her royal husband, and the terrible vengeance that had been executed on Somerset, and her faithful adherents the lords Hungerford and Roos.⁴ Margaret received these tidings with floods of tears. A few hours later, the English gentleman by whom Brezé was accompanied, having gone into the neighbouring villages to gather tidings of public events, recognised the duke of Exeter and Edmund Beaufort, the brother and successor of the unfortunate duke of Somerset. He conducted them to the retreat of the proscribed queen and the youthful

¹ The abbé Prevost.² George Chastellain.³ Prevost.⁴ They were beheaded in the market-place at Hexham, without trial.

hope of Lancaster. Margaret's spirits revived at the sight of these princes, whom she had numbered with the slain of Hexham, and she determined to send them to their powerful kinsman, the duke of Burgundy, to solicit an asylum at the court of Dijon for herself and the prince of Wales, while she once more proceeded to the court of Scotland, where she imagined king Henry had found refuge. On quitting the dwelling of the generous outlaw, from whom she had received such providential succour in her dire distress, she accorded all she had to bestow,—her grateful thanks. The dukes of Somerset and Exeter offered a portion of their scanty supply of money as a reward to his wife for the services she had rendered to the queen; but, with a nobility of soul worthy of a loftier station, she refused to receive any portion of that which might be so precious to them at a time of need. "Of all I have lost," exclaimed the queen, "I regret nothing so much as the power of recompensing such virtue." Accompanied by Brezé and the squire, and attended by the outlaw of Hexham in the capacity of a guide, Margaret and the young prince her son took the road to Carlisle, from whence she once more proceeded to her old quarters at Kirkcudbright.¹

The treaty which had been concluded between king Edward and the Scottish regency rendered it necessary for the Lancastrian queen to maintain a strict incognita; but there was an Englishman of the name of Cork, who was unfortunately well acquainted with her person, the majestic beauty of which it was scarcely possible to disguise. This man determined to open a path to fortune by delivering to king Edward the last hope and support of the cause of the Red rose. With the assistance of several confederates, whom he bribed to engage in this barbarous project, he surprised Margaret's brave protectors, Brezé and the squire Barville, and hurried them on board a vessel which he had provided for the purpose, and with less difficulty succeeded in the abduction of the helpless queen and her little son. Neither party were aware of the captivity of the other, till the first rays of the sun enabled the queen and Brezé to recognise each other, and afforded a sad conviction of their peril. The great personal strength of Brezé, however, enabled him to extricate himself from his bonds in the course of the night, and he watched an opportunity for removing those of the squire. They were then two against five, but, having got possession of the oars, they contrived to master their opponents, and, after a desperate struggle, slew

¹ During my pleasant visit at St. Mary's Isle, in the autumn of 1847, I was shown a handful of English sixpences and shillings, chiefly of the fifteenth century, which had been recently dug up on the earl of Selkirk's estate, having probably been concealed there by some unfortunate Lancastrian exile during one of the temporary sojourns of Henry VI. or Margaret at Kirkcudbright. Among these coins one or two of Edward III. might be dis-

tinguished by their weight, size, and superior quality. Those of the Lancastrian sovereigns manifested a progressive deterioration, which reached a *ne plus ultra* in the thin base sixpences of Henry VI., nearly eaten up with verdigris, in consequence of excessive adulteration. They afforded convincing evidence of the financial miseries of the hapless prince whose image and superscription they bore.

some, and threw the others overboard, not without extreme peril of upsetting the boat. After tossing for some hours in the gulf of Solway, the wind changing, drove the boat back upon the Scottish coast, and she struck on a sand-bank in the mouth of the bay of Kirkcudbright, which must have been off the tiny islet now called the Little Ross, where there appeared every chance of her being beaten to pieces by the waves. It was, however, so near the shore, that Brezé, wading knee-deep in sand and water, succeeded in conveying the queen on his shoulders to a dry spot, and Barville performed the same service for the prince of Wales.¹ They came on shore, not at Kirkcudbright, but the opposite side, then a wild and desolate tract of country, where, at any rate, Margaret had no fear of being recognised, since the peasantry were so ignorant, that they could not believe any one was a queen, unless she had a crown on her head and a sceptre in her hand.

In one of the obscure hamlets of this rude country Margaret remained with her son under the care of Brezé, while she despatched Barville to Edinburgh, to ascertain the general state of affairs in England, and the fate of king Henry.² His reports were such as to convince her that she must hoard her energies for better days. The most mortifying intelligence of all to Margaret was the fact, that the matrimonial contract between the prince of Wales and the Scotch king's sister had been dissolved by the interference of the old antagonist of her house, Philip, duke of Burgundy, the queen-mother's uncle, who had sent the lord of Grauthuse to his royal niece, interdicting the Lancastrian alliance.³ As this great prince was at that time the arbiter of Europe, his will was law in that instance. Margaret of Anjou, the poorest and most friendless princess in the world, in the first transports of her bootless indignation, is said to have launched into a torrent of invectives against the duke, declaring "that if he ever were to fall into her power, she would make the axe pass between his head and shoulders." Such, at least, was the report that was carried to him.⁴ Margaret privately visited Edinburgh, to try the effect of her personal eloquence once more, but found that her presence caused great uneasiness to the government. All the favour she could obtain was assistance for returning to her friends in Northumberland, who still continued with determined valour to hold out the fortress of Bamborough. From this place Margaret, with a heavy heart, embarked for France with her son, and some of her ladies who had taken refuge there after the disappearance of their royal mistress. Sir John Fortescue (who had abandoned his office as lord chief-justice of England, to follow the fortunes of the proscribed queen and his princely pupil), Dr. Morton, afterwards the famous cardinal-archbishop of York, and about two hundred of the ruined adherents of Lancaster shared her flight.

¹ Prevost.² *Ibid.*³ Monstrelet.⁴ Barante.

Her usual ill-luck, with regard to weather, attended the unhappy Margaret on this voyage. The first day she sailed, her vessel was separated by a terrible storm from its consort, and during twelve hours she expected every moment to be engulfed in the tempestuous waves; and when the violence of the hurricane abated, her ship was so greatly damaged, that she was forced to put into the port of Ecluse, in the dominions of her hereditary enemy, the duke of Burgundy.¹ She landed on the last day of July, 1463. Every one there was astonished that she ventured to come on shore, after all the bitter expressions of hostility she had used against the duke. Some of the inhabitants of that place were cruel enough to tell her so, and taunted her with having brought all her misfortunes upon herself.² Nothing could be more deplorable than the circumstances in which she now presented herself before her foes. She had neither money, jewels, nor credit wherewith to propitiate hard hearts to show her kindness, but came among them all desolate, and devoid of the common necessities of life. Neither she nor the prince of Wales, her son, had any of the external attributes of royalty, except those which nature had given them. Instead of the regal mantle and sweeping train, which, according to the then despotic laws of costume, no queen could appear without, Margaret wore a short round gown called a "rochette," and she had no means of changing it for a more appropriate dress, for it was the only one she possessed in the world.³ Her whole retinue consisted of seven females, who were appalled no better than their royal mistress.

This unfortunate princess, formerly one of the most magnificent of queens in the world, was now the poorest, not having wherewithal to purchase a morsel of bread for the sustenance of herself and her little son but what came out of the purse of her faithful knight, Sir Pierre de Brezé, who was himself in extreme distress, having spent all his fortune in her service, and in assisting her to carry on the war against her enemies. "He told me, himself," says his friend George Chastellain, the chronicler of Burgundy, "that it had cost him nearly 50,000 crowns. It was a piteous thing," continues our authority, "to see this mighty princess in such a dire vicissitude, and after a narrow escape from the most extreme perils, dying almost of hunger and fatigue, constrained to give herself up to a person who, of all the world, was the most exasperated against her. Yet she was full of hope that she should be able to obtain grace if she might be permitted to enter his presence, and that her high and noble courage in her calamities would move him to pity, —perhaps to succour her. As soon as she came on shore, she despatched a faithful Scotch gentleman, named Carbonnel, to apprise the duke of Burgundy of her arrival, and to pray that he would appoint some place

¹ Barante. Monstrelet. Chastellain, *Chronique des Ducs de Bourgogne*

² *Ibid.*

³ *Ibid.*

where she might come and speak to him, in order to explain the circumstances that had compelled her to land in his country, observing, "that she had a long time before asked a safe-conduct to pass through his territories, in which, however, she had been, as it appeared, circumvented; but she came now in her humility and poverty, to seek of his greatness a refuge for herself and her child in her distress, which she trusted he was too proud to deny her."

The duke of Burgundy was at that time performing a pilgrimage to the shrine of Our Lady of Boulogne, accompanied by his sister, the duchess of Bourbon, but was detained by sickness on the road. When queen Margaret's message was delivered to him, he received it politely, but excused himself from her offered visit with solemn courtesy, stating that "His lodgings were too small to receive a princess of her quality, and that he could not permit her to undertake the fatigue of a journey to come to him; that it was the etiquette for him to visit her, and that he certainly should have done so but for the accident of his illness; and that he would shortly send one of his knights to welcome her, and make his apologies in proper form."¹ The gentleman whom the duke selected for this mission was Messire Philippe Pot, a knight of the Golden Fleece, and although his name has not the most aristocratic sound in the world, was the lord of La Roche, and a person of great consequence.

Messire Philippe Pot, on receiving the duke's commands, went to Bruges, where he found Sir Pierre de Brezé, with whom he proceeded to Ecluse, and was presented by him to queen Margaret. After he had performed his *devoir*, by rendering all due honours to the queen in the duke his master's name, and as his representative, he addressed a long and very formal harangue to her, setting forth his highness's regret "that, being absent on the aforesaid pilgrimage, and engaged on business of great importance, besides being summoned by the king of France to assist at a convention between the French and English for the adjustment of a general peace, it was not possible for him to wait on her. And as to the request she had been pleased to make of his appointing a place where she might meet and confer with him, he must beg her not to think of it, for they were a long way apart, and the proximity of Calais made it very dangerous for her to venture into that neighbourhood."

When the queen had heard him to an end, she thanked him very courteously for all the fair words and affectionate expressions he had used; perceiving, however, that the duke plainly excused himself from seeing her, she added, "Lord of la Roche, on departing from the place where I had the grief of leaving my lord and husband, he charged me not to allow any earthly consideration, either of good or ill, to prevent me from coming to his fair cousin of Burgundy, to explain to him the

¹ Chastellain's Chron. of the Dukes of Burgundy.

multitude of malicious reports that have been made to him of us by our enemies. In obedience to that command, I have thus ventured to come and land in his dominions; and, with no other aid than that of God, I will go in quest of him, whether it imperil me or not, for I regard it as a matter of duty. You are a knight of his order,¹ so I conjure you by your chivalry, which binds you to succour all distressed ladies to the utmost of your power, to give me the benefit of your counsel in this matter, by telling me how I ought to proceed." When the knight, who appears to have been of a phlegmatic temperament, heard himself thus passionately adjured, not knowing very well what to say, he prudently replied, "Madam, I have told you all I was charged to do, and why my lord sent me to you. In regard to advising you in any way, that is beyond my orders; and as my lord has not directed me how to answer you, I dare not take upon myself to do it. Truly I am a knight, unworthy of that order, and would willingly acquit myself of my duty to you, and others in like case, were I in my private capacity merely; but being employed in so high a matter, and having received my orders in precise terms, I dare not transgress my commission."—"Sieur de la Roche," said the queen, "you have executed your charge honourably, and no one can blame you: I also have to answer touching the charge which I have received from my lord and husband. Will you then shun replying a word of counsel in this strait for fear of exceeding your commission, when the performance of the charge I have taken upon myself may perhaps involve my death? For, be assured, that were my fair cousin, the duke of Burgundy, to go to the very end of the world, I would follow him on foot, begging my bread by the way till I found him. Now, then, since this resolution is formed in me, of which my fair cousin, your master, is ignorant, what law can there be to hinder you from telling me the best way of carrying my purpose into effect?" When the knight saw the determined courage of this unfortunate princess, he replied, "Alas, madam! since you have so thoroughly made up your mind that nothing can make you alter it, and compel me to give you my advice thereupon, I tell you that the simplest way you can do is, to let the duke know by me that you are coming to him, and then, perhaps, he may take it well enough to come to you."

Margaret having succeeded in extorting this opinion at last from the cautious courtier, entertained him to the best of her little power, by making him partake of such refreshments as her poverty allowed her to offer; after which he took his leave, and returned to make his report to the duke his master. He found that prince at Boulogne, and told him "that nothing on earth could turn the queen of England from setting out in quest of him, for that see him she would." Now the duke had made up his mind not to see her, but having been twice married, he was

¹ Of the Golden Fleece.

able to form a correct estimate of the uselessness of opposing the determination of a lady of Margaret of Anjou's spirit; so he replied, "If she *will* see me, I must e'en see her, and receive her with such a measure of courtesy as the case may require; but the journey is really too perilous for her to undertake. I understand the English at Calais are already on the look-out for her, and will be sure to intercept her on the road to Hesdin."¹ A manly feeling of compassion prompted the duke to send a messenger to warn Margaret of her danger, and to advise her to stop at St. Pol, "where, to spare her the fatigue and peril of travelling to him, he would endeavour to meet her by the end of August."² Margaret had, meantime, advanced from Ecluse to Bruges, and there she found herself under the necessity of leaving her little son with her ladies, partly because he was not in presentable condition, and she could not afford the expense of providing him with what was necessary for such an expedition, and partly on account of her uncertainty as to what reception she might meet with from the duke of Burgundy: neither would she venture to expose a life so much more precious to her than her own, to the contingencies of the journey.

"This noble princess," says George Chastellain, "set out from Bruges in a common stage-cart with a canvas tilt, like a poor housewife travelling for dispatch of business, having only three damsels with her, who served her as chamberers: Sir Pierre de Brezé and a few other gentlemen followed the humble vehicle privately, and kept it in sight, to defend the royal traveller, in the event of her being attacked." In all the towns through which queen Margaret passed, when thus thrown by adverse winds and waves on the hostile shores of Burgundy, destitute of the means of supporting the externals of royalty, the people ran in crowds to look at her, and, says one of her French biographers,³ "she was pointed out to every one as the sport or May-game of fortune, or a rich piece of shipwreck; but she bore all unmoved, and the majesty of her countenance, of which no vicissitude could deprive her, remained unaltered." On her first day's journey Margaret was met and recognised by the heir of Burgundy, count Charolois, who was on his way to Bruges. He gave his unfortunate kinswoman five hundred crowns, which he happened to have about him,—a small but seasonable alms. "It was piteous," continues the compassionate chronicler, "to see her former high and royal greatness reduced to so low a pass."⁴

Margaret very narrowly escaped falling into the hands of two hundred English horsemen, who lay in wait for her on the road to Bethune, where she had intended to sleep that night; however, by an especial Providence, as it appeared, she reached St. Pol in safety, where she found abundance of good cheer prepared for her, by order of the duke of Bur-

¹ George Chastellain.³ *Le Moine, Gallery of Heroic Women.*² *Ibid.*

George Chastellain.

gundy. The next day the duke arrived, and hearing that the queen of England was already there, repaired immediately to the quarter of the town where she was lodged. Margaret descended in all haste from her chamber, and advanced almost to the middle of the street to meet him.¹ Etiquette required that they should kiss each other on this occasion. Margaret curtsied twice to the duke, who looked at her to see how she intended to deport herself towards him; and perceiving her humility by the profoundness of her reverences, he bowed in return so low, as almost to amount to a genuflexion. This he would have repeated a second time, but the fallen queen, to whom these formal ceremonials appeared rather a mockery than a compliment, prevented it by catching his arm and entreating him to forbear: "Such honours," she said, "were not due to her from him." Then she thanked God that she had been spared to meet him, whom, of all Christian princes, she had long been most anxious to see. The duke, not wishing the conversation to proceed further, begged to take his leave for the present, and Margaret, well pleased at this beginning, returned joyously to her own apartment.²

When the duke of Burgundy had taken a little time for reflection in his chamber, he prepared himself to offer a proper welcome to his royal guest, who, with the usual impetuosity of her character, appears to have taken him by surprise in the first instance, and thus disconcerted all the solemn formalities of the Burgundian court receptions, in which her travel-soiled garments and humble retinue did not qualify her to support the part of a queen of England with becoming dignity. The duke of Burgundy sent to her Sir Philippe de Croye, the young lord of Quevrain, son of Sir John de Croye, a knight and noble of the highest rank, to make his complimentary greetings, and to beg that she would not come out of her chamber to receive him on any account, as he should bring very few of his people with him. The young chevalier went to the queen, and delivered his lord's message with all proper ceremonials of respect. The queen received him very graciously, and kissed him, his rank being such as to entitle him to that honour. He was presently followed by the duke in person, attended by a few of his household. Margaret hearing, by the duke's voice, that he was approaching, hastened to meet him, but before she had advanced three paces, the duke came and took her by surprise. Their second salutations were performed in a more lively manner than those at their first meeting. The duke spake to his unfortunate guest kindly, and led her to her bed, where they seated themselves, when the queen addressed him in these words: "Fair cousin, I know well that you have been wrongly informed against my lord and husband and me, as if we had been your mortal foes, endeavouring to injure you by every means in our power; and although, fair cousin, if you imagined it to be so, you would have had reason to wish us no good, yet at all times my

¹ George Chastellain, *Chron. des Ducs de Bourgogne*, p. 223.

² *Ibid.*

lord and husband the king and me, knowing our own innocence, and how falsely we have been accused in this matter, have been most desirous to meet the charge. It is for this cause that my lord and husband has sent me over seas, to appear before you in our justification. He, my said lord the king, commanded me never to cease from wandering in search of you till I had found you, even if I should have to travel on foot to the end of the world in quest of you. But now that, thanks to God and you, we have met, and I am here in your dominions and realm entirely at your mercy, a poor outcast queen reduced to the condition of a chambermaid, requiring nothing but that you will be pleased to hear me speak in the name of my lord and husband and my own, if ever that poor king and I should be again, as we once were, on the ascent of the wheel of fortune, we should bear ourselves to you in the same manner as we do now; and if we had continued as we formerly were, my lord intended to have deputed some prince of his own blood to explain the matter to you. But as this cannot be, I pray you to hear our good cousin the seneschal (Sir Pierre de Brezé), who will speak more fully on the subject, if you will condescend to listen."¹ "Madame," replied the duke, with more courtesy than sincerity, "it is a trifle not worth another thought. I do not attend to all I hear, though they report many strange things to me; but words come and go, and for my part I let them run on as they like, for I know pretty well what they would have me believe, and I am sure I have not given the king your husband and you cause to be my enemies. But let that pass, and turn we now, I pray you, to some more agreeable subject, for, when with ladies, one ought not to speak of anything but joy."²

Margaret was not, however, to be put off with a courtly speech. She knew that a congress had been appointed to sit at St. Omer between the plenipotentiaries of England and France for the adjustment of a general peace, of which the duke of Burgundy was to be the umpire, and she was eager to improve the only opportunity she might ever have of removing the unfavourable impression from his mind, that she had been accustomed to speak of him in terms of the bitterest animosity. It had, in fact, been reported that she had gone so far as to say, that "If ever by any chance he fell into her power, she would make the axe pass between his head and shoulders;" also, that she and king Henry had confederated with France to dismember his dominions. Whether the poor queen found herself too much agitated to be able to command her utterance, or she placed more reliance on the persuasive eloquence of her friend De Brezé than her own, the chronicler does not inform us, but merely says that she intimated, by a significant look and gesture, that the seneschal should speak for her. Whereupon he advanced, and bending his knee before the duke of Burgundy, addressed him with profound

¹ George Chastellain, *Chron. des Ducs de Bourgogne*, p. 223.

² *Ibid.*

reverence in a speech commencing, as the reader will observe, much in the style commonly adopted in the present day by an Englishman whose oratorical powers are unexpectedly put to the test on some festive occasion:—"My very redoubted lord, unaccustomed as I am to speak after the fashion of a worldly speaker, my friends know that I always speak the truth, and my only motive in presuming to address you now is to set forth the truth. You see here the queen of England, sent over to you by the king her husband, and freely come to you of her own high courage, to clear herself and him from the malicious representations that have been made of them by their enemies. My redoubted lord king Henry, and this queen here present, have always esteemed you as the most illustrious prince in Christendom; and following the general voice, which sounds the fame of your noble deeds, your virtues, and renown through all nations in the circumference of the world, they have constantly repeated your praises. You see this queen here present, your near relation in blood as every one knows, formerly one of the greatest and most powerful princesses in the world, but now reduced by oppression, by cruelty, by the disloyalty of man and the fickleness of adverse fortune, such as was never heard of before, to a miserable poverty,—driven from a throne and degraded from her natural rank, and deprived of every hope save that which she, and I also for her, repose in you, that you will be persuaded to take part in her quarrel, instead of supporting the cause of her foes, who are nothing to you in blood as she is. As for the aid king Henry and this queen have received from the French against the duke of York, is it not according to reason and to nature that the French should endeavour to further the cause of king Henry and his wife? for king Henry is the nephew of the late king Charles, his sister's son, and the queen here present is niece to the queen of France, who is still alive, daughter to her brother, and was given in marriage by king Charles himself to king Henry, the true inheritor, as he still is, and at that time the undisputed possessor of the crown of England. No wonder therefore, if, during the course of this long and unnatural rebellion of their subjects, the said king and queen sought and obtained occasional succour where they had such good reason to seek it, and not out of any enmity to you; although, even if it had been so, king Henry would not have been to blame, since it was well known to him and the queen here, that if you had been as favourable to them as you have been to the contrary party, they would not have been brought to the pass in which they are at present."¹

The duke of Burgundy had listened with the polite apathy of a politician to the special pleading of the seneschal, apparently reckoning his complimentary expressions regarding himself as words of course; but at the home truth contained in the unexpected climax of the speech, a

¹ George Chastellain.

merry glance, in spite of himself, escaped him, which, like a sudden burst of sunshine flashing over a frozen stream, had the effect of breaking up the diplomatic ice wherewith he had incased himself.¹ Finding it impossible after this to resume his phlegmatic deportment, he yielded to kindlier feelings. He turned to his royal guest, and told her, "that whether it were as she said or not, she was welcome to Burgundy, and he was very sorry for her misfortunes." Then he begged to lead her to the banquet which had been prepared with stately cheer for her entertainment. The duke had only brought with him a chosen few of his followers, who were, by their high rank, privileged to sit at table in his presence and that of queen Margaret, who was scrupulously treated with all the honours due to a crowned head. Among this distinguished company were messire Adolph of Cleves, messire Jacques Bourbon, and Margaret's first Burgundian acquaintance, messire Philippe Pot.

Of all the guests, Sir Pierre de Brezé was treated with the greatest marks of distinction, on account of the chivalric manner in which he had devoted himself to the cause of the luckless Red-rose queen, the perilous adventures wherein they had been recently engaged, and the dangers they had escaped. It was observed that queen Margaret, notwithstanding all she had suffered, appeared merry of cheer that night, and endeavoured by every means in her power to please the duke of Burgundy. They talked a good deal to one another, and with the same freedom as if they had been brother and sister. As they sat side by side at the banquet, "It was remarked of them," says George Chastellain,² "that as the portrait of the duke might have been shown as the type of all that is majestic in man, so that of the queen would have served as the exemplification of the same in woman, and the representation of one of the most beautiful persons in the world. She was indeed a very fair lady, altogether well worth the looking at, and of high bearing withal. Albeit the poverty to which cruel fortune had reduced her might have given sufficient cause for humiliation, her manners were unchanged; and although she had come with only three women in a stage-cart or waggon, she departed herself with no less dignity than when she swayed the sceptre in London, and exercised, in her single person, the whole of the regal authority there."³ She conducted herself, however, with becoming prudence, considering the place where she was, and behaved to the duke of Burgundy as if she felt she was by the side of the greatest personage on earth, as, indeed, he was to her the most important just then, not only because she was so entirely in his power that her very life hung on his fiat, but on account of the position he was about to fill at the congress of St. Omer as the umpire of the general peace. Margaret had, therefore, cogent reason to endeavour to propitiate him in

¹ "*Mes d'ung joyeux œil rompit tout.*"—Chron. des Ducs de Bourgogne, par George Chastellain, part ii. p. 227.

² Ibid, p. 228.

³ Ibid, 229

behalf of her hapless lord, king Henry, whom she had left in a state of precarious dependence on the charity of the queen of Scotland. She drew a flattering hope from the magnanimity with which her generous foe had treated her in her distress. The duke of Burgundy admired her courage, and the lofty spirit with which she bore up against the shocks of adversity. He pitied her calamities, and was not insensible to the power of her eloquence; but as he was not a man to play the part of a knight-errant, he prudently withdrew himself from St. Pol as quickly as he could. The next morning, which was a Friday, he took his leave, with great courtesy, of the queen, telling her he would send his sister, the duchess of Bourbon, to visit her. He promised, moreover, not to do anything to her prejudice at St. Omer; but, as the envoys and people of the king of France, on whom she ought, he said, to rely, would be there, he would not pretend to take any charge upon him, lest he should interfere with their private arrangements. Margaret professed herself highly beholden to him for the princely hospitality and consideration with which he had treated her. She blessed the hour when she set forth in quest of him, and said "it was the best exploit she had achieved since her reverse of fortune."¹ So complete a revolution had the personal kindness of her old hereditary foe effected in the feelings of Margaret of Anjou, that when he mounted his horse to depart, she melted into tears as she bade him adieu.

When the duke had ridden about a league from the town, he sent one of his knights back with a present of two thousand crowns of gold for the queen, together with a rich diamond, which he begged her to wear in remembrance of him. "It was a diamond," continues our chronicler, "that was held in very high estimation." To each of the faithful damsels who had attended their royal mistress on this perilous journey, the duke kindly sent a hundred golden crowns; the same to the seneschal, Pierre de Brezé, and two hundred silver crowns to Sir John Carbonnel. The munificent duke sent those acceptable gifts after his departure, instead of presenting them, from motives of delicacy, and to avoid the thanks of the recipients. Subsequently we learn, from other authorities, the duke of Burgundy relieved the pecuniary distress of his royal kinswoman more effectually, by sending her a written order on his treasurer for twelve thousand crowns. The treasurer took a base advantage of her situation, by endeavouring to extort half the money from her on various pretexts; Margaret, who was not of a spirit to put up tamely with such a wrong, informed the duke of the villany of his minister, and this just but severe prince, in a transport of indignation, ordered him to be put to death. The sentence would have been executed, but for her intercession in his favour.²

¹ Chron. des Ducs de Bourgogne, par George Chastellain, part ii. p. 229.

² Chronicles of Lorraine, MS. in Bibl. du Roi.

The arrival of the duchess of Bourbon, who came to St. Pol to visit Margaret, was a bright spot in the sombre destiny of the exiled queen. They were nearly related to each other; they had been friends in youth, and the marriage of Margaret's brother, the duke of Calabria, to the daughter of the duchess of Bourbon, had strengthened the family connexion between these two princesses. They met with smiles and tears of affectionate sympathy, and immediately entered into conversation with the confidential familiarity of two sisters. The duchess listened with compassionate interest to the recital of the strange vicissitudes and trials to which the Red-rose queen had been exposed, "of which," says the Burgundian chronicler, who heard them from the lips of Margaret herself, "no parallel can be found in books. . . . The sufferings she endured from hunger, cold, and poverty, had many times, she said, endangered her life quite as much as if she had fallen into the hands of her foes."¹ She assured the duchess of Bourbon, that "on one occasion king Henry, the little prince, and herself, were reduced to such abject misery and destitution, that for five days they had but one herring between the three, and not more bread than would have sufficed for one day's nourishment. Another time, she said, being at mass on a solemn day, she was so entirely without money, that she had not even a 'black penny' to give at the offering. In this dilemma she humiliated herself so far as to confide her distress to a Scotch archer who was near her, and besought him to lend her something wherewith she might make her oblation. She found him hard to persuade, but at last, to get rid of her importunity, he reluctantly, and as if he grudged it, drew half a farthing² from his purse and lent her." Thus did she, who had shared the throne of a king of England, find herself in a more destitute condition than the poor widow of holy writ, whose gift was commended by our blessed Lord; for the widow had two mites of her own to offer, but queen Margaret not having one, must have approached the altar empty-handed, if she had not, by the earnestness of her importunity, wrung a half-farthing from the churl who grudged the paltry alms he lent. This circumstance was related by her as one of the bitterest mortifications her adverse fortunes had inflicted.

The royal heroine also recounted to the duchess and her ladies some of the perils from which she and the little prince of Wales had escaped in their retreat from the lost battle of Hexham. She enlarged, with impassioned eloquence, on the fervency with which she had supplicated the divine assistance, when the pitiless ruffians who had plundered her were about to take away her life, and the especial answer which she considered God had vouchsafed to her prayers, by turning the swords against each other of those who were before unanimous in their determi-

¹ George Chastellain, p. 230.

² *Ung gros d'Ecosse*; a small copper coin, weighing the eighth of an ounce.

nation to shed her blood, and, finally, converting a robber, stained with a thousand crimes, into an instrument for the preservation of herself and her precious boy." The duchess of Bourbon, who was all sympathy, "listened to these exciting recitals with no less interest," says Chastellain, "than if they had been tales devised for her especial entertainment, observing, by way of comment, 'that although queen Margaret had escaped with life, never assuredly before had fortune brought a princess of her high rank into such frightful situations, and that if a book were to be written on the vicissitudes of royal and unfortunate ladies, she would be found to exceed them all in calamity.'"

That mournful pre-eminence in woe, which the human heart when under the pressure of adversity is often strangely eager to claim, was not as yet due to the ill-fated queen to whom her pitying friend assigned it. Margaret of Anjou lived to see days, when she could look back to the sufferings which elicited this comment from the duchess of Bourbon, as things of trivial import. They were, indeed, the beginning of evils, but the end involved a consummation of misery which has only been exceeded in later days by the dark destinies of Mary Stuart and Marie-Antoinette. The duchess of Bourbon could not refrain from telling her unfortunate kinswoman that "It was to her a mystery how a Christian king and queen, who had been lawfully inaugurated, should, without having committed notorious crimes to provoke the wrath of God, or forfeited by tyranny the allegiance of their subjects, have been reduced so low, by any change of fortune, as not to possess a foot of land or a house to shelter them in their own realm, nor yet a penny of money, either of silver or copper, unless borrowed, to purchase the common necessities of life." On another occasion, when some of queen Margaret's kindred were imputing her calamities to her union with king Henry, whose constitutional malady, while it had always rendered him an object of anxious solicitude to her, had unfitted him for the defence of his disputed crown, she silenced them with the following noble burst of conjugal devotion: "When, on the day of my espousals, I took the rose of England, was I not aware that I must wear it entire, and with all its thorns?"¹ The poetic beauty of this sentiment is only equalled by its philosophy.

Grand fêtes and royal cheer were made for the exiled queen at St. Pol, after the arrival of her friend, the duchess of Bourbon, at the expense of the duke of Burgundy, who had ordered that no cost should be spared for her entertainment as long as she chose to remain. But Margaret could not be induced to tarry; her heart was at Bruges, for there she had left the young prince, her son, and the rest of her little company, and her desire to return to them was too strong to be resisted.

¹ *Galerie des Femmes Fortes*, by Le Moine.

On Saturday, September 3rd, she took her leave of the kind duchess of Bourbon, and departed from St. Pol at five in the morning, escorted by the lord of Moreul, messire Francisco, son of the marquess of Ferrara, messire Moulet de Renty, messire Guillaume de Saulx, and a troop of the duke of Burgundy's archers, whom that prince had commissioned to defend her from all dangers by the way, and to convey her safe and sound to whatsoever place she might please to appoint.¹ The duke knew that the life of his unfortunate guest was in jeopardy, having received certain information that the English intended to surprise her at St. Pol, and would, of course, be on the alert to fall upon her in the open country—not to capture, but to kill her. Parties from Calais were also abroad with the same deadly purpose, fancying, too, that the young prince was with her, for whose blood they thirsted even more than for that of the mother, thinking by his death to put an end to the war.² It was well for the royal fugitives that they were under the protection of so powerful a prince as Philip of Burgundy, and that he had caused Margaret to be so strongly guarded that she returned unharmed to Bruges. There she was, by his orders, received with public honours; and the townspeople testified the lively interest which her courageous struggle against her evil fortunes had excited, by bringing her presents of wine, and all sorts of things which they thought might be acceptable. Margaret received these offerings with eloquent expressions of gratitude, telling those who brought them, "that she had received so many marks of honour and affection from their prince, her cousin, the duke of Burgundy, that she feared she could never show herself thankful enough. That she understood he had conceived anger against her, and had, therefore, feared to approach him, lest he should not condescend to look at her; but she had found him the best among the good, and the gentlest, possessed withal of better sense than any one on earth."³

Margaret had the happiness of finding her son safe and well on her return to Bruges, where, too, she was almost immediately joined by count Charolois, who came partly to do the honours of that town in the absence of the duke, his father, and partly out of affection to the house of Lancaster, from which he was descended through his mother, Isabella of Portugal, the granddaughter of John of Gaunt. There was also near relationship between Margaret of Anjou and himself, as scions of the royal house of France, and the generous heir of Burgundy took every opportunity of proving that the calamities of his hapless kinswoman and her consort had not weakened the ties of kindred.

The noble Burgundian poet and chronicler, George Chastellain, to whose graphic details we are indebted for many important particulars

¹ George Chastellain, *Chron. des Ducs de Bourgogne*.

² *Ibid.*

³ *Ibid.*

of her personal history,¹ derived by him from her own lips, which are new to the general reader, never before having been translated from the obscure Burgundian French of the fifteenth century, was the herald of the Golden Fleece, and held the offices of historiographer and grand panetier to Philip, duke of Burgundy, was exceedingly proud of the confidence with which the unfortunate but accomplished consort of Henry VI. honoured him. He has introduced her portrait five times in the splendid illuminated edition of his poems, on vellum.² Of the first of these miniature gems, which occurs at page 7, representing the exiled English queen in earnest conversation with himself, he gives the following quaint explanation in his prologue: "A lady, well-nigh distraught with grief, of late made sore complaints to me of the cruel wrongs which fortune had inflicted. This lady, who honoured me with her confidence, as we were well acquainted, willed me to seat myself near her, it being her pleasure to discourse with me of her troubles, which she bewailed with such sore weeping, and oftentimes wringing her hands from excess of sorrow, that mine own tears could not be restrained from flowing too, for very pity of her case. Then, as we were at leisure for such devices, she besought me, seeing I so much compassionated her distress, to write for her consolation a little treatise on the inconstancy of fortune, setting forth her own calamities with those of other noble ladies who had suffered signal adversity." This request was evidently suggested by the duchess de Bourbon's remark as to the surpassing interest Margaret's sad story would excite, if written in a book on the calamities of illustrious females. The fallen queen, having been in some measure the victim of political slander, was eager to secure the suffrages of posterity, at least, through the friendly eloquence of a pen, which the rival sovereign who had supplanted her unfortunate husband could neither intimidate nor pervert to the base office of confirming the falsehoods of a party.

Chastellain, animated by the impulse of genius, which soars above the time-serving considerations of expediency, and bound by the vow of his chivalric order to sympathy with the unfortunate, has done full justice to Margaret's character in his chronicle as regards historic truth, and with manly independence always speaks of her as the queen of

¹ These particulars are not contained in the earlier editions of the *Lives of the Queens of England*, my attention having been first directed in the year 1844 to the works of Chastellain by his learned editor, the late M. Buchon, to whom the honour is due of having, with incredible toil, gathered together, from various Bibliothèques, the scattered portions of the original MSS. of the precious remains of this most interesting and eloquent of the historical writers of that period, which were printed for the first time, under his auspices,

in the *Panthéon Littéraire, Choix Chroniques et Mémoires sur l'Histoire de France*. In offering this acknowledgment to the memory of M. Buchon, I lament to add that, like the late lamented Sir Harris Nicolas, and other labourers in the cause of historic truth, he reaped little benefit from his toils. Buchon died broken-hearted, in want, it is to be feared, of the common necessities of life.

² *Manuscrit Provenant Bibliothèque de la Grauthuse*

England, and her son as the veritable and lawful heir of that realm, in spite of his master's repudiation of the Lancastrian claims. He has also celebrated her in several of his poems, especially the one which he undertook at her request, entitled '*The Temple of Ruined Greatness*,'¹ written on the model of that commenced by Boccaccio on the misfortunes of great men, from Adam to king John of France. Chastellain has caused queen Margaret and himself to be represented, in an exquisite miniature group at the tomb of Boccaccio, inviting him to awake, and undertake the task of commemorating her misfortunes and her wrongs. The deceased poet, reanimated by her call, rises, and seeks to console her by the numerous instances he cites of the vicissitudes of others. Margaret assured Chastellain, "that there were moments when she had been tempted by the desperation of her circumstances to convert her own head into an instrument of self-destruction: but, happily, the fear of God and his restraining grace had preserved her from so deadly a sin." Her patience was subsequently tested by harder trials, for at the time she made this avowal, she had still a husband, a father, and a son: she retained beauty, health, and mental energy, and was not past the season of hope.

The following instance of the punctilious respect with which Margaret and her little son were treated by the heir of Burgundy, affords a curious illustration of the formal courtesies practised by persons of high degree in their intercourse. At one of the numerous banquets given at Bruges by the great nobles of Burgundy in honour of the English queen, count Charolois² being also present, the water for the customary lavation before sitting down to table was offered first to queen Margaret, as to the person of the highest rank: and she, being desirous of placing the count on terms of equality with herself, called him to come and wash with her. "But he," continues our worthy chronicler, "knowing his duty, and treading in the steps of the duke his father, who always paid proper reverence to crowned heads, absolutely refused to come forward; nor could the queen, by any means, prevail on him to do it, although he might, without the slightest infringement of royal etiquette, have dipped his hands in the same water with the queen, as he was her cousin. Then the water was offered to the prince of Wales; but he, young as he was, having been well instructed in the rules of courtly politeness, drew back, and said it was impossible for him to wash, unless his cousin, the count, were placed on the same footing by washing with him. This count Charolois refusing to do, the little English prince tried caressingly to pull his stout Burgundian kinsman to him, that they might use the water together, declaring at the same time, that "he would not

¹ This beautiful MS. is inscribed: *Poésies Remontrances, selon le vœu de Jean Boccace, par manière de consolation, adressées à la Reine d'Angleterre, fille à l'ég-*

rier, roy de Naples, de Sicille et de Jérusalem.

² Charles the Bold, who succeeded his father, Philip, duke of Burgundy.

wash at all, unless the count would wash with him." But it was of no use that both the mother and son demurred, and endeavoured to waive the precedence which the generous heir of Burgundy was determined to give them; they did but lose their time, for nothing could induce him to imply equality with the prince of Wales, either by washing or sitting with him at table. These formal punctilios of respect from the son of the rich and powerful sovereign to whom his mother and himself were at that moment indebted for food and shelter, appeared to the little English prince so inconsistent with their present condition, that, with the artless frankness of his age, he said to count Charolois, "But these honours are not due to us from you; neither ought the precedence to be given in your father's dominions to such destitute and unfortunate persons as we are."¹ "Unfortunate though you be," replied the count, "you are nevertheless the son of the king of England; whereas I am only the son of a ducal sovereign, which is not so high a vocation as that of a king."

This emphatic recognition of Henry VI. as the rightful owner of the crown which then decorated the brow of a victorious rival, Edward IV., gives historic importance to what might otherwise be regarded as a frivolous contest of formal politeness. The impetuous heir of Burgundy, afterwards so much celebrated in history as Charles the Bold,² was the last man in the world to play the martinet on mere matters of ceremony. He had a political reason for thus insisting on yielding an ostentatious precedence to the Red-rose prince of Wales, even to proclaim to the Burgundian magnates that he regarded him as the legitimate heir of England, in opposition to the policy of the duke his father, who was the firm ally of Edward of York. Count Charolois was at that period on such bad terms with his august sire, that nothing but the salutary counsels of queen Margaret, who had acquired great influence over his mind, prevented him from rushing into open rebellion. Margaret well repaid the debt of gratitude she owed the duke of Burgundy, by persuading the former to return to his duty, and engaging her friend, Pierre de Brezé, to act as mediator between the father and son.³ When matters were apparently in a fair train for a reconciliation, Margaret left Bruges with the prince, her son, and the faithful little company who shared her fallen fortunes. She and her followers travelled at the expense of the duke of Burgundy, who sent her under an honourable escort to Barr, where she was met and welcomed by her father's people.

¹ George Chastellain, *Chron. des Ducs de Bourgogne*.

² The rough manners and eccentric habits of this prince have been described with quaint minuteness by Philip de Comines, and since rendered familiar to the English reader by the genius of Sir Walter Scott, who makes effective use of the bold Burgundian duke in his beautiful romance of *Quentin Durward*.

³ George Chastellain. In the year 1465 Margaret lost her brave and devoted friend, Pierre de Brezé, who, having re-entered the service of his native sovereign, Louis XI., was slain at the battle of Montlheroy, where he led the advanced guard.

King René felt deeply grateful for the generous treatment of his distressed child by his ancient antagonist. He addressed a letter to Philip of Burgundy, full of thanks, declaring "he neither expected, nor merited such attentions." Margaret passed some days at St. Michiel, with fifty nobles and gentlemen of her suite. Part of that year she sojourned with her sister Yolante, countess of Vaudemonte, and her brother, John of Calabria;¹ the rest at Amboise, the court of her aunt, the queen-dowager of France. The distracted state of king René's affairs utterly precluded him from exerting himself in his daughter's service, though not unfrequently solicited to draw his knightly sword in her cause. The Provençal bards took the heroism and misfortunes of their hapless princess for their theme, and René's own minstrel and namesake was accustomed to assail his royal ear in his festal halls with these strains :—

"Arouse thee, arouse thee, king René !
Nor let sorrow thy spirit beguile;
Thy daughter, the spouse of king Henry,
Now weeps, now implores with a smile."²

René, however, was compelled to remain a passive sympathizer in Margaret's affliction. All he could do for her was to afford her an asylum in her adversity. He gave her the ancient castle of Kuerere, in the diocese of Verdun, near the town of St. Michiel, for her residence, and contributed to her support with 2000 livres of rent on the duchy of Barr, being all his narrow means would allow.³ Here Margaret, bereaved of all the attributes of royalty, save those that were beyond the power of adverse fortune to alienate, dwelt with the remnant of her ruined friends, and occupied herself in superintending the education of the last tender bud of the Red-rose of Lancaster, whom she yet fondly hoped to see restored to his country and his former lofty expectations. During the seven years of their exile Sir John Fortescue continued to reside with queen Margaret and her son; and observing that his beloved pupil was too much taken up with martial exercises, he wrote his celebrated work on the constitution of England, '*De Laudibus Legum Angliæ*,' to instruct him in a higher sort of knowledge, the true science of royalty,⁴

A deeper shade of gloom pervaded the exiled court of Margaret when the tidings reached her that her unfortunate consort had at length fallen into the hands of his successful rival. When king Henry fled from the lost battle of Hexham, he found an unsuspected asylum among the wild mountains of Cumberland with his brave and loyal knight, Sir Ralph Pennington, at Muncaster-castle. The holy-water glass which he used there is still carefully preserved, and called the luck of Muncaster. He also sojourned for some time at the house of John Machell, of Crack-

¹ Villeneuve.² Provençal Ballad.³ Calmet's Chronicle of Lorraine. Villeneuve.⁴ Life of Sir John Fortescue.

enthorpe, and afterwards at Bolton-hall, in Bowland, where an ancient oak-pannelled room is still called "King Henry's parlour," and the spring of fair water that was walled in by his desire for his bath, is distinguished by the name of "King Henry's well." The glove and boot which he presented to his kind host, Sir Ralph Pudsay, were recently exhibited at Kensington Museum. His retreat was betrayed by the traitor Talbot, of Bashall, and he was taken by the servants of Sir John Harington, as he sat at dinner at Waddington-hall. He was conducted to London in the most ignominious manner, with his legs fastened to the stirrups of the sorry nag on which he was mounted, and an insulting placard affixed to his shoulders. At Islington he was met by the earl of Warwick, who issued a proclamation forbidding any one to treat him with respect, and afforded an example of wanton brutality to the mob by leading the royal captive thrice round the pillory as if he had been a common felon, crying aloud, "Treason, treason!" and "Behold the traitor!" Henry endured these outrages with the firmness of a hero and the meekness of a saint. "Forsooth, and forsooth, ye do foully to smite the Lord's anointed,"¹ was his mild rebuke to a ruffian who was base enough to strike him in that hour of misery. The following touching lines, which have been attributed to Henry VI., were probably written during his long imprisonment in the Tower:—

" Kingdoms are but cares;
State is devoid of stay
Riches are ready snares,
And hasten to decay.

Who meaneth to remove the rocks
Out of his slimy mud,
Shall mire himself and hardly 'scape
The swelling of the flood."

There are preserved two sentences written and given by him to a knight who had the care of him :

" Patience is the armour and conquest of the godly ; this meriteth mercy,
when causeless is suffered sorrow "

" Nought else is war but fury and madness, wherein is not advice, but rash-
ness ; not right, but rage, ruleth and reigneth."

Queen Margaret must have felt the indignity and cruelty with which her unoffending consort was treated as the greatest aggravation of all her own hard trials. She was still formidable to the reigning sovereign of England, who established a sort of coast-guard to prevent her from effecting a sudden descent on the shores of England. It has been confidently asserted that Margaret visited England, disguised as a priest in the train of the archbishop of Narbonne, in 1467 ;³ and if we may trust that romantic author, Prevost, she even obtained a secret interview with king Henry in his prison, through the favour of one of his keepers who had

¹ Warkworth Chronicle. Hall.

² Nuga Antiqua.

Prevost.

formerly been in her service, and was attached to her interest. Williau of Worcester records, that various persons who were apprehended on suspicion of having letters from queen Margaret in their possession, were tortured and put to death. Sir Thomas Cook, a London alderman, was accused of treason and fined eight thousand marks, because Hawkins, one of Margaret's agents, when put to the rack in the Tower, confessed "that he had attempted to borrow money for her of this wealthy knight;" and though Sir Thomas Cook had refused to lend it, he was brought into great peril of his life for not having disclosed the attempt of Hawkins.¹ A poor shoemaker was pinched to death with red-hot pincers, for assisting the exiled queen to carry on a correspondence with her adherents in England, but he resolutely refused to betray the parties with whom Margaret was in league.²

The exiled queen, her young son, and their faithful adherents, continued for several years to drag on existence in her lonely castle in Barr, suffering the greatest privation and practising the most rigid economy.

"We be in great poverty," writes Sir John Fortescue to the earl of Ormond; "but yet the queen sustaineth us in meat and drink, as we be not in extreme necessity, her highness may do no more to us than as she doth to her son. I counsel you to spend sparely such money as ye have, for when ye come hither ye shall have need of it. And, also, there be many that need and will desire to parte [partake] with you of your own money; and in all this country, is no man that will or may lend you any money have ye never so great need."³ John Butler, sixth earl of Ormond, to whom this letter was addressed, was the brother and successor of that distinguished champion of the Red rose, James Butler, earl of Wiltshire and Ormond, viceroy of Ireland, who was captured at the battle of Towton, and beheaded by Edward IV., by whom John was attainted also. John narrowly escaped the block; and after maintaining for some time a gallant but hopeless struggle for king Henry, fled to Portugal. As the reigning sovereign was the representative of Philippa of Lancaster, and nearly related to king Henry, queen Margaret determined to employ Ormond as her envoy at that court, to solicit succour for her royal consort and herself in their distress. The young prince of Wales wrote the following letter to Ormond with his own hand:—

"COUSIN ORMOND,

"I greet you heartily well, acquainting you that I have heard right good and honourable report of your sad, wise, and manly guiding against my lord's rebels and your adversaries, in the which you have purchased unto you perpetual laud and worship. And I thank God, and so do ye also, that ye at all times under his protection, have

¹ Holinshed.

² Speed Worcester. Stowe.

³ Baluze MS., Bibliothèque du Roi

escaped the cruel malice of your said adversaries ; and forasmuch as I understand that ye are now in Portugal, I pray you, in the uttermost of your devoir, for to labour unto the king of the said realm, for the furtherance and setting forth of my lord in the recovering of his right and subduing of his rebels. Wherein if ye sodo as I have for undoubted that ye will, I trust some fruit shall follow with God's mercy, which speed you well in all your works. Written at Saint Michael, in Barr, with mine own hand, that ye may see how good a writer I am.

“EDWARD.

“To my cousin, the erle of Ormond.”

Ormond's instructions were written by Sir John Fortescue, who was then lord chancellor, and principal counsellor to queen Margaret. He does not appear to have formed very sanguine hopes of his success, if we may judge from the following paragraph in his letter :—“ If ye find the king of Portugal entreatable in our matters, spare not to tarry long with him ; and if ye find him estrange [distant], dispend not your money in that country, for after ye came hither it is like that we shall be put to great costs, and peradventure not long tarry here.”

Modern diplomatists will smile when informed of the almost incredible fact, that neither the exiled queen of England, nor her learned minister, knew, to a certainty, which of the royal descendants of Philippa of Lancaster, at that moment, occupied the throne of Portugal. Fortescue, after telling Ormond that the prince has written with his own hand letters both to him and the king of Portugal, naively adds in a postscript :—“ My lorde, because we know not verily the king of Portugal's name, the queen's letter hath no superscription, nor the letter from my lord prince ; but ye may know them as well by the seals as by this, that in the side where the seal is set, of the queen's letter, is written, these words : ‘ Fro Regina,’ and likewise on my lord's letter, is written, ‘ Fro Principe.’ And I send you herein such words of superscription as ye shall set on both letters,” meaning when the Irish plenipotentiary should have discovered the Christian name of the monarch. Ormond being then in Portugal, would, of course, be familiar¹ with the Christian name of the sovereign for whom they were intended. No obscure personage he, but one of the greatest princes in Christendom, Alphonse V., who subsequently added Tangier and other African possessions to the crown of Portugal² and at the very time when his name was a mystery to queen Margaret and her learned counsellor, Fortescue, he had reigned nearly thirty years over that realm.

The poverty of the exiled court is piteously evidenced by Sir John Fortescue telling Ormond, in conclusion, “ the bearer was only given eleven pounds to defray the whole costs of the journey, because we had no more money.” Ormond was one of the most accomplished noblemen

¹ Baluze MS., Bibliothèque du Roi.

² Baluze MS.

³ Morel.

in Christendom, and conversant with all the languages spoken in Europe; but no good result attended his mission.

When Harlech-castle was taken, many letters to and from queen Margaret fell into the hands of king Edward. An emissary of Margaret, who was taken in this stronghold of her outlawed adherents (which had so long held out in defiance of Edward and all his puissance), accused the earl of Warwick of having, in his late mission to the continent, spoken favourably of the exiled queen in his conference with Louis XI. at Rouen. Warwick refused to leave his castle to be confronted with his accuser. The idea of restoring the sceptre to Lancaster formed no part of the policy of the king-making earl. He had given his eldest daughter in marriage to king Edward's brother Clarence, and intended to depose Edward, by whom he had been mortally offended, and to make Clarence king. Clarence, being previously discontented, was easily seduced from his allegiance.

The year 1469 saw the White-rose divided against itself, and the throne of Edward IV. in a tottering position. The royal heroine of the Red-rose, who had now spent nearly seven years in exile, left her lonely castle near Verdun in the December of that year, and came with her son, prince Edward, to meet Louis XI. at Tcurs, where also her father, her brother, her sister Yolante, and the count of Vaudemont assembled to hold a consultation on the best means of improving the momentous crisis for the cause of Lancaster. Margaret and her father were so greatly excited at the prospect which appeared opening for her in England, that when they met they embraced with floods of tears. Every one present was moved, and even the cold-hearted Louis XI. displayed unwonted tokens of sensibility on this occasion. Margaret was now treated by him with all the honours and marks of attention which, not only the title she bore, but her near relationship to himself demanded, —circumstances which she regarded as favourable prognostics for the future, for never before had she experienced the slightest consideration from him. The fever of hope was once more kindled in the heart of the exiled queen, as post after post brought tidings of wars and rumours of wars in England. The northern and midland counties were in arms against king Edward. A blazing star was seen in the heavens, which appeared to the excited fancies of the people the herald of a great political change. Battles were fought, in which nobles and gentry were arrayed against the Yorkist sovereign, yet their old familiar war-cry, "a Henry! a Henry!" was not raised. They were fighting—strange anomaly! not under the banner of the Red-rose, but that of the "Bear and ragged staff," the cognizance of Warwick.¹

Henry VI. remained in a hopeless state of quiescence incarcerated in the Tower. The commencement of the year 1470 saw his captor a cap-

¹ See Hall, Holinshed, Rapin, Lingard.

tive also, in the stronghold of Middleham-castle, under the wardship of Warwick's brother, the archbishop of York. Thus the Red-rose king and the White were both check-mated ; while a third puppet, who was intended to supersede both, was placed on the board by the powerful hand which had defeated, first one, and then the other of the former rivals of the game. It was Warwick's design to make his daughter a queen, and the mother of a line of Plantagenet sovereigns of the second branch of York. It is just possible he might have accomplished the first of these objects, had he put king Edward to death when in his power ; but the escape of that energetic prince, and his putting down the Lincolnshire rebellion, compelled the haughty earl to retire with the duke and duchess of Clarence to Calais. But there a reaction in favour of king Edward had taken place. Vauchere, Warwick's lieutenant, would not permit him or his company to land, though it was stormy weather, and the duchess of Clarence was in the most critical state. The only resource, then, was to take refuge in France. Louis XI. received them joyfully, having long been in secret correspondence with Warwick.

Louis, perceiving that Clarence was a broken reed, on whom no party could lean, suggested to Warwick the expediency of forming a coalition with the dormant but still powerful faction of the Red-rose. Warwick, having committed himself irreparably with king Edward, caught eagerly at the suggestion, and requested Louis to act as his mediator with the Lancastrian party. The great obstacle to this arrangement was the determined hostility of queen Margaret to her former adversary, which no consideration of political expediency could induce her either to smother or conceal. She regarded him as the author of all the calamities which had befallen king Henry and herself. "The earl of Warwick," she was accustomed to say, "had pierced her heart with wounds which could never be healed : they would bleed till the day of judgment, when she would appeal to the justice of God for vengeance against him. His pride and insolence had first broken the peace of England, and stirred up those fatal wars which had desolated the realm. Through him she and the prince her son had been attainted, proscribed, and driven out to beg their bread in foreign lands ; and not only had he injured her as a queen, but he had dared to defame her reputation as a woman by divers false and malicious slanders, as if she had been false to her royal lord the king, and had imposed a spurious prince of Wales on the people of England, which things she never could forgive."¹ Her royal kinsman of France, whom her hard fortune had made the arbiter of her destiny, insisted that Margaret should see the earl of Warwick, who was ready to make any concession to appease her indignation. Margaret bore herself with the lofty spirit of an honest woman on this occasion, for the only condition on which she would allow Warwick to enter her presence was,

¹ George Chastellain, *Chron. des ducs de Bourgogne*, page 301.

that he should *unsay* all he had formerly said against her reputation, by acknowledging before the kings and princes of France and Sicily, her kinsmen, that he had uttered false calumnies against her, knowing them to be so, and to promise that he would do the like in England¹ in as public a manner as he had formerly defamed her. To these humiliating terms the earl agreed to submit; "which promise being made," says Chastellain, "the said Warwick came where queen Margaret was, and falling on his knees before her, addressed her in the most moving words he could devise, and humbly besought her to pardon and restore him to her favour; to which she scarcely vouchsafed him any answer, and kept him on his knees a full quarter of an hour before she would say she pardoned him, and then only on the above conditions."

This ungracious demeanour on the part of the offended queen is fully confirmed by the following report given by an English contemporary² (supposed to be a spy), of the first meeting between these deadly foes, at Tours, in the presence of Louis XI., who had engaged to negotiate a reconciliation between them. "In this queen Margaret was right difficult, and showed to the king of France, in presence of the duke of Guienne, that, with honour to herself and her son, she might not, and she would not, pardon the said earl, who had been the greatest cause of the downfall of king Henry; and that never, of her own spirit, might she be contented with him, *ne* pardon him." In fact, the queen maintained "that it would be greatly prejudicial to pardon the earl of Warwick; for in England she and her son had certain partics and friends which they might likely lose by this means, which would do them more hindrance than the earl and his allies could do them good;" wherefore she besought the king of France "to leave off speaking for the said pardon and alliance." The earl of Warwick on this entered into a defence of his conduct, owning "that it was by his means the queen was dethroned; but that before he had done or thought of doing her any harm, her false counsellors had plotted his destruction, body and goods, and that no nobleman, outraged and *despaired*, [driven to desperation,] could have done otherwise." It does not appear that Warwick mentioned the execution of his father, the earl of Salisbury, which is almost a confirmation of the statements of those historians who deny that he was beheaded by Margaret.

In the foregoing scene, Margaret certainly comported herself more like an offended woman than a political leader; but the more loftily she spoke and looked, the more submissive her former adversary became. "He told ner he had been the means of upsetting king Edward, and unsettling his realm; and that he would, for the time to come, be as much his foe as he had formerly been his friend and maker."³ He be-

¹ George Chastellain, Chron. des ducs de Bourgogne, page 301

² Manner and Guiding of the Earl of Warwick: Harleian MS. edited by Sir Henry Ellis.

³ Harleian MS. edited by Sir H. Ellis.

sought the queen and prince 'that so they would take him, and repute him, and forgive him all he had done against them, offering himself to be bounden by all manner of ways to be their true and faithful subject for the time to come; and that he would set, for his surety, the king of France.' King Louis, being then present, agreed to be surety, praying queen Margaret 'that, at his request, she would pardon the earl of Warwick, showing the great love he had to the said earl, for whom he would do more than any man living.' And queen Margaret, being likewise urged by the agents of king René her father, after many treaties and messages, pardoned the earl of Warwick, and so did her son also."

The earl of Oxford, who had by the exigency of circumstances been compelled to acknowledge the authority of the White-rose sovereign for awhile, came also with Warwick to entreat queen Margaret's forgiveness, and permission to renew his homage to the house of Lancaster. The queen received *his* supplication in a very different spirit from that with which she accorded her forgiveness (if such it might be called) to Warwick, for she said, "Your pardon is right easy to *purchase*, for well I know you and your friends have suffered *much things* for king Henry's quarrels."¹ On the 15th of July, they all met again at Angers, where the countess of Warwick and her youngest daughter, the lady Anne, were presented to queen Margaret, and a marriage between the prince of Wales and the young lady was proposed by Louis XI. It was a project of his own devising, and no one but him would venture to name it to Margaret. She received the first overtures for this strange alliance with ineffable disdain. "What!" she exclaimed, with a burst of indignation which proved that she had not forgotten old offences, "will he indeed give his daughter to my son, whom he has so often branded as the offspring of adultery or fraud?"²

Independently of her personal reluctance to this alliance, Margaret appears to have had an intuitive feeling of the danger of the connexion. "Touching the manner of the marriage," pursues the spy,³ "the queen would not in any wise consent or yield to any request the king of France might make her. Sometimes she said, that 'she never saw honour nor profit, *ne* for her, *ne* for her son, the prince.' Another time she alleged, that 'she would, and she should, find a more profitable *party*, and of more advantage, with the king of England' (Edward IV.). Indeed, she showed to the king of France a letter, which she said was 'sent to her out of England that last week, by the which was offered to her son my lady princess'" (Elizabeth of York, then the heiress of king Edward the Fourth).

Queen Margaret persevered fifteen days before she would consent to the alliance with Warwick; to which, at last, by the advice of the counsellors of her father, king René, she agreed, and the marriage was

¹ Chron. in Stowe's Collections.

² George Chastellain.

³ Harleian MS. in Ellis.

promised in presence of the king of France and the duke of Guienne (brother to Louis XI.), according to the following articles :¹—"First, the earl of Warwick swore upon the true cross at Angers, in St. Mary's church, that *without change* he shall always hold the party of king Henry, and serve him, the queen, and the prince, as a true and faithful subject oweth to serve his sovereign lord. The king of France and his brother then, clothed in *canvas* robes, in the said church of St. Mary, swore they would help and sustain to the utmost of their power the earl of Warwick in the quarrel of king Henry. Queen Margaret then swore to treat the earl as true and faithful to king Henry and the prince, and for his deeds past *never to make him any reproach*. After the recovery of the kingdom of England, the prince was to be regent of all the realm, and the duke of Clarence to have all his own lands and those of the duke of York. From that time forth the daughter of the earl of Warwick to be *put and remain in the hands and the keeping of the queen* Margaret ; but the said marriage not to be perfected till the earl of Warwick had been with an army over into England, and recovered the realm in the most part thereof for king Henry. The earl of Warwick affirmed, at the same time, that if he were once over the sea, he should have more than fifty thousand fighters at his commandment ; but if the king of France would help him with a few folk, he would pass the sea without delay. Louis gave a subsidy of forty-six thousand crowns, besides two thousand French archers."

The prince of Wales, who had entered his eighteenth year, and was one of the handsomest and most accomplished princes in Europe, was very desirous of becoming the husband of Anne Neville, whom he had seen in Paris some time before. They were allied in blood, for Anne's great-grandmother, the countess of Westmoreland, was Joanna Beaufort, daughter of John of Gaunt, the patriarchal stem of the royal line of Lancaster. Anne of Warwick was co-heiress to mighty possessions, which rendered her a match, in point of wealth, not unworthy of a spouse in full possession of regal power. While these negotiations were pending, Louis's queen gave birth, at Amboise, to a fair son, afterwards Charles VIII. Edward, prince of Wales, was complimented with the office of godfather to the infant dauphin, the other sponsor being Jane of France.² Some historians say, that Margaret was the godmother ; but there had never been any regard between her and the queen of France, Charlotte of Savoy, who, being desirous of marrying her sister, Bona of Savoy, to Edward IV., had always treated the fallen queen of the Lancastrian sovereign with a contempt that the high spirit of Margaret could scarcely brook.³ After the christening of the young dauphin, which was solemnized with great splendour at Amboise, Edward of Lancaster plighted his nuptial troth to Anne Neville, in the presence of

¹ Chron. in Stowe's Collection. ² Comines. Wassaburg. Villeneuve. Monstrelet. ³ Hall.

queen Margaret, the king of France, king René, the earl and countess of Warwick, the duke and duchess of Clarence, and the faithful adherents of the Red rose, of whom Margaret's exiled court was composed.¹ This romantic marriage was celebrated at the latter end of July, or the beginning of August, 1470, and was commemorated with feasts and high rejoicings. Warwick departed from Angers on the 4th of August, leaving his countess and the newly-wedded princess of Wales, as pledges of his fidelity, with queen Margaret and her son. They were entertained with princely hospitality by king René till the autumn. Meantime, Clarence and Warwick landed at Dartmouth with their puissance, and proclaimed their intention of delivering king Henry from durance, declaring their commission to be "by the whole voice and assent of the most noble princess Margaret, queen of England, and the right high and mighty prince Edward." When the news was spread that king Henry, whose mild sway had been sorely regretted, "should rejoice the land again by reigning as heretofore," his champions were received with universal acclamations. Warwick found himself in a few days at the head of sixty thousand men, the people crying everywhere, "A Henry ! a Henry !" Edward IV., being unable to stand his ground, embarked for Holland, leaving Warwick master of the realm ; by whose direction the bishop of Winchester, early in October, went to the Tower of London, took king Henry from his keepers, and new arrayed him, the royal captive not having been attired according to his rank, "nor so cleanly kept as beseemed such a personage." He was then brought home with great reverence and rejoicing to his palace at Westminster. *Te Deum* was sung in Paris for his deliverance, and a solemn festival and holiday for three days was proclaimed by order of his cousin-german, the king of France.² Louis also wrote letters to the authorities in Paris, to say that he should shortly send thither the queen of England, consort to king Henry, with her son the prince of Wales, his princess, daughter to the earl of Warwick, the countess of Warwick, lady Wiltshire, and the other ladies and damsels who were with the queen of England.³

In November, Margaret with her company set out for Paris, attended by the counts of Eu, Vendôme, Dunois, the lord of Chatillon, and many other nobles, whom her royal cousin of France had appointed as her guard of honour. When she approached Paris, the archbishop, the university, the parliament, the officers of the Chatelet, the provost of the merchants, all in their habits of ceremony, together with the principal inhabitants of Paris in gala array, came out to meet and welcome her, and conducted her into the town. All the streets through which she passed, from the gate of St. Jacques to the palace of St. Pol, were hung with rich tapestry, and nothing was omitted that could add to

¹ *Corines.* Wassaburg. Bourdigne. Villeneuve.² *Warkworth's Chronicle.*³ *Monstrelet.* Chastellain.

the solemnity of her reception. "The streets of Paris were gaily dressed to welcome them, and they were lodged in the palace, where they received the news of the landing of the earl of Warwick, and that king Henry was freed, and in possession of his kingdom; upon which queen Margaret with all her company resolved to return to England."¹

King René made great personal sacrifices, exhausting both money and credit to assist his energetic daughter in her purveyances for the voyage to England; and in the month of February, 1471, all was ready for her embarkation but—the wind. The atmospherical influences were always unfavourable to Margaret, and at this momentous crisis of her fate, as on many a previous one, it might have been said, "The stars in their courses fought against Sisera." Thrice did she, in defiance of all warnings from the men of Harfleur, put to sea with her armament, and as often was she driven back on the coast of Normandy, not without damage to her ships, till many of her followers protested that this strange opposition of winds and waves was caused by sorcery.² Others endeavoured to prevail on her to relinquish her intention of proceeding to England, as it appeared in a manner forbidden to her. But Margaret's strong mind rejected with equal contempt the superstitious notions of either magic or omens. She knew on how critical a balance hung the fortunes of her husband and her son; and although the people in all the towns through which Warwick had passed, on his triumphant march to London, had tossed the White rose from their caps, shouting "A Harry! a Harry!—A Warwick! a Warwick!"³ and celebrated the restoration of holy Henry with every token of joy, yet she had had too sore experience of the fickle nature of popular excitement not to feel the importance of straining every nerve to improve the present favourable juncture. She was not ignorant of the return of king Edward, and the defection of "false, perjured, fleeting Clarence;" and her anxiety to reach the scene of action was proportioned to the desperate nature of the closely-contested game that was playing there. Up to the last moment of her compulsory sojourn on the shores of Normandy, she continued to levy forces for the aid of Warwick and the king.⁴ On the 24th of March she once more put to sea with her fleet, and, despite of all opposing influences of the elements, pursued her inauspicious voyage to England. The passage, that with a favourable wind might have been achieved in twelve hours, was protracted sixteen tedious days and nights, which were spent by the anxious queen in a fever of agonizing impatience. On Easter-eve, her long baffled fleet made the port of Weymouth.⁵ Margaret, with her son the prince of Wales and his newly-espoused consort, Sir John Fortescue, and many others, landed April 13th. They went to the neighbouring abbey of Cerne, to refresh themselves after the

¹ Feliblen, *Histoire de Paris*, vol. ii. p. 861

Hall.

³ *Ibid.*

⁴ *Ibid.*

⁵ Fleetwood's *Chronicle*, edited by J. Bruce.

fatigues of the voyage. It was there that queen Margaret, with the prince and princess of Wales, kept their Easter-festival, at the very time their cause was receiving its death-blow on the fatal heath of Barnet,¹ where the weather once more turned the fortunes of the day against the fated rose of Lancaster.

When the dreadful news of the death of Warwick and the re-capture of king Henry was brought to Margaret on the following day, she fell to the ground in a deep swoon, and for a long time remained in a speechless stupor of despair, as if her faculties had been overpowered by the greatness of this unexpected blow.² When she revived to consciousness, it was only to bewail the evil destiny of her luckless consort. "In her agony, she reviled the calamitous temper of the times in which she lived, reproached herself for all her painful labours, now turned to her own misery, and declared 'she desired rather to die than live longer in this state of infelicity.'" The soothing caresses of her beloved son in some manner restored her to herself, and she departed, with all her company, to the famous sanctuary of Beaulieu Abbey, where she registered herself, and all who came with her, as privileged persons. Here she found the countess of Warwick, who had embarked at Harfleur at the same time with her; but having a swifter sailing vessel, had landed before her at Portsmouth and proceeded to Southampton, with intent to join her at Weymouth. On the road, the countess had received the mournful news of her husband's defeat and death at Barnet, and, fearing to proceed, fled across the New Forest; "and so," says Fleetwood, "took her to the protection of the sanctuary of an abbey called Beaulieu, which has as great privileges as that of Westminster, or of St. Martin's, at London." A melancholy meeting it must have been between the despairing queen, the widowed countess, and the princess of Wales, now so sorrowfully linked in fellowship of woe.

As soon as the retreat of the queen was known, she was visited by the young fiery duke of Somerset, his brother, and many other of the Lancastrian nobles, who welcomed her to England. Finding her almost drowned in sorrow, they strove to rouse her from her dejection by telling her "they had already a good puissance in the field, and trusted, with the encouragement of her presence and that of the prince, soon to draw all the northern and western counties to the banner of the Red rose."³ The elastic spirits of Margaret were greatly revived and comforted by the cheering speeches of these ardent partisans, and she proceeded to explain to them the causes that delayed her coming to them in time to support Warwick, and the reason that had induced her to take sanctuary, which was for the security of the prince, her son, for whose precious safety "she passionately implored them to provide." She added, that "It was her opinion no good would be done in the field

¹ Fleetwood's Chron., ed. by J. Bruce. ² Hall. Fleetwood. ³ Hall. Fleetwood. Lingard.

this time; and therefore it would be best for her and the prince, with such as chose to share their fortunes, to return to France, and there to tarry till it pleased God to send her better luck.”¹ But the gallant young prince would not consent to this arrangement,² and Somerset told the queen with some warmth, that “There was no occasion to waste any more words, for they were all determined, while their lives lasted, still to keep war against their enemies.” Margaret, overborne by his violence, at last said, “Well, be it so.”³ She then consented to quit her asylum, and proceeded with the Lancastrian lords to Bath.

It was a peculiarity in Margaret’s campaigns, that she always kept the place of her destination a profound secret. Owing to this caution, and the entire devotion of the western counties to her cause, she had got a great army in the field ready to oppose Edward IV., while her actual locality remained unknown to him. He had advanced to Marlborough, but as her army was not equal in strength to his victorious forces, she retreated from Bath to Bristol, with the intention of crossing the Severn at Gloucester, to form a junction with Jasper Tudor’s army in Wales.⁴ Could this purpose have been effected, the biographers of Margaret of Anjou might have had a far different tale to record than the events of the dismal day of Tewkesbury; but the men of Gloucester had fortified the bridge, and would not permit her to pass, neither for threats nor fair words, though she had some friends in the city, through whom she offered large bribes; but “they were under the obeisance of the duke of Gloucester,” they replied, “and bound to oppose her passage.”

Margaret then passed on to Tewkesbury. Edward had arrived within a mile of that place before she came, and was ready to do battle with her. Though she had marched seven-and-thirty miles that day with her army, and was greatly overcome with vexation and fatigue, she was urgent with Somerset to press on to her friends in Wales; but Somerset, with inflexible obstinacy, expressed his determination “there to tarry, and abide such fortune as God should send;” and so “taking his will for reason, he pitched his camp in the fair park and there entrenched himself, sorely against the opinion, not only of the queen, but all the experienced captains of the army.”⁴ Somerset and his brother led the advanced guard; the prince of Wales, under the direction of lord Wenlock and that military monk, the prior of St. John’s, commanded the van; the earl of Devonshire the rearward. When the battle was thus ordered, queen Margaret and her son the prince rode about the field, from rank to rank, encouraging the soldiers with promises of large rewards, promotions, and everlasting renown, if they won the victory.

The battle was fought on the 4th of May, 1471, and was lost, either through the treachery of lord Wenlock, or the inconsiderate fury of Somerset; who, finding Wenlock inactively sitting on his horse in the

¹ Hall.² Prevost.³ Hall.⁴ Hall. Holinshea.

market-place of Tewkesbury with his laggard host, when his presence was most required in the field, made fiercely up to him, and calling him "Traitor!" cleft his skull with his battle-axe.¹ The men under Wenlock's banner, panic-stricken at the fate of their leader, fled. The prince of Wales had no experience as a general, and his personal courage was unavailing to redeem the fortunes of the day.² When queen Margaret, who was an agonized spectator of the discomfiture of her troops, saw that the day was going against her, she was with difficulty withheld from rushing into the *mêlée*; but at length, exhausted by the violence of her feelings, she swooned and was carried in a state of insensibility to a car by her faithful attendants, and conveyed through the gates of Tewkesbury-park to a small religious house hard by, where her unfortunate daughter-in-law, Anne of Warwick, the countess of Devonshire, and lady Katherine Vaux, had already taken refuge. According to Fleetwood's Chronicle, she remained there till Tuesday, May 7th, three days after the battle. Other writers affirm that she was captured on the same day which saw the hopes of Lancaster crushed on the bloody field of Tewkesbury.

The generally received historical tradition of the manner of the prince of Wales's death has been contested, because two contemporary chroniclers, Warkworth and Fleetwood, have stated that he was slain in the field, calling on his brother-in-law Clarence for help. In the field he probably was slain,—that part of the plain of Tewkesbury which, in memory of that foul and most revolting murder, is still called "the bloody field." Sir Richard Crofts, to whom the princely novice had surrendered, tempted by the proclamation "that whoever should bring Edward (called prince) to the king, should receive one hundred pounds a-year for life, and the prince's life be spared," "nothing mistrusting," says Hall, "the king's promise, brought forth his prisoner, being a goodly well-featured young gentleman, of almost feminine beauty." King Edward, struck with the noble presence of the youth, after he had well considered him, demanded, "How he durst so presumptuously enter his realms, with banners displayed against him?"—"To recover my father's crown and mine own inheritance," was the bold but rash reply of the fettered *lionceau* of Plantagenet. Edward basely struck the gallant stripling in the face with his gauntlet, which was the signal for his pitiless attendants to despatch him with their daggers.

The following day, queen Margaret's retreat was made known to

¹ Wenlock had, by his frequent changes of party, given too much cause to the Lancastrians to distrust him. George Chastellain speaks of him as the most "double-minded of men, the most perjured of traitors."

² The Lancastrians were unacquainted with the ground, and when the king's fiery charge drove Somerset's men down the short,

sudden hill into the low meadow where the Avon and Severn meet, both being at that time swollen with the recent rains above their banks, the foremost horsemen were pushed by those who followed close behind into the deep waters, and, weighed down by their heavy armour, perished miserably, more being drowned than slain by the sword.

king Edward as he was on his way to Worcester, and he was assured that she should be at his command. She was brought to him at Coventry, May 11th, by her old enemy, Sir William Stanley, by whom, it is said, the first news of the massacre of her beloved son was revealed to the bereaved mother, in a manner calculated to aggravate the bitterness of this dreadful blow. Margaret, in the first transports of maternal agony, invoked the most terrible maledictions on the head of the ruthless Edward and his posterity, which Stanley was inhuman enough to repeat to his royal master, together with all the frantic expressions she had used against him during their journey. Edward was at first so much exasperated, that he thought of putting her to death; but no Plantagenet ever shed the blood of a woman, and he contented himself by forcing her to grace his triumphant progress towards the metropolis. The youthful widow of her murdered son, Anne of Warwick, who had in one little fortnight been bereaved of her father, her uncle, her young gallant husband, and the name of princess of Wales, was another of the mournful attendants on this abhorrent pageant.

On the 22nd of May, being the eve of the Ascension, Margaret and her unfortunate daughter-in-law entered London together in the train of the haughty victor, but they were separated immediately on their arrival. Margaret was incarcerated in one of the most dismal of the prison lodgings in that gloomy fortress where her royal husband was already immured,—that husband to whom she was now so near, after long years of separation, and yet was to behold no more. The same night that Margaret of Anjou was brought as a captive to the Tower of London, she was made a widow. "That night, between eleven and twelve of the clock," writes the chronicler in Leland, "was king Henry, being prisoner in the Tower, put to death, the duke of Gloucester and divers of his men being in the Tower that night."—"May God give him time for repentance, whoever he was, who laid his sacrilegious hands on the Lord's anointed," adds the continuator¹ of the Chronicles of Croyland. Tradition points out an octagonal room in the Wakefield tower as the scene of the midnight murder of Henry VI. It was there that he had, for five years, eaten the bread of affliction during his lonely captivity. A few learned manuscripts and devotional books, a bird that was the companion of his solitude, his relics and the occasional visits of one or two learned monks who were permitted to administer to his spiritual wants, were all the solaces he received in his captivity.

King Edward and the duke of Gloucester, as if apprehensive of some outburst of popular indignation, left London early in the same morning that the tragic pageant of exposing the corpse of their royal victim to pub-

¹ That the death of Henry was predetermined by king Edward, even when uncertain of the event of the battle of Barnet, may be gathered from his letter to Clarence, "to keep king Henry out of sanctuary."—Leland, Coll.

ii. 108. It is a curious fact, that the weapon said to have been employed in the perpetration of this disputed murder was preserved, and long regarded as a relic.

lic view was to take place,¹—an exhibition that was a matter of political expediency, to prevent any further attempts for his deliverance. The day after the Ascension the last Lancastrian king was “borne barefaced on the bier,” surrounded by more glaives and bills than torches, through Cheapside to St. Paul’s, that every man might see him; “and there the silent witness of the blood, that welled from his fresh wounds upon the pavement, gave an indubitable token of the manner of his death.”² The same awful circumstance occurred when they brought him to Blackfriars, and this is recorded by four contemporary authorities, in quaint but powerful language.³ Very brief was the interval between the death and funeral of holy Henry. In the evening his bloody hearse was placed in a lighted barge, guarded by soldiers from Calais, “and so, without singing or saying,” says the chronicler, “conveyed up the dark waters of the Thames at midnight to his silent interment at Chertsey-abbey, where it was long pretended that miracles were performed at his tomb.”⁴

Whether the widowed Margaret was, from her doleful lodgings in the Tower, a spectator of the removal of the remains of her hapless lord is not recorded, but her extreme anxiety to possess them may be gathered from a curious document among the MSS. in the royal archives at Paris. Just before the melancholy period of her last utter desolation, death had been busy in the paternal house of Margaret of Anjou: her brother, John of Calabria, his young promising heir, her sister’s husband, Ferry of Vaudemonte, and her natural sister, Blanche of Anjou, all died within a few weeks of each other. King René had not recovered from the stupor of despair in which he had been plunged by these repeated bereavements, when he heard of the direful calamities that had befallen his unhappy daughter Margaret, and for her sufferings he shed those tears which he had been unable to weep for his own. Under the influence of these feelings he wrote the following touching letter to Margaret, which she received in the midst of her agonies for the death of her husband and son: “My child, may God help thee with his counsels! for rarely is the aid of man tendered in such reverse of fortune. When you can spare a thought from your own sufferings, think of mine; they are great, my daughter, yet would I console thee.”⁵

The imprisonment of queen Margaret was at first very rigorous, but it was, after a time, ameliorated through the compassionate influence of Edward’s queen, Elizabeth Woodville, who retained a graceful remembrance of the benefits she had formerly received from her royal mistress. There was, too, a family connexion between queen Elizabeth and Margaret of Anjou, whose uncle, Charles of Anjou, duke of Maine, had married the aunt of the former. The captive queen was first removed to Windsor, and afterwards to Wallingford, where she seems to

¹ Warkworth Chronicle, p. 21.

² Warkworth, p. 21. Habington, Fa-

³ MS. London Chron. Bibl. Cotton., Vitell. byan. Croyland Chron.

⁴ Ibid.

A. xvi. fol. 133.

⁵ Vie de Roi René, by Villeneuve.

have been under the charge of the noble castelaine, Alice Chaucer, duchess-dowager of Suffolk, her old favourite; at least such we think is the inference to be drawn from this observation in one of the Paston letters, dated July the 8th, 1471: "And as for queen Margaret, I understand that she is removed from Windsor to Wallingford, nigh to Ewelme, my lady Suffolk's place in Oxfordshire."¹ Five marks weekly was the sum allotted by Edward IV. for the maintenance of the unfortunate Margaret, during her imprisonment in Wallingford-castle. Her tender-hearted father, king René, was unwearied in his exertions for her emancipation, which was at length accomplished at the sacrifice of his inheritance of Provence, which he ceded to Louis XI. at Lyons, in 1475, for half its value, that he might deliver his beloved child from captivity. Yolante and her son murmured a little at this loss, but they appeared, nevertheless, fond of Margaret.

The agreement between Edward IV. and Louis XI. for the ransom of Margaret of Anjou was finally settled August 29th, 1475, while Edward was in France. Louis undertook to pay fifty thousand crowns for her liberation, at five instalments.² The first instalment of her ransom was paid to Edward's treasurer, lord John Howard, November 3rd, the same year, and the bereaved and broken-hearted widow of the holy Henry, after five years' captivity, was conducted from her prison at Wallingford-castle to Sandwich. In her journey through Kent she was consigned to the care and hospitality of John Haute,³ a squire of that county, strongly in the interests of the house of York, who attended her to Sandwich, where she embarked. Her retinue, when she landed in France, according to Prevost, consisted of three ladies and seven gentlemen; but these must have been sent by the king of France, since the miserable sum allotted to Haute for her travelling expenses allows for little attendance. The feelings may be imagined with which she took a last farewell of the English shores, where, thirty years before, she had landed in the pride and flush of youthful beauty as king Henry's bride, and all the chivalry of the land thronged to meet and do her honour. Now it was treason even to shed a tear of pity for her sore afflictions, or to speak a word of comfort to her. Truly might she have said, "See if any sorrow be like unto my sorrow!"

She safely arrived at Dieppe in the beginning of January, 1476. It was requisite, for the validity of the deeds of renunciation she had to sign, that she should be at liberty. Therefore Sir Thomas Montgomery

¹ Shakespeare, in his tragedy of Richard III., makes grand poetic use of the character of the captive Lancastrian queen, representing her roaming at large through the palaces of her foes, like an ill-omened sibyl or domestic fiend, denouncing woe and desolation to the princes of the line of York, invoking the retribution of heaven on the progeny of those who had made her childless, and exulting with

frenzied joy in the calamities of the widowed Elizabeth Woodville, whom she is made to call, "Poor painted queen, vain flourish of my greatness!" But Margaret's broken heart had ceased to vibrate to the agonizing pangs of remembrance and regret before the death of her great enemy, Edward IV.

² Rymer, and French Archives.

³ Issue Rolls, Appendix, Edward IV

took her to Rouen, and resigned her to the French ambassadors on January 22; and on the 29th she signed a formal renunciation of all rights her marriage in England had given her. There is something touching in the very simplicity of the Latin sentence with which the deed begins, that was wrung from the broken-hearted heroine who had, through so many storms of adversity, defended the rights of her royal consort and son. While they remained in life, she would have died a thousand deaths rather than relinquish even the most shadowy of their claims; but the dear ones were no more,

"Ambition, pride, the rival names
Of York and Lancaster,
With all their long-contested claims,
What were they then to her?"

Passively, and almost as a matter of indifference, Margaret subscribed the instrument commencing *Ego, Margarita, olim in regno Anglia maritata*, etc. "I, Margaret, formerly in England married, renounce all that I could pretend to in England by the conditions of my marriage, with all other things there, to Edward, now king of England."¹ This deed did not afford her the title of queen, even in a retrospective view: she was simply Margaret, formerly married in England. At the same time she signed a renunciation of her reversionary rights on her father's territories to Louis XI.; but as there were several intermediate heirs, this was no great sacrifice.

Margaret intended to take Paris in her journey home, in order to thank Louis XI. for her liberation; but it did not suit that wily politician to receive her, and he sent a message advising her to make the best of her way to her father. The last spark of Margaret's high spirit was elicited at this discourtesy, and declining the escort Louis XI. had prepared for her at Rouen, she set out on her long wintry journey through Normandy,—a resolution which had nearly occasioned the loss of her life.² After Normandy had been conquered by Henry V., he had planted some colonies of English settlers in various towns and villages, and one or two of these settlements still remained in a wretched state, being unable to emigrate to their mother-country. Margaret, wholly unconscious of these circumstances, meant to rest for the night, after her first day's journey from Rouen, in a town containing many of these malcontents. Curiosity led a crowd of them to gaze upon her at the inn, but when the word passed among them "that it was Margaret of Anjou, returning from England to her father," murmurs arose; and they declared "she had been the original cause of the English losing France, consequently, of all their misery, and that they would now take vengeance upon her." With these words they made a rush to seize her; but fortunately she had time to gain her apartment, while two English gentlemen, her attendants, held her assailants at bay with their drawn

¹ Rymer, vol. xii. p. 21 Du Tillet, 146. Archives de France, 212. ² Prevost.

swords till the French authorities of the town, hearing the uproar, interfered, and rescued the unhappy Margaret from this unexpected attack. She retraced her steps immediately to Rouen, and was glad to claim the protection she had before refused.

We now come to that era of Margaret's life in which a noble author of our times, the earl of Carlisle, in one exquisite line, describes her as

"Anjou's lone matron in her father's hall."

Like Naomi, Margaret returned empty and desolate to her native land, but not, like her, attended by a fond and faithful daughter-in-law, for the unhappy widow of her son had been compelled to wed king Edward's brother, Richard of Gloucester,—him whom public report had branded as the murderer of Henry VI.; and the idea of this alliance must have added a drop to the already overflowing cup of bitterness, of which the fallen queen had drunk so deeply. The home to which her father welcomed Margaret was at that time at Reculée, about a league from Angers, on the river Mayence, where he had a castle that commanded a view of the town, with a beautiful garden and a gallery of paintings and sculpture, which he took delight in adorning with his own paintings, and ornamented the walls of his garden with heraldic designs carved in marble. It was in such pursuits as these that René, like a true Provençal sovereign, sought forgetfulness of his afflictions. But Margaret's temperament was of too stormy a nature to admit of the slightest alleviation to her grief: her whole time was spent in painfully retracing the direful scenes of her past life, and in passionate regrets for the bereavements she had undergone. The canker-worm that was perpetually busy within, at length made its ravages outwardly visible on her person, and effected a fearful change in her appearance. The agonies and agitation she had undergone turned the whole mass of her blood; her eyes, once so brilliant and expressive, became hollow, dim, and perpetually inflamed, from excessive weeping; and her skin was disfigured with a dry, scaly leprosy, which transformed this princess, who had been celebrated as the most beautiful in the world, into a spectacle of horror. Villeneuve says Margaret seldom left her retreat at Reculée, with the exception of one or two visits to the court of Louis XI. An hotel at Paris, called the *Séjour d'Orléans*, situated in the faubourg St. Marceau, which had passed into the family of Anjou-Lorraine, was named by the tradition of Paris as the residence of Margaret of Anjou, after the death of her husband Henry VI. Her liberation, when ransomed by Louis XI., must be the time meant. Margaret is considered, by one of her French biographers, to have been the person who kept alive the interests of the Lancastrian party for her kinsman, the young earl of Richmond, of whom Henry VI. had prophesied "that he should one day wear the crown of England;" but the general opinion is, that, after her return to her own country, she lived in the deepest seclusion.

A little before his death, king René composed two beautiful canticles on the heroic actions of his beloved daughter, queen Margaret. This accomplished prince died in the year 1480. By his will, which is preserved among the MSS. in the Bibliothèque du Roi, René bequeathed "one thousand crowns in gold to his daughter Margaret, queen of England; and if she remains in a state of widowhood, an annuity of two thousand livres, and the château of Queniez for her abode." He wrote a letter on his death-bed to Louis XI., earnestly recommending his daughter Margaret, and his widow to his care. After the death of king René, Margaret sold any reversionary rights which the death of her elder sister and her children might give her to the duchies of Lorraine, Anjou, Maine, Provence, and Barr to Louis XI. for a pension of six thousand livres. She executed this deed on the 19th day of November, 1480, in the great hall of the castle of Reculée, where in her girlhood she had received the ambassadors of England who came to solicit her virgin hand for their sovereign. This pension was so unpunctually paid by Louis, that if Margaret had no other resource she would have been greatly inconvenienced, especially as many of the ruined Lancastrian exiles subsisted on her bounty. King René, with his last breath, had consigned her to the care of an old and faithful officer of his household, Francis Vignolles, lord of Moraens, who had shared all his struggles. This brave soldier took the fallen queen to his own home, the château of Damprière, near Saumur.

The last tie that bound Margaret to the world was severed by the death of her father, and she wished to end her days in profound retirement. Her efforts to obtain the bodies of her murdered husband and son were ineffectual; but, till the last day of her life, she employed some faithful ecclesiastics in England to perform at the humble graves of her loved and lost ones those offices she fondly deemed needful for the repose of their souls. On her deathbed she divided among her faithful attendants the few valuables that remained from the wreck of her fortunes; and, worn out with the pressure of her sore afflictions of mind and body, she closed her troublous pilgrimage at the château of Damprière, August 25th, in the fifty-first year of her age. She was buried in the cathedral of Angers, in the same tomb with her royal parents, without epitaph or inscription, or any other memorial, excepting her portrait painted on glass in a window of the cathedral. A tribute of respect was for centuries paid to her memory by the chapter of St. Maurice, who annually, on the feast of All Saints, after the vespers for the dead, made a semi-circular procession round her grave, singing a *sub-venite*.

M. Michelet, the most eloquent and one of the most erudite of modern historians, has spoken thus of the strange fatality which attended the wedlock of this royal heroine: "Margaret was, it appears, destined to espouse none but the unfortunate. She was twice betrothed, and both

times to celebrated victims of calamity,—to Charles of Nevers, who was dispossessed by his uncle, and to the count de St. Pol, whose course terminated on a scaffold. She was married yet more unhappily: she wedded anarchy, poverty, civil war, malediction,—and this malediction still cleaves to her in history. All that she had of wit, genius, brilliancy, which would have rendered her admired elsewhere, was injurious to her in England, where French queens have never been popular, the strong contrast in the national manners and characteristics producing a mutual repulsion. And Margaret was even more than a Frenchwoman: she came like a sunbeam from her native Provence among dense fogs. The pale flowers of the North, as one of their poets terms them, could not but be offended by this bright vision from the South.” Beautiful as this passage is, it implies a reproach on the English ladies which they were far from deserving. Margaret and her female court appear, from first to last, to have lived in the greatest harmony. The noble ladies who were appointed of her household when she married, remained for the most part attached to her service through good report and evil report. They clung to her in her adversity, served her without wages, shared her perils by land and sea, and even when compelled to separate from her, they rejoined her in the land of exile with the most generous self-devotion. No hostile collision ever occurred between the consort of Henry VI. and the proverbially proud Cicely, duchess of York, or the countess of Warwick, the wives of her deadliest foes. Margaret has been blamed by English historians as the cause of the civil wars, but they originated in the previous interruption of the legitimate order of the royal succession, the poverty of the crown, and the wealth of the rival claimant and his powerful connexions. The parties who intended to hurl Henry VI. from the throne aimed the first blow at his queen,—first, by exciting national prejudice against her as a French princess, and subsequently by assailing her with the base weapons of calumny. These injuries were passionately resented by Margaret, and provoked vengeance whenever the fortune of war enabled her to retaliate on the leaders of the hostile faction of York, but she always kept the peace with their ladies. Margaret’s eldest sister Yolante survived her two years; she had a daughter, called Margaret of Anjou the younger. Maria Louisa, Napoleon’s empress, possessed her breviary, in which there is one sentence supposed to have been written by the once beautiful, powerful, and admired Margaret, queen of England.

END OF VOL. I.

AN

ALPHABETICAL LIST

OF BOOKS CONTAINED IN

BOHN'S LIBRARIES.

*Detailed Catalogue, arranged according to the various
Libraries, will be sent on application.*

- ADDISON'S Works.** With the Notes of Bishop Hurd, Portrait, and 8 Plates of Medals and Coins. Edited by H. G. Bohn. 6 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- ÆSCHYLUS, The Dramas of.** Translated into English Verse by Anna Swanwick. 4th Edition, revised. 5s.
- **The Tragedies of.** Translated into Prose by T. A. Buckley, B.A. 3s. 6d.
- AGASSIZ and GOULD'S Outline of Comparative Physiology.** Enlarged by Dr. Wright. With 390 Woodcuts. 5s.
- ALFIERI'S Tragedies.** Translated into English Verse by Edg. & A. Bowring, C.B. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- ALLEN'S (Joseph, R. N.) Battles of the British Navy.** Revised Edition, with 57 Steel Engravings. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- AMMIANUS MARCELLINUS.** History of Rome during the Reigns of Constantius, Julian, Jovianus, Valentinian, and Valens. Translated by Prof. C. D. Yonge, M.A. 7s. 6d.
- ANDERSEN'S Danish Legends and Fairy Tales.** Translated by Caroline Peachey. With 120 Wood Engravings. 5s.
- ANTONINUS (M. Aurelius). The Thoughts of.** Trans. literally, with Notes and Introduction by George Long, M.A. 3s. 6d.
- APOLLONIUS RHODIUS.** 'The Argonautica.' Translated by E. P. Coleridge, B.A.
- APPIAN'S Roman History.** Translated by Horace White, M.A., LL.D. With Maps and Illustrations. 2 vols. 6s. each.
- APULEIUS, The Works of** Comprising the Golden Ass, God of Socrates, Florida, and Discourse of Magic. 5s.
- ARIOSTO'S Orlando Furioso.** Translated into English Verse by W. S. Rose. With Portrait, and 24 Steel Engravings. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- ARISTOPHANES' Comedies.** Translated by W. J. Hickie. 2 vols. 5s. each.

ARISTOTLE'S *Nicomachean Ethics*. Translated, with Introduction and Notes, by the Venerable Archdeacon Browne. 5s.

— *Politics and Economics*. Translated by E. Walford, M.A., with Introduction by Dr. Gillies. 5s.

— *Metaphysics*. Translated by the Rev. John H. M'Mahon, M.A. 5s.

— *History of Animals*. Trans. by Richard Cresswell, M.A. 5s.

— *Organon; or, Logical Treatises, and the Introduction of Porphyry*. Translated by the Rev. O. F. Owen, M.A. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— *Rhetoric and Poetics*. Trans. by T. Buckley, B.A. 5s.

ARRIAN'S *Anabasis of Alexander, together with the Indica*. Translated by E. J. Chinnock, M.A., LL.D. With Maps and Plans. 5s.

ATHENÆUS. *The Deipnosophists; or, the Banquet of the Learned*. Trans. by Prof. C. D. Yonge, M.A. 3 vols. 5s. each.

BACON'S *Moral and Historical Works, including the Essays, Apophthegms, Wisdom of the Ancients, New Atlantis, Henry VII., Henry VIII., Elizabeth, Henry Prince of Wales, History of Great Britain, Julius Cæsar, and Augustus Cæsar*. Edited by J. Devey, M.A. 3s. 6d.

— *Novum Organum and Advancement of Learning*. Edited by J. Devey, M.A. 5s.

BASS'S *Lexicon to the Greek Testament*. 2s.

BAX'S *Manual of the History of Philosophy, for the use of Students*. By E. Belfort Bax. 5s.

BEAUMONT and FLETCHER, their finest Scenes, Lyrics, and other Beauties, selected from the whole of their works, and edited by Leigh Hunt. 3s. 6d.

BECHSTEIN'S *Cage and Chamber Birds, their Natural History, Habits, Food, Diseases, and Modes of Capture*. Translated, with considerable additions on Structure, Migration, and Economy, by H. G. Adams. Together with SWEET BRITISH WARBLERS. With 43 coloured Plates and Woodcut Illustrations. 5s.

BEDE'S (Venerable) *Ecclesiastical History of England*. Together with the *ANGLO-SAXON CHRONICLE*. Edited by J. A. Giles, D.C.L. With Map. 5s.

BELL (Sir Charles). *The Anatomy and Philosophy of Expression, as connected with the Fine Arts*. By Sir Charles Bell, K.H. 7th edition, revised. 5s.

BERKELEY (George), Bishop of Cloyne, *The Works of*. Edited by George Sampson. With Biographical Introduction by the Right Hon. A. J. Baltour, M.P. 3 vols. 5s. each.

BION. See *THEOCRITUS*.

BJÖRNSSON'S *Arne and the Fisher Lassie*. Translated by W. H. Low, M.A. 3s. 6d.

BLAIR'S *Chronological Tables Revised and Enlarged*. Comprehending the Chronology and History of the World, from the Earliest Times to the Russian Treaty of Peace, April 1856. By J. Willeoughby Rosse. Double vol. 10s.

BLAIR'S Index of Dates. Comprehending the principal Facts in the Chronology and History of the World, alphabetically arranged; being a complete Index to Blair's Chronological Tables. By J. W. Rosse. 2 vols. 5s. each.

BLEEK, Introduction to the Old Testament. By Friedrich Bleek. Edited by Johann Bleek and Adolf Kamphausen. Translated by G. H. Venables, under the supervision of the Rev. Canon Venables. 2 vols. 5s. each.

BOETHIUS'S Consolation of Philosophy. King Alfred's Anglo-Saxon Version of. With a literal English Translation on opposite pages, Notes, Introduction, and Glossary, by Rev. S. Fox, M.A. 5s.

BOHN'S Dictionary of Poetical Quotations. 4th edition. 6s.

— **Handbooks of Athletic Sports.** In 8 vols., each containing numerous Illustrations. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Cricket, Lawn Tennis, Tennis, Rackets, Fives, Golf.

II.—Rowing and Sculling, Sailing, Swimming.

III.—Boxing, Broadsword, Single Stick, &c., Wrestling, Fencing.

IV.—Rugby Football, Association Football, Baseball, Rounders, Field ball, Quoits, Skittles, Bowls, Curling.

V.—Cycling, Athletics, Skating.

VI.—Practical Horsemanship, including Riding for Ladies.

VII.—Camping Out, Canoeing.

VIII.—Gymnastics, Indian Clubs.

BOHN'S Handbooks of Games. New edition. In 2 vols., with numerous Illustrations 3s. 6d. each.

Vol. I.—**TABLE GAMES**:—Billiards, Chess, Draughts, Backgammon, Dominoes, Solitaire, Reversi, Go-Bang, Rouge et Noir, Roulette, E.O., Hazard, Faro.

Vol. II.—**CARD GAMES**:—Whist, Solo Whist, Poker, Piquet, Écarté, Euchre, Bézique, Cribbage, Loo, Vingt-et-un, Napoleon, Newmarket, Pope Joan, Speculation, &c., &c.

BOND'S A Handy Book of Rules and Tables for verifying Dates with the Christian Era, &c. Giving an account of the Chief Eras and Systems used by various Nations; with the easy Methods for determining the Corresponding Dates. By J. J. Bond. 5s.

BONOMI'S Nineveh and its Palaces. 7 Plates and 294 Woodcut Illustrations. 5s.

BOSWELL'S Life of Johnson, with the **TOUR IN THE HEBRIDES** and **JOHNSONIANA.** Edited by the Rev. A. Napier, M.A. With Frontispiece to each vol. 6 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

BRAND'S Popular Antiquities of England, Scotland, and Ireland. Arranged, revised, and greatly enlarged, by Sir Henry Ellis, K.H., F.R.S., &c., &c. 3 vols. 5s. each.

BREMER'S (Frederika) Works. Translated by Mary Howitt. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

BRIDGWATER TREATISES. Bell (Sir Charles) on the Hand. With numerous Woodcuts. 5s.

Kirby on the History, Habits, and Instincts of Animals. Edited by T. Rymer Jones. With upwards of 100 Woodcuts. 2 vols. 5s. each.

BRIDGWATER TREATISES *continued.*

Kidd on the Adaptation of External Nature to the Physical Condition of Man. 3s. 6d.

Chalmers on the Adaptation of External Nature to the Moral and Intellectual Constitution of Man. 5s.

BRINK (B. ten) Early English Literature. By Bernhard ten Brink. Vol. I. To Wyclif. Translated by Horace M. Kennedy. 3s. 6d.

Vol. II. Wyclif, Chaucer, Earliest Drama Renaissance. Translated by W. Clarke Robinson, Ph.D. 3s. 6d.

Vol. III. From the Fourteenth Century to the Death of Surrey. Edited by Dr. Alois Brandl. Trans. by L. Dora Schmitz. 3s. 6d.

— Five Lectures on Shakespeare. Trans. by Julia Franklin. 3s. 6d.

BROWNE'S (Sir Thomas) Works Edited by Simon Wilkin. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

BURKE'S Works. 8 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Vindication of Natural Society—Essay on the Sublime and Beautiful, and various Political Miscellanies.

II.—Reflections on the French Revolution—Letters relating to the Bristol Election—Speech on Fox's East India Bill, &c.

III.—Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs—On the Nabob of Arcot's Debts—The Catholic Claims, &c.

BURKE'S WORKS *continued.*

IV.—Report on the Affairs of India, and Articles of Charge against Warren Hastings.

V.—Conclusion of the Articles of Charge against Warren Hastings—Political Letters on the American War, on a Regicide Peace, to the Empress of Russia.

VI.—Miscellaneous Speeches—Letters and Fragments—Abridgments of English History, &c. With a General Index.

VII. & VIII.—Speeches on the Impeachment of Warren Hastings; and Letters. With Index. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Life. By Sir J. Prior. 3s. 6d.

BURNEY'S Evelina. By Frances Burney (Mme. D'Arblay). With an Introduction and Notes by A. R. Ellis. 3s. 6d.

— Cecilia. With an Introduction and Notes by A. R. Ellis. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

BURN (R.) Ancient Rome and its Neighbourhood. An Illustrated Handbook to the Ruins in the City and the Campagna, for the use of Travellers. By Robert Burn, M.A. With numerous Illustrations, Maps, and Plans. 7s. 6d.

BURNS (Robert), Life of. By J. G. Lockhart, D.C.L. A new and enlarged Edition. Revised by William Scott Douglas. 3s. 6d.

BURTON'S (Robert) Anatomy of Melancholy. Edited by the Rev. A. R. Shilleto, M.A. With Introduction by A. H. Bullen, and full Index. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

BURTON (Sir R. F.) *Personal Narrative of a Pilgrimage to Al-Madinah and Meccah.* By Captain Sir Richard F. Burton, K.C.M.G. With an Introduction by Stanley Lane-Poole, and all the original Illustrations. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

*** This is the copyright edition, containing the author's latest notes.

BUTLER'S (Bishop) *Analogy of Religion, Natural and Revealed, to the Constitution and Course of Nature; together with two Dissertations on Personal Identity and on the Nature of Virtue, and Fifteen Sermons.* 3s. 6d.

BUTLER'S (Samuel) *Hudibras.* With Variorum Notes, a Biography, Portrait, and 28 Illustrations. 5s.

— or, further Illustrated with 60 Outline Portraits. 2 vols. 5s. each.

CÆSAR. *Commentaries on the Gallic and Civil Wars,* Translated by W. A. McDevitte, B.A. 5s.

CAMOENS' *Luslad; or, the Discovery of India.* An Epic Poem. Translated by W. J. Mickle. 5th Edition, revised by E. R. Hodges, M.C.P. 3s. 6d.

CARAFAS (The) of Maddaloni. Naples under Spanish Dominion. Translated from the German of Alfred de Reumont. 3s. 6d.

CARLYLE'S *French Revolution.* Edited by J. Holland Rose, Litt.D. Illus. 3 vols. 5s. each.

— *Sartor Resartus.* With 75 Illustrations by Edmund J. Sullivan. 5s.

CARPENTER'S (Dr. W. B.) *Zoology.* Revised Edition, by W. S. Dallas, F.L.S. With very numerous Woodcuts. Vol. I. 6s. [Vol. II. out of print.]

CARPENTER'S *Mechanical Philosophy, Astronomy, and Horology.* 181 Woodcuts. 5s.

— *Vegetable Physiology and Systematic Botany.* Revised Edition, by E. Lankester, M.D., &c. With very numerous Woodcuts. 6s.

— *Animal Physiology.* Revised Edition. With upwards of 300 Woodcuts. 6s.

CASTLE (E.) *Schools and Masters of Fence, from the Middle Ages to the End of the Eighteenth Century.* By Egerton Castle, M.A., F.S.A. With a Complete Bibliography. Illustrated with 140 Reproductions of Old Engravings and 6 Plates of Swords, showing 114 Examples. 6s.

CATTERMOLE'S *Evenings at Haddon Hall.* With 24 Engravings on Steel from designs by Cattermole, the Letterpress by the Baroness de Carabella. 5s.

CATULLUS, Tibullus, and the *Vigil of Venus.* A Literal Prose Translation. 5s.

CELLINI (Benvenuto). *Memoirs of,* written by Himself. Translated by Thomas Roscoe. 3s. 6d.

CERVANTES' *Don Quixote de la Mancha.* Motteaux's Translation revised. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— *Galatea.* A Pastoral Romance. Translated by G. W. J. Gyll. 3s. 6d.

— *Exemplary Novels.* Translated by Walter K. Kelly. 3s. 6d.

CHAUCER'S *Poetical Works.* Edited by Robert Bell. Revised Edition, with a Preliminary Essay by Prof. W. W. Skeat, M.A. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

CHESS CONGRESS of 1862.

A Collection of the Games played.
 Edited by J. Löwenthal. 5s.

CHEVREUL on Colour. Translated from the French by Charles Martel. Third Edition, with Plates, 5s.; or with an additional series of 16 Plates in Colours, 7s. 6d.

CHILLINGWORTH'S Religion of Protestants. A Safe Way to Salvation. 3s. 6d.

CHINA, Pictorial, Descriptive, and Historical. With Map and nearly 100 Illustrations. 5s.

CHRONICLES OF THE CRUSADES. Contemporary Narratives of the Crusade of Richard Cœur de Lion, by Richard of Devizes and Geoffrey de Vinsauf; and of the Crusade at St. Louis, by Lord John de Joinville. 5s.

CICERO'S Orations. Translated by Prof. C. D. Yonge, M.A. 4 vols. 5s. each.

— **Letters.** Translated by Evelyn S. Shuckburgh. 4 vols. 5s. each.

— **On Oratory and Orators.** With Letters to Quintus and Brutus. Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. 5s.

— **On the Nature of the Gods,** Divination, Fate, Laws, a Republic, Consulship. Translated by Prof. C. D. Yonge, M.A., and Francis Barham. 5s.

— **Academics, De Finibus, and Tusculan Questions.** By Prof. C. D. Yonge, M.A. 5s.

CICERO'S Offices; or, Moral Duties. Cato Major, an Essay on Old Age; Lælius, an Essay on Friendship; Scipio's Dream; Paradoxes; Letter to Quintus on Magistrates. Translated by C. R. Edmonds. 3s. 6d.

CORNELIUS NEPOS.— See JUSTIN.

CLARK'S (Hugh) Introduction to Heraldry. 18th Edition, Revised and Enlarged by J. R. Planché, Rouge Croix. With nearly 1000 Illustrations. 5s. Or with the Illustrations Coloured, 15s.

CLASSIC TALES, containing Rasselas, Vicar of Wakefield, Gulliver's Travels, and The Sentimental Journey. 3s. 6d.

COLERIDGE'S (S. T.) Friend. A Series of Essays on Morals, Politics, and Religion. 3s. 6d.

— **Aids to Reflection, and the CONFESSIONS OF AN INQUIRING SPIRIT,** to which are added the **ESSAYS ON FAITH** and the **BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER.** 3s. 6d.

— **Lectures and Notes on Shakespeare and other English Poets.** Edited by T. Ashe. 3s. 6d.

— **Biographia Literaria;** together with Two Lay Sermons. 3s. 6d.

— **Table-Talk and Omniana.** Edited by T. Ashe, B.A. 3s. 6d.

— **Miscellanies, Æsthetic and Literary;** to which is added, **THE THEORY OF LIFE.** Collected and arranged by T. Ashe, B.A. 3s. 6d.

COMTE'S Positive Philosophy. Translated and condensed by Harriet Martineau. With Introduction by Frederic Harrison. 3 vols. 5s. each.

COMTE'S Philosophy of the Sciences, being an Exposition of the Principles of the *Cours de Philosophie Positive.* By G. H. Lewes. 5s.

CONDÉ'S History of the Dominion of the Arabs in Spain. Translated by Mrs. Foster. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

COOPER'S Biographical Dictionary. Containing Concise Notices (upwards of 15,000) of Eminent Persons of all Ages and Countries. By Thompson Cooper, F.S.A. With a Supplement, bringing the work down to 1883. 2 vols. 5s. each.

COXE'S Memoirs of the Duke of Marlborough. With his original Correspondence. By W. Coxe, M.A., F.R.S. Revised edition by John Wade. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

* * An Atlas of the plans of Marlborough's campaigns, 4to. 10s. 6d.

— **History of the House of Austria (1218-1792).** With a Continuation from the Accession of Francis I. to the Revolution of 1848. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

CRAIK'S (G. L.) Pursuit of Knowledge under Difficulties. Illustrated by Anecdotes and Memoirs. Revised edition, with numerous Woodcut Portraits and Plates. 5s.

CRUIKSHANK'S Punch and Judy. The Dialogue of the Puppet Show; an Account of its Origin, &c. With 24 Illustrations, and Coloured Plates, designed and engraved by G. Cruikshank. 5s.

CUNNINGHAM'S Lives of the Most Eminent British Painters. A New Edition, with Notes and Sixteen fresh Lives. By Mrs. Heaton. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

DANTE. Divine Comedy. Translated by the Rev. H. F. Cary, M.A. 3s. 6d.

— Translated into English Verse by I. C. Wright, M.A. 3rd Edition, revised. With Portrait, and 34 Illustrations on Steel, after Flaxman.

DANTE. The Inferno. A Literal Prose Translation, with the Text of the Original printed on the same page. By John A. Carlyle, M.D. 5s.

— **The Purgatorio.** A Literal Prose Translation, with the Text printed on the same page. By W. S. Dugdale. 5s.

DE COMMINES (Philip), Memoirs of. Containing the Histories of Louis XI. and Charles VIII., Kings of France, and Charles the Bold, Duke of Burgundy. Together with the Scandalous Chronicle, or Secret History of Louis XI., by Jean de Troyes. Translated by Andrew R. Scoble. With Portraits. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

DEFOE'S Novels and Miscellaneous Works. With Prefaces and Notes, including those attributed to Sir W. Scott. 7 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Captain Singleton, and Colonel Jack.

II.—Memoirs of a Cavalier, Captain Carleton, Dickory Cronke, &c.

III.—Moll Flanders, and the History of the Devil.

IV.—Roxana, and Life of Mrs. Christian Davies.

V.—History of the Great Plague of London, 1665; The Storm (1703); and the True-born Englishman.

VI.—Duncan Campbell, New Voyage round the World, and Political Tracts.

VII.—Robinson Crusoe.

DE LOLME on the Constitution of England. Edited by John Macgregor. 3s. 6d.

- DEMMIN'S** History of Arms and Armour from the Earliest Period. By Auguste Demmin. Translated by C. C. Black, M.A. With nearly 2000 Illustrations. 7s. 6d.
- DEMOSTHENES'** Orations. Translated by C. Rann Kennedy. 5 vols. Vol. I., 3s. 6d.; Vols. II.-V., 5s. each.
- DE STAËL'S** Corinne or Italy. By Madame de Staël. Translated by Emily Baldwin and Paulina Driver. 3s. 6d.
- DEVEYS** Logic, or the Science of Inference. A Popular Manual. By J. Devey. 5s.
- DICTIONARY** of Latin and Greek Quotations; including Proverbs, Maxims, Mottoes, Law Terms and Phrases. With all the Quantities marked, and English Translations. With Index Verborum (622 pages). 5s.
- DICTIONARY** of Obsolete and Provincial English. Compiled by Thomas Wright, M.A., F.S.A., &c. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- DIDRON'S** Christian Iconography: a History of Christian Art in the Middle Ages. Translated by E. J. Millington and completed by Margaret Stokes. With 240 Illustrations. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- DIOGENES LAERTIUS.** Lives and Opinions of the Ancient Philosophers. Translated by Prof. C. D. Yonge, M.A. 5s.
- DOBREE'S** Adversaria. Edited by the late Prof. Wagner. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- DODD'S** Epigrammatists. A Selection from the Epigrammatic Literature of Ancient, Mediæval, and Modern Times. By the Rev. Henry Philip Dodd, M.A. Oxford. 2nd Edition, revised and enlarged. 6s.
- DONALDSON'S** The Theatre of the Greeks. A Treatise on the History and Exhibition of the Greek Drama. With numerous Illustrations and 3 Plans. By John William Donaldson, D.D. 5s.
- DRAPER'S** History of the Intellectual Development of Europe. By John William Draper, M.D., LL.D. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- DUNLOP'S** History of Fiction. A new Edition. Revised by Henry Wilson. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- DYER (Dr T. H.).** Pompeii: its Buildings and Antiquities. By T. H. Dyer, LL.D. With nearly 300 Wood Engravings, a large Map, and a Plan of the Forum. 7s. 6d.
- **The City of Rome:** its History and Monuments. With Illustrations. 5s.
- DYER (T. F. T.)** British Popular Customs, Present and Past. An Account of the various Games and Customs associated with Different Days of the Year in the British Isles, arranged according to the Calendar. By the Rev. T. F. Thiselton Dyer, M.A. 5s.
- EBERS'** Egyptian Princess. An Historical Novel. By George Ebers. Translated by E. S. Buchheim. 3s. 6d.
- EDGEWORTH'S** Stories for Children. With 8 Illustrations by L. Speed. 3s. 6d.
- ELZE'S** William Shakespeare. —See SHAKESPEARE.
- EMERSON'S** Works. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- I.—Essays, Lectures and Poems.
II.—English Traits, Nature, and Conduct of Life.

EMERSON'S WORKS *continued*.

III.—Society and Solitude—Letters and Social aims—Miscellaneous Papers (hitherto uncollected)—May Day, and other Poems.

ELLIS (G.) Specimens of Early English Metrical Romances. With an Historical Introduction on the Rise and Progress of Romantic Composition in France and England. Revised Edition. By J. O. Halliwell, F.R.S. 5s.

ENNEMOSER'S History of Magic. Translated by William Howitt. 2 vols. 5s. each.

EPICTETUS, The Discourses of. With the ENCHEIRIDION and Fragments. Translated by George Long, M.A. 5s.

EURIPIDES. A New Literal Translation in Prose. By E. P. Coleridge, M.A. 2 vols. 5s. each.

EUTROPIUS.—See JUSTIN.

EUSEBIUS PAMPHILUS, Ecclesiastical History of. Translated by Rev. C. F. Cruse, M.A. 5s.

EVELYN'S Diary and Correspondence. Edited from the Original MSS. by W. Bray, F.A.S. With 45 engravings. 4 vols. 5s. each.

FAIRHOLT'S Costume in England. A History of Dress to the end of the Eighteenth Century. 3rd Edition, revised, by Viscount Dillon, V.P.S.A. Illustrated with above 700 Engravings. 2 vols. 5s. each.

FIELDING'S Adventures of Joseph Andrews and his Friend Mr. Abraham Adams. With Cruikshank's Illustrations. 3s. 6d.

— History of Tom Jones, a Foundling. With Cruikshank's Illustrations. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Amelia. With Cruikshank's Illustrations. 5s.

FLAXMAN'S Lectures on Sculpture. By John Flaxman, R.A. With Portrait and 53 Plates. 6s.

FLORENCE of WORCESTER'S Chronicle, with the Two Continuations: comprising Annals of English History, from the Departure of the Romans to the Reign of Edward I. Translated by Thomas Forester, M.A. 5s.

FOSTER'S (John) Life and Correspondence. Edited by J. E. Ryland. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Critical Essays. Edited by J. E. Ryland. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Essays: on Decision of Character; on a Man's writing Memoirs of Himself; on the epithet Romantic; on the aversion of Men of Taste to Evangelical Religion. 3s. 6d.

— Essays on the Evils of Popular Ignorance; to which is added, a Discourse on the Propagation of Christianity in India. 3s. 6d.

— Essays on the Improvement of Time. With NOTES OF SERMONS and other Pieces. 3s. 6d.

GASPARY'S History of Italian Literature. Translated by Herman Oelsner, M.A., Ph.D. Vol. I. 3s. 6d.

GEOFFREY OF MONMOUTH, Chronicle of.—See *Old English Chronicles*.

GESTA ROMANORUM, or Entertaining Moral Stories invented by the Monks. Translated by the Rev. Charles Swan. Revised Edition, by Wynnard Hooper, B.A. 5s.

GILDAS, Chronicles of.—See *Old English Chronicles*.

- IBBON'S** Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire. Complete and Unabridged, with Variorum Notes. Edited by an English Churchman. With 2 Maps and Portrait. 7 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- GILBART'S** History, Principles, and Practice of Banking. By the late J. W. Gilbert, F.R.S. New Edition, revised by A. S. Michie. 2 vols. 10s.
- GIL BLAS**, The Adventures of. Translated from the French of Lesage by Smollett. With 24 Engravings on Steel, after Smirke, and 10 Etchings by George Cruikshank. 6s.
- GIRALDUS CAMBRENSIS'** Historical Works. Translated by Th. Forester, M.A., and Sir R. Colt Hoare. Revised Edition, Edited by Thomas Wright, M.A., F.S.A. 5s.
- GOETHE'S** Faust. Part I. German Text with Hayward's Prose Translation and Notes. Revised by C. A. Buchheim, Ph.D. 5s.
- GOETHE'S** Works. Translated into English by various hands. 14 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- I. and II.—Autobiography and Annals.
- III.—Faust. Two Parts, complete. (Swanwick.)
- IV.—Novels and Tales.
- V.—Wilhelm Meister's Apprenticeship.
- VI.—Conversations with Eckermann and Soret.
- VIII.—Dramatic Works.
- IX.—Wilhelm Meister's Travels.
- X.—Tour in Italy, and Second Residence in Rome.
- XI.—Miscellaneous Travels.
- XII.—Early and Miscellaneous Letters.
- XIII.—Correspondence with Zelter.
- XIV.—Reineke Fox, West-Eastern Divan and Achilleid.
- GOLDSMITH'S** Works. A new Edition, by J. W. M. Gibbs. 5 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- GRAMMONT'S** Memoirs of the Court of Charles II. Edited by Sir Walter Scott. Together with the BOSCOBEL TRACTS, including two not before published, &c. New Edition. 5s.
- GRAY'S** Letters. Including the Correspondence of Gray and Mason. Edited by the Rev. D. C. Tovey, M.A. Vols. I. and II. 3s. 6d. each.
- GREEK ANTHOLOGY.** Translated by George Burges, M.A. 5s.
- GREEK ROMANCES** of Heliodorus, Longus, and Achilles Tatius—viz., The Adventures of Theagenes & Chariclea; Amours of Daphnis and Chloe; and Loves of Clitopho and Leucippe. Translated by Rev. R. Smith, M.A. 5s.
- GREGORY'S** Letters on the Evidences, Doctrines, & Duties of the Christian Religion. By Dr. Olinthus Gregory. 3s. 6d.
- GREENE, MARLOWE, and BEN JONSON.** Poems of. Edited by Robert Bell. 3s. 6d.
- GRIMM'S TALES.** With the Notes of the Original. Translated by Mrs. A. Hunt. With Introduction by Andrew Lang, M.A. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- Gammer Grethel; or, German Fairy Tales and Popular Stories. Containing 42 Fairy Tales. Trans. by Edgar Taylor. With numerous Woodcuts after George Cruikshank and Ludwig Grimm. 3s. 6d.
- GROSSI'S** Marco Visconti. Translated by A. F. D. The Ballads rendered into English Verse by C. M. P. 3s. 6d.

GUIZOT'S History of the English Revolution of 1640. From the Accession of Charles I. to his Death. Translated by William Hazlitt. 3s. 6d.

— History of Civilisation, from the Fall of the Roman Empire to the French Revolution. Translated by William Hazlitt. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

HALL'S (Rev. Robert) Miscellaneous Works and Remains. 3s. 6d.

HAMPTON COURT: A Short History of the Manor and Palace. By Ernest Law, B.A. With numerous Illustrations. 5s.

HARDWICK'S History of the Articles of Religion. By the late C. Hardwick. Revised by the Rev. Francis Procter, M.A. 5s.

HAUFF'S Tales. The Caravan—The Sheik of Alexandria—The Inn in the Spessart. Trans. from the German by S. Mendel. 3s. 6d.

HAWTHORNE'S Tales. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Twice-told Tales, and the Snow Image.

II.—Scarlet Letter, and the House with the Seven Gables.

III.—Transformation [The Marble Faun], and Blithedale Romance.

IV.—Mosses from an Old Manse.

HAZLITT'S Table-talk. Essays on Men and Manners. By W. Hazlitt. 3s. 6d.

— Lectures on the Literature of the Age of Elizabeth and on Characters of Shakespeare's Plays. 3s. 6d.

— Lectures on the English Poets, and on the English Comic Writers. 3s. 6d.

— The Plain Speaker. Opinions on Books, Men, and Things. 3s. 6d.

— Round Table. 3s. 6d.

HAZLITT'S Sketches and Essays. 3s. 6d.

— The Spirit of the Age; or, Contemporary Portraits. Edited by W. Carew Hazlitt. 3s. 6d.

— View of the English Stage. Edited by W. Spencer Jackson. 3s. 6d.

HEATON'S Concise History of Painting. New Edition, revised by Cosmo Monkhouse. 5s.

HEGEL'S Lectures on the Philosophy of History. Translated by J. Sibree, M.A.

HEINE'S Poems, Complete. Translated by Edgar A. Bowering, C.B. 3s. 6d.

— Travel-Pictures, including the Tour in the Harz, Norderney, and Book of Ideas, together with the Romantic School. Translated by Francis Storr. A New Edition, revised throughout. With Appendices and Maps. 3s. 6d.

HELPS'S Life of Christopher Columbus, the Discoverer of America. By Sir Arthur Helps, K.C.B. 3s. 6d.

— Life of Hernando Cortes, and the Conquest of Mexico. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Life of Pizarro. 3s. 6d.

— Life of Las Casas the Apostle of the Indies. 3s. 6d.

HENDERSON (E.) Select Historical Documents of the Middle Ages, including the most famous Charters relating to England, the Empire, the Church, &c., from the 6th to the 14th Centuries. Translated from the Latin and edited by Ernest F. Henderson, A.B., A.M., Ph.D. 5s.

HENFREY'S Guide to English Coins, from the Conquest to the present time. New and revised Edition by C. F. Keary, M.A., F.S.A. 6s.

HENRY OF HUNTINGDON'S History of the English. Translated by T. Forester, M.A. 5s.

HENRY'S (Matthew) Exposition of the Book of the Psalms. 5s.

HELIODORUS. Theagenes and Chariclea. — See GREEK ROMANCES.

HERODOTUS. Translated by the Rev. Henry Cary, M.A. 3s. 6d.

— Notes on, Original and Selected from the best Commentators. By D. W. Turner, M.A. With Coloured Map. 5s.

— Analysis and Summary of By J. T. Wheeler. 5s.

HESIOD, CALLIMACHUS, and THEOGNIS. Translated by the Rev. J. Banks, M.A. 5s.

HOFFMANN'S (E. T. W.) The Serapion Brethren. Translated from the German by Lt.-Col. Alex. Ewing. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

HOLBEIN'S Dance of Death and Bible Cuts. Upwards of 150 Subjects, engraved in facsimile, with Introduction and Descriptions by Francis Douce and Dr. Thomas Frognall Dibden. 5s.

HOMER'S Iliad. Translated into English Prose by T. A. Buckley, B.A. 5s.

— Odyssey. Hymns, Epigrams, and Battle of the Frogs and Mice. Translated into English Prose by T. A. Buckley, B.A. 5s.

— See also POPE.

HOOPER'S (G.) Waterloo: The Downfall of the First Napoleon: a History of the Campaign of 1815. By George Hooper. With Maps and Plans. 3s. 6d.

— The Campaign of Sedan: The Downfall of the Second Empire, August – September, 1870. With General Map and Six Plans of Battle. 3s. 6d.

HORACE. A new literal Prose translation, by A. Hamilton Bryce, LL.D. 3s. 6d.

HUGO'S (Victor) Dramatic Works. Hernani—Ruy Blas—The King's Diversion. Translated by Mrs. Newton Crosland and F. L. Slous. 3s. 6d.

— Poems, chiefly Lyrical. Translated by various Writers, now first collected by J. H. L. Williams. 3s. 6d.

HUMBOLDT'S Cosmos. Translated by E. C. Otté, B. H. Paul, and W. S. Dallas, F.L.S. 5 vols. 3s. 6d. each, excepting Vol. V. 5s.

— Personal Narrative of his Travels to the Equinoctial Regions of America during the years 1799–1804. Translated by T. Ross. 3 vols. 5s. each.

— Views of Nature. Translated by E. C. Otté and H. G. Bohn. 5s.

HUMPHREYS' Coin Collector's Manual. By H. N. Humphreys. with upwards of 140 Illustrations on Wood and Steel. 2 vols. 5s. each.

HUNGARY: its History and Revolution, together with a copious Memoir of Kossuth. 3s. 6d.

HUTCHINSON (Colonel). Memoirs of the Life of. By his Widow, Lucy: together with her Autobiography, and an Account of the Siege of Lathom House. 3s. 6d.

HUNT'S Poetry of Science. By Richard Hunt. 3rd Edition, revised and enlarged. 5s.

INDIA BEFORE THE SEPOY MUTINY. A Pictorial, Descriptive, and Historical Account, from the Earliest Times to the Annexation of the Punjab. with upwards of 100 Engravings on Wood, and a Map. 5s.

INGULPH'S Chronicles of the Abbey of Croyland, with the CONTINUATION by Peter of Blois and other Writers. Translated by H. T. Riley, M.A. 5s.

IRVING'S (Washington) Complete Works. 15 vols. With Portraits, &c. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Salmagundi, Knickerbocker's History of New York.

II.—The Sketch-Book, and the Life of Oliver Goldsmith.

III.—Bracebridge Hall, Abbotsford and Newstead Abbey.

IV.—The Alhambra, Tales of a Traveller.

V.—Chronicle of the Conquest of Granada, Legends of the Conquest of Spain.

VI. & VII.—Life and Voyages of Columbus, together with the Voyages of his Companions.

VIII.—Astoria, A Tour on the Prairies.

XI.—Life of Mahomet, Lives of the Successors of Mahomet.

X.—Adventures of Captain Bonneville, U.S.A., Wolfert's Roost.

XI.—Biographies and Miscellaneous Papers.

XII.—XV.—Life of George Washington. 4 vols.

— Life and Letters. By his Nephew, Pierre E. Irving. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

ISOCRATES, The Oration of Translated by J. H. Freese, M.A. Vol. I. 5s.

JAMES'S (G. P. R.) Life of Richard Cœur de Lion. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— The Life and Times of Louis XIV. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

JAMESON'S (Mrs.) Shakespeare's Heroines. Characteristics of Women: Moral, Poetical, and Historical. By Mrs. Jameson. 3s. 6d.

JESSE'S (E.) Anecdotes of Dogs. With 40 Woodcuts and 34 Steel Engravings. 5s.

JESSE'S (J. H.) Memoirs of the Court of England during the Reign of the Stuarts, including the Protectorate. 3 vols. With 42 Portraits. 5s. each.

— Memoirs of the Pretenders and their Adherents. With 6 Portraits. 5s.

JOHNSON'S Lives of the Poets. Edited by Mrs. Alexander Napier, with Introduction by Professor Hales. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

JOSEPHUS (Flavius), The Works of. Whiston's Translation, revised by Rev. A. R. Shilleto, M.A. With Topographical and Geographical Notes by Colonel Sir C. W. Wilson, K.C.B. 5 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

JOYCE'S Scientific Dialogues. With numerous Woodcuts. 5s.

JUKES-BROWNE (A. J.), The Building of the British Isles: a Study in Geographical Evolution. Illustrated by numerous Maps and Woodcuts. 2nd Edition, revised, 7s. 6d.

— Student's Handbook of Physical Geology. With numerous Diagrams and Illustrations. 2nd Edition, much enlarged, 7s. 6d.

JULIAN, the Emperor. Containing Gregory Nazianzen's Two Invectives and Libanus' Monody, with Julian's extant Theosophical Works. Translated by C. W. King, M.A. 5s.

JUSTIN CORNELIUS NEPOS, and **EUTROPIUS**. Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. 5s.

JUVENAL, **PERSIUS**, **SULPICIA** and **LUCILIUS**. Translated by L. Evans, M.A. 5s.

JUNIUS'S Letters. With all the Notes of Woodfall's Edition, and important Additions. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

- KANT'S** Critique of Pure Reason. Translated by J. M. D. Meiklejohn. 5s.
- **Prolegomena and Metaphysical Foundations of Natural Science.** Translated by E. Belfort Bax. 5s.
- KEIGHTLEY'S** (Thomas) Mythology of Ancient Greece and Italy. 4th Edition, revised by Leonard Schmitz, Ph.D., LL.D. With 12 Plates from the Antique. 5s.
- **Fairy Mythology**, illustrative of the Romance and Superstition of Various Countries. Revised Edition, with Frontispiece by Cruikshank. 5s.
- LA FONTAINE'S** Fables. Translated into English Verse by Elizur Wright. New Edition, with Notes by J. W. M. Gibbs. 3s. 6d.
- LAMARTINE'S** History of the Girondists. Translated by H. T. Ryde. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- **History of the Restoration of Monarchy in France** (a Sequel to the History of the Girondists). 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- **History of the French Revolution of 1848.** 3s. 6d.
- LAMB'S** (Charles) Essays of Elia and Eliana. Complete Edition. 3s. 6d.
- **Specimens of English Dramatic Poets of the Time of Elizabeth.** 3s. 6d.
- **Memorials and Letters of Charles Lamb.** By Serjeant Talfourd. New Edition, revised, by W. Carew Hazlitt. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- **Tales from Shakespeare** With Illustrations by Byam Shaw. 3s. 6d.
- LANZI'S** History of Painting in Italy, from the Period of the Revival of the Fine Arts to the End of the Eighteenth Century. Translated by Thomas Roscoe. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- LAPPENBERG'S** History of England under the Anglo-Saxon Kings. Translated by B. Thorpe, F.S.A. New edition, revised by E. C. Otté. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- LECTURES ON PAINTING**, by Barry, Opie, Fuseli. Edited by R. Wornum. 5s.
- LEONARDO DA VINCI'S** Treatise on Painting. Translated by J. F. Rigaud, R.A., With a Life of Leonardo by John William Brown. With numerous Plates. 5s.
- LEPSIUS'S** Letters from Egypt, Ethiopia, and the Peninsula of Sinai. Translated by L. and J. B. Horner. With Maps. 5s.
- LESSING'S** Dramatic Works, Complete. Edited by Ernest Bell, M.A. With Memoir of Lessing by Helen Zimmern. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- **Laokoon, Dramatic Notes, and the Representation of Death by the Ancients.** Translated by E. C. Beasley and Helen Zimmern. Edited by Edward Bell, M.A. With a Frontispiece of the Laokoon group. 3s. 6d.
- LILLY'S** Introduction to Astrology. With a GRAMMAR OF ASTROLOGY and Tables for Calculating Nativities, by Zadkiel. 5s.
- LIVY'S** History of Rome. Translated by Dr. Spillan, C. Edmonds, and others. 4 vols. 5s. each.
- LOCKE'S** Philosophical Works. Edited by J. A. St. John. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- **Life and Letters:** By Lord King. 3s. 6d.
- LOCKHART (J. G.)**—*See* BURNS.

LODGE'S Portraits of Illustrious Personages of Great Britain, with Biographical and Historical Memoirs. 240 Portraits engraved on Steel, with the respective Biographies unabridged. 8 vols. 5s. each.

LONGFELLOW'S Prose Works. With 16 full-page Wood Engravings. 5s.

LOUDON'S (Mrs.) Natural History. Revised edition, by W. S. Dallas, F.L.S. With numerous Woodcut Illus. 5s.

LOWNDES' Bibliographer's Manual of English Literature. Enlarged Edition. By H. G. Bohn. 6 vols. cloth, 5s. each. Or 4 vols. half morocco, 2l. 2s.

LONGUS. Daphnis and Chloe. — See GREEK ROMANCES.

LUCAN'S Pharsalia. Translated by H. T. Riley, M.A. 5s.

LUCIAN'S Dialogues of the Gods, of the Sea Gods, and of the Dead. Translated by Howard Williams, M.A. 5s.

LUCRETIVS. Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. 5s.

LUTHER'S Table-Talk. Translated and Edited by William Hazlitt. 3s. 6d.

— Autobiography. — See MICHELET.

MACHIAVELLI'S History of Florence, together with the Prince, Savonarola, various Historical Tracts, and a Memoir of Machiavelli. 3s. 6d.

MALLET'S Northern Antiquities, or an Historical Account of the Manners, Customs, Religions and Laws, Maritime Expeditions and Discoveries, Language and Literature, of the Ancient Scandinavians. Translated by Bishop Percy. Revised and Enlarged Edition, with a Translation of the PROSE EDDA, by J. A. Blackwell. 5s.

MANTELL'S (Dr.) Petrifactions and their Teachings. With numerous illustrative Woodcuts. 6s.

— Wonders of Geology. 8th Edition, revised by T. Rupert Jones, F.G.S. With a coloured Geological Map of England, Plates, and upwards of 200 Woodcuts. 2 vols. 7s. 6d. each.

MANZONI. The Betrothed: being a Translation of 'I Promessi Sposi.' By Alessandro Manzoni. With numerous Woodcuts. 5s.

MARCO POLO'S Travels; the Translation of Marsden revised by T. Wright, M.A., F.S.A. 5s.

MARRYAT'S (Capt. R.N.) Masterman Ready. With 93 Woodcuts. 3s. 6d.

— Mission; or, Scenes in Africa. Illustrated by Gilbert and Dalziel. 3s. 6d.

— Pirate and Three Cutters. With 8 Steel Engravings, from Drawings by Clarkson Stanfield, R.A. 3s. 6d.

— Privateersman. 8 Engravings on Steel. 3s. 6d.

— Settlers in Canada. 10 Engravings by Gilbert and Dalziel. 3s. 6d.

— Poor Jack. With 16 Illustrations after Clarkson Stanfield, R.A. 3s. 6d.

— Peter Simple. With 8 full-page Illustrations. 3s. 6d.

— Midshipman Easy. With 8 full-page Illustrations. 3s. 6d.

MARTIAL'S Epigrams, complete. Translated into Prose, each accompanied by one or more Verse Translations selected from the Works of English Poets, and other sources. 7s. 6d.

MARTINEAU'S (Harriet) *History of England*, from 1800-1815. 3s. 6d.

— *History of the Thirty Years' Peace*, A.D. 1815-46. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— *See Comte's Positive Philosophy*.

MATTHEW PARIS'S *English History*, from the Year 1235 to 1273. Translated by Rev. J. A. Giles, D.C.L. 3 vols. 5s. each.

MATTHEW OF WESTMINSTER'S *Flowers of History*, from the beginning of the World to A.D. 1307. Translated by C. D. Yonge, M.A. 2 vols. 5s. each.

MAXWELL'S *Victories of Wellington and the British Armies*. Frontispiece and 5 Portraits. 5s.

MENZEL'S *History of Germany*, from the Earliest Period to 1842. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

MICHAEL ANGELO AND RAPHAEL, their Lives and Works. By Duppa and Quatremere de Quincy. With Portraits, and Engravings on Steel. 5s.

MICHELET'S *Luther's Autobiography*. Trans. by William Hazlitt. With an Appendix (110 pages) of Notes. 3s. 6d.

— *History of the French Revolution* from its earliest indications to the flight of the King in 1791. 3s. 6d.

MIGNET'S *History of the French Revolution*, from 1789 to 1814. 3s. 6d.

MILL (J. S.). *Early Essays* by John Stuart Mill. Collected from various sources by J. W. M. Gibbs. 3s. 6d.

MILLER (Professor). *History Philosophically Illustrated*, from the Fall of the Roman Empire to the French Revolution. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

MILTON'S Prose Works. Edited by J. A. St. John. 5 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— *Poetical Works*, with a Memoir and Critical Remarks by James Montgomery, an Index to *Paradise Lost*, Todd's Verbal Index to all the Poems, and a Selection of Explanatory Notes by Henry G. Bohn. Illustrated with 120 Wood Engravings from Drawings by W. Harvey. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

MITFORD'S (Miss) *Our Village Sketches of Rural Character and Scenery*. With 2 Engravings on Steel. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

MOLIERE'S *Dramatic Works*. A new Translation in English Prose, by C. H. Wall. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

MONTAGU. *The Letters and Works of Lady Mary Wortley Montagu*. Edited by her great-grandson, Lord Wharnccliffe's Edition, and revised by W. Moy Thomas. New Edition, revised, with 5 Portraits. 2 vols. 5s. each.

MONTAIGNE'S *Essays*. Cotton's Translation, revised by W. C. Hazlitt. New Edition. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

MONTESQUIEU'S *Spirit of Laws*. New Edition, revised and corrected. By J. V. Pritchard, A.M. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

MOTLEY (J. L.). *The Rise of the Dutch Republic. A History*. By John Lothrop Motley. New Edition, with Biographical Introduction by Moncure D. Conway. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

MORPHY'S *Games of Chess*. Being the Matches and best Games played by the American Champion, with Explanatory and Analytical Notes by J. Löwenthal. 5s.

MUDIE'S British Birds; or, History of the Feathered Tribes of the British Islands. Revised by W. C. L. Martin. With 52 Figures of Birds and 7 Coloured Plates of Eggs. 2 vols.

NEANDER (Dr. A.). History of the Christian Religion and Church. Trans. from the German by J. Torrey. 10 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Life of Jesus Christ. Translated by J. McClintock and C. Blumenthal. 3s. 6d.

— History of the Planting and Training of the Christian Church by the Apostles. Translated by J. E. Ryland. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Memorials of Christian Life in the Early and Middle Ages; including Light in Dark Places. Trans. by J. E. Ryland. 3s. 6d.

NIBELUNGEN LIED. The Lay of the Nibelungs, metrically translated from the old German text by Alice Horton, and edited by Edward Bell, M.A. To which is prefixed the Essay on the Nibelungen Lied by Thomas Carlyle. 5s.

NEW TESTAMENT (The) in Greek. Griesbach's Text, with various Readings at the foot of the page, and Parallel References in the margin; also a Critical Introduction and Chronological Tables. By an eminent Scholar, with a Greek and English Lexicon. 3rd Edition, revised and corrected. Two Facsimiles of Greek Manuscripts. 900 pages. 5s.

The Lexicon may be had separately, price 2s.

NICOLINI'S History of the Jesuits: their Origin, Progress, Doctrines, and Designs. With 8 Portraits. 5s.

NORTH (R.) Lives of the Right Hon. Francis North, Baron Guildford, the Hon. Sir Dudley North, and the Hon. and Rev. Dr. John North. By the Hon. Roger North. Together with the Autobiography of the Author. Edited by Augustus Jessopp, D.D. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

NUGENT'S (Lord) Memorials of Hampden, his Party and Times. With a Memoir of the Author, an Autograph Letter, and Portrait. 5s.

OCKLEY (S.) History of the Saracens and their Conquests in Syria, Persia, and Egypt. By Simon Ockley, B.D., Professor of Arabic in the University of Cambridge. 3s. 6d.

OLD ENGLISH CHRONICLES, including Ethelwerd's Chronicle, Asser's Life of Alfred, Geoffrey of Monmouth's British History, Gildas, Nennius, and the spurious chronicle of Richard of Cirencester. Edited by J. A. Giles, D.C.L. 5s.

OMAN (J. C.) The Great Indian Epics: the Stories of the RAMAYANA and the MAHABHARATA. By John Campbell Oman, Principal of Khalsa College, Amritsar. With Notes, Appendices, and Illustrations. 3s. 6d.

ORDERICUS VITALIS' Ecclesiastical History of England and Normandy. Translated by T. Forester, M.A. To which is added the CHRONICLE OF ST. EVROULT. 4 vols. 5s. each.

OVID'S Works, complete. Literally translated into Prose. 3 vols. 5s. each.

PASCAL'S Thoughts. Translated from the Text of M. Auguste Molinier by C. Kegan Paul. 3rd Edition. 3s. 6d.

- PAULI'S (Dr. R.)** *Life of Alfred the Great.* Translated from the German. To which is appended Alfred's **ANGLO-SAXON VERSION OF OROSIUS.** With a literal Translation interpaged, Notes, and an **ANGLO-SAXON GRAMMAR** and **GLOSSARY,** by B. Thorpe. 5s.
- PAUSANIAS'** *Description of Greece.* Newly translated by A. R. Shilleto, M.A. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- PEARSON'S** *Exposition of the Creed.* Edited by E. Walford, M.A. 5s.
- PEPYS'** *Diary and Correspondence.* Deciphered by the Rev. J. Smith, M.A., from the original Shorthand MS. in the Pepysian Library. Edited by Lord Braybrooke. 4 vols. With 31 Engravings. 5s. each.
- PERCY'S** *Reliques of Ancient English Poetry.* With an Essay on Ancient Minstrels and a Glossary. Edited by J. V. Pritchard, A.M. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- PERSIUS.**—See **JUVENAL.**
- PETRARCH'S** *Sonnets, Triumphs, and other Poems.* Translated into English Verse by various Hands. With a Life of the Poet by Thomas Campbell. With Portrait and 15 Steel Engravings. 5s.
- PHILO-JUDÆUS,** *Works of.* Translated by Prof. C. D. Yonge, M.A. 4 vols. 5s. each.
- PICKERING'S** *History of the Races of Man, and their Geographical Distribution.* With AN **ANALYTICAL SYNOPSIS OF THE NATURAL HISTORY OF MAN** by Dr. Hall. With a Map of the World and 12 coloured Plates. 5s.
- PINDAR.** Translated into Prose by Dawson W. Turner. To which is added the Metrical Version by Abraham Moore. 5s.
- PLANCHE.** *History of British Costume, from the Earliest Time to the Close of the Eighteenth Century.* By J. R. Planché, Somerset Herald. With upwards of 400 Illustrations. 5s.
- PLATO'S** *Works.* Literally translated, with Introduction and Notes. 6 vols. 5s. each.
- I.—The Apology of Socrates, Crito, Phædo, Gorgias, Protagoras, Phædrus, Theætetus, Euthyphron, Lysis. Translated by the Rev. H. Carey.
- II.—The Republic, Timæus, and Critias. Translated by Henry Davis.
- III.—Meno, Euthydemus, The Sophist, Statesman, Cratylus, Parmenides, and the Banquet. Translated by G. Burges.
- IV.—Philebus, Charmides, Laches, Menexenus, Hippias, Ion, The Two Alcibiades, Theages, Rivals, Hipparchus, Minos, Clitopho, Epistles. Translated by G. Burges.
- V.—The Laws. Translated by G. Burges.
- VI.—The Doubtful Works. Translated by G. Burges.
- **Summary and Analysis of the Dialogues.** With Analytical Index. By A. Day, LL.D. 5s.
- PLAUTUS'S** *Comedies.* Translated by H. T. Riley, M.A. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- PLINY'S** *Natural History.* Translated by the late John Bostock, M.D., F.R.S., and H. T. Riley, M.A. 6 vols. 5s. each.
- PLINY.** *The Letters of Pliny the Younger.* Melmoth's translation, revised by the Rev. F. C. T. Bosanquet, M.A. 5s.
- PLOTINUS,** *Select Works of.* Translated by Thomas Taylor. With an Introduction containing the substance of Porphyry's Plotinus. Edited by G. R. S. Mead, B.A., M.R.A.S. 5s.

PLUTARCH'S Lives. Translated by A. Stewart, M.A., and George Long, M.A. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— **Morals. Theosophical Essays.** Translated by C. W. King, M.A. 5s.

— **Morals. Ethical Essays.** Translated by the Rev. A. R. Shilleto, M.A. 5s.

POETRY OF AMERICA. Selections from One Hundred American Poets, from 1776 to 1876. By W. J. Linton. 3s. 6d.

POLITICAL CYCLOPÆDIA. A Dictionary of Political, Constitutional, Statistical, and Forensic Knowledge; forming a Work of Reference on subjects of Civil Administration, Political Economy, Finance, Commerce, Laws, and Social Relations. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

POPE'S Poetical Works. Edited, with copious Notes, by Robert Carruthers. With numerous Illustrations. 2 vols. 5s. each.

— **Homer's Iliad.** Edited by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. Illustrated by the entire Series of Flaxman's Designs. 5s.

— **Homer's Odyssey,** with the Battle of Frogs and Mice, Hymns, &c., by other translators. Edited by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. With the entire Series of Flaxman's Designs. 5s.

— **Life,** including many of his Letters. By Robert Carruthers. With numerous Illustrations. 5s.

POUSHKIN'S Prose Tales: The Captain's Daughter—Doubrovsky—The Queen of Spades—An Amateur Peasant Girl—The Shot—The Snow Storm—The Postmaster—The Coffin Maker—Kirdjali—The Egyptian Nights—Peter the Great's Negro. Translated by T. Keane. 3s. 6d.

PRESCOTT'S Conquest of Mexico. Copyright edition, with the notes by John Foster Kirk, and an introduction by G. P. Winship. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— **Conquest of Peru.** Copyright edition, with the notes of John Foster Kirk. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— **Reign of Ferdinand and Isabella.** Copyright edition, with the notes of John Foster Kirk. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

PROPERTIUS. Translated by Rev. P. J. F. Gantillon, M.A., and accompanied by Poetical Versions, from various sources. 3s. 6d.

PROVERBS, Handbook of. Containing an entire Republication of Ray's Collection of English Proverbs, with his additions from Foreign Languages and a complete Alphabetical Index; in which are introduced large additions as well of Proverbs as of Sayings, Sentences, Maxims, and Phrases, collected by H. G. Bohn. 5s.

PROVERBS, A Polyglot of Foreign. Comprising French, Italian, German, Dutch, Spanish, Portuguese, and Danish. With English Translations & a General Index by H. G. Bohn. 5s.

POTTERY AND PORCELAIN, and other Objects of Vertu. Comprising an Illustrated Catalogue of the Bernal Collection of Works of Art, with the prices at which they were sold by auction, and names of the possessors. To which are added, an Introductory Lecture on Pottery and Porcelain, and an Engraved List of all the known Marks and Monograms. By Henry G. Bohn. With numerous Wood Engravings, 5s.; or with Coloured Illustrations, 10s. 6d.

PROUT'S (Father) Reliques. Collected and arranged by Rev. F. Mahony. New issue, with 21 Etchings by D. Maclise, R.A. Nearly 600 pages. 5s.

QUINTILIAN'S Institutes of Oratory, or Education of an Orator. Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. 2 vols. 5s each.

RACINE'S (Jean) Dramatic Works. A metrical English version. By R. Bruce Boswell, M.A. Oxon. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

RANKE'S History of the Popes, their Church and State, and especially of their Conflicts with Protestantism in the 16th and 17th centuries. Translated by E. Foster. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— History of Servia and the Servian Revolution. With an Account of the Insurrection in Bosnia. Translated by Mrs. Kerr. 3s. 6d.

RECREATIONS in SHOOTING. By 'Craven.' With 62 Engravings on Wood after Harvey, and 9 Engravings on Steel, chiefly after A. Cooper, R.A. 5s.

RENNIE'S Insect Architecture. Revised and enlarged by Rev. J. G. Wood, M.A. With 186 Woodcut Illustrations. 5s.

REYNOLD'S (Sir J.) Literary Works. Edited by H. W. Beechy. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

RICARDO on the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation. Edited by E. C. K. Gonner, M.A. 5s.

RICHTER (Jean Paul Friedrich). *Levana*, a Treatise on Education: together with the Autobiography (a Fragment), and a short Prefatory Memoir. 3s. 6d.

— Flower, Fruit, and Thorn Pieces, or the Wedded Life, Death, and Marriage of Firmian Stanislaus Siebenkaes, Parish Advocate in the Parish of Kuhschnapptel. Newly translated by Lt.-Col. Alex. Ewing. 3s. 6d.

ROGER DE HOVEDEN'S Annals of English History, comprising the History of England and of other Countries of Europe from A.D. 732 to A.D. 1201. Translated by H. T. Riley, M.A. 2 vols. 5s. each.

ROGER OF WENDOVER'S Flowers of History, comprising the History of England from the Descent of the Saxons to A.D. 1235, formerly ascribed to Matthew Paris. Translated by J. A. Giles, D.C.L. 2 vols. 5s. each.

ROME in the NINETEENTH CENTURY. Containing a complete Account of the Ruins of the Ancient City, the Remains of the Middle Ages, and the Monuments of Modern Times. By C. A. Eaton. With 34 Steel Engravings. 2 vols. 5s. each.

— See BURN and DYER.

ROSCOE'S (W.) Life and Pontificate of Leo X. Final edition, revised by Thomas Roscoe. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Life of Lorenzo de' Medici, called 'the Magnificent.' With his poems, letters, &c. 10th Edition, revised, with Memoir of Roscoe by his Son. 3s. 6d.

RUSSIA. History of, from the earliest Period, compiled from the most authentic sources by Walter K. Kelly. With Portraits. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

SALLUST, FLORUS, and VEL-LEIUS PATERCULUS. Translated by J. S. Watson, M.A. 5s.

SCHILLER'S Works. Translated by various hands. 7 vols. 3s. 6d. each:—

I.—History of the Thirty Years' War.

SCHILLER'S WORKS *continued.*

II.—History of the Revolt in the Netherlands, the Trials of Counts Egmont and Horn, the Siege of Antwerp, and the Disturbances in France preceding the Reign of Henry IV.

III.—Don Carlos, Mary Stuart, Maid of Orleans, Bride of Messina, together with the Use of the Chorus in Tragedy (a short Essay).

These Dramas are all translated in metre.

IV.—Robbers (with Schiller's original Preface), Fiesco, Love and Intrigue, Demetrius, Ghost Seer, Sport of Divinity.

The Dramas in this volume are translated into Prose.

V.—Poems.

VI.—Essays, *Æsthetical* and *Philosophical*

VII.—Wallenstein's Camp, Piccolomini and Death of Wallenstein, William Tell.

SCHILLER and GOETHE. Correspondence between, from A.D. 1794–1805. Translated by L. Dora Schmitz. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

SCHLEGEL'S (F.) Lectures on the Philosophy of Life and the Philosophy of Language. Translated by the Rev. A. J. W. Morrison, M.A. 3s. 6d.

— Lectures on the History of Literature, Ancient and Modern. Translated from the German. 3s. 6d.

— Lectures on the Philosophy of History. Translated by J. B. Robertson. 3s. 6d.

SCHLEGEL'S Lectures on Modern History, together with the Lectures entitled Cæsar and

Alexander, and The Beginning of our History. Translated by L. Purcell and R. H. Whitetock. 3s. 6d.

— *Æsthetic and Miscellaneous Works.* Translated by E. J. Millington. 3s. 6d.

SCHLEGEL (A. W.) Lectures on Dramatic Art and Literature. Translated by J. Black. Revised Edition, by the Rev. A. J. W. Morrison, M.A. 3s. 6d.

SCHOPENHAUER on the Fourfold Root of the Principle of Sufficient Reason, and On the Will in Nature. Translated by Madame Hillebrand. 5s.

— *Essays.* Selected and Translated. With a Biographical Introduction and Sketch of his Philosophy, by E. Belfort Bax. 5s.

SCHOUW'S Earth, Plants, and Man. Translated by A. Henfrey. With coloured Map of the Geography of Plants. 5s.

SCHUMANN (Robert). His Life and Works, by August Reissmann. Translated by A. L. Alger. 3s. 6d.

— *Early Letters.* Originally published by his Wife. Translated by May Herbert. With a Preface by Sir George Grove, D.C.L. 3s. 6d.

SENECA on Benefits. Newly translated by A. Stewart, M.A. 3s. 6d.

— *Minor Essays and On Clemency.* Translated by A. Stewart, M.A. 5s.

SHAKESPEARE DOCUMENTS. Arranged by D. H. Lambert, B.A. 3s. 6d.

SHAKESPEARE'S Dramatic Art. The History and Character of Shakespeare's Plays. By Dr. Hermann Ulrici. Translated by L. Dora Schmitz. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

- SHAKESPEARE** (William). A Literary Biography by Karl Elze, Ph.D., LL.D. Translated by L. Dora Schmitz. 5s.
- SHARPE** (S.) *The History of Egypt, from the Earliest Times till the Conquest by the Arabs, A.D. 640.* By Samuel Sharpe. 2 Maps and upwards of 400 Illustrative Woodcuts. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- SHERIDAN'S** Dramatic Works, Complete. With Life by G. G. S. 3s. 6d.
- SISMONDI'S** History of the Literature of the South of Europe. Translated by Thomas Roscoe. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- SMITH'S** Synonyms and Antonyms, or Kindred Words and their Opposites. Revised Edition. 5s.
- Synonyms Discriminated. A Dictionary of Synonymous Words in the English Language, showing the Accurate signification of words of similar meaning. Edited by the Rev. H. Percy Smith, M.A. 6s.
- SMITH'S** (Adam) *The Wealth of Nations.* Edited by E. Belfort Bax. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- *Theory of Moral Sentiments.* With a Memoir of the Author by Dugald Stewart. 3s. 6d.
- SMYTH'S** (Professor) *Lectures on Modern History.* 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- SMYTH'S** (Professor) *Lectures on the French Revolution.* 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- SMITH'S** (Pye) *Geology and Scripture.* 2nd Edition. 5s.
- SMOLLETT'S** *Adventures of Roderick Random.* With short Memoir and Bibliography, and Cruikshank's Illustrations. 3s. 6d.
- SMOLLETT'S** *Adventures of Peregrine Pickle.* With Bibliography and Cruikshank's Illustrations. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- *The Expedition of Humphry Clinker.* With Bibliography and Cruikshank's Illustrations. 3s. 6d.
- SOCRATES** (surnamed 'Scholasticus'). *The Ecclesiastical History of (A.D. 305-445).* Translated from the Greek. 5s.
- SOPHOCLES**, *The Tragedies of.* A New Prose Translation, with Memoir, Notes, &c., by E. P. Coleridge, M.A. 5s.
- SOUTHEY'S** *Life of Nelson.* With Portraits, Plans, and upwards of 50 Engravings on Steel and Wood. 5s.
- *Life of Wesley, and the Rise and Progress of Methodism.* 5s.
- *Robert Southey.* The Story of his Life written in his Letters. Edited by John Dennis. 3s. 6d.
- SOZOMEN'S** *Ecclesiastical History.* Translated from the Greek. Together with the ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY OF PHILOSTORGIUS, as epitomised by Photius. Translated by Rev. E. Walford, M.A. 5s.
- SPINOZA'S** Chief Works. Translated, with Introduction, by R.H.M. Elwes. 2 vols. 5s. each.
- STANLEY'S** *Classified Synopsis of the Principal Painters of the Dutch and Flemish Schools.* By George Stanley. 5s.
- STARLING'S** (Miss) *Noble Deeds of Women.* With 14 Steel Engravings. 5s.
- STAUNTON'S** *Chess-Player's Handbook.* 5s.
- *Chess Praxis.* A Supplement to the Chess-player's Handbook. 5s.

STAUNTON'S Chess - player's Companion. Comprising a Treatise on Odds, Collection of Match Games, and a Selection of Original Problems. 5s.

— **Chess Tournament of 1851.** With Introduction and Notes. 5s.

STOCKHARDT'S Experimental Chemistry. Edited by C. W. Heaton, F.C.S. 5s.

STRABO'S Geography. Translated by W. Falconer, M.A., and H. C. Hamilton. 3 vols. 5s. each.

STRICKLAND'S (Agnes) Lives of the Queens of England, from the Norman Conquest. Revised Edition. With 6 Portraits. 6 vols. 5s. each.

— **Life of Mary Queen of Scots.** 2 vols. 5s. each.

— **Lives of the Tudor and Stuart Princesses.** With Portraits. 5s.

STUART and REVETT'S Antiquities of Athens, and other Monuments of Greece. With 71 Plates engraved on Steel, and numerous Woodcut Capitals. 5s.

SUETONIUS' Lives of the Twelve Cæsars and Lives of the Grammarians. Thomson's translation, revised by T. Forester. 5s.

SWIFT'S Prose Works. Edited by Temple Scott. With a Biographical Introduction by the Right Hon. W. E. H. Lecky, M.P. With Portraits and Facsimiles. 12 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

[Vols. I.-X. ready.

I.—A Tale of a Tub, The Battle of the Books, and other early works. Edited by Temple Scott. With a Biographical Introduction by W. E. H. Lecky.

II.—The Journal to Stella. Edited by Frederick Ryland, M.A. With 2 Portraits and Facsimile.

SWIFT'S PROSE WORKS continued.

III. & IV.—Writings on Religion and the Church.

V.—Historical and Political Tracts (English).

VI.—The Drapier's Letters. With facsimiles of Wood's Coinage, &c.

VII.—Historical and Political Tracts (Irish).

VIII.—Gulliver's Travels. Edited by G. R. Dennis. With Portrait and Maps.

IX.—Contributions to Periodicals.

X.—Historical Writings.

XI.—Literary Essays. [In preparation.

XII.—Index and Bibliography. [In preparation.

STOWE (Mrs. H. B.) Uncle Tom's Cabin. Illustrated. 3s. 6d.

TACITUS. The Works of. Literally translated. 2 vols. 5s. each.

TALES OF THE GENII. Translated from the Persian by Sir Charles Morell. Numerous Woodcuts and 12 Steel Engravings. 5s.

TASSO'S Jerusalem Delivered. Translated into English Spenserian Verse by J. H. Wiffen. With 8 Engravings on Steel and 24 Woodcuts by Thurston. 5s.

TAYLOR'S (Bishop Jeremy) Holy Living and Dying. 3s. 6d.

TEN BRINK.—See BRINK.

TERENCE and PHÆDRUS. Literally translated by H. T. Riley, M.A. To which is added, Smart's Metrical Version of Phædrus. 5s.

THEOCRITUS, BION, MOSCHUS, and TYRTÆUS. Literally translated by the Rev. J. Banks, M.A. To which are appended the Metrical Versions of Chapman. 5s.

THEODORET and EVAGRIUS.

Histories of the Church from A.D. 332 to A.D. 427; and from A.D. 431 to A.D. 544. Translated. 5s.

THIERRY'S History of the Conquest of England by the Normans. Translated by William Hazlitt. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.**THUCYDIDES.** The Peloponnesian War. Literally translated by the Rev. H. Dale. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— *An Analysis and Summary of.* By J. T. Wheeler. 5s.

THUDICHUM (J. L. W.) A Treatise on Wines. Illustrated. 5s.**URE'S (Dr. A.) Cotton Manufacture of Great Britain.** Edited by P. L. Simmonds. 2 vols. 5s. each.

— *Philosophy of Manufactures.* Edited by P. L. Simmonds. 7s. 6d.

VASARI'S Lives of the most Eminent Painters, Sculptors, and Architects. Translated by Mrs. J. Foster, with a Commentary by J. P. Richter, Ph.D. 6 vols. 3s. 6d. each.**VIRGIL.** A Literal Prose Translation by A. Hamilton Bryce, LL.D. With Portrait. 3s. 6d.**VOLTAIRE'S Tales.** Translated by R. B. Boswell. Containing *Bebouc, Memnon, Candide, L'Ingénu*, and other Tales. 3s. 6d.**WALTON'S Complete Angler.** Edited by Edward Jesse. With Portrait and 203 Engravings on Wood and 26 Engravings on Steel. 5s.

— *Lives of Donne, Hooker, &c.* New Edition revised by A. H. Bullen, with a Memoir of Izaak Walton by Wm. Dowling. With numerous Illustrations. 5s.

WELLINGTON, Life of. By 'An Old Soldier.' From the materials of Maxwell. With Index and 18 Steel Engravings. 5s.**WELLINGTON, Victories of.**
See MAXWELL.**WERNER'S Templars in Cyprus.** Translated by E. A. M. Lewis. 3s. 6d.**WESTROPP (H. M.) A Handbook of Archæology, Egyptian, Greek, Etruscan, Roman.** Illustrated. 5s.**WHITE'S Natural History of Selborne.** With Notes by Sir William Jardine. Edited by Edward Jesse. With 40 Portraits and coloured Plates. 5s.**WHEATLEY'S A Rational Illustration of the Book of Common Prayer.** 3s. 6d.**WHEELER'S Noted Names of Fiction, Dictionary of.** 5s.**WIESELER'S Chronological Synopsis of the Four Gospels.** Translated by the Rev. Canon Venables. 3s. 6d.**WILLIAM of MALMESBURY'S Chronicle of the Kings of England.** Translated by the Rev. J. Sharpe. Edited by J. A. Giles, D.C.L. 5s.**XENOPHON'S Works.** Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A., and the Rev. H. Dale. In 3 vols. 5s. each.**YOUNG (Arthur). Travels in France during the years 1787, 1788, and 1789.** Edited by M. Betham Edwards. 3s. 6d.

— *Tour in Ireland, with General Observations on the state of the country during the years 1776 - 79.* Edited by A. W. Hutton. With Complete Bibliography by J. P. Anderson, and Map. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

YULE-TIDE STORIES. A Collection of Scandinavian and North-German Popular Tales and Traditions. Edited by B. Thorpe. 5s.

THE YORK LIBRARY

A NEW SERIES OF REPRINTS ON THIN PAPER.

The volumes are printed in a handy size ($6\frac{1}{2} \times 4\frac{1}{4}$ in.), on thin but opaque paper, and are simply and attractively bound.

Price, in cloth, 2s. net ; in leather, 3s. net.

'The York Library is noticeable by reason of the wisdom and intelligence displayed in the choice of unhackneyed classics. . . . A most attractive series of reprints. . . . The size and style of the volumes are exactly what they should be.'—*Bookman*.

'Charmingly tasteful.'—*Westminster Gazette*.

'Among favourite "thin paper" books none are better done than the admirable York Library. For a simple and attractive binding these volumes stand quite among the first of their kind. The price is two shillings net, and they are exceedingly good value for the money.'

St. James's Gazette.

'These books should find their way to every home that owns any cultivation.'—*Notes and Queries*.

'A series of books which for attractiveness and handiness would take a lot of beating.'—*Pall Mall Gazette*.

'One of the most beautiful series of books ever issued to the public, and marvellously cheap.'—*Manchester Courier*.

The following volumes are now ready :

BURNEY'S EVELINA. Edited, with an Introduction and Notes, by ANNIE RAINE ELLIS.

BURNEY'S CECILIA. Edited by ANNIE RAINE ELLIS.
2 vols.

BURTON'S ANATOMY OF MELANCHOLY. Edited by the Rev. A. R. SHILLETO, M.A., with Introduction by A. H. BULLEN.
3 vols.

'Admirers of "Burton's Anatomy" can hardly hope for a better edition.'

Morning Post.

CERVANTES' DON QUIXOTE. MOTTEUX'S Translation, revised. With LOCKHART'S Life and Notes. 2 vols.

COLERIDGE'S AIDS TO REFLECTION, and the Confessions of an Inquiring Spirit.

COLERIDGE'S FRIEND. A series of Essays on Morals, Politics, and Religion.

COLERIDGE'S TABLE TALK AND OMNIANA. Arranged and Edited by T. ASHE, B.A.

DRAPER'S HISTORY OF THE INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF EUROPE. 2 vols.

THE YORK LIBRARY—*continued.*

EMERSON'S WORKS. A new edition in 5 volumes, with the Text edited and collated by GEORGE SAMPSON.

*** The contents of the volumes are as follows: Vol. I.—Essays: Representative Men. Vol. II.—English Traits: Conduct of Life: Nature. Vol. III.—Society and Solitude: Letters and Social Aims: Addresses. Vol. IV.—Miscellaneous Pieces. Vol. V.—Poetical Works.*

FIELDING'S TOM JONES. 2 vols.

GESTA ROMANORUM, or Entertaining Moral Stories invented by the Monks. Translated from the Latin by the Rev. CHARLES SWAN. Revised edition, by WYNNARD HOOPER, M.A.

'To those whom things ancient delight, the book is a mine of enjoyment. Its appearance in so commodious a shape is a subject for congratulation . . . The "York Library" reprint is ideal.'—*Notes and Queries.*

GOETHE'S FAUST. Translated by ANNA SWANWICK, LL D. Revised edition, with an Introduction and Bibliography by KARL BREUL, Litt.D., Ph.D.

'Will, we hope, be widely patronised, for the book has the great advantage of an admirable introduction and bibliography by Dr. Karl Bruehl. No one speaks with more authority on the subject'—*Athenæum.*

HAWTHORNE'S TRANSFORMATION (THE MARBLE FAUN).

IRVING'S SKETCH BOOK.

JAMESON'S SHAKESPEARE'S HEROINES. Characteristics of Women: Moral, Poetical, and Historical.

LAMB'S ESSAYS. Including the Essays of Elia, Last Essays of Elia, and Eliana.

MARCUS AURELIUS ANTONINUS, THE THOUGHTS OF. Translated by GEORGE LONG, M.A. With an Essay on Marcus Aurelius by MATTHEW ARNOLD.

MONTAIGNE'S ESSAYS. Cotton's translation. Revised by W. C. HAZLITT. 3 vols.

MORE'S UTOPIA. With the Life of Sir Thomas More, by William Roper, and his Letters to Margaret Roper and others. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by GEORGE SAMPSON. [*In the Press.*]

MOTLEY'S RISE OF THE DUTCH REPUBLIC. With a Biographical Introduction by MONCURE D. CONWAY. 3 vols.

PASCAL'S THOUGHTS. Translated from the Text of M. AUGUSTE MOLINIER by C. KEGAN PAUL. Third edition.

PLUTARCH'S LIVES. Translated, with Notes and a Life by AUBREY STEWART, M.A., and GEORGE LONG, M.A. 4 vols.

SWIFT'S GULLIVER'S TRAVELS. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by G. R. DENNIS, with facsimiles of the original illustrations.

SWIFT'S JOURNAL TO STELLA. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by F. RYLAND, M.A.

ARTHUR YOUNG'S TRAVELS IN FRANCE, during the years 1787, 1788, and 1789. Edited with Introduction and Notes, by M. BETHAM EDWARDS.

Other Volumes are in Preparation.

BELL'S HANDBOOKS

OF

THE GREAT MASTERS

IN PAINTING AND SCULPTURE.

EDITED BY G. C. WILLIAMSON, Litt.D.

Post 8vo. With 40 Illustrations and Photogravure Frontispiece. 5s. net each.

The following Volumes have been issued :

- BOTTICELLI. By A. STREETER. 2nd Edition.
BRUNELLESCHI. By LEADER SCOTT.
CORREGGIO. By SELWYN BRINTON, M.A. 2nd Edition.
CARLO CRIVELLI. By G. McNEIL RUSHFORTH, M.A.
DELLA ROBBIA. By the MARCHESA BURLAMACCHI. 2nd Edition.
ANDREA DEL SARTO. By H. GUINNESS. 2nd Edition.
DONATELLO. By HOPE REA. 2nd Edition.
GERARD DOU. By Dr. W. MARTIN. Translated by Clara Bell.
GAUDENZIO FERRARI. By ETHEL HALSEY.
FRANCIA. By GEORGE C. WILLIAMSON, Litt.D.
GIORGIONE. By HERBERT COOK, M.A.
GIOTTO. By F. MASON PERKINS.
FRANS HALS. By GERALD S. DAVIES, M.A.
BERNARDINO LUINI. By GEORGE C. WILLIAMSON, Litt.D. 3rd Edition.
LEONARDO DA VINCI. By EDWARD McCURDY, M.A.
MANTEGNA. By MAUD CRUTTWELL.
MEMLINC. By W.^r H. JAMES WEALE.
MICHEL ANGELO. By Lord RONALD SUTHERLAND GOWER, M.A., F.S.A.
PERUGINO. By G. C. WILLIAMSON, Litt.D. 2nd Edition.
PIERO DELLA FRANCESCA. By W. G. WATERS, M.A.
PINTORICCHIO. By EVELYN MARCH PHILLIPPS.
RAPHAEL. By H. STRACHEY. 2nd Edition.
REMBRANDT. By MALCOLM BELL. 2nd Edition.
RUBENS. By HOPE REA.
LUCA SIGNORELLI. By MAUD CRUTTWELL. 2nd Edition.
SODOMA. By the CONTESSA LORENZO PRIULI-BON.
TINTORETTO. By J. B. STOUGHTON HOLBORN, M.A.
VELASQUEZ. By R. A. M. STEVENSON. 3rd Edition.
WATTEAU. By EDGCUMBE STALEY, B.A.
WILKIE. By Lord RONALD SUTHERLAND GOWER, M.A., F.S.A.

Others to follow.

THE CHISWICK SHAKESPEARE.

Illustrated by BYAM SHAW

WITH INTRODUCTIONS AND GLOSSARIES BY JOHN DENNIS.

Printed at the Chiswick Press, pott 8vo., price 1s. 6d. net per volume ; also a cheaper edition, 1s. net per volume ; or 2s. net in limp leather ; also a few copies, on Japanese vellum, to be sold only in sets, price 5s. net per volume.

Now Complete in 39 Volumes.

ALL'S WELL THAT ENDS WELL.	LOVE'S LABOUR'S LOST.
ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA.	MACBETH.
AS YOU LIKE IT.	MEASURE FOR MEASURE.
COMEDY OF ERRORS.	MERCHANT OF VENICE.
CORIOLANUS.	MERRY WIVES OF WINDSOR.
CYMBELINE.	MIDSUMMER-NIGHT'S DREAM.
HAMLET.	MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING.
JULIUS CÆSAR.	OTHELLO.
KING HENRY IV. Part I.	PERICLES.
KING HENRY IV. Part II.	ROMEO AND JULIET.
KING HENRY V.	THE TAMING OF THE SHREW.
KING HENRY VI. Part I.	THE TEMPEST.
KING HENRY VI. Part II.	TIMON OF ATHENS.
KING HENRY VI. Part III.	TITUS ANDRONICUS.
KING HENRY VIII.	TROILUS AND CRESSIDA.
KING JOHN.	TWELFTH NIGHT.
KING LEAR.	TWO GENTLEMEN OF VERONA.
KING RICHARD II.	WINTER'S TALE.
KING RICHARD III.	POEMS.
	SONNETS.

'A fascinating little edition.'—*Notes and Queries*.

'A cheap, very comely, and altogether desirable edition.'—*Westminster Gazette*.

But a few years ago such volumes would have been deemed worthy to be considered *éditions de luxe*. To-day, the low price at which they are offered to the public alone prevents them being so regarded.'—*Studio*.

'Handy in shape and size, wonderfully cheap, beautifully printed from the Cambridge text, and illustrated quaintly yet admirably by Mr. Byam Shaw, we have nothing but praise for it. No one who wants a good and convenient Shakespeare—without excursions, discursuses, or even too many notes—can do better, in our opinion, than subscribe to this issue : which is saying a good deal in these days of cheap reprints.'—*Vanity Fair*.

'What we like about these elegant booklets is the attention that has been paid to the paper, as well as to the print and decoration ; such stout laid paper will last for ages. On this account alone, the 'Chiswick' should easily be first among pocket Shakespeares.'—*Pall Mall Gazette*.

* * The Chiswick Shakespeare may also be had bound in 12 volumes, full gilt back, price 36s. net.

New Editions, fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d. each net.

THE ALDINE EDITION

OF THE

BRITISH POETS.

'This excellent edition of the English classics, with their complete texts and scholarly introductions, are something very different from the cheap volumes of extracts which are just now so much too common.'—*St. James's Gazette*.

'An excellent series. Small, handy, and complete.'—*Saturday Review*.

Akenside. Edited by Rev. A. Dyce.

Beattie. Edited by Rev. A. Dyce.

***Blake.** Edited by W. M. Rossetti.

***Burns.** Edited by G. A. Aitken.
3 vols.

Butler. Edited by R. B. Johnson.
2 vols.

Campbell. Edited by His Son-in-law, the Rev. A. W. Hill. With Memoir by W. Allingham.

Chatterton. Edited by the Rev. W. W. Skeat, M.A. 2 vols.

Chaucer. Edited by Dr. R. Morris, with Memoir by Sir H. Nicolas. 6 vols.

Churchill. Edited by Jas. Hannay.
2 vols.

***Coleridge.** Edited by T. Ashe,
B.A. 2 vols.

Collins. Edited by W. Moy Thomas.

Cowper. Edited by John Bruce,
F.S.A. 3 vols.

Dryden. Edited by the Rev. R. Hooper, M.A. 5 vols.

Goldsmith. Revised Edition by Austin Dobson. With Portrait.

***Gray.** Edited by J. Bradshaw,
LL.D.

Herbert. Edited by the Rev. A. B. Grosart.

***Herrick.** Edited by George Saintsbury. 2 vols.

***Keats.** Edited by the late Lord Houghton.

Kirke White. Edited, with a Memoir, by Sir H. Nicolas.

Milton. Edited by Dr. Bradshaw.
2 vols.

Parnell. Edited by G. A. Aitken.

Pope. Edited by G. R. Dennis.
With Memoir by John Dennis. 3 vols.

Prior. Edited by R. B. Johnson.
2 vols.

Raleigh and Wotton. With Selections from the Writings of other COURTLY POETS from 1540 to 1650. Edited by Ven. Archdeacon Hannah, D.C.L.

Rogers. Edited by Edward Bell, M.A.

Scott. Edited by John Dennis.
5 vols.

Shakespeare's Poems. Edited by Rev. A. Dyce.

Shelley. Edited by H. Buxton Forman. 5 vols.

Spenser. Edited by J. Payne Collier. 5 vols.

Surrey. Edited by J. Yeowell.

Swift. Edited by the Rev. J. Mitford. 3 vols.

Thomson. Edited by the Rev. D. C. Tovey. 2 vols.

Vaughan. Sacred Poems and Pious Ejaculations. Edited by the Rev. H. Lyte.

Wordsworth. Edited by Prof. Dowden. 7 vols.

Wyatt. Edited by J. Yeowell.

Young. 2 vols. Edited by the Rev. J. Mitford.

These volumes may also be had bound in Irish linen, with design in gold on inside and back by Gleeson White, and gilt top, 3s. 6d. each net.

THE ALL-ENGLAND SERIES.

HANDBOOKS OF ATHLETIC GAMES.

The only Series issued at a moderate price, by Writers who are in the first rank in their respective departments.

'The best instruction on games and sports by the best authorities, at the lowest prices.'—*Oxford Magazine*.

Small 8vo. cloth, Illustrated. Price 1s. each.

Cricket. By FRED C. HOLLAND.

Cricket. By the Hon. and Rev. E. LYTTETTON.

Croquet. By Lieut.-Col. the Hon. H. C. NEEDHAM.

Lawn Tennis. By H. W. W. WILBERFORCE. With a Chapter for Ladies, by Mrs. HILLIARD.

Squash Tennis. By EUSTACE H. MILES. Double vol. 2s.

Tennis and Rackets and Fives. By JULIAN MARSHALL, Major J. SPENS, and Rev. J. A. AERNAN TAIT.

Golf. By H. S. C. EVERARD. Double vol. 2s.

Rowing and Sculling. By GUY RIXON.

Rowing and Sculling. By W. B. WOODGATE.

Sailing. By E. F. KNIGHT, dbl. vol. 2s.

Swimming. By MARTIN and J. RACSTER COBBETT.

Camping out. By A. A. MACDON-ELL. Double vol. 2s.

Canoeing. By Dr. J. D. HAYWARD. Double vol. 2s.

Mountaineering. By Dr. CLAUDE WILSON. Double vol. 2s.

Athletics. By H. H. GRIFFIN.

Riding. By W. A. KERR, V.C. Double vol. 2s.

Ladies' Riding. By W. A. KERR, V.C.

Boxing. By R. G. ALLANSON-WINN. With Prefatory Note by Bat Mullins.

Fencing. By H. A. COLMORE DUNN.

Cycling. By H. H. GRIFFIN, L.A.C., N.C.U., C.T.O. With a Chapter for Ladies, by Miss AGNES WOOD. Double vol. 2s.

Wrestling. By WALTER ARM-STRONG. New Edition.

Broadsword and Singlestick. By R. G. ALLANSON-WINN and C. PHIL-LIPPS-WOLLEY.

Gymnastics. By A. F. JENKIN. Double vol. 2s.

Gymnastic Competition and Dis-play Exercises. Compiled by F. GRAF.

Indian Clubs. By G. T. B. COB-BETT and A. F. JENKIN.

Dumb-bells. By F. GRAF.

Football—Rugby Game. By HARRY VASSALL.

Football—Association Game. By C. W. ALCOCK. Revised Edition.

Hockey. By F. S. CRESWELL. New Edition.

Skating. By DOUGLAS ADAMS. With a Chapter for Ladies, by Miss L. CHEETHAM, and a Chapter on Speed Skating, by a Fen Skater. Dbl. vol. 2s.

Baseball. By NEWTON CRANE.

Rounders, Fieldball, Bowls, Quoits, Curling, Skittles, &c. By J. M. WALKER and C. C. MOTT.

Dancing. By EDWARD SCOTT. Double vol. 2s.

THE CLUB SERIES OF CARD AND TABLE GAMES.

'No well-regulated club or country house should be without this useful series of books. Small 8vo. cloth, Illustrated. Price 1s. each. *Globe*.

Bridge. By 'TEMPLAR.'

Whist. By Dr. WM. POLE, F.R.S.

Solo Whist. By ROBERT F. GREEN.

Billiards. By Major-Gen. A. W. DRAYSON, F.R.A.S. With a Preface by W. J. Peall.

Hints on Billiards. By J. P. BUCHANAN. Double vol. 2s.

Chess. By ROBERT F. GREEN.

The Two-Move Chess Problem. By B. G. LAWS.

Chess Openings. By I. GUNSEBERG.

Draughts and Backgammon. By 'BERKELEY.'

Reversi and Go Bang.

By 'BERKELEY.'

Dominoes and Solitaire.

By 'BERKELEY.'

Bélique and Cribbage.

By 'BERKELEY.'

Écarté and Euchre.

By 'BERKELEY.'

Piquet and Rubicon Piquet.

By 'BERKELEY.'

Skat. By LOUIS DIEHL.

** A Skat Scoring-book. 1s.

Round Games, including Poker, Napoleon, Loo, Vingt-et-un, &c. By BAXTER-WRAY.

Parlour and Playground Games.

By Mrs. LAURENCE GOMME.

BELL'S CATHEDRAL SERIES.

Profusely Illustrated, cloth, crown 8vo. 1s. 6d. net each.

ENGLISH CATHEDRALS. An Itinerary and Description. Compiled by JAMES G. GILCHRIST, A.M., M.D. Revised and edited with an Introduction on Cathedral Architecture by the Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A., F.R.A.S.

BRISTOL. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A.

CANTERBURY. By HARTLEY WITHERS. 5th Edition.

CARLISLE. By C. KING ELEV.

CHESTER. By CHARLES HIATT. 3rd Edition.

CHICHESTER. By H. C. CORLETTE, A.R.I.B.A. 2nd Edition.

DURHAM. By J. E. BYGATE, A.R.C.A. 3rd Edition.

ELY. By Rev. W. D. SWEETING, M.A. 2nd Edition.

EXETER. By PERCY ADDLESHAW, B.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

GLOUCESTER. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A. 3rd Edition.

HEREFORD. By A. HUGH FISHER, A.R.E. 2nd Edition, revised.

LICHFIELD. By A. B. CLIFTON. 2nd Edition.

LINCOLN. By A. F. KENDRICK, B.A. 3rd Edition.

MANCHESTER. By Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

NORWICH. By C. H. B. QUENNEL. 2nd Edition.

OXFORD. By Rev. PERCY DEARMER, M.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

PETERBOROUGH. By Rev. W. D. SWEETING. 2nd Edition, revised.

RIPON. By CECIL HALLETT, B.A.

ROCHESTER. By G. H. PALMER, B.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

ST. ALBANS. By Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

ST. ASAPH. By P. B. IRONSIDE BAX.

ST. DAVID'S. By PHILIP ROBSON, A.R.I.B.A.

ST. PATRICK'S, DUBLIN. By Rev. J. H. BERNARD, M.A., D.D. 2nd Edition.

ST. PAUL'S. By Rev. ARTHUR DIMOCK, M.A. 3rd Edition, revised.

ST. SAVIOUR'S, SOUTHWARK. By GEORGE WORLEY.

SALISBURY. By GLEESON WHITE. 3rd Edition, revised.

SOUTHWELL. By Rev. ARTHUR DIMOCK, M.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

WELLS. By Rev. PERCY DEARMER, M.A. 3rd Edition.

WINCHESTER. By P. W. SERGEANT. 3rd Edition.

WORCESTER. By E. F. STRANGE. 2nd Edition.

YORK. By A. CLUTTON-BROCK, M.A. 3rd Edition.

Uniform with above Series. Now ready. 1s. 6d. net each.

ST. MARTIN'S CHURCH, CANTERBURY. By the Rev. CANON ROUTLEDGE M.A., F.S.A.

BEVERLEY MINSTER. By CHARLES HIATT.

WIMBORNE MINSTER and CHRISTCHURCH PRIORY. By the Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

TEWKESBURY ABBEY AND DEERHURST PRIORY. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A.

BATH ABBEY, MALMESBURY ABBEY, and BRADFORD-ON-AVON CHURCH. By Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

WESTMINSTER ABBEY. By CHARLES HIATT.

STRATFORD-ON-AVON CHURCH. By HAROLD BAKER.

BELL'S HANDBOOKS TO CONTINENTAL CHURCHES.

Profusely Illustrated. Crown 8vo, cloth, 2s. 6d. net each.

AMIENS. By the Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

BAYEUX. By the Rev. R. S. MYLNE.

CHARTRES: The Cathedral and Other Churches. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A.

MONT ST. MICHEL. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A.

PARIS (NOTRE-DAME). By CHARLES HIATT.

ROUEN: The Cathedral and Other Churches. By the Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

The Best Practical Working Dictionary of the
English Language.

WEBSTER'S INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY.

2348 PAGES. 5000 ILLUSTRATIONS.

NEW EDITION, REVISED THROUGHOUT WITH A
NEW SUPPLEMENT OF 25,000 ADDITIONAL
WORDS AND PHRASES. 6

The Appendices comprise a Pronouncing Gazetteer of the World, Vocabularies of Scripture, Greek, Latin, and English Proper Names, a Dictionary of the Noted Names of Fiction, a Brief History of the English Language, a Dictionary of Foreign Quotations, Words, Phrases, Proverbs, &c., a Biographical Dictionary with 10,000 names, &c., &c.

Dr. MURRAY, *Editor of the 'Oxford English Dictionary'*, says:—"In this its latest form, and with its large Supplement and numerous appendices, it is a wonderful volume, which well maintains its ground against all rivals on its own lines. The 'definitions,' or more properly, 'explanations of meaning' in 'Webster' have always struck me as particularly terse and well-put; and it is hard to see how anything better could be done within the limits."

Profr. or JOSEPH WRIGHT, M.A., Ph.D., D.C.L., LL.D., *Editor of the 'English Dialect Dictionary'*, says:—"The new edition of 'Webster's International Dictionary' is undoubtedly the most useful and reliable work of its kind in any country. No one who has not examined the work carefully would believe that such a vast amount of lexicographical information could possibly be found within so small a compass."

Professor A. H. SAYCE, LL.D., D.D., says:—"It is indeed a marvellous work; it is difficult to conceive of a Dictionary more exhaustive and complete. Everything is in it—not only what we might expect to find in such a work, but also what few of us would ever have thought of looking for."

Rev. JOSEPH WOOD, D.D., *Head Master of Harrow*, says:—"I have always thought very highly of its merits. Indeed, I consider it to be far the most accurate English Dictionary in existence, and much more reliable than the 'Century.' For daily and hourly reference, 'Webster' seems to me unrivalled."

Prospectuses, with Prices and Specimen Pages, on Application.

LONDON: GEORGE BELL & SONS, YORK HOUSE,
PORTUGAL STREET, W.C.

40,000. S. & S. 1,06.

7

19

1900



SEPT 74



N. MANCHESTER,
INDIANA

